<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date Allegation Red</th>
<th>Date of Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9/4/1997</td>
<td>7/1-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/29/02</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/11/01+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/18/03</td>
<td>2/1-85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|        | 10/20/1             |
|        | 18/14/6             |
|        | 4/28/01             |
|        | 4/26/04             |
|        | 7/21/05             |
|        | 9/6/05              |
|        | 1/23/06             |

5/15/06
Ms. Leah McCluskey  
Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review  
P.O. Box 1979  
Chicago, IL 60690-1979  

Dear Ms. McCluskey,

I am writing to you in order to formalize my handwritten note of April 17, 2007 in response to your letter of April 16, 2007, regarding the matter of Reverend Norbert Maday, a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago who is currently incarcerated in Wisconsin, and the allegations of sexual misconduct that were made against him. This matter was discussed in a Review for Cause by the Professional Responsibility Review Board at their meeting of April 14, 2007.

I accept the Review Board's determination that the matter requires further action to determine whether Father Maday engaged in acts of sexual misconduct with a minor. Since he is already incarcerated for sexual abuse of minors, he is already prohibited from exercising any public ministry.

Since this is a matter which must be referred to the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith for further instruction as to how to proceed, I will refer the matter to that Congregation and ask for their advice.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.  
Archbishop of Chicago

cc: Very Reverend John F. Canary, Vicar General  
Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate  
Rev. Patrick R. Laggess, Judicial Vicar/Vicar for Canonical Services  
Reverend Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests  
Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Minister  
Mr. Jimmy Lago, Chancellor  
Mr. John C. O'Malley, Director of Legal Services
April 17, 2007

Mr. James G. Birnbaum
Attorney at Law
300 N. Second Street, Suite 300
P.O. Box 308
La Crosse, Wisconsin 54602-0308

Dear Mr. Birnbaum,

I am writing in response to your April 13, 2007 voice mail message concerning your client Rev. Norbert Maday and the matters of allegations of the sexual abuse of minors made against him and scheduled to be presented to the independent Review Board on April 14, 2007.

At the April 14th Review Board meeting, I did verbally convey your April 13th request for a delay in their consideration of the Review for Cause matters against Fr. Maday. I also informed the Board of your statement that you were in the process of preparing responses to the three separate allegations made by [redacted]. The Board made its decision to continue with the Review for Cause matters of [redacted] allegations against Fr. Maday, and made its respective recommendations to Cardinal George.

Mr. Birnbaum, I do ask that you send Fr. Maday's responses to the allegations of the three aforementioned individuals as you had indicated. I would like to receive them no later than May 1, 2007 so that they may be shared with the appropriate parties within the Archdiocese of Chicago, including the Review Board.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at [312] 751-5205.

Sincerely,

Leah McCluskey
Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Cc Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests
      John O'Malley, Legal Services
April 10, 2007

Dear Norb,

I hope that you had as good an Easter as your present circumstances allow.

I am writing to bring you up to date on decisions that have recently been taken concerning your future status. As I shared with you in a recent letter and as you acknowledged in your letter of March 2, 2007 it has become apparent that we could not provide housing for you at the Retreat House. In recent months a great deal of discussion has been taking place around the question of the possibility of providing any appropriate residence for you after your release. Regretfully, the conclusion we have reached is that we are not able to provide any appropriate residence for you.

This decision was not taken lightly or precipitously. Several long and intense discussions among a number of officials, including the very highest, have taken place. In these discussions we have examined the present environment of hostility and suspicion surrounding our efforts to deal with this serious problem. Frankly, the discussions have also focused, with growing concern, on your apparent lack of acceptance of the reality and seriousness of the crimes of which you have been convicted. The result of these deliberations is a conclusion that the Diocese would be unable to appropriately monitor you and therefore is unable to provide you with a residence after your release.

Because of these and other considerations, the Cardinal has decided that he will seek your dismissal from the clerical state, that is, he will seek your involuntary laicization. It is his intent to expeditiously forward the appropriate documents to Rome for processing. He expects that his petition will be granted.
Towards the end of May or the beginning of June I will come to Stanley to meet with you and discuss the contents of an exit package which will provide a modest pension for your post incarceration life. I will provide copies of this letter to your canonical and civil advocates and suggest that you consult with them concerning an appropriate exit agreement.

I am sure that the decision contained in this letter is very disappointing to you. I wish it could have been different. I did look for reasonable alternatives. But in the totality of the circumstances of this case there does not appear to be an alternative to this decision.

Feel free to contact me with your reaction and concerns. I will share your information with the Cardinal. I will continue to pray for you and ask that you pray for me.

