

02:06:34 1 BY MR. MANLY:

2 Q Did you know that he took them here and  
3 molested them here, allegedly?

4 A I did not know at the time.

02:06:46 5 Q Did you call Bishop Moreno about it in  
6 Tuscon?

7 A No.

8 Q Why not?

9 A Because we had taken Baker out of ministry  
02:06:55 10 and Baker, as far as I know, never served in  
11 Arizona.

12 Q To your knowledge, Cardinal, did  
13 Father Dyer take and occasionally travel to Tuscon with  
14 Father Baker?

02:07:08 15 A I am not sure.

16 Q Okay. Do you know what Father Baker was  
17 accused of doing to these two boys?

18 A No.

19 Q So what happened to that case?

02:07:26 20 A The case was settled.

21 Q Okay. Was there a confidentiality  
22 agreement?

23 A I honestly don't remember. There were  
24 very frequently in those years at the request of the  
02:07:40 25 plaintiffs usually.

02:07:41 1 Q So your recollection is the plaintiffs in  
2 this case requested a confidentiality agreement?

3 A I don't remember.

4 Q Okay. How much time elapsed between the  
02:07:54 5 time you learned of the Cadigan allegation and  
6 removing Baker from ministry?

7 A Fairly quickly. I don't know the days but  
8 it happened very quickly. Excuse me. It says here,  
9 "Formally removed 14 days."

02:08:15 10 Q I'm looking at Exhibit 1. It says  
11 8-24-2000, "Report that Baker had performed two  
12 baptisms." Do you see that on Exhibit 1?

13 A Yes.

14 Q At that point, did you consider notifying  
02:08:29 15 the police?

16 A No.

17 Q You had already removed him from ministry  
18 and yet you learned he was performing ministry,  
19 right?

02:08:35 20 A Yes. And that's when we had to push for  
21 him to either petition for laicization or have him  
22 removed from the priesthood.

23 Q And -- well, did you -- did Dyer ever ask  
24 Baker if he had done this to the Cadigan?

02:09:01 25 A I have no idea.

02:09:02           1           Q     Did anybody -- did the SAAB Board ask  
                  2     Baker if he had done this?  
                  3           A     I don't know.  
                  4           Q     Did anybody ask him if he had done this to  
02:09:10           5     other children?  
                  6           A     I don't know.  
                  7           Q     Was this a voluntary laicization?  
                  8           A     Yes, he petitioned.  
                  9           Q     Did anybody sit down with him and try to  
02:09:21          10     get a list of victims to try and help other people  
                  11     he had hurt?  
                  12           A     At that point, I wouldn't -- I wouldn't  
                  13     have asked him because he was the consummate liar,  
                  14     deceiver and he would have said there weren't any  
02:09:38          15     more. You couldn't believe anything Michael Baker  
                  16     said. Nothing.  
                  17           Q     Were you concerned at that point there may  
                  18     be many victims between '86 and then?  
                  19           A     I was not because we hadn't heard of  
02:09:55          20     anything. There had never been any -- any people in  
                  21     parish, nobody had ever said there is anything  
                  22     suspicious about his special ministry.  
                  23           Q     But you knew from your experience at that  
                  24     point that it was common for victims not to report  
02:10:17          25     childhood sexual abuse, and this is 2000, right?

02:10:19 1 A It was increasingly common because more  
2 and more categories of reporters had been added up  
3 to that time and so it was much more widely known.

02:10:33 4 Q Cardinal, did you not report Baker, is the  
5 reason you didn't direct your staff or you report  
6 Baker to law enforcement because you were concerned  
7 about the scandal?

8 A No.

9 Q That never entered your mind?

02:10:42 10 A No.

11 Q Okay. Were you at all concerned that you  
12 might have some involvement with the criminal  
13 authorities or some criminal liability yourself if  
14 you had reported it?

02:10:54 15 A You asked both of those questions this  
16 morning and I said no.

17 Q I'm asking about 2000.

18 A No. No.

02:11:07 19 Q Okay. Did anybody at the Archdiocese or  
20 the SAAB Board or anybody suggest to you that given  
21 the gravity of the harm done here by Father Baker,  
22 that the police should be notified?

23 A Well, I believe fairly soon, the police  
24 were notified.

02:11:23 25 Q About a year and a half later?

02:11:25 1 A I don't remember the exact date.

2 Q Who notified the police?

3 A I don't know.

4 Q Did somebody from the Archdiocese notify  
02:11:33 5 the police?

6 A We had a -- I thought a very good working  
7 relationship with the Los Angeles Police Department  
8 special unit and increasingly would give them more  
9 and more names, and so much so that they said,

02:11:51 10 "Don't send any more names of dead priests. Don't  
11 send any more names of people who we can't find."  
12 And so we tried to be increasingly all inclusive in  
13 giving them names.

14 Q Are you telling me you didn't turn  
02:12:07 15 Michael Baker's name over because you didn't think they  
16 wanted it?

17 A No. I said the only opportunity I had was  
18 really 1986 or 1996.

19 Q What about 2000?

02:12:20 20 A The only -- the victims we had were  
21 adults.

22 (Judge Elias left the room.)

23 BY MR. MANLY:

24 Q So did you have child victims by the time  
02:12:27 25 you reported him to the police?

02:12:32 1 A I'm sorry?

2 Q You said eventually you reported him to  
3 the police?

4 MR. HENNIGAN: That's not what he said.

02:12:38 5 THE WITNESS: I said at some point we gave  
6 all of the names in our files to the police.

7 BY MR. MANLY:

8 Q Why did you do that?

9 A I don't remember.

02:12:50 10 Q Do you know Frank Keating?

11 A Who?

12 Q Governor Frank Keating.

13 A Frank --

14 Q Keating, the Former Governor of Oklahoma,  
02:12:59 15 chairman to the task force on sexual abuse.

16 A I know who you mean.

17 Q Did you ever hear from any source him  
18 describe your handling of the sexual abuse crisis in  
19 Los Angeles?

02:13:11 20 A I believe he said something on one  
21 occasion but I don't remember what.

22 Q Do you remember him comparing your  
23 handling of it to the mafia?

24 MR. HENNIGAN: You are not going to answer  
02:13:22 25 that question.

02:13:23

1 BY MR. MANLY:

2 Q Did you ever see from any -- did you ever  
3 see in the media, that Governor Keating compared  
4 your handling of the clergy abuse crises in Los  
02:13:35 5 Angeles to the mafia?

