		Page 201
03:39:59	1	self-confessed at the request of the Cardinal and it
	2	was clear that he came with the understanding that
	3	this was a confidential matter and it was a
	4	confession to somebody as part of a of ministry
03:40:17	5	that was protected, we believed at the time.
	6	BY MR. MANLY:
	7	Q Well, if it was protected, why has the
	8	Cardinal discussed it?
	9	A If it is
03:40:28	10	Q If it is confessional, why has the
	11	Cardinal discussed it publically?
	12 ·	A Well, it became public later.
	13	Q If it's a confessional, it is a mortal sin
	14	to discuss it, is it not?
03:40:41	15	A No, because if it's the information is
	16	not come is coming from elsewhere.
	17	Q Well, why did the Cardinal assure the
	18	priests when he gave his talk at the retreat that
,	19	they wouldn't be reported to the police if they came
03:41:02	20	forward?
	21	A He didn't give that assurance.
• .	22	Q So when you say it was confidential, was
	23	there some assurance to the priests that they
·	24	wouldn't be reported to law enforcement?
03:41:12	25	A I would think that if a priest comes and

Jilio-Ryan Hunter & Olsen Court Reporters ph. 714.424.9902 Info@JilioRyan.com

		
		Page 202
03:41:14	1	confesses in that context of a priest penitent
	2	confidential communication, that the assumption
	3	would be that it wouldn't be reported.
	4	Q The Cardinal didn't give him absolution in
03:41:30	5 -	the meeting, did he?
	6	A No.
	7	Q So it wasn't a confession in the
	8	sacramental context, right?
	9	A No. Right.
03:41:38	10	Q Right.
	11	A But it was a priest penitential privilege
	12	kind of thing.
,	13	Q I mean, if he had I'm trying to get
	14	I'm trying to get an understanding of the
03:41:49	15	confidential nature of this communication you're
	16	talking about and I would like you to explain it to
	17	me.
	18	Did anybody, anywhere suggest, imply
·	19	or tell Father Baker or the other priests at the
03:42:00	20	Archdiocese that if they came forward and told the
	21	Cardinal that they had molested boys or girls, that
	22	no one would call the police?
	23	A No. There was only one statement that I
	24	ever know and that was at the retreat in 1986.
03:42:17	25	Q And what was that statement?

r		
		Page 203
03:42:19	1	A That was the statement that is in here,
	2	that if they were experiencing difficulties, they
	. 3	could come and talk confidentially to the Cardinal.
	4	MR. MANLY: Let's take a five minute
03:42:46	5	break.
	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Videotape deposition
	7	off record at 3:42 p.m. This will conclude
	8	videotape number 2 in today's deposition.
	9	(Off the record.)
03:56:02	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Videotape deposition is
	11	now returning to record at 3:56 p.m. This is also
	12	the beginning of videocassette tape number 3.
	13	(Parties talking at the same time.)
	14	MR. MANLY: Let's make another copy.
03:57:11	15	MR. STEIER: Go ahead. Don't wait for me.
	16	MR. GARPARI: It's from the internet. It
·	17	must be true.
	18	MR. FINALDI: I'll print you one out.
	19	MR. MANLY: Okay. Okay. Is that okay
03:57:21	20	with you?
	21	MR. STEIER: I'm fine.
	22	BY MR. MANLY:
•	23	Q For the record, this is a copy of the
	24	Doyle Mouton report that I downloaded off
03:57:38	25	BishopAccountability.org.
•		

Jilio-Ryan Hunter & Olsen Court Reporters ph. 714.424.9902 Info@JilioRyan.com

		Page 204
03:57:39	1	I know it's accurate because Tom
	2	Doyle, who authored it, told me it's accurate.
	,3	Can you just look at the document for
	4	a few minutes, Bishop, and see if it looks familiar.
04:04:30	5	I just asked him to familiarize himself with it. He
	. 6	can do that however he chooses. Why don't we go off
	7 .	the record until he finishes reading this.
	8	(Exhibit 3 was marked by the
	9	Certified Shorthand Reporter.)
04:07:43	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Videotape deposition
	11	off record at 4:07.
	12	(Off the record.)
	13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Videotape deposition is
	14	now returning to record at 4:13 p.m.
04:13:53	15	BY MR. MANLY:
	16	Q Okay. Bishop, you have taken some time.
	17	Have you familiarized yourself with the document?
	18	A I've skimmed it.
	19	Q Does this look like the document you
04:14:02	20	looked at, at the time?
	21	A I think it's the document, yes.
	22	Q Could you turn to page 21 of 39 of the
	23	copy you have.
:	24	A Yes.
04:14:17	25	Q And make sure you reviewed the section,
		w make bute you reviewed the beetfolly

1		Page 205
04:14:21	1	"What about the families of the victims." Have you
	2	had a chance to read that section?
	-3	A Yes.
	4	Q Okay. Do you see the first paragraph
04:15:08	5	where it reads, "While the welfare of the
	6	priest-offender is considered very important to the
	7	church officials, the welfare of both at the time of
	. 8	the abuse and well into the future of the victims is
	9	most important and should be given a priority by
04:15:29	10.	Ordinaries." Do you see that?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q And then it says, "The effects of sexual
	13	abuse of children by adults are long lasting and go
	14	well into adulthood." Do you see that?
04:15:39	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Do you remember reading that section when
	17	you first saw this in '85 or '86?
	18	A No, I don't remember.
	19	Q Okay. Did you did you and the Cardinal
04:15:55	20	discuss in any general way, while you were Vicar for
	21	Clergy, the impact that sexual abuse of priests or
	22	sexual abuse of adults have on kids?
	23	MR. WOODS: Object as confusing.
· ·	24	MR. MANLY: You can answer.
04:16:17	25	THE WITNESS: We may have, but I don't

