

Harrisburg Priest #2

Biographical Information

Omitted

Employment/Assignment History

Omitted

Summary

A Grand Jury subpoena was served on the Diocese of Harrisburg in September of 2016. While reviewing documents to turn over pursuant to the subpoena, the Diocese identified an allegation from [REDACTED] against a priest, which the Diocese did not follow up on.
[REDACTED]

In [REDACTED], a mother reported to Father [REDACTED] that she believed her [REDACTED] [REDACTED] may have been molested by a priest at [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] spoke with the mother and stated this priest denied the allegations, even though [REDACTED] and this priest did not know what abuse was alleged. The mother stated the [REDACTED] were [REDACTED] and she believed abuse occurred then.

A Special Agent of the Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General, Bureau of Criminal Investigations, interviewed the mother in December of 2016. She could not recall the priest's name. She stated her [REDACTED] denied ever being abused. She stated her [REDACTED] never made allegations, but she felt there were "signs" of possible abuse. She also stated the boys would most likely not talk with law enforcement. The Special Agent attempted contact with the alleged victims. Neither victim responded.

This priest spoke with the Office of Attorney General. He stated he was made aware of an allegation against him in [REDACTED] by [REDACTED]. This priest noted he was not told what the actual accusation entailed. This priest stated he was never interviewed, sent for counseling or treatment, nor placed on leave in [REDACTED]. It was not until he looked at his Diocesan file to find out what happened concerning the accusation. He stated he did so to find out the outcome and if anyone was identified as the abuser.