6 MR. HENNIGAN: We're not going to answer  
7 the question. If you keep up on it, we'll stop it.

8 MR. MANLY: Why?

9 MR. HENNIGAN: It's nonsense.

02:13:41

10 MR. MANLY: He was not the chairman of my  
11 committee. He was the chairman of yours.

12 MR. HENNIGAN: He's not the chairman of my  
13 committee.

02:13:49

14 MR. MANLY: Well, he appointed by the  
15 Bishops, he's the chairman of it and he's making a  
16 comment and I wanted to know if he talked to him  
17 about it.

18 MR. WOODS: The comment was not related to  
19 the Cardinal. Why don't you get the comment?

02:13:57

20 MR. MANLY: Sure.

21 MR. HENNIGAN: Don, stifle it.

22 MR. MANLY: We'll do just what your  
23 counsel suggests. Let's mark this as next in order.

02:14:11

24 (Exhibit 5 was marked by the court  
25 reporter.)

02:15:40

1 BY MR. MANLY:

2 Q Have you ever seen this article before,  
3 Eminence?

4 A I'm not sure if I saw this one or another

02:15:45

5 one.

6 Q You recall now the comments?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Okay. And paragraph three reads, "His  
9 comments drew immediate condemnation from the

02:16:08

10 Archbishop of Los Angeles, Cardinal Roger M. Mahony,  
11 as well as from members of Mr. Keating's own panel,  
12 the National Review Board.

13 The Board was appointed by the United  
14 States Conference of Catholic Bishops to monitor  
15 compliance with anti-abuse policies established a  
16 year ago by the Bishops."

02:16:21

17 Did you ever put pressure within the  
18 Bishops' Conference to get Keating to resign?

19 MR. HENNIGAN: What did the preamble have  
20 to do with the question?

02:16:34

21 MR. MANLY: You can answer.

22 MR. HENNIGAN: You can answer the question  
23 if it's separated from the preamble.

24 BY MR. MANLY:

02:16:41

25 Q Did you ever put pressure on other Bishops



02:16:44 1 or the panel to force Frank Keating to resign  
2 because of the comments he made about your handling  
3 of the sex abuse crisis in Los Angeles?

4 A I don't recall what he said about Los  
02:16:57 5 Angeles in particular.

6 Q Okay. Do you recall at any point him  
7 comparing your handling or the Archdiocese's  
8 handling of the crisis to La Cosa Nostra?

9 MR. HENNIGAN: You are not going to answer  
02:17:13 10 the question. It is not designed to legally  
11 discover admissible evidence. Nope.

12 MR. MANLY: Why not?

13 MR. HENNIGAN: John, do this in front of  
14 somebody who will appreciate it.

02:17:34 15 MR. MANLY: Mike, one of the things we've  
16 alleged is there was a conspiracy here. Okay. And  
17 when the head of the Bishops' Board makes a comment,  
18 rightly or wrongly, about the Cardinal's handling of  
19 it, I think that's fair game so, you know, I'd ask  
02:17:40 20 you to reconsider.

21 MR. HENNIGAN: No.

22 MR. MANLY: Okay. We'll just bring it up.

23 BY MR. MANLY:

24 Q Looking at the quote, "to resist grand  
02:17:52 25 jury subpoenas, to suppress the name of offending

02:17:55 1 clerics, to deny, to obfuscate, to explain away;  
2 that is the model of a criminal organization, not my  
3 church." Do you see that quote?

4 MR. HENNIGAN: We're not going to answer  
02:18:07 5 questions about that.

6 MR. MANLY: Why?

7 MR. HENNIGAN: Because you're just trying  
8 to inflame the situation, John. If there is  
9 something you want to know from the Cardinal that he  
02:18:11 10 knows that he can help you with, we are here to help  
11 you. We're not here to be verbally abused by you.

12 MR. MANLY: I am not verbally abusing  
13 anybody.

14 BY MR. MANLY:

02:18:20 15 Q So did you refuse at any point, Cardinal,  
16 to disclose Michael Baker's name or the names of  
17 other priests that you knew had molested kids to law  
18 enforcement?

19 A Not that I'm aware of.

02:18:34 20 Q So your testimony is at no point did you  
21 decline to give or delay giving law enforcement the  
22 names of molester priests?

23 MR. HENNIGAN: That's what he just  
24 testified to. You only get one question and one

02:18:45 25 answer.

02:18:46 1 MR. MANLY: You can answer.  
2 MR. HENNIGAN: No. He did. He answered.  
3 MR. FINALDI: It's a different question.  
4 MR. MANLY: It's a different question.  
02:18:55 5 MR. HENNIGAN: Not different enough.  
6 MR. MANLY: Okay. Well, I think your  
7 strategy at this point is to just not let him answer  
8 anything because it might be really bad.  
9 MR. HENNIGAN: No.  
02:19:03 10 MR. MANLY: So why don't we take a break  
11 and we'll get the judge back in and let her help us.  
12 Okay.  
13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off record at 2:19 p.m.  
14 (Off the record.)  
02:25:02 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Returning to record at  
16 2:25 p.m.  
17 MR. MANLY: The next exhibit in order will  
18 be the Cardinal's deposition from November 23rd,  
19 2004 and I've given a copy to Mr. Hennigan. If you  
02:25:20 20 would pass those along, I would appreciate it.  
21 MR. HENNIGAN: You are marking it as an  
22 Exhibit? What exhibit is this?  
23 THE COURT REPORTER: 6.  
24 (Exhibit 6 was marked by the Court  
02:25:36 25 Reporter.)

02:25:34 1 THE COURT: Is this the first depo in this  
2 case? I am assuming these exhibits are going to  
3 keep their numbers through all your depos.

4 MR. MANLY: No. We're way into depos in  
02:25:44 5 this case.

6 THE COURT: Way? Okay.

7 MR. HENNIGAN: Would have been nice.

8 THE COURT: It is so much better when they  
9 are numbered once and use that number in all the  
02:25:53 10 depos. It makes it very difficult at trial when you  
11 have all those -- okay.

12 MR. HENNIGAN: This is the deposition that  
13 you took?

14 MR. MANLY: Yeah. Can you turn to page  
02:26:04 15 124, Cardinal. Page 32 of the --

16 THE WITNESS: Which of these numbers is  
17 the page number?

18 MR. WOODS: I think he means page 32 here.

19 THE WITNESS: You mean the small 124 in  
02:26:27 20 the corner?

21 MR. MANLY: Correct.

22 MR. HENNIGAN: What's the --

23 MR. MANLY: Line 6.

24 THE COURT: 32.

02:26:44 25 MR. MANLY: It's November 23rd, 2004.