		Page 206
04:16:19	1	remember recall the conversations.
	2	BY MR. MANLY:
	3	Q Was the impact when you attended this
	4	presentation in 1986, where the Cardinal was present
04:16:27	5	and the lawyer from Stockton came to talk about
	6	these this issue, the issue of sexual abuse of
	7	minors, was the impact on the victim discussed by
	8 .	this lawyer from Stockton?
	9	A I don't remember that.
04:16:42	10	Q Okay. Was there any policy in place while
	11	were you Vicar for Clergy, prior to 1989, that made
	12	the victim and their family a priority in handling
	. 13	of these cases?
	14	A There wasn't a policy.
04:17:05	15	Q Well, how what if any practice did the
	16	Archdiocese have to notify the victim and their
	17	family if a priest was accused of abusing their
	18	child?
; 	19	A I don't remember any accusation where the
04:17:31	20	families were not aware of that.
	21	Q Except for Father Baker?
	22	A Father Baker.
	23	Q And why did the Cardinal make the decision
	24	not to attempt to notify the families in the Baker
04:17:52	25	case?
	- 4	

		
		Page 207
04:17:52	1	MR. WOODS: Calls for speculation.
	2	THE WITNESS: I don't know that.
	.3	BY MR. MANLY:
	4	Q All right. Can you turn to page 22 of 39.
04:18:01	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Okay. Bishop, are you by training I
	7	know you have a Ph.D. in history. Do you consider
	. 8	yourself to be a theologian by training
	9	A No.
04:18:13	10	Q or a canonist or what would your area
	11	of specialty be ecclesiastically, if you will?
	12	MR. WOODS: Could I hear it back, please.
	13	I lost
	14	MR. MANLY: Let me ask it a different way.
04:18:26	15	BY MR. MANLY:
	16	Q In the priesthood, when you study, people
	17	specialize in different areas, is that fair,
	18	sometimes?
	19	A No.
04:18:35	20	Q What is your when you are studying for
ja.	21	the priesthood, did you have a particular emphasis,
	22	an area of study?
	23	A No.
	24	Q Did you take Canon law? Yes?
04:18:47	25	A Yes.

Jilio-Ryan Hunter & Olsen Court Reporters ph. 714.424.9902 Info@JilioRyan.com

		Page 208
04:18:47	1	Q Did you have a Canon lawyer on staff when
	2	you were the Vicar for Clergy?
	3	MR. WOODS: Staff in the Vicar's office?
	4	MR. MANLY: At the Archdiocese.
04:18:56	5	THE WITNESS: Yes, there were Canon
	6	lawyers at the Archdiocese.
	7	BY MR. MANLY:
	8	Q Was one of them ?
	9	A Yes.
04:19:02	10	Q So was was on the staff of
	11	the what other Canon lawyers can you remember
	12	besides Father ?
	13	A was
	14	. I believe
04:19:21	15	was there.
	16	Q Now, part of the duties of the Canon
	17	lawyers at the Archdiocese was to provide advice and
	18	provide canonical services in a variety of areas,
	19	including priest penal matters; is that correct?
04:19:39	20	A Yes.
	21	Q Did you think it was a good idea for the
•	22	Cardinal to appoint a child molester to the Canon
	23	Law office?
	24	A He was dealing with marriage cases
04:19:49	25	entirely.

		Page 209
04:19:50	1	Q Father was a child molester, a
	2	convicted child molester and he was assigned to the
	3	office that handled priest penal cases; is that
	4	accurate?
04:19:59	5	A No. The office maybe, yes, but he didn't.
	6	Q I see. How do you know that?
	7	A I don't remember any case that he handled
	8	of that kind.
	9	Q You sent him after he got out of the
04:20:17	10	Servants of the Paraclete and finished probation,
	11	you sent him to Catholic University to get a degree
	12	in Canon Law; is that correct?
	13	A I think so, yes.
·	14	Q So you made a convicted child molester a
04:20:25	15	Canon lawyer and placed him in the office that was
	16	to prosecute priest penal cases, including priest
	17	child molestation cases; is that accurate?
	18	MR. WOODS: I'll object in that "you" is
	19	
04:20:36	20	MR. MANLY: I'm not talking about him
	21	personally. I'm talking about the Archdiocese.
	22	THE WITNESS: The Archdiocese, the office
	23	would recommend to the Cardinal, but I don't know
	24	that the office was there to prosecute.
	25	
·		

		
		Page 210
04:20:48	. 1	BY MR. MANLY:
	2	Q Before appointing Father I'm
	3	sorry. You didn't appoint him.
	4	Before the Cardinal appointed
04:20:55	5	Father to the canonical law office and allowed
	6	him to go to law school to become a canonist, did you
	. 7	discuss with him any objections you had to a convicted
	8	child molester getting a degree in Canon law?
	9	A No.
04:21:10	10	Q Did you tell Catholic University did
	11	anybody, to your knowledge, from the Archdiocese
	12	tell Catholic University that Father was a
	13	convicted child molester before he was sent there?
	14	A Not that I know of.
04:21:23	15	Q Who supervised Father when he was
	16	in law school at Canon Law School at Catholic
	17	University?
	18.	A I'm not aware of that.
	19	Q Okay. Can you look at page 22 of 39.
04:21:34	20	MR. WOODS: What page?
	21	MR. MANLY: 22 of 39.
	22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	23	MR. MANLY: Does everybody have that?
	24	MR. STEIER: Yes.
	25	

Jilio-Ryan Hunter & Olsen Court Reporters ph. 714.424.9902 Info@JilioRyan.com

1		Page 211
04:21:39	1	BY MR. MANLY:
	2	Q Okay. It says, "Investigation of
	3	complaints." Can you just familiarize yourself with
	4	that. Let me know when you are ready.
04:22:42	5	A Okay.
	6	Q Okay. Do you see the first sentence that
	7	says, "When a Bishop receives a complaint that a
	8	priest or deacon has engaged in sexual misconduct
	9	with a minor child, this complaint should be
04:22:53	10	discreetly investigated at once."
	11	Was that the policy of the
	12	Archdiocese when you were Vicar for Clergy?
	13	MR. WOODS: Object to the form of the
	14	question as to whether there was a policy or not.
04:23:09	15	THE WITNESS: The complaints were handled
	16	on a one to one basis, but they as far as I know,
	17	they were not handled in this strict canonical form.
	18	BY MR. MANLY:
	19	Q So there was no policy that every
04:23:22	20	complaint or every allegation or every admission had
	21	to be investigated?
	22	A Yes, but not necessarily a canonical
	23	investigation. There was no policy. There was the
	24	practice.
04:23:34	25	Q Was Father Baker's transgressions with