Sincerely,

Edward D. Grace

CC James G. Bimbaum, Attorney at Law

Lawrence G. Price, Canonical Advocate
MEMORANDUM

To: File – PFR - 29

From: Leah McCluskey, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Re: Maday, Rev. Norbert [Withdrawn/Incarcerated]

Date: March 27, 2007

After initiating a phone call on March 26, 2007 to John O’Malley, Director of Legal Services, I received a return phone call the same day from him and James Serritella concerning the matter of allegation of sexual abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday.

Based upon the information provided to the Review Board at the March 17, 2007 meeting, the Board recommended that a background check through the “Clerk of the Court” in Cook, Lake, and surrounding collar counties be done on This recommendation was based upon an e-mail that I received from a questioning the truth of allegation [see file].

Cc Ralph Bonaccorsi, Office of Assistance Ministry
Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests
John O’Malley, Legal Services
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the email sent by the mother of Victim BP to Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, on February 7, 2007, regarding her son's allegation of abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday. In the email, the mother of Victim BP expressed anger toward Fr. Maday for abusing her son and frustration that, at the time, Fr. Maday remained a priest.
2/15/07

There are three allegations against H RGB.

- We have asked James Burnham to prevent him to work for the response.

- Today Laura confirmed she had sent the allegations to James Burnham.
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim BQ's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey on June 21, 2006, formalizing his allegation of abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday. The alleged abuse consisted of Fr. Maday providing Victim BQ with drugs and alcohol, in addition to several incidents of fondling between 1975 and 1977, when Victim BQ was between the fourth and sixth grades. The alleged abuse occurred at the home of a friend of Fr. Maday's and during local and out of state trips with the cleric and other boys where he would have to share a bed with Maday. According to Victim BQ, Fr. Maday would also have Victim BQ sit on his lap in Fr. Maday's car while Fr. Maday had an erection. Victim BQ also reported that Fr. Maday gave him drugs and alcohol, including nitrous oxide, and that Fr. Maday showed him pornography.
MEMORANDUM

To: File -- PFR-29
From: Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator
Re: Maday, Rev. Norbert [Incarcerated/Withdrawn]
Date: September 5, 2006

PRA met with [redacted] on August 23, 2006 so that he could formalize his allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert Maday. During the August 23rd meeting, [redacted] provided the names of the following individuals who were minors and present in Fr. Maday's car when alleged sexual abuse by the cleric had taken place:

[Redacted]

When asked by PRA, [redacted] stated that the aforementioned individuals were classmates of his from [redacted] and were present when alleged abuse by Fr. Maday took place. [redacted] did not affirm or deny that Fr. Maday abused any of the aforementioned boys. However, [redacted] did state that each of the boys “took turns” on Fr. Maday’s lap when the cleric would allow them to “drive.” [redacted] stated that these were the only names “...off the top of his head...” of boys whom he knew were present in Fr. Maday’s car when he was abused.

Also during the August 23rd meeting with [redacted], he spoke of alleged abuse that took place by Fr. Maday in an indoor swimming pool at a hotel. It is not clear if any/all of the aforementioned boys were in the swimming pool with Fr. Maday.

Please note that the spellings of all of the aforementioned names are not correct.

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
Ralph Bonaccorsi, Office of Assistance Ministry
TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
      Rev. Vince Costello, Vicar for Priests
      Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
      Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
      Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility
      John O'Malley, Legal Services
      Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
      Patricia Zacharias, Office of Professional Responsibility

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant
      Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: May 11, 2006

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Rev. Norbert (Incarcerated) 
    Third Party Allegation

Attached is a copy of a new allegation received by this office on 5/9/06. We are opening a file and Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator will begin the Review Process by attempting to arrange an interview with [REDACTED]. Please advise this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Rev. Norbert/ [REDACTED]

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

Cc: Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
    Very Rev. John Canary, Vicar General
    Rev. Dennis Lyle, Rector, Mundelein Seminary
    Very Rev. James Presta, Vicar, St. Joseph Seminary
MEMO

To: File
From: Ed Grace
Re: Norb Maday
Date: 9/30/06

On 9/29/06 I returned a phone call to Ms. Brigit Broadway at 312-492-3770.

Ms Broadway is a DCFS worker.

She was interested in information about Norb in relation to some of the more recent allegations against him. She seemed satisfied when told Norb was in jail and was not expected out for a year.
MEMORANDUM

To: File

From: Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator

Re: Maday, Rev. Norbert [Incarcerated/Withdrawn]

Date: September 28, 2006

PRA received a phone call on September 27, 2006 from Brigitte Broadway, caseworker with the Department and Children and Family Services [DCFS] in regards to the DCFS investigation of allegations of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert Maday.