02:26:46 1 It says, "Do you think you should  
2 have -- if you found out, Eminence,  
3 that a priest had molested a child,  
4 and you believed it, you thought  
02:26:53 5 the right thing to do was call the  
6 cops prior to 1985?  
7 "Answer: That somebody should  
8 report this matter to the police,  
9 correct.  
02:27:00 10 "Question: You directed your staff  
11 and they understood, because you  
12 wanted to protect kids, that what  
13 they should do if that person  
14 molested a child is you do exactly  
02:27:09 15 what you did with Father [REDACTED],  
16 which is call the police, right?  
17 Answer: Right."  
18 Do you recall giving that testimony?  
19 A Yes.  
02:27:18 20 Q And was that your policy in Los Angeles?  
21 A Yes.  
22 Q Let me show you a document we'll mark as  
23 next in order.  
24 (Exhibit 7 was marked by the court  
02:27:43 25 reporter.)

02:27:44 1 MR. HENNIGAN: Are we done with the  
2 Keating stuff?  
3 MR. MANLY: No. We'll get back to it.  
4 BY MR. MANLY:  
02:27:55 5 Q Application for Sabbatical Time, it is  
6 Bates named CIVBAKE 000329. Do you see that,  
7 Cardinal?  
8 A Yes.  
9 Q What is that document, if you know?  
02:28:39 10 A This is an application for sabbatical  
11 time.  
12 Q Okay. And it appears to be dated  
13 September of '96; is that correct?  
14 A Let's see.  
02:29:06 15 Q Oh, he's applying for September of '96.  
16 It's dated October of '94 -- no. I'm not sure  
17 that's part of the document but -- in any event, do  
18 you recall that Baker was given -- put on a  
19 sabbatical in or around September of 1996, Cardinal?  
02:29:41 20 A Yes.  
21 Q Okay. And why was that?  
22 A We have a sabbatical policy and priests  
23 are able to request sabbatical time. It's usually  
24 four months. And these are submitted to the Vicar  
02:30:01 25 for Clergy and the Vicar for Clergy normally

02:30:05 1 approves them.

2 Q All right. And did this have anything to  
3 do with the misconduct or boundary violation or  
4 whatever you want to call it that occurred at

02:30:16 5 St. Columbkille?

6 MR. HENNIGAN: I don't know what the  
7 "this" is?

8 MR. MANLY: The application. The exhibit.

9 MR. HENNIGAN: The application is dated

02:30:24 10 '94.

11 BY MR. MANLY:

12 Q Well, he did go on sabbatical from 8-1-96  
13 to 12-31-96, correct, according to Exhibit 1?

14 MR. HENNIGAN: The application was in '94.

02:30:43 15 MR. MANLY: I understand.

16 MR. HENNIGAN: I don't know what the  
17 "this" is?

18 MR. MANLY: Let me clarify.

19 THE COURT: The question has been

02:30:55 20 withdrawn and a new question has been asked. Did he  
21 go on sabbatical from September to December '96, I  
22 think that was your question.

23 MR. MANLY: Correct.

24 THE WITNESS: I don't have that from

02:31:08 25 personal recollection but it says here on this form

02:31:11 1 that he was.

2 BY MR. MANLY:

3 Q Right below that it -- do you derive from  
4 this form that he was placed on sabbatical because  
02:31:18 5 of the allegation or is it just you can't tell?

6 A We don't consider sabbatical for these  
7 kind of purposes.

8 Q Did you notice he went to Seton Hall, in  
9 the application he asked to go to Seton Hall in  
02:31:37 10 paragraph 1?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Is there a treatment facility at Seton  
13 Hall that you are aware of?

14 A No. But Seton Hall offers every summer a  
02:31:44 15 four-week program for priests because during August,  
16 there are no students at the university.

17 Q Okay. Now, it says, "Sexual Abuse  
18 Advisory Board recommendations re Baker." Do you  
19 see that on Exhibit 1?

02:32:01 20 A Yes.

21 Q What were their recommendations, if you  
22 recall, Cardinal?

23 A I would have to review the document but it  
24 was basically reaffirming his -- recall that the  
02:32:17 25 Sexual Abuse Advisory Board had been informed by



02:32:23 1 Father Dyer and Father [REDACTED]; that the young man  
2 had denied any inappropriate activity of any kind  
3 and yet the Board further restricted what he was to  
4 do.

02:32:35 5 Q Okay. Now, if you see -- if you look at  
6 the Sabbatical Application, do you note he says he's  
7 going to travel through Guatemala and El Salvador?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Was he allowed to do this after the  
02:32:59 10 incident at St. Columbkille and given his history?

11 A Well, remember the incident at  
12 St. Columbkille was determined by our people at that  
13 time to have had nothing happen, so there was no need to  
14 impose anything more strict.

02:33:17 15 Q Did Monsignor [REDACTED] and Monsignor Dyer  
16 tell you they talked to the victim's mother?

17 A I don't remember.

18 Q What training did Monsignor [REDACTED] have in  
19 investigating childhood sexual abuse, if you know,  
02:33:45 20 in or around 19 -- in or around 2000 -- I'm sorry --  
21 in or around 1996?

22 A I am not aware of any.

23 Q What about Monsignor Dyer?

24 A No, not aware of any.

02:33:56 25 Q Aside from just simply interviewing the

02:33:58 1 boy, do you know of anything else they did to  
2 determine if Father Baker was actively molesting  
3 kids again?

4 A I don't know.

02:34:13 5 Q Have you ever met with the SAAB -- did you  
6 ever meet with the SAAB Board before it was  
7 reconstituted?

8 A Yes.

9 Q How many times?

02:34:23 10 A I don't remember.

11 Q Let me read to you some items from  
12 Monsignor Loomis' deposition taken in this case.

13 Have you had an opportunity to see  
14 that deposition, Cardinal?

02:34:58 15 A No.

16 MR. MANLY: Do you have a copy for him?

17 MR. FINALDI: Which one?

18 MR. MANLY: Loomis. We've only got one  
19 copy. Let me read it to you.

02:35:17 20 MR. WOODS: Page?

21 MR. MANLY: Page -- let me see. I was  
22 going to start at 129, but I think it starts earlier  
23 than that. There is so many objections, it's hard  
24 to find out where the testimony begins.