		Page 212
04:23:38	1	children reported to the Holy See?
	2	A I don't know. I don't think so.
	3	Q Was there a policy during Cardinal
·	4	Mahony's tenure, as far as you know, to report
04:23:50	5	molesting priests to the Holy See?
	6	A During my time?
	7	Q Yes.
	8	A I don't think so.
	9	Q Why was there no canonical process
04:23:58	10	instituted against Father Baker given the gravity of
	11	his crime namely molesting a child?
	12	A Because it was handled in a pastoral way.
	13	Q That's it? That's the reason?
	. 14	A I think so.
04:24:13	15	Q Okay. Would you turn to page 31 of 39.
	16	Do you see the first paragraph I'm sorry under
	17	number 8.
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q It reads, "Although the diocesan bishop is
04:25:36	20	bound to report only to the Holy See in just about
	21	every case, it is advisable incidents of sexual
	22	misconduct among the clergy be reported to certain
	23	ecclesiastical authorities." Do you see that?
	24	A Yes.
04:25:41	25	Q Is it your understanding that Archbishop

		Page 213
04:25:42	1	Mahony was supposed to report this to the Holy See,
	2	the Baker allegation?
	3	MR. WOODS: This
	4	MR. MANLY: I'm sorry. Let me rephrase
04:25:45	5	it.
	6	BY MR. MANLY:
.]	7	Q This part of the document seems to
	8	indicate to me that the Archbishop or whoever the
	9	Ordinary was that's with a capital O was
04:25:49	10	supposed to report a violation of a child to the
	11	Holy See.
	12	Is that the way you read it?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Do you know why that didn't happen in the
04:26:04	15	Archdiocese or do you think this document is just
	16	incorrect?
	17	A I don't know if it didn't happen in the
	18	Archdiocese. I didn't report it.
	19	Q Who was in charge can you think of an
04:26:13	20	incident while you were Vicar for Clergy where a
	21	priest was reported to the Holy See or the Vatican
	22	Embassy?
	23	A Not that I reported.
	24	MR. MANLY: Okay. I think I'm done with
04:26:29	25	that for the moment.

	·
	Page 214
04:26:37	MR. WOODS: Was that Exhibit 3?
	MR. STEIER: Yes.
	MR. MANLY: I think it's 3, yeah.
	BY MR. MANLY:
04:26:58	5 Q Let me show you a document
	6 MR. MANLY: Will you pull this out for me.
	7 I want to use this as an Exhibit.
	MR. FINALDI: The entire thing?
	9 MR. MANLY: Is that one exhibit? Yeah.
04:27:19	MR. FINALDI: Copies?
	MR. MANLY: Yeah.
	BY MR. MANLY:
	Q Was there a policy regarding reporting
	that was in place strike that.
04:27:38	Was there a policy on reporting child
·	molestation that was in place when you became Vicar
	17 for Clergy?
	A Not that I know of, no.
	Q Who preceded you in that job?
04:27:47	20 A There was no Vicar for Clergy.
	Q That's right, we said that.
	Whose responsibilities who was
	performing the essential duties the Vicar for Clergy
	was performing before 1985 or 1986 when you got
04:28:04	there?

			Page 215
04:28:04	1	A	Monsignor Rawden was performing some of
	2	them.	
	3	Q	What duties was he performing?
	4	A	Especially the appointment of priests and
04:28:17	5	supervisio	on of clergy and some things. I don't
	6	exactly kr	ow to what extent he dealt with the
	7	problems.	
	8	Q	When did Monsignor Rawden pass?
	9	A	He is still alive.
04:28:29	10	Q	He is still alive. That's right.
	11		Who else was handling those duties
	12	besides Ra	wden?
	13	A	I'm not sure. I think Monsignor Hawkes
·	14	handled so	ome of it.
04:28:43	15	Q	Monsignor Hawkes is deceased; is that
	16	right?	·
	17	А	Yes.
	18	Q	And when did he pass?
	19	A	1985, I think.
04:28:53	20	Q	And Cardinal Manning died in the late
	21	80's?	
	22	А	Yes.
	23	Q	Did you speak to Cardinal Manning or
	24	Monsignor	Rawden about Baker?
04:29:07	25	А	No.
L			

		016
04-20-00	3	Page 216
04:29:09	1	Q Did you look at Baker's confidential file
	2	when the allegation came up?
	3	A I don't remember, but I would suspect I
	4	did.
04:29:19	5	Q Okay. And you would suspect you did why?
	6	A When the matter of his
	7	Q When Baker came to you
	8	A Oh, yes.
	9	Q and said, "I molested these two
04:29:31	10	children," did you look at his confidential file to
	11	see if there had been any prior allegations?
	12	A It would be my practice to look in the
	13	confidential file whenever any problem came up with
	14	a priest.
04:29:42	15	Q Was there anything in his file that was
	16	adverse?
	17	A I don't remember there was.
	18	Q Okay. Let me show you a document we'll
	19	mark as Exhibit 4. Just let me know when you have
04:31:15	20	familiarized yourself with the document, Bishop.
	21	(Exhibit 4 was marked by the
	22	Certified Shorthand Reporter.)
	23	BY MR. MANLY:
	24	Q Okay. Have you had a chance to look at
04:32:17	25	it?
		· .

		Page 217
04:32:17	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Have you ever seen this document before?
	3	A I don't remember.
	4	Q Does this comport with your understanding
04:32:25	.5	of what was in place regarding reporting by the
	6	Archdiocese in terms of mandatory reporters at the
	7	time you became the Vicar for Clergy?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q Okay. The way I read this, if you look at
04:32:41	10	paragraph 4, it reads, "There is no requirement of
	11	certainty, only suspicion. The statute is filled
	12	with legal terms which are difficult to apply in
	13	specific instances. You must report any instances
	14	if you suspect any of the actions described in
04:32:56	15	paragraph 2 above. Consultation with the Chancellor
	16	will serve the purpose of assuring all parties that
	17	only appropriate cases are reported to civil
	18	authorities." Okay. Who is the Chancellor?
	19	A Monsignor Rawden.
04:33:11	20	Q And if you look at the top of that
	21	document, which is 4, it says, "The California
	22	legislature has recently passed a statute requiring
	23	school teachers and administrators to report
	24	instances of child abuse to appropriate civil
04:33:28	25	authorities. In order to insure compliance with the