Ms. Broadway clarified that she was specifically calling in regards to the allegation of sexual misconduct against Fr. Maday. When asked, PRA confirmed for Ms. Broadway that Fr. Maday is currently incarcerated at Stanley Correctional Institution. PRA also confirmed for Ms. Broadway that she and Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests did travel to Stanley Correctional Institution to meet with Fr. Maday in October 2004.

Ms. Broadway informed PRA that she contacted Stanley Correctional Institution via phone and confirmed that Fr. Maday was scheduled for release in October 2007. PRA stated that was the understanding of the diocese, but that also the state of Wisconsin was attempting to keep Fr. Maday incarcerated past the October 2007 release date. When asked, PRA agreed to inform Ms. Broadway of any information received from Stanley Correctional Institution regarding an extended release date.

When asked, PRA informed Ms. Broadway that the allegation was not read to Fr. Maday in October 2004, as he had not provided details of the alleged abuse to the diocese until June 2006.

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
    Ralph Bonaccorsi, Office of Assistance Ministry
Memorandum

To: File – PFR-29

From: Patricia Zacharias, Professional Responsibility Assistant Administrator

Re: Maday, Rev. Norbert (Incarcerated)

Date: August 23, 2006

PRAA did a file review regarding the above case. The last contact for this case was between PRA and Jessica Arbour who is with the office of Attorney Jeff Anderson.

Ms. Arbour responded to an email from PRA on July 25, 2005. Ms. Arbour stated she was going to check the status of the case and let PRA know about scheduling a meeting to formalize allegation against Fr. Maday.

As this was the last contact, PRAA is making this case inactive.

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
Ralph Bonaccorsi, Office of Assistance Ministry
Dear Leah,

Received your letter & allegations
made by a [REDACTED] regarding her
deceased son.

I read the material with both deep
sympathy for the family & anger that an unfair
issue in the same manner for an unfortunate
situation.

I am not a football in my life, I did
want the family & several on their death pool.

Yes I think I did take him with
so Fr. Marty's Place B in 1985
were always
together. At the time, they & other club in a bomb house
at night & I stayed in a Saturday building. Do sure
they had the experience. Fr. Marty's devotional as well
in town to a lake house called a had room
feeling & golfing. He joined the KU in every occurrence

Fr. Marty joined me on occasion. This was a very
sufficient game overall. It was a very enjoyable
experience or disturbed about the time. The two
moment or illegal was never done.
The only other time I really hung with was when he came in the pool with me other than that my contact was nonexistent there were reunions in the park that I felt close to one man a friend of someone close family) Therefore my connection wasn't strong.

I've been accused before about another individual who wanted to cheer his life pattern come back again to the individual of freedom as an adult to the warning. It has the same flavor as that blaming popular when things don't go their way. I know it may sound harsh when one has taken his life but I commit myself to the truth that I know and deal with matters is wrong to encourage taking away his opportunity sending him gay.

I don't know what more to say except that all going for the family here really every thing turned this way they did but God is merciful in command to him. May he seek no peace among his men find peace through God and forgive forgiveness of all that I contributed to awful decision that God is yours sincerely

[Signature]
MEMO

To: Laura Neri-Palomino
From: Sr. Pat Cummings
Re: Request for Information
Date: June 7, 2006

In response to your request of June 2, 2006 for any information this office has regarding [ REDACTED ], I am enclosing the following:

1) Memo from Jim Serritella dated Sept. 21, 1998 naming [ REDACTED ] as mentioned as a potential victim of Norbert Mayday.


3) Information gathered from our 800 number phone call from [ REDACTED ] on 11/17/93.

This is all the information regarding [ REDACTED ] that we have in our files.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
Rev. Vince Costello, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility
John O'Malley, Legal Services
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
Patricia Zacharias, Office of Professional Responsibility

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant
Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: June 2, 2006

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Rev. Norbert (Incarcerated)

A phone allegation was received by [redacted] on 11/17/93.

It has come to our attention that this matter is not a Vicar for Priests case as believed when [redacted] initially called this office.

After reviewing the file it is clear that is a sexual misconduct of a minor case.

Please advise this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Rev. Norbert [redacted].

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachments

Cc: Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Very Rev. John Canary, Vicar General
Rev. Dennis Lyle, Rector, Mundelein Seminary
Very Rev. James Presta, Vicar, St. Joseph Seminary
May 10, 2006

James G. Birnbaum
Attorney at Law
Post Office Box 308
LaCrosse, WI 54602-0308

Dear Mr. Birnbaum,

Enclosed please find a copy of the letter I sent to Norb Maday today.

