```
23
24
25
           CONFIDENTIAL
STATE OF CONNECTICUT: SUPERIOR COURT
JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FAIRFIELD
                                   AT BRIDGEPORT
        GEORGE L. ROSADO, JR.,
                        Plaintiffs.
                                                 : No. CV 93 0302072S
                -versus-
10
        BRIDGEPORT ROMAN CATHOLIC
        DIOCESAN CORP., ET AL.,
Defendants.
12
14
15
                                       VOLUME II
16
17
18
                Continued videotaped deposition of BISHOP EDWARD EDAN, taken pursuant to Notice, at the law offices of Durant, Nichols, Houston, Mitchell & Sheahan, 1057 Broad Street, Bridgeport, Connecticut, before James Martone, L.S.R., a Notary Public in and for the State of Connecticut, on September 23, 1999, at 10:09 a.m.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0002
        APPEARANCES:
For the Plaintiffs:
TREMONT & SHELDON, P.C.
                         64 Lyon Terrace
                         Bridgeport, Connecticut 06604

By: CINDY L. ROBINSON, ESQ.

JASON TREMONT, ESQ.
                                 DOUGLAS MAHONEY, ESQ.
                        For James Krug and Jamie Belleville:
                         HENRY LYONS, III, ESQ.
                         34 Sherman Court
Fairfield, Connecticut 06430
 9
                        For the Defendants, Bridgeport Roman
Catholic Diocesan Corp., the
Estate of Bishop Walter Curtis, and
the Witness, Bishop Edward Egan:
HALLORAN & SAGE
One Goodwin Square
10
                         225 Asylum Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06103
By: JOSEPH T. SWEENEY, ESQ.
13
14
                        - and -
DANAHER, TEDFORD, LAGNESE & NEAL, P.C.
16
                         21 Oak Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06106
17
                         By: CHRISTOPHER J. SOCHACKI, ESQ.
18
                         For the Diocese of Bridgeport:
19
                         MICHAEL T. DOLAN, ESQ.
                        The Catholic Center
238 Jewett Avenue
Bridgeport, Connecticut 06606
20
        ALSO
                         PRESENT:
                         Monsignor Laurence Bronkiewicz
                         Kevin Aspinwall,
Hamilton Communications
24
25
0003
                                    WITNESS INDEX
                                                                                    PAGE
                Continued Direct Examination
                        by Ms. Robinson
                                    EXHIBIT INDEX
        PLAINTIFFS'
                                     DESCRIPTION
                                                                                    PAGE
                        Letter dated October 17, 1997
with Attached Diocese of
                        Bridgeport Policy Relating to
Sexual Misconduct
10
11
        Ŧ
                         Letter dated September 22, 1999
                                                                                       76
13
        NOTE: Exhibits retained by counsel.
15
                              MARKED QUESTION INDEX
16
                                    Page
                                                  Line
17
                                      63
71
72
                                                   4
22
18
20
```

OL: . L.W.D.L.N. 20, 1999

Page 28 (1) she's saying is she's -(2) Q. Untortunately, I don't have to give an (3) answer right now, but we'll clarify it for you. A. Well, then -(4) (5) (6) (7) (8) MR. SWEENEY: The document is being marked as an exhibit. She's going to present it to you and then you can tell her (8) whether or not you recognize it.
(9) THE WITNESS: It's dated and I'll
(10) know then, all right.
(11) MR. SWEENEY: Yes. (12) (Plaintiffs' Exhibit H marked (13) for identification.) (14) Q. Bishop, please take a moment to look (15) through Plaintiffs' Exhibit H to see whether that (16) might have been a document you reviewed prior to (17) today's deposition. (18) A. I don't see a date on that document. (19) Do you? (20) MR. SWEENEY: Well, I think (21) this -(22) Q. Well, in other words — let me just get (23) an answer. Let me just request the witness to (24) take a look at the document and it may be that, (25) after looking at it, you can't tell. But would (1) you be good enough, Bishop, to take a look at (2) that document, what has been marked as (3) Plaintiffs' Exhibit H, to see whether it might have been something you reviewed. A. Here it is, which was issued on December 14th, 1990. This is a document which I (8) days, two weeks or more.
(9) **Q.** Okay.
(10) MS. ROBINSON: And Mr. Sweeney, do (11) you happen to have another copy of that (12) because -(13) MR. SWEENEY: I don't think I have (14) it convenient. (15) MS. ROBINSON: Okay. (16) MR. SWEENEY: You may have a

(7) reviewed, perhaps in passing, over the last 10 (17) duplicate that you can use. I don't think I have (18) that particular document. (19) MS. ROBINSON: Okay. I think I'm (20) going to have to make a copy of this just quickly (21) because I'm going to be reviewing it with Bishop