·		
		Page 218
04:33:32	1	statute, the Archdiocese is instituting a policy
	2	whereby all instances of suspected child abuse must
	3	be reported immediately by telephone to the
	4	Chancellor of the Archdiocese. Through consultation
04:33:43	5	with the Chancellor, a final decision regarding
•	6	reporting to civil authorities can be made."
•	7	Is that your understanding of what
•	. 8	the policy was?
	9	A I didn't I really don't remember seeing
04:33:57	10	this letter before.
	11	Q Okay. Well, practically, is that what was
<i>'</i> .	12	happening while you were the Vicar for Clergy,
	13	normally the report was made to the Chancellor?
	14	A No. If the person was a mandated
04:34:12	15	reporter, we expected them to make the report. We
	16	didn't want them to let the Vicar for Clergy know.
	. 17	Q You did not?
	18	A We did.
•	19	MR. WOODS: I'm sorry. What was just
04:34:29	20	read the answer to me. It was a little confused.
	21	(Record read.)
	22	THE WITNESS: We did want the Vicar for
	23	
	24	Clergy.
04:35:01		MR. MANLY: I'm glad we cleared that up.
04:00:01	25	THE WITNESS: Sorry.

		Page 219
04:35:01	1	MR. MANLY: That's okay. I'm glad we
	2	cleared it up.
	3	BY MR. MANLY:
	4	Q So you wanted them to talk to the
04:35:05	. 5	Archdiocese before they reported?
	6	A No. No. We wanted them to let the
	7	Archdiocese know if they reported, that they had
	.8	fulfilled their obligation.
	9	Q How many reports do you remember seeing
04:35:20	10	regarding sexual abuse of priests?
•	11	A I don't remember actually seeing the
. 5	12	reports.
	13	Q Well, being aware of that?
	1.4	A I was aware of one.
04:35:35	15	Q Okay.
	16	A Of
	17	Q Who made that report?
	18	A I believe the principal did.
	19	Q What was her name?
04:35:47	20	A I don't know.
	21	Q Principal of what school?
	22	A I don't know. It was maybe St. Agnes, one
•	23	of those schools. I'm not sure.
	24	Q Okay. Who was the head of the Archdiocese
04:36:05	25	Department of Education while you were the Vicar for

		Page 220
04:36:07	1	Clergy?
	2	A was there for
	3	sometime and was replaced by
	4	, who was hired to head that department.
04:36:27	5	Q Was there for a period of time?
	6	A When I was Vicar for Clergy?
	7	Q Yeah. Was he
	8	A I don't think he was there when my time
	9	Q Did you have a recollection that he held
04:36:42	10	that position at one point?
	11	A Yeah, I think he did.
	12	Q And, ultimately, who is the head of the
	13	schools of the Archdiocese?
	14	A It depends. Some of the schools are
04:36:53	15	under high schools are under the Archdiocesan
<u></u>	16	authority more directly.
	17	Q That's fair.
	18	In terms of the Archdiocese owned
	19	schools or schools that are diocesan, if you will,
04:37:04	20	who heads those schools, who is ultimately in
	21	charge?
:	22	A Well, the pastor in the parishes of the
	23	grade schools is really the one who's in charge.
	24	Q What about the Cardinal, doesn't he have
04:37:18	25	ultimate responsibility for the schools?
,		

Page 221 04:37:24 1			·
canonically the pastors are responsible for those schools. Q What about a high school like St. Paul's? A If a high school is owned by the Archdiocese, that's a different matter. Q Okay. So let's take St. Paul's or what's the school up by the L.A.P.D. Academy that they were going to close, the high school? A Cathedral. Q Yes. A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's in charge of that, correct? A I think so. Q Okay. And there is a number of other diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides St. Paul, right? A Yes. Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several. Q Okay.			Page 221
3 schools. 4 Q What about a high school like St. Paul's? 6 Archdiocese, that's a different matter. 7 Q Okay. So let's take St. Paul's or what's 8 the school up by the L.A.P.D. Academy that they were 9 going to close, the high school? 04:37:49 10 A Cathedral. 11 Q Yes. 12 A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. 13 Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. 14 Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's 16 A I think so. 17 Q Okay. And there is a number of other 18 diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides 19 St. Paul, right? 04:38:08 20 A Yes. 21 Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? 22 A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. 24 Q Okay.	04:37:24	1	A That's a hard question to answer because
Q What about a high school like St. Paul's? A If a high school is owned by the Archdiocese, that's a different matter. Q Okay. So let's take St. Paul's or what's the school up by the L.A.P.D. Academy that they were going to close, the high school? A Cathedral. Q Yes. A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's O4:37:59 In charge of that, correct? A I think so. Q Okay. And there is a number of other diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides St. Paul, right? O4:38:08 Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several.		2	canonically the pastors are responsible for those
04:37:35 A If a high school is owned by the 6 Archdiocese, that's a different matter. 7 Q Okay. So let's take St. Paul's or what's 8 the school up by the L.A.P.D. Academy that they were 9 going to close, the high school? 04:37:49 10 A Cathedral. 11 Q Yes. 12 A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. 13 Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. 14 Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's 04:37:59 15 in charge of that, correct? 16 A I think so. 17 Q Okay. And there is a number of other 18 diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides 19 St. Paul, right? 04:38:08 20 A Yes. 21 Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? 22 A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. 24 Q Okay.		3	schools.
Archdiocese, that's a different matter. Q Okay. So let's take St. Paul's or what's the school up by the L.A.P.D. Academy that they were going to close, the high school? A Cathedral. Q Yes. A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's in charge of that, correct? A I think so. Q Okay. And there is a number of other diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides St. Paul, right? A Yes. Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several.	·	4	Q What about a high school like St. Paul's?
Q Okay. So let's take St. Paul's or what's the school up by the L.A.P.D. Academy that they were going to close, the high school? A Cathedral. Q Yes. A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's in charge of that, correct? A I think so. Q Okay. And there is a number of other diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides St. Paul, right? A Yes. Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several.	04:37:35	5	A If a high school is owned by the
the school up by the L.A.P.D. Academy that they were going to close, the high school? A Cathedral. Q Yes. A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's in charge of that, correct? A I think so. Q Okay. And there is a number of other diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides St. Paul, right? A Yes. Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several.		6	Archdiocese, that's a different matter.
9 going to close, the high school? 04:37:49 10 A Cathedral. 11 Q Yes. 12 A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. 13 Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. 14 Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's 15 in charge of that, correct? 16 A I think so. 17 Q Okay. And there is a number of other 18 diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides 19 St. Paul, right? 10 A Yes. 21 Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? 22 A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. 24 Q Okay.		7	Q Okay. So let's take St. Paul's or what's
04:37:49 10 A Cathedral. 11 Q Yes. 12 A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. 13 Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. 14 Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's 04:37:59 15 in charge of that, correct? 16 A I think so. 17 Q Okay. And there is a number of other 18 diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides 19 St. Paul, right? 04:38:08 20 A Yes. 21 Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. Q Okay.		8	the school up by the L.A.P.D. Academy that they were
11 Q Yes. 12 A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. 13 Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. 14 Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's 15 in charge of that, correct? 16 A I think so. 17 Q Okay. And there is a number of other 18 diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides 19 St. Paul, right? 19 A Yes. 20 Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? 21 A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. 24 Q Okay.		9 .	going to close, the high school?
12 A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order. 13 Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. 14 Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's 15 in charge of that, correct? 16 A I think so. 17 Q Okay. And there is a number of other 18 diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides 19 St. Paul, right? 104:38:08 20 A Yes. 21 Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? 22 A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. 24 Q Okay.	04:37:49	10	A Cathedral.
Q Let's just take St. Paul's then. Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's in charge of that, correct? A I think so. Q Okay. And there is a number of other diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides St. Paul, right? A Yes. Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several. Q Okay.		11	Q Yes.
Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's in charge of that, correct? A I think so. Q Okay. And there is a number of other diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides St. Paul, right? A Yes. Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several.		12	A No, Cathedral is owned by religious order.
in charge of that, correct? A I think so. Q Okay. And there is a number of other diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides St. Paul, right? A Yes. Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several. Q Okay.		13	Q Let's just take St. Paul's then.
16 A I think so. 17 Q Okay. And there is a number of other 18 diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides 19 St. Paul, right? 04:38:08 20 A Yes. 21 Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? 22 A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. 24 Q Okay.		14	Ultimately, that's the Cardinal's
Q Okay. And there is a number of other diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides St. Paul, right? A Yes. Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several. Q Okay.	04:37:59	15	in charge of that, correct?
diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides 19 St. Paul, right? 04:38:08 20 A Yes. 21 Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? 22 A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. Q Okay.		16	A I think so.
19 St. Paul, right? 04:38:08 20 A Yes. 21 Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? 22 A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. 24 Q Okay.	i.	17	Q Okay. And there is a number of other
04:38:08 20 A Yes. 21 Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? 22 A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. 24 Q Okay.		18	diocesan high schools in the Archdiocese besides
Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several. Q Okay.		19	St. Paul, right?
Q Like who? Like who not Mater Dei? A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, St. Mathias. There are several. Q Okay.	04:38:08	20	A Yes.
22 A No. The one out in San Gabriel Valley, 23 St. Mathias. There are several. 24 Q Okay.		21	O Like who? Like who not Mater Dei?
23 St. Mathias. There are several. 24 Q Okay.		·	
Q Okay.		23	
	•		
7. De. Oodeph D In Daned Halla.			
		· ,	11 oc. ocochu a in canca marra.