02:35:54 25 Okay. Here we go. 126, line 21:

02:35:59 1 "Have you ever discussed with the  
2 Cardinal whether or not the police  
3 or other law enforcement should  
4 have been called when Father Baker  
02:36:05 5 came in and brought the Cadigan  
6 letter to you?  
7 "Answer: By way of memo, I  
8 reported it to the Cardinal.  
9 Again, at the time the training  
02:36:13 10 that we had received is that  
11 adults -- it was my understanding  
12 both of these men were adults -- it  
13 was their call whether they would  
14 call the police."  
02:36:22 15 Did you report -- did you receive a  
16 memo regarding the Cadigan allegations from  
17 Monsignor Loomis?  
18 A I don't recall it.  
19 Q Question at page 127, line 10:  
02:36:41 20 "Has there ever been an effort to  
21 conceal the Baker allegation? Was  
22 there ever a time based on your  
23 knowledge as an officer of the  
24 Archdiocese that there was an  
02:36:49 25 effort to conceal the Baker

02:36:49 1 allegation from parishioners?  
2 "Answer: At the time the Cadigan  
3 allegation came in, I wanted to  
4 follow our regular policy and to  
02:37:00 5 make announcements in the parishes  
6 where Father Baker had been  
7 assigned and I was instructed that  
8 we were not going to that because  
9 the lawsuit was still under process  
02:37:09 10 of settlement. The second time was  
11 when we heard about the baptisms.  
12 I wanted to make announcements  
13 again. I was told again because  
14 the handwritten letter from  
02:37:19 15 Father Baker had come in asking for  
16 laicization, we were not going  
17 to do that. We were going to  
18 wait until after he was laicized.  
19 "Question: Who made those  
02:37:29 20 decisions?  
21 Answer: Cardinal Mahony."  
22 Is he testifying truthfully there?  
23 A I think so.  
24 Q So you decided you were not going to call  
02:37:47 25 the parishes until after Father Baker was laicized?

02:37:49 1 A Yes. And there is a reason for that.  
2 Q And then looking at page 129:  
3 "Question: Monsignor" -- line 5 --  
4 "Question: Monsignor, what  
02:38:07 5 training did you receive, if any,  
6 from Archdiocese personnel on  
7 Archdiocesan policy on how to  
8 respond to the allegations of  
9 sexual misconduct with minors by  
02:38:18 10 priests?  
11 "Answer: I studied the policies  
12 number one because they were  
13 written policies and Monsignor Dyer  
14 and I discussed them at  
02:38:25 15 considerable length. I had a  
16 discussion with Monsignor Dyer on  
17 which [REDACTED] sat in.  
18 Page 129, line 24:  
19 "I think you testified earlier the  
02:38:38 20 normal practice when there was an  
21 allegation that someone was  
22 suspended from ministry was to make  
23 an announcement at the parish?  
24 "Answer: That's correct.  
02:38:46 25 "And that wasn't done in the Baker

02:38:48

1

case?

2

Answer: That's correct.

3

"Did you ever find out why the

4

Cardinal decided to deviate from

02:38:54

5

the norm in the Baker case?

6

"Answer: The Cardinal never told

7

me why."

8

Is that truthful?

9

A No.

02:39:01

10

Q So you are saying Monsignor Loomis is

11

lying there?

12

A I'm saying he doesn't recall our

13

conversation.

14

Q What doesn't he recall?

02:39:12

15

A I told him at the time, I said, there's a

16

lawsuit with a confidentiality agreement underway

17

and that we don't want to do anything to interfere

18

with the settlement of this lawsuit.

19

And, secondly, that the earliest

02:39:33

20

knowledge we had of an actual -- of any victims was

21

not in a parish but it was back in 1986 with these

22

two illegal aliens. And, therefore, there was no

23

parish to go to to report.

24

Q So he understood that?

02:39:59

25

A I thought so.

02:40:00 1 Q Okay. And your testimony here is today,  
2 you specifically recall having a discussion with him  
3 about that?

4 A Yes, I do.

02:40:13 5 Q And so you told him why you were going to  
6 deviate in the Baker case?

7 A It really wasn't a deviation because we  
8 did not have a current case of a parish. The only  
9 thing we had until the -- was two young men -- the  
02:40:34 10 only thing we had was his admission to me of these  
11 two boys from Mexico.

12 Q Did you know Monsignor Loomis wanted to  
13 tell the parishes?

14 A Yes.

02:40:44 15 Q Did you know he was upset with you that  
16 you wouldn't?

17 A I don't recall him being upset because I  
18 told him, yes, we will be notifying the parishes but  
19 we have to let this lawsuit get settled or it may  
02:40:59 20 not get settled because there's a confidentiality  
21 agreement.

22 Q But the lawsuit wasn't settled when you  
23 were talking to Monsignor Loomis about this, right?

24 A I don't have that in front of me, so I  
02:41:10 25 don't know the dates and the context.

02:41:12 1 Q You told me earlier you weren't sure  
2 whether there was a confidentiality agreement in the  
3 lawsuit or not -- in the Settlement Agreement or  
4 not?

02:41:19 5 A What I said is there usually were in those  
6 years.

7 Q So the reason you didn't tell the parishes  
8 about Michael Baker molesting children is because  
9 you were worried about a confidentiality agreement?

02:41:31 10 A I was worried -- not worried. I wanted to  
11 get that lawsuit settled.

12 Q Why?

13 A For the sake of these men, these victims.

14 Q So you weren't worried about other victims  
02:41:43 15 coming forward, you were trying to help the boys?

16 A I told Monsignor Loomis and I'll tell you  
17 again, I had no verifiable report of Baker molesting  
18 any minor up to that point in a parish.

19 Q Sorry, Cardinal. Go ahead.

02:42:06 20 A I said none.

21 Q I thought you were continuing. I'm sorry.  
22 Let me read to you a little bit more  
23 from Monsignor Loomis' deposition, page 130. Let me  
24 start at line 6, because I think it puts it in  
02:42:19 25 context.



02:42:19 1 "Did you ever find out why the  
2 Cardinal decided to deviate from  
3 the norm in the Baker case?  
4 "Answer: The Cardinal never told  
02:42:27 5 me why.  
6 "Question: Did you ask?  
7 "Answer: No.  
8 "Question: Did you ever discuss  
9 that with any of your colleges?  
02:42:33 10 "Answer "Monsignor [REDACTED]. He knew  
11 I wanted to do it and I had been  
12 told that I was not to."  
13 Did you tell Monsignor Loomis either  
14 directly or through your staff that he was not to  
02:42:43 15 notify the parishes?  
16 A I told him -- again, because he had not  
17 been in a parish, in a regular assignment for over  
18 15 years and I said, "Given that, we're going to  
19 wait until these matters are settled."  
02:42:57 20 Q Did you tell Monsignor Loomis not to tell  
21 the parishes, Cardinal?  
22 A I don't recall ever telling anyone not to  
23 tell the parishes.  
24 Q Who told him not to, if you know?  
02:43:08 25 A I don't know.