(22) Egan. (23) MR. SWEENEY: All right. Can we (24) go off the record? (25) (Discussion off the record.) Page 30 (1) (Recess: 10:37 to 10:42 a.m.) (2) BY MS. ROBINSON: Q. Bishop Egan, Plaintiffs' Exhibit H, the first page is a letter from your attorney, Mr. Sweeney, enclosing an 11-page sexual misconduct policy. Looking at the first page of that document, it's entitled "Diocese of (6) Bridgeport Policy Relating to Sexual Misconduct." (8) (9) My question to you, Bishop Egan,(10) is, did you have anything to do with formulating (11) this policy that's been marked as Plaintiffs' (12) Exhibit H? (14) Q. Okay. And when did you commence the (15) formulation of this particular policy? When in (16) time? (17) A. 1989. I believe early in 1989. (18) Q. And would you be good enough to tell us (19) what process you went through in terms of (20) formulating the policy that we have before us? (21) A. I spoke to Monsignor Bronklewicz. I (22) spoke to our lawyers. I informed myself of what (23) was done in other areas about this matter. I (24) can't be more specific than that. And I asked (25) that a policy be developed and that I be kept

Page 31 (1) aware of its development along the way. Q. Did you assign the project to someone else in your office? A. The project was assigned to Monsignor Laurence Bronkiewicz and our diocesan attorneys. Q. Okay. And how did you go about informing yourself of what was done in other (6) (7) (8) areas? (9) A. I believe that I may have asked friends (10) of mine at other dioceses or simply from other (11) dioceses to send us copies of theirs, and I (12) believe I shared these with Monsignor (13) Bronkiewicz. (14) Q. Do you recall which of your friends you (15) spoke with on this matter? (16) A. I believe it might have been - I (17) prefer not to guess. I don't recall exactly (18) which ones. (19) Q. So you don't have any sense of that, as (20) you sit here today? (21) A. A lot of years have passed. I don't (22) want to take a guess. (23) Q. Okay. And do you recall from which (24) diocese you received policies? (25) A. My recollection today is that we probably received policies from several dioceses in the process of preparing this document. Q. And do you have any specific recollection as to which diocese you received (5) such documents from? (6) A. I do not. Q. Okay. Do you know how many policies you received? (9) A. I wou (10) several. A. I wouldn't go much further than saying (11) Q. Do you know whether there is a file (12) regarding the generation of the Bridgeport (13) Diocese sexual misconduct policy? (14) A. I would expect there might be but I am (16) Q. Do you know whether other diocesan (17) policies from other diocese might be in such a (18) file? (15) not 100 percent sure. (19) A. I have not looked at such a file. It (20) would not surprise me if they were. (21) Q. If there was such a file, where would (22) that file be? (23) A. It would be in the office of the (24) aforementioned Monsignor Bronkiewicz. (25) Q. Okay. And is it my understanding from (1) your testimony on October 7th, that this policy that we have before us, Plaintiffs' Exhibit H, basically committed to writing what the oral policy already was at the Diocese of Bridgeport? A. Is the question is that your understanding? (5) (6) Q. No. Is that your understanding? A. The policy – the excellent policy that was in place beforehand was substantially this. (10) However, I believe that there are elements of (11) this that perhaps are more specific. (12) Q. Do you know what elements of (13) Plaintiffs' Exhibit H are more specific than the (14) oral policy of the Diocese of Bridgeport? (15) MR. SWEENEY: You mean the former (16) oral? (17) MS. ROBINSON: Former oral (18) policy.

(19) A. In an oral policy, for instance, one (20) would not have a page full of citations from (21) civil law. This is that to which I refer. You (22) would have not have citations in oral policy. (23) notice that there's a page and a half or almost a (24) full page quoting canon law and so forth. But, (25) substantially, the excellent policy that was in

Page 40

(1) policy includes -

A. You're on what paragraph?

Q. 2.3, Bishop.

A. All right. Thank you.

Q. Sure. The definitional phrase for personnel includes "all persons, clergy,"

religious and laity, who are employed by, are under personal contract with, or volunteer in any (9) of the entities encompassed by the diocese."

(10) My question to you, Bishop, is,

(11) does personnel include the priests of the

(12) Bridgeport Diocese?

(13) A. The words clergy, include priests and

(14) deacons.

(15) Q. So that the word personnel does include (16) priests?

(17) A. The same as volunteers includes (18) priests – include – are included in personnel.

(19) Q. So that personnel includes both (20) volunteers and priests?

(21) A. Correct.