		Page 222
04:38:40	1	Q And the Cardinal is in charge of
,	2	appointing the principal for those schools and the
,	3	priests that supervise those schools, correct?
	4	A No well, the principal would usually be
04:38:52	5	appointed by the Education Department.
	6	Q Okay. But he's in charge of appointing
	7	ultimately appointing the people in charge, correct?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And who does the head of the Department of
04:39:11	10	Education of the Archdiocese report to?
	. 11	A Immediately?
	12	Q Yeah.
	13	A The moderator of the curia, I think.
	14	Q And who was that in 1986?
04:39:30	15	A Well, Monsignor Steve Blair, but I don't
	16	think he came until a little later than that.
	17	Q Now, Bishop Blair in Stockton?
	18	A Stockton, yes.
	19	Q And who did Monsignor Blair or Bishop
04:39:52	20	Blair report to ultimately while he was moderator of
	21	the curia?
	22	A He reported to the Cardinal.
	23	Q Did Father let me represent to you and
	24	I'll get a copy of the article, if would you like
04:40:19	25	but Father Baker says that told the L.A. Times in
	•	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Page 223
04:40:24	1	2002 or 2003, that there was a meeting where he was
	2	present, the Cardinal was present and
	3	was present.
	4	MR. MANLY: Did you want my question
04:40:36	5	again?
	6	MR. WOODS: No, but I think just to save
	7	time, you should get the article. I'm not going to
	8	be comfortable with your summary of it.
	9	MR. MANLY: That's fine, but let me ask my
04:40:47	10	question. I'm perfectly willing to get the article.
	11	BY MR. MANLY:
	12	Q And Baker states essentially that
	13	said, "Should we call the police right
	14	now?" And the Cardinal said, "No. No. No."
04:41:01	15	And I'll get the article for you, but
	16	assuming that my representation is correct, do you
	17	ever remember a meeting where the Cardinal and
	18	were present along with Baker?
	19	A No.
04:41:14	20	Q Could that have happened without you being
	21	present?
	22	MR. WOODS: Calls for speculation.
	23	THE WITNESS: I was present usually at any
	24	important meetings.
	25	

		Page 224
04:41:21	1	MR. MANLY: Okay. Let me get the article.
	2	Let's take a break.
	3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Videotape deposition
	4	off record at 4:41 p.m.
04:41:30	5	(Off the record.)
* .	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Videotape deposition is
	7	now returning to record at 4:54 p.m.
	8	(Exhibit 5 was marked by the Certified
	9	Shorthand Reporter.)
04:54:04	10	BY MR. MANLY:
	11	Q Have you ever seen this before?
	12	A I believe I did, yes.
	13	Q Okay. Could you look at the bottom of the
	14	first page of the document, in the paragraph
05:01:26	15	beginning, "In a series of interviews."
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q It says, "In a series of interviews with
	18	the Times, Baker described going to the office of
	19	the Archdiocese in 1986 and telling Mahony of his
05:01:38	20	problem with sexual abuse. He said that in that one
	21	meeting an Archdiocese lawyer suggested calling the
	22	police but that Mahony said no;" is that true?
	23	A I don't remember that.
	24	Q Are you saying it could have happened and
05:01:54	25	you don't recall it or are you saying it didn't