02:43:08 1 Q So if he said says you told him not to  
2 tell the parishes either directly or indirectly,  
3 that's not true?

4 A That's not my recollection at all.

02:43:25 5 Q Is that you don't remember or he's not  
6 telling the truth?

7 A My recollection is we discussed it and I  
8 said we need to wait because these -- these were not  
9 reports recent of any parish in the Archdiocese.

02:43:34 10 Q Okay.

11 "Tell me about that conversation."

12 This is page 129, continuing at line 15.

13 "Answer: Basically that I was  
14 venting that I was upset.

02:43:45 15 "And what did he say?"

16 He being Monsignor [REDACTED]

17 "Answer: It was more of a personal  
18 conversation where he was trying to  
19 be helpful because I was so upset.

02:43:53 20 "Question: And why were you upset?"

21 "Answer: I was upset because I  
22 felt we should have made the  
23 announcements.

24 "Question: Why?"

02:44:00 25 "Answer: Because it was the right

02:44:01 1 thing to do. It was in our policy.  
2 It was clearly stated in the policy  
3 and I thought we should have  
4 followed it. "

02:44:08 5 Do you remember that being  
6 Monsignor Loomis' position?

7 A I can't recall or not.

8 Q Was it in the policy that the parishes  
9 should be notified?

02:44:23 10 A It was in the policy but that had to do  
11 with violations in the parish and we had no instance  
12 of a violation in a parish in those 15 years.

13 Q "Did you ever personally "-- I'm sorry.

14 Page 131, line 3:

02:44:42 15 "Did you ever personally develop an  
16 opinion --

17 And then he interrupts me and says,

18 "Answer: You asked something  
19 previously about a reason. Okay.

02:44:49 20 "Question: Yeah.

21 "Answer: And the reason is  
22 the first time I was told that  
23 settlement process was still  
24 underway as I told you so we  
02:44:57 25 were going to wait. And then

02:44:59 1 we heard about the baptisms  
2 and I wanted to do it then but  
3 that's when the handwritten  
4 letter from Father Baker

02:45:05 5 asking to be laicized came and  
6 I was instructed we were not  
7 going to do that until after  
8 the process was finished. Those  
9 are the reasons I was given."

02:45:12 10 Is that accurate?

11 MR. HENNIGAN: Here's the mischief of  
12 Mr. Manly reading a transcript that he hasn't provided  
13 to the rest of us because --

14 MR. MANLY: I would be happy to copy it  
02:45:20 15 right now.

16 MR. HENNIGAN: Had we had it, we would  
17 have read on when he was suggesting that  
18 Monsignor Loomis was lying, instead a page or two later  
19 he remembers the very conversation that the Cardinal has  
02:45:31 20 just recalled --

21 MR. MANLY: Okay. Are you done? I'm  
22 happy to make a copy.

23 MR. WOODS: I don't have the transcript in  
24 front of me. It is my recollection there never was  
02:45:45 25 a conversation. It was done by a memo.

02:45:47 1 MR. HENNIGAN: Don.

2 MR. WOODS: His portraying that there was  
3 a conversation is inaccurate.

4 MR. MANLY: Can I have the memo? You  
02:45:55 5 wouldn't give me the memo. You have objected to the  
6 memo. Let's have the memo.

7 THE COURT: Okay. I think the bottom line  
8 is we don't read from things without giving other  
9 people copies.

02:46:05 10 MR. MANLY: I agree. Can we make a copy  
11 of this real quick?

12 THE COURT: No.

13 MR. MANLY: You won't let me use the  
14 court's copy machine to make a quick copy?

02:46:14 15 THE COURT: The court has no money.

16 MR. MANLY: Go to Kinkos. We'll just  
17 continue.

18 THE COURT: We cannot copy a whole depo.  
19 We can't do that.

02:46:24 20 MR. MANLY: It's a condensed transcript.  
21 We'll go do it at Kinkos. It's fine.

22 THE COURT: I can't copy a whole  
23 transcript.

24 MR. MANLY: Okay.

02:46:34 25 THE COURT: There is photocopy machines

02:46:36 1 downstairs.

2 MR. MANLY: Let's move on to the next  
3 exhibit. We'll come back to the deposition.  
4 (Discussion out of the hearing of the  
02:46:44 5 court reporter.)

6 THE COURT: Did you all get a break?  
7 MR. STEIER: No --  
8 MR. MANLY: We took a break right before.  
9 Do you want to take a break? Are you all right?

02:46:44 10 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm okay right now.  
11 MR. HENNIGAN: I'd like to finish.  
12 MR. MANLY: We're not going to finish  
13 today. I've mean, I've got probably four hours  
14 left.

02:47:14 15 MR. HENNIGAN: This is a lot like a  
16 filibuster --  
17 MR. MANLY: You have taken my guys' depos  
18 for days.  
19 MR. HENNIGAN: Not me. I have taken one  
02:47:22 20 deposition in my career that lasted longer than a  
21 day.  
22 MR. MANLY: Your firm has.  
23 THE COURT: All right. Everybody settle  
24 down. I just thought you maybe needed a break but  
02:47:33 25 if everybody has had a break, that's wonderful and

02:47:36 1 just keep going.  
2 MR. WOODS: Do we have an exhibit number?  
3 THE COURT REPORTER: 8.  
4 (Exhibit 8 was marked by the court  
02:47:59 5 reporter.)  
6 BY MR. MANLY:  
7 Q Do you know what this document is,  
8 Cardinal?  
9 A Yes.  
02:48:02 10 Q What is it?  
11 A It's the decree returning Michael Baker to  
12 the laicise state.  
13 Q Okay. And who is it signed by?  
14 A Signed by Cardinal Medina Estevez --  
02:48:21 15 excuse me. It's not signed by anybody.  
16 Q It's stamped anyway.  
17 A No. There is no name stamped on it.  
18 Q Okay. What does this document mean in  
19 layman's terms, if you would?  
02:48:34 20 A This is the official notification that his  
21 petition for laicization has been granted and this  
22 document grants it.  
23 Q Okay. Let me show you the -- so in other  
24 words, he's voluntarily defrocked, right?  
02:48:48 25 A Yes.

02:48:49 1 Q Let me show you the next in order which  
2 will be Exhibit 9.

3 (Exhibit 9 was marked by the court  
4 reporter.)