(22) Q. Okay. So that if we take out the (23) parenthetical \*clergy, religious and laity,\* (24) personnel would include priests who are employed

(25) by the diocese, correct?

A. The vast majority of priests are not employed by the diocese. There are a few employed by the diocese.

MR. SWEENEY: Just a "yes" or "no"

(3)

answer, Bishop. (5)

(6) Q. Okay.

A. What was the question?

Q. Well, that's okay. I think you answered the question.

(10) A. Well, no, I'd like to hear the question (11) again. (12) MR. SWEENEY: Again, can we have

(13) the question – (14) MS. ROBINSON: Can we have the

(15) question?

(16) MR. SWEENEY: Yes.

(17) (Question read.)

(18) A. Yes.

19) Q. And you had indicated that the vast

(20) majority of priests are not employed by the

(21) diocese; and I would like to know what you mean

(23) A. They're employed by the parishes, but I (24) see now here, as I read again, personnel includes (25) "all persons who are employed by, are under

personal contract with, or volunteer in any of

the entities encompassed by the diocese," so I

believe the parishes would be included in this

(4)

(5) Q. Did your attorney just point that out(6) to you?

(7) A. He did.

(8) Q. Okay. And --

(9) A. He pointed out that I should read it.

(10) Q. Okay. And would I be correct that, in (11) fact, the oral policy also encompassed the fact

(12) that personnel would include all priests employed

(13) by the diocese as well?

(14) A. All priests employed by the diocese.(15) Yes.

(16) Q. And going down to the definitional(17) phrase for 2.4, reasonable cause, it indicates,(18) "means a prudent estimation based on trustworthy

(19) information that an incident occurred or has been (20) perceived as having occurred."
(21) Would you be good enough to tell

(22) me what prudent estimation means? A. Prudent estimation means that one would

consider a particular event or statement and

(25) wisely and carefully consider how serious it may

Page 43

(1) or may not be.

Q. And when you're discussing how serious it may or may not be, when it comes to, for

(4) instance, clergy sexual abuse of children, what

would your considerations be?

A. Who made the accusation. Against whom it was made. Whether there was any circumstance

(8) to support the accusation in matters of this

(10) Q. Could you tell me what is meant by (11) trustworthy information?

(12) A. Information which is worthy of being (13) taken as serious and something that is to be

(14) considered with attention.

(15) Q. Okay. Now, looking on to —
(16) A. What page are you on now?
(17) Q. I'm about to tell you, Bishop.
(18) Looking on to page three,
(19) paragraph 5.0 indicates background and reference
(20) checks. And it indicates that "beginning

(21) September 1st of 1990, the following prospective

(22) and current personnel of the diocese shall

(23) complete an informational questionnaire," and it

(24) goes on to state that "in supervisory capacities, (25) or in particularly sensitive areas, such as those

(1) who work with or around children, the very

elderly or physically or mentally infirm.

My question to you -

A. All right, hold a second.

(5) Q. Sure

(6) A. I'm going to read the -

Q. Sure.

(8) **A.** – (9) 5.0? A. - document. We're going to talk about

(10) Q. Correct. Take your time. (11) (Pause in the proceedings.)

(12) A. Very well.

(13) Q. And my question to you, Bishop, is, was (14) this particular part of the policy, the written

(15) policy, was it also part of the oral policy?

(16) A. To my knowledge, it was not. (17) Q. So would this be one of the new (18) elements that you had talked about earlier?

(19) A. It would be a new element.

(20) Q. And would I be correct in stating that (21) any priest incardinated after September 1st, 1990 (22) would have to fill out one of these informational

(23) questionnaires?

(24) A. From reading this, yes. And I believe (25) that is the case.

Page 45

Q. Have you ever seen an informational questionnaire that's been filled out by a priest that has been incardinated since September 1st of

(4) 1990?

(5)

A. I – I am not sure.
Q. Do you know what the actual questionnaire looks like?

A. I saw it when it was presented.

(9) Q. Okay. Would that questionnaire, drain (10) questionnaire or the actual questionnaire, do you

(11) think that that might be something that would be (12) in the file that's being maintained by Monsignor

(13) Bronkiewicz?

(14) A. By Monsignor Bronkiewicz and by the(15) heads of the different departments where this is

(16) done.

(17) Q. And what departments would those be? (18) A. For instance, Catholic Charities, the (19) Diocese of Bridgeport, the Catholic schools (20) office. And so forth.

(21) Q. Can you think of anything other than (22) the three that you mentioned, Catholic Charities,

(23) the diocese and schools office?

(24) A. Let's see. Catholic health care. (25) Q. Any other department that you can think