		Page 225
05:01:56	1	happen?
	2	A I don't believe it happened.
,	3	Q Okay. How would Father Baker know
	4	, his name, et cetera?
05:02:08	5	A He was one of the principal lawyers for
	. 6	the Archdiocese.
	7	Q Did Father Baker, to your knowledge, have
	8	any interaction with him?
•	9	A I don't know
05:02:20	10	Q Had Father Baker to your knowledge ever
	11	met him?
	12	A I don't know.
	13	Q Okay. Would a normal associate pastor or
	14	somebody who was in the type of ministry Baker was
05:02:32	15	in have occasion to interact with
	16	his firm?
	17	A Maybe.
	18	Q Really? On what type of matter?
	19	A Where there would be problems in parishes.
05:02:46	20	Q Okay. Father Baker could have called
	21	office and had interaction with
•	22	him?
	23	MR. WOODS: Calls for speculation.
	24	THE WITNESS: I I don't think he would
05:02:56	25	call him directly but

		Page 226
05:02:57	1	BY MR. MANLY:
	2	Q Do you have any personal knowledge that
	3	Father Baker had any interaction with
	4	or knew who he was prior to 1986?
05:03:05	5	A No.
	. 6	Q Okay. Going down to the next page
	7	actually, strike that. It's the third page.
	8	At the top, I want to direct your
	9	attention to the first paragraph and I'll read it,
05:03:33	10	for awhile. "In September 1985, Mahony"
	11	MR. WOODS: I'm sorry. Page 3
	12	MR. MANLY: I'm sorry. Page 3, first
	13	paragraph.
	14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
05:03:42	15	BY MR. MANLY:
	16	Q "In September 1985, Mahony was appointed
	17	head of Los Angeles Archdiocese. The following
	18	summer, at an annual series of retreats for
	19	Archdiocese priests, Mahony invited anyone who had
05:03:54	20	engaged in sexual abuse to talk to him privately;"
	21	is that a true statement?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q Okay.
	24	A Confidentially, he said.
05:04:02	25	Q I'm glad you made that point, because

		Page 227
05:04:06	1	reading right below that it says quote, "We said to
	2	priests, if you have a problem or had a problem,
•	3 .	it's really beneficial to step forward now," Mahony
	4	recalled in last month's interview. "We made it
05:04:21	5	clear that, if you told us, we would follow the
•	6	policies."
	7	What does that mean, what policy is
	8	he referring to?
	9	A I don't know.
05:04:30	10	Q I'm curious what you are saying about the
	11	confidentiality. Was there a policy that said you
	12	would keep molestation confidential?
	13	A No.
	14	Q Okay. So how do you reconcile your
05:04:41	15	statement on confidentiality with the Cardinal's
	16	quote here?
	17	A I don't know.
	18	Q Okay. "In a series of interviews with The
	19	Times" I'm reading the paragraph right below
05:04:56	20	that, Bishop.
	21	"In a series of interviews with The
	22	Times, Baker declined to comment on specific
	23	allegations of abuse. The priest said he admitted
	24	in a private meeting with Mahony in December 1986
05:05:07	25	that he had engaged in sexual abuse of minors."

		Page 228
05:05:11	1	Does that last sentence comport with
	2	your recollection?
	3	A Yes. I believe the meeting was with
	4	Cardinal Mahony and Archbishop Mahony then and
05:05:22	5	me.
	6	Q He continues, "'I told Mahony I had a
	7	problem,' Baker said in one of a series of
	8	interviews with The Times. Mahony did not ask for
	9	specifics and appeared willing to let him remain in
05:05:35	10	the priesthood. Baker said quote, 'He was very
	11	solicitous and understanding. I was glad I brought
	12	it up.'"
	13	Based on what you saw in that time
	14	period, when the interaction between Mahony and
05:05:47	15	Baker, do you believe that's accurate?
	16	A I don't think he made any promise to
	17	remain in the priesthood. I think the major thing
	18	was to have him go to treatment.
	19	Q Well, I don't think he says he promised.
05:05:58	20	He says, "He appeared willing to let him remain in
	21	the priesthood;" is that accurate?
·	22	A I don't remember that coming up.
	23	Q In the next paragraph it says, "That
	24	evening, Baker said he received a call from
05:06:08	25	Monsignor Thomas Curry, the Vicar for Clergy who

Jilio-Ryan Hunter & Olsen Court Reporters
ph. 714.424.9902 Info@JilioRyan.com

		Page 229
05:06:13	1	oversaw all priests. Curry directed Baker to return
	2	to the Archdiocese headquarters the next day;" is
	3	that accurate?
	4	A I don't remember the sequence of the
05:06:23	5	meetings. I thought that Baker met with me
	6	individually first of all, but there may have been
	7	another meeting with the Cardinal and we had this
	8	third meeting. I just don't remember the sequence
	9	of meetings.
05:06:37	10	Q So you are not sure if that's accurate or
	11	not. It could be, but you're not sure?
	12	A No.
	13	Q "When he arrived, Baker said Curry was
	14	joined by Mahony and
05:06:46	15	Archdiocese's lawyer. At the meeting, which lasted
	16	about 30 minutes, Baker said he was asked the extent
	17	of his problem. Baker said he disclosed that, '2 or
	18	3' victims were involved and vowed not to engage in
	19	any future sexual misconduct;" is that accurate?
05:07:04	20	A No. To the best of my memory and
•	21	knowledge, I don't remember that
	22	involved in the meeting.
	23	Q Aside from the fact that he says
	24	was there and you say he wasn't, is the
05:07:16	25	rest of his statement as reported here accurate?