02:49:03 5 BY MR. MANLY:

6 Q Before I get to that, did you ever enter  
7 into an agreement or anybody on your behalf enter  
8 into an agreement with Michael Baker where you  
9 agreed you would not notify the police if he agreed  
02:49:16 10 to reduce himself or voluntarily request  
11 laicization?

12 A No. In fact, at this time, I think he  
13 actually had an attorney.

14 Q Do you -- you know, in the --  
02:49:32 15 Michael Baker paid several hundred thousand dollars of  
16 the Cadigan settlement, is that your recollection?

17 A Yes.

18 Q How did he get all that money, do you  
19 know?

02:49:42 20 A My personal opinion, the consummate  
21 con-artist, lied to everybody, manipulated  
22 everybody, including psychologists, psychiatrists,  
23 priests, Bishops, rich widows, everyone.

24 Q But he didn't manipulate the police  
02:50:10 25 because they didn't know, right?



02:50:11 1 A Well, the only instance we know of him and  
2 the police was in 1988.

3 Q What happened there?

4 A Apparently, his therapist reported him to  
02:50:16 5 the police -- we don't know which department -- and  
6 the police went to the house and the mother was very  
7 upset and did not pursue it.

8 Q His therapist reported it?

9 A A therapist of a victim, apparently.

02:50:34 10 Q When did you find that out?

11 A Sometime in the early 1990's, but it's  
12 specified specifically in a letter from a  
13 [REDACTED] to Jeff Anderson in 2002.

14 Q Did you ever meet [REDACTED]?

02:50:53 15 A I don't think so.

16 Q Do you know when he was molested by  
17 Michael Baker?

18 A No.

19 Q Looking at Exhibit 9, again, this was in  
02:51:07 20 Baker's file. It says, [REDACTED]  
21 "This is Father Mike Baker's key to the door at  
22 Immaculate Conception end of the Chancery building."  
23 He says it will not work and needs a replacement for  
24 it. Could you handle, since [REDACTED] is away?

02:51:27 25 Replacement should come back to me and I will get it

02:51:27 1 to Father Baker. Many thanks. [REDACTED]"  
2 Do you know what that refers to?  
3 A No, I don't.  
4 Q How many chancery buildings were in the  
02:51:34 5 Archdiocese in 1992?  
6 A There were a number of buildings in the  
7 complex, several. Maybe four, five.  
8 Q Okay. Was he residing there?  
9 A No.  
02:51:45 10 Q Okay. Do you know why?  
11 A There were no residences there.  
12 Q Do you know why he needed a key to that?  
13 A No, except that when he was working for  
14 the Vicar for the Clergy taking care of retired  
02:52:02 15 priests, he would come and see the Vicar about  
16 priests who were retired.  
17 Q Let me show you a document we'll mark as  
18 next in order which is Exhibit 10. This is a letter  
19 from you to a victim's family dated December 5,  
02:52:42 20 1992. Here is an extra one.  
21 (Exhibit 10 was marked by the court  
22 reporter.)  
23 BY MR. MANLY:  
24 Q Have you read it?  
02:53:48 25 A Yes.

02:53:49 1 Q Did you author this document?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And does this refer to meetings you had  
4 with victims in November of 1992?

02:53:57 5 A That's my early reference, correct.

6 Q I would like to direct your attention to  
7 the fifth paragraph down.

8 A Yes.

9 Q It says, "Please be assured that I and my  
02:54:11 10 chief collaborators take every single allegation of  
11 any misconduct seriously, that we move swiftly to  
12 protect all possible victims and their families, and  
13 that we remove every priest guilty of misconduct.

14 No priest is allowed to minister in the Archdiocese  
02:54:29 15 of Los Angeles unless we are morally certain he will  
16 be able to minister properly."

17 Does that statement accurately  
18 reflect the policy of the Archdiocese of Los Angeles  
19 in December of 1992?

02:54:43 20 A Yes.

21 Q When you wrote and when you say, "protect  
22 all possible victims," what does that refer to,  
23 known and unknown?

24 A I would suspect, yes.

02:55:07 25 Q When you learned in 2000 that Baker had

02:55:13 1 molested other children, what steps, if any, did the  
2 Archdiocese take to identify any additional victims?

3 A Well, after he was laicized we in fact did  
4 notify, we had announcements made in all those  
02:55:35 5 parishes. And the best of my recollection is that  
6 we got no new victims from that -- those notices.

7 Q How do you know that?

8 A No one came forward.

9 Q And who made the announcements?

02:55:52 10 A I don't remember. There was a regular  
11 form that we read at the masses or at the end of  
12 mass and exactly who read it, the pastor or someone  
13 else, I don't remember because it was all the  
14 parishes prior to 1996.

02:56:14 15 Q Have you ever had a meeting with the  
16 District Attorney's Office, you personally  
17 specifically on the Baker case?

18 A Not that I am aware of.

19 Q Have you ever discussed the Baker case  
02:56:24 20 personally with anybody at the District Attorney's  
21 Office?

22 A No.

23 Q Have you ever testified in front of a  
24 grand jury, Eminence?

02:56:34 25 A No.

02:56:37 1 Q Are you currently under subpoena with any  
2 grand jury?

3 A No.

4 Q Have you ever expressed concern at any  
02:56:45 5 point to any member of your staff about testifying  
6 in front of a grand jury?

7 A No.

8 Q Was your handling of the Baker case and  
9 the delay in reporting it in any way influenced by a  
02:57:04 10 concern involving you having to testify in a civil  
11 or criminal matter?

12 A No. You asked that this morning. The  
13 answer was no then and it is no again.

14 Q Okay. Who interviewed Father Baker -- let  
02:57:27 15 me go back a little bit.

16 When the [REDACTED] allegation came  
17 up, how did you learn about that?

18 A Which allegation?

19 Q I'm sorry. Strike that. Cadigan  
02:57:37 20 allegation came up in 2000, how did you find out  
21 about it?

22 A Is Cadigan the name --

23 Q Cadigan's the lawyer in Tuscon.

24 A I believe that it was the Archdiocesan  
02:58:00 25 attorney who got a copy of this and maybe a

02:58:01 1 complaint, I'm not sure what it was, but that was  
2 probably the person who told me.

3 Q And at that point, did you direct your  
4 staff to do anything?

02:58:10 5 A Well, that's when we first found out about  
6 Baker's misconduct and that's when all of those  
7 things that occurred on that page started happening,  
8 taking out of ministry, SAAB, laicization, all of  
9 those things.

02:58:26 10 Q Cardinal, when you're talking about the  
11 Baker case, you have said many times and you said in  
12 the People of God Report that you have made mistakes  
13 with regard to Baker. Do you remember that?