		Page 230
05:07:25	1	A I remember him mentioning two victims.
	2	Q Okay. Are you testifying, Bishop, that he
	3	didn't mention two or three or he just mentioned two
,	4	or you are just not sure?
05:07:47	5	A I'm testifying that my memory of the event
	6	is two.
	7	Q Okay. Quote could you go down in the
	8	next paragraph after that single sentence, "I don't
	9	recall them pressing me for details and I didn't
05:07:51	10	give them any;" is that accurate?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q Next paragraph, "At one point, Baker said,
	13	he became startled when blurted, 'Should
	14	we call the police now?' Baker said he recalled
05:08:04	15	Mahony's response, 'No, no, no'" Is that
	16	accurate?
	17	A I don't think so.
	18	Q Do you have any insight or explanation or
	19	understanding of why Baker would make something like
05:08:18	20	that up?
	21	A No.
	22	Q Okay. The next paragraph reads, "The
	23	Cardinal has provided conflicting accounts of his
	24	discussions with Baker." Then it says, "Initially
05:08:31	25	he said last month he" well that's not

		Page 231
05:08:35	1	appropriate for me to read to you.
	2	Okay. Looking at the last sentence
	3	on that page, Bishop, beginning, "Baker's
	4	truthfulness;" do you see that?
05:09:03	5	A Yes.
	6	Q It says, "'Baker's truthfulness was
	7	questionable,' Mahony said in an interview adding
	8	that, 'We never found any evidence of cooperation.
	9	He deceived his therapist, everybody.'" Do you
05:09:17	10	agree with that?
	11	MR. WOODS: Do you agree that Mahony said
	12	it? Do you agree that it's true?
	13	MR. MANLY: No. No. You want to
	14	make an objection, you make an objection. This is
05:09:30	15	what I'm talking about.
	16	MR. WOODS: Okay. I object that the form
	17	of the question that ends with "that" is vague and
	18	ambiguous and calls for speculation.
	19	MR. MANLY: You can answer.
05:09:42	20	MR. STEIER: Wait. And if it dealt with a
	21	conversation involving the therapist and what was
	22	said to a therapist, then it would invade the
	23	patient therapist privilege as well.
	24	MR. WOODS: Also, it assumes the statement
05:09:58	25	is accurately reported here.

Jilio-Ryan Hunter & Olsen Court Reporters ph. 714.424.9902 Info@JilioRyan.com

		Page 232
05:10:00	1	MR. MANLY: If you want to call Glenn
	2	Bunting, you go ahead.
	3	MR. WOODS: I think it is a typo but I
	4	mean, the whole context of it, but go ahead.
05:10:10	5	THE WITNESS: My time as Vicar for Clergy,
	6	I thought he was telling the truth and was serious
	7	that he wanted to change his life.
	8	BY MR. MANLY:
	9	Q So you agree with the statement?
05:10:21	10	A No, I don't think so. I believe that I
	11	believe that at the time he was telling the truth.
	12	I don't believe that now, but I believed it then.
	13	Q Right. The next page, 4 of 6, the article
	14	states, "In addition, Baker continued to have
05:10:41	15	frequent access to children over the next 14 years
	16	while he was assigned to nine different parishes.
	17	Six of the churches where Baker worked had
	18	elementary schools adjacent to the rectory; St.
	19	Thomas the Apostle in Los Angeles, St. Elizabeth in
05:10:55	20	Van Nuys, St. Linus in Norwalk, St. Mary in
·	21	Palmdale, St. Lucy in Long Beach and St. Columbkille
	22	in South-Central Los Angeles." Is that true,
	23	Bishop?
	24	A I believe it is.
05:11:09	25	Q Why in the world would you assign Father

		Page 233
05:11:12	1	Baker to a parish that had a school, sir?
	2	A Because we had an agreement with him that
	3	he would not be involved in the school or in any
	4	ministry to children.
05:11:22	5	Q You put a pedophile priest in a parish
	6	with a school; is that correct, sir?
	7	A We appointed Michael Baker, who said he
	8	had abused children in school in these parishes
	9	that had schools.
05:11:36	10	Q I take it you alerted the principal that
	11	there was a pedophile living next to their campus,
	12	right?
	13	A I didn't talk to the principal.
	14	Q Did the Cardinal alert the principals of
05:11:47	15	any of the schools on the at the parishes where
	16	Father Baker was living that they had a pedophile
	17	living next to the school?
	18	MR. WOODS: Object to the form of the
	19	question in that the term pedophile
05:12:01	20	MR. MANLY: You can answer.
	21	THE WITNESS: We didn't describe him as a
	22	pedophile, because I didn't know he was a pedophile
	23	at the time.
	24	BY MR. MANLY:
05:12:08	25	Q Did the Cardinal I'm sorry. Did I cut

		Page 234
05:12:10	1	you off? I'm sorry.
	2	A The answer, I don't know that the Cardinal
	3	talked to any of the principals.
•	4	Q Did the Cardinal direct you or anybody
05:12:18	5	else to your knowledge at the Archdiocese to call
	6	the principal of those schools and advise him or her
	7	that there was a priest who had admitted to
	8	molesting children living at the parish where the
	9	school was located?
05:12:35	10	A No.
	11	Q Do you think that would have been a good
	12	idea, Bishop?
	13	A Do I think that now?
	14	Q Yeah. Do you think that would have been a
05:12:45	15	good idea?
	16	A I would what I've learned since, yes.
	17	Q What did you learn since that changed your
	18	mind about notifying principals that a child
	19	molester was living basically at the school?
05:12:59	20	A He was living at the rectory. He wasn't
	21	living at the school.
	22	Q Okay. Well, do you how close the parish
	23	schools are to the rectory in these places?
	24	A Yes.
05:13:17	25	Q What was to stop Father Baker from going

Jilio-Ryan Hunter & Olsen Court Reporters ph. 714.424.9902 Info@JilioRyan.com

		Page 235
05:13:21	1	to the schools and taking children out of class if
	2	the parish schools did not know he was a child
	3	molester and had restrictions?
	4	A Priests don't take children out the class.
05:13:37	5	Q Bishop, I've got about 50 examples I can
	6	give you where it happened.
	7	MR. WOODS: I'm sorry. Could I hear the
	8	answer back?
	9	MR. MANLY: Let me just rephrase.
05:13:44	10	MR. WOODS: Please don't argue with the
	11	witness.
	12	MR. MANLY: You're right. I apologize.
·	13	BY MR. MANLY:
,	14	Q So priests should not take children out of
05:13:52	15	classrooms; is that accurate?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Okay. So why why were principals and
	18	teachers not notified that there was a child
	19	molesting priest living adjacent to their campus?
05:14:11	20	MR. WOODS: Object to the form of the
	21	question. Again, the term "child molesting priest"
	22	as if it was something they thought was occurring,
	23	ongoing activity.
	24	MR. MANLY: Let me rephrase it.
05:14:23	25	Apparently, it was, but let's rephrase.

Jilio-Ryan Hunter & Olsen Court Reporters ph. 714.424.9902 Info@JilioRyan.com

		Page 236
05:14:25	1	BY MR. MANLY:
	2 .	Q Why did you not notify the principals of
	3	the schools at the parishes he served at that he had
	4	admitted molesting children?
05:14:40	5	A Because we had an agreement that he was
	6	not to be involved with children and I believed that
	7	he wanted to change his life and to follow that
	8	policy.
	9	Q How would that how would notifying the
05:14:55	10	principals that he had previously abused kids change
	11	that?
	12	A I don't know.
	13	Q Well, you say you didn't notify him
	14	because you had an agreement. My question is well,
05:15:09	15	that's fine, but you seem but what regarding that
	16	agreement prevented you or made you decide not to
	17	tell the principals of these schools where little
	18	boys and little girls were going to school that
	19	Father Baker let me start over.
05:15:29	20	What is it about that agreement with
	21	Father Baker that made you decide not to tell the
	22	principals that there was an admitted child molester
	23	living adjacent to their campus who was affiliated
	24	with the church?
05:15:49	25	A It wasn't our way of doing things. And we

		Page 23
05:15:51	1	hadn't learned everything about this whole issue.
	2	And we thought that he was trying to change his life
	3	and that he would abide by the agreements that he
r	4	had made with us.
05:16:04	5	Q Bishop, did you know what he had done was
	6	a crime?
	7	A Yes.
	8	MR. WOODS: That's the third time you've
	9	asked him that and it's argumentative.
05:16:13	10	BY MR. MANLY:
	11	Q Okay. You knew he was a criminal
,	12	MR. WOODS: Fourth time.
	13	MR. MANLY: Excuse me. You know what, if
	14	you want to make comments
05:16:19	15	MR. WOODS: You are just arguing with
	16	him
•	17	MR. MANLY: No, I'm not.
·	18	MR. WOODS: at 5:00, 5:15 in the
	19	afternoon.
05:16:23	20	MR. MANLY: I'm not arguing. You don't
	21	like what
	22	MR. WOODS: You don't think that's
	23	argumentative?
	24	MR. MANLY: Don, you know what, just make

		Page 238
05:16:31	1	you. I just need
	. 2	MR. WOODS: When I make the objection, you
	3	start a colloquy.
	4	MR. MANLY: No, I don't. I only start a
05:16:38	5	colloquy when you interrupt my testimony.
	6	MR. WOODS: Your testimony?
	. 7	MR. MANLY: You make comments about my
	8	ability to ask questions. Okay. You may not like
	9	my questions, but that's your prerogative. You can
05:16:45	10	object to them.
	.11	MR. WOODS: I'm allowed to object when you
	12	are harassing the witness and you ask the same
	13	question over and over again in an argumentative
	14	way, it's harassing.
05:16:55	15	BY MR. MANLY:
	16	Q Did you know, Bishop, when you put Baker
	17	in parishes and schools, that he had committed a
	18	crime?
	19	A He confessed to a crime, yes.
05:17:04	20	Q And you knew that he had committed a crime
	21	against children, correct?
	22	A He confessed that.
	23	Q And you knew that you were putting him in
	24	close proximity to children when you assigned him to
05:17:19	25	parishes with schools, correct?

Jilio-Ryan Hunter & Olsen Court Reporters
ph. 714.424.9902 Info@JilioRyan.com

		Page 239
05:17:20	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Okay. Did you tell the Cardinal or ever
	3	have a discussion with Cardinal Mahony that that was
•	4	an extremely bad idea?
05:17:28	5	A No.
	6	Q Okay.
	7	MR. MANLY: Given that Mr. Woods is
	8	objecting that I've gone after 5:00, we'll suspend
	9	the deposition. This will be Volume I.
05:17:38	10	And propose that we stipulate to relieve
	11	the court reporter of her duties under the Code; that
	12	the original of the transcript will be forwarded to
*	13	what's your pleasure Mr. Woods. That he will arrange
	14	to have the witness read and sign within 30 days of
05:17:58	15	receipt of the transcript.
	16	That he will notify all counsel of
	17	changes to the witness' testimony within 10 days of
	18	receiving these changes, but no later than 60 30 days
	19	after receiving the transcript; that if the original is
05:18:18	20	stolen, misplaced or otherwise unavailable, a certified
	21	copy can be used.
	22	That the original will be forwarded to my
	23	office, where I will retain custody of it and produce it
	24	for any and all requests associated with the litigation
05:18:34	25	
03.10.31	23	by counsel.

		Page 240
05:18:35	1	MR. WOODS: So stipulated.
	2	MR. MANLY: Thanks. Thank you, Bishop.
	3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	4	MR. WOODS: I just want to put on the
05:18:42	5	record, we're available to go tomorrow and Friday
	6	and Monday and I've talked with other counsel and I
	7	think we can do that.
	8	MR. MANLY: You've obviously there is
	9	documents that he has, namely, his calendar that
05:19:06	10	hasn't been produced, which should have been
	11	produced.
	12	It is obvious to me there are other
i	13	documents out there that need to be produced. I'm not
	14	going to continue the depo until I have got those. And
05:19:11	15	we intend to bring a Motion to Compel on a variety of
	16	questions. I'm not going to waste my time and go
	17 ·	tomorrow. And I want to wait and get the documents.
	18	MR. WOODS: We're done subject to your
	19	Motion to Compel.
05:19:21	20	MR. MANLY: You are not going to put words
·.	21	in my mouth.
	22	MR. WOODS: No, I'm not. I was telling
	23	you what my speculating as to what you're
	24	
05:19:30		thinking. I am telling you my position.
03:13:30	25 .	MR. MANLY: If you want me to file yet

Jilio-Ryan Hunter & Olsen Court Reporters ph. 714.424.9902 Info@JilioRyan.com

		
		Page 241
. 05:19:31	1	another motion because of your misconduct, I will be
	2	most happy to. Thanks.
	3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Videotape deposition of
	4	September 30th, 2009 is now being concluded at
05:19:44	5	5:19 p.m. This will also conclude videocassette
	6	tape number 3 in today's deposition. Thank you.
	. 7	(Deposition concluded at 5:19 p.m.)
	8	(Exhibits 1 to 5 were marked by the Certified
	9	Shorthand Reporter.)
05:19:51	10	(Deposition to be signed under penalty of
	11	perjury.)
	12	* * *
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
•		