14 A Yes.

02:58:37 15 Q What mistakes do you acknowledge you made?

16 A Basically, I believed him. I believed him  
17 all along that he was making progress, that he was  
18 going to the therapist. There were no new offenses.

19 And I just believed that he -- he  
02:58:52 20 really intended to reform. And we found out later  
21 that he lived a huge lie all those years.

22 Q Do you think it was a mistake not to call  
23 the police in 1986?

24 A Call the police when?

02:59:10 25 Q In 1986.

02:59:11 1 A Well, I told you this morning a few times,  
2 that we had no victims to call the police.

3 Q I'm just asking you if you think it was a  
4 mistake when you say you acknowledge mistakes, do  
02:59:22 5 you think that was a mistake?

6 A No.

7 Q Do you think it was a mistake not to call  
8 the police or notify Child Protective Services in  
9 1996 when he was found in the rectory with my  
02:59:35 10 client?

11 A No, because he allegedly said nothing  
12 happened.

13 Q Do you think it was a mistake not to call  
14 the police immediately in 2000, when the Cadigan  
02:59:50 15 complaint first came in?

16 A As I testified two or three times today,  
17 they were adults, they were upset with him, their  
18 attorney was upset with him. They were in the state  
19 of Arizona and it was their responsibility.

03:00:06 20 Q Has the police ever told you they don't  
21 want complaints from adults?

22 A That's not the way the law's written.

23 Q I'm asking a different question. Have the  
24 police or the District Attorney ever told you that  
03:00:20 25 they don't want complaints from adults?

03:00:22 1 A I don't recall.

2 Q When you say that's not the way the law's  
3 written, are you referring to the reporting statute?

4 A Yes.

03:00:28 5 Q Okay. Obviously, if somebody has -- do  
6 you remember how old the boys were, the Cadigan  
7 boys?

8 A It seems to me mid [REDACTED], around there.

9 Q Were you in any way by not calling the  
03:00:51 10 police trying to protect Father Baker?

11 A Absolutely not.

12 Q Were you in any way trying to protect the  
13 Archdiocese by not calling the police?

14 A No.

03:01:05 15 Q Were you in any way trying to conceal from  
16 other possible victims the fact that Baker was a  
17 molester in order to avoid claims?

18 A No.

19 Q Did that enter your mind?

03:01:12 20 A No.

21 Q The possibility of civil litigation and  
22 civil liability for Father Baker ever enter your  
23 mind?

24 A No.

03:01:23 25 Q Have you ever heard from the police on --



03:01:26 1 or the D.A. on what they think about -- have you  
2 ever read or heard from the D.A.'s office -- let me  
3 ask it a different way.

4 The police never told you they wanted  
03:01:43 5 you to do their investigations for them, right?

6 A No.

7 Q Let's go back to the Keating allegation or  
8 the Keating allegation -- the Keating statement.  
9 What exhibit was that?

03:02:09 10 (Several parties have a discussion.)

11 MR. HENNIGAN: This is a news report where  
12 Governor Keating alleged -- analogized certain  
13 catholic bishops as equivalent of the La Cosa Nostra  
14 and he was either resigned or dismissed from his job  
03:02:41 15 as the head of the National Review Board.

16 And the questions before, when you  
17 weren't here, were quoting from that and asking  
18 Cardinal to deny basically that he's similar to La  
19 Cosa Nostra.

03:03:03 20 MR. MANLY: I didn't do that.

21 THE COURT: We'll wait and see what the  
22 questions are.

23 BY MR. MANLY:

24 Q Cardinal, you recall that -- do you recall  
03:03:19 25 Governor Keating comparing you and other Bishops

03:03:22 1 handling of allegations of sexual abuse in or around  
2 2000, 2003 -- between 2002 and 2004 to La Cosa  
3 Nostra?

4 MR. HENNIGAN: I'm not going to let him  
03:03:35 5 answer that question.

6 THE COURT: Sustained. I don't know how  
7 that will lead to any discoverable evidence either.

8 MR. MANLY: Well, it's a commentary on --  
9 wait a minute, Judge. Let me at least make my  
03:03:48 10 record. Mr. Keating and the others were tasked by  
11 the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops to advise  
12 the Bishops and to investigate this.

13 He comes -- he gets to the Los  
14 Angeles situation. He's a former federal prosecutor  
03:04:05 15 and makes a comment and then the media reports are  
16 that the Cardinal --

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. MANLY: Wait. The Cardinal puts  
19 pressure on his fellow Bishops to get Keating to  
03:04:13 20 resign.

21 THE COURT: Do you have a question to ask  
22 though? That question is objectionable. Do you  
23 have a question that you can ask that might elicit  
24 testimony?

25

03:04:29

1 BY MR. MANLY:

2 Q Did you put pressure on your fellow  
3 Bishops to get Keating to resign because he was  
4 critical of the way you handled the scandal?

03:04:32

5 MR. HENNIGAN: This question was asked and  
6 answered.

7 MR. MANLY: I don't think it was.

8 THE COURT: Was this question asked?

9 THE WITNESS: It was and I answered no.

03:04:37

10 BY MR. MANLY:

11 Q Did you make any phone calls or contact  
12 anybody to try and get Keating to resign after he  
13 had criticized you publically?

03:04:47

14 MR. HENNIGAN: First of all, there's no  
15 evidence that he criticized the Cardinal publically.  
16 His comments --

17 (Laughter.)

18 THE COURT: We're not laughing.

19 MR. MANLY: Sorry. I mean, I read the

03:04:55

20 article in the Times, but we'll just do it that way.

21 MR. WOODS: Is this the article?

22 MR. MANLY: No. That's in the New York  
23 Times.

24 THE COURT: All right. The question needs  
25 to be rephrased, so ask the question again.

03:05:03

03:05:09

1 BY MR. MANLY:

2 Q Did you at any time contact anybody within  
3 the hierarchy of the church in an attempt to get  
4 Governor Keating to resign?

03:05:22

5 A No, never.

6 THE COURT: I assume you mean, just for  
7 clarification, from this church panel, not to resign  
8 as governor?

9 MR. MANLY: He wasn't the governor --

03:05:32

10 THE COURT: Or whatever job he had.

11 MR. MANLY: Right. That's what I meant.

12 Okay.

13 BY MR. MANLY:

03:05:57

14 Q Let's go to the next exhibit which is 11,  
15 a copy of an LA Times article. Let me know after  
16 you read it, Cardinal.

17 MR. FINALDI: Can you hand me that extra  
18 copy over there?

03:08:33

19 (Exhibit 11 was marked by the court  
20 reporter.)

21 BY MR. MANLY:

22 Q Have you ever seen this before?

23 A I don't remember but most likely I did.

03:08:41

24 Q Okay. Looking at paragraph 3 of that  
25 letter -- I'm sorry. It says, "Mahony's spokesman,

03:08:47 1 Tod Tamberg, said the Cardinal stands by his  
2 February 21 pastoral statement, agreeing to abide by  
3 a California law mandating that priests, counselors,  
4 nurses and teachers report sexual abuse of minors to  
03:09:02 5 police. 'He's a mandated reporter as well.'"

6 Do you agree with that statement as  
7 of the date of that article?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Did you, had you -- as of March 5, 2002,  
03:09:10 10 had the Archdiocese given the names to the police?

11 MR. HENNIGAN: I'm sorry. Too vague.

12 MR. MANLY: Given the names of alleged  
13 abusive priests to the police.

14 THE WITNESS: Let's see, this is  
03:09:30 15 March 2002. I'm not sure that we had subsequently  
16 given names that the police already had.

17 The police already had names of  
18 people who reported themselves or who had mandated  
19 reporters like teachers or psychologists reported.

03:09:53 20 Whether we reported in addition, I simply don't  
21 remember.

22 BY MR. MANLY:

23 Q The paragraph -- fifth paragraph says,  
24 "But as of Monday, the Los Angeles Police

03:10:03 25 Department, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's

03:10:06 1 Department, as well as sheriff's departments of in  
2 Ventura and Santa Barbara counties, said they had  
3 received no such referrals from the Los Angeles  
4 Archdiocese, which covers these three counties."

03:10:17 5 Do you see that?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Do you believe that's accurate?

8 A I believe they refer to the Archdiocese as  
9 our office, not schools and where people would  
10 normally be reporting.

03:10:25 11 Q Did you delay in giving the police the  
12 priests' names, Cardinal, in 2002?

13 A No.

14 Q So as soon as you had the names, you gave  
15 them to the cops; is that right?

03:10:40 16 A Well, each case we handled depending what  
17 department, for example, it was the county, was it  
18 an incorporated city, wherever it was, we tried to  
19 get the information to them.

03:10:53 20 Q Did the District Attorney ask you for the  
21 names of abusive priests in 2002?

22 A Not that I remember.

23 Q Okay. Looking at the second page of the  
24 three on Exhibit 11, there is a paragraph that

03:11:17 25 begins, "Overall." Do you see that paragraph,

03:11:19 1 Eminence?

2 A Yes.

3 Q "Overall, there were about 50 cases of  
4 sexual misbehavior reported to the Archdiocese in  
03:11:27 5 the last five years, diocesan attorney  
6 [REDACTED] of Los Angeles wrote in a letter to  
7 an expert witness in a case late last year. Not all of  
8 these cases were believed to involve children."  
9 Is that accurate?

03:11:39 10 A Yes.

11 Q How many of those cases were reported to  
12 the police by the Archdiocese?

13 A I don't know because many of these would  
14 be religious orders. I think reported to the  
03:11:53 15 Archdiocese is probably not the right phrasing.  
16 The Archdiocese was informed. For  
17 example, all the religious orders handled all their  
18 own reporting themselves.

19 Q Can you think of one of those cases that  
03:12:05 20 was reported to the police?  
21 A All the Franciscan cases.

22 Q Okay. Well, they were reported in 1992?  
23 A I'm not sure when.

24 Q So your recollection is that as of 2002,  
03:12:23 25 from '97 until 2002, there were 50 cases of abuse,

03:12:27 1 is that the way you read that?

2 MR. WOODS: Is that how he reads? It is  
3 that the question?

4 MR. MANLY: Not necessarily. Involving  
03:12:37 5 children. I want to make sure I understand it  
6 because it is your Archdiocese, not mine.

7 MR. HENNIGAN: Counsel, it's the LA Times.

8 THE WITNESS: I'm reading the newspaper  
9 reporter. This is not a document --

03:12:54 10 BY MR. MANLY:

11 Q Cardinal, I read it to you and you said it  
12 was accurate. So I'm asking you, were there about  
13 50 cases, is that your recollection?

14 A To my recollection, yes.

03:12:58 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One minute.

16 MR. MANLY: Okay. Let's take a break and  
17 change the tape.

18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off record at 3:13 p.m.  
19 This concludes tape 2.

03:22:44 20 (Off the record.)

21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Return to record  
22 3:24 p.m. This begins tape 3.

23 BY MR. MANLY:

24 Q Cardinal, do you remember a priest by the

03:24:08 25 name of Father [REDACTED], a [REDACTED]



03:24:16

1 [REDACTED] ?

2 A Do you remember what years that would have  
3 been?

03:24:22

4 Q He would have been on the SAAB -- he was  
5 on the SAAB Board and worked for the Archdiocese I  
6 believe in the mid 90's, '94 to '98.

7 A Yes. Yes, I do now.

8 [REDACTED]

9 [REDACTED]

03:24:39

10 MR. GASPARI: Lacks foundation.

11 THE COURT: Are you asking whether he  
12 heard it without saying it's true?

13 BY MR. MANLY:

14 Q Let me represent to you that

03:24:44

15 Monsignor Loomis told me he was terminated [REDACTED]

16 [REDACTED] so I'm wondering if you have any  
17 recollection.

18 THE COURT: The last question of whether  
19 [REDACTED] does lack foundation.

03:24:56

20 BY MR. MANLY:

21 Q Was he terminated, if you know, for

22 [REDACTED] ?

23 A My recollection of Father [REDACTED] is that

24 [REDACTED]

03:25:12

25 [REDACTED]

03:25:16

1

2

3

4

03:25:29

5

He was helping us in addition to his duties.

6

Q Okay.

7

A So that those be cutback.

8

Q And how did he come to the Archdiocese, if you know?

9

03:25:42

10

A I have no idea.

11

Q Was he involved in the aftercare plan or supervision of sex offender priests within the Archdiocese?

12

13

A Seems to me he

14

03:26:00

15

and I don't remember whether he gave advice or didn't give advice to Vicar for the Clergy. I simply don't recall.

16

17

18

It may have been involving religious priests who were having problems but I don't recall specifically seeing him very often.

19

03:26:22

20

21

Q Let me show you a document that is next in order. It's an Article from America, which is a Jesuit publication which I'm sure you are familiar with and it's by Father April of 2002.

22

23

24

03:27:08

25

I'm only going to ask you, Eminence,