As regards suits against Maday: within the past two weeks Jeff Anderson filed suit against the Diocese and Maday on behalf of three claimants whose claims were first presented to the Diocese in the past year or two. If you need more particulars I will be happy to provide them.

Thank you for your help in this matter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Rev. Edward D. Grace,
Vicar for Priests
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
   Rev. Vince Costello, Vicar for Priests
   Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
   Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
   Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility
   John O'Malley, Legal Services
   Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
   Patricia Zacharias, Office of Professional Responsibility

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant
      Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: January 23, 2006

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Rev. Norbert (Incarcerated)

Attached is a copy of a new allegation received by this office on 1/23/06. We are
opening a file and Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator will begin the
Review Process by attempting to arrange an interview with [REDACTED]. Please advise this
office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Rev. Norbert.

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent
to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the
investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

cc: Bishop-Elect George Rassas, Vicar General
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Steve Sidlowski, Professional Fitness Review Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago, of Victim BQ's statement, given to Mr. Sidlowski's assistant, Rita Mongan, on November 17, 1993, regarding Rev. Norbert Maday. Victim BQ related to Ms. Mongan that he went on many weekend out-of-state trips with Fr. Maday in approximately 1979 through 1983 while he was in fourth grade through eighth grade. According to Victim BQ, Fr. Maday provided him and other children with alcohol and drugs, including nitrous oxide, and showed him pornography. Victim BQ reported that he was never sexually abused by Fr. Maday, but he observed Fr. Maday touch other children in an inappropriate way.
To: File
From: Ed Grace
Re: Norb Maday
Date: 1/11/06

From Margason re: Maday

Residence: Recon
   Father Bert Miller, OFM
   636-285-1733
   636-274-1733

Located:
   Ditmer, MO (about 30 miles south of St. Louis)

St. Louis:
   BMI gave the numbers
   Secluded
   "Tight lid"

Bert good at keeping media off the grounds, although media are aware
To: James Birnbaum - AHN Pamela

From: Rev Edward Grace

Fax Number: 608-785-2797

Date: October 4, 2005

Number of pages including cover sheet: 4

MESSAGE:

__URGENT __FOR YOUR REVIEW ___REPLY ASAP ___COMMENT

If you have difficulty reading the hand written sheet, I will have it transcribed.

Thank you for your help in this matter. Please call at your convenience.

Edward Grace
TO: Maday, Norbert

DOC Number 278632

Housing Unit Social Worker Ziehlke

In preparation for your forthcoming parole consideration please fill out this form as completely as possible using a black ink pen. This will provide the Parole Commission with release planning information, and with other requested information, which will be taken into consideration when you are reviewed by them. You must complete this form and return it to the Social Worker/Records Office as soon as possible but no later than ASAP.

If you have difficulty in completing the form and need assistance, please indicate this on the form and return it to the Social Worker/Records Office.

(PLEASE PRINT ALL INFORMATION)

NAME OF CITY TO BE RELEASED TO

MUNDELEIN, ILLINOIS

EMPLOYMENT (include name, address of employer, the type of work you will look for and/ or the type of work you are qualified for.)

ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO
155 N SUPERIOR
CHICAGO, IL 60611

RETIRED, MAYBE PART TIME

CLERICAL WORK, QUALIFIED FOR

SPECIALIZED WORK

HOUSING (include name, address, telephone number and relationship of person or persons with whom you will live.)

Koenig Hall
RT 176 - P.O. BOX 455
(Clergy Housing House)
MUNDELEIN, IL 60060 (847-566-6000)

FINANCIAL STATUS (include information on all savings and sources of assistance when released as well as all debts, court obligations etc.)

NO DEBTS, HAVE CD'S & CHECKING ACCT

PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS OR MEDICAL PROBLEMS

POST- PROSTATE CANCER PROBLEMS, CHRONIC BACK PROBLEM, DEGENERATIVE DISC

DIVERTICULITIS OF COLON, GOUT, HIGH CHOLESTEROL, HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE, PERNONAL INJURY, HIP PROSTHESIS

MARITAL STATUS [ ] Single [ ] Married [ ] Divorced [ ] Separated (include name and address of spouse or ex-spouse, number of children and support and alimony obligations.)

(Please complete other side)
**Offender Name**: MAYDAY, NORBERT  
**Doc Number**: 278632  
**Institution**: SCI  
**Agent Area Number**: 41112  
**Date Action Taken**: 04-07-05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended Action Taken</th>
<th>New Fed</th>
<th>Wives</th>
<th>Recommended Eligibility Date</th>
<th>Parole Commission Chairperson Date Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D12</td>
<td>06-08-06</td>
<td>10-28-07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommended Action Taken**: NEW PED MR/ES  
**Recommended Eligibility Date**: 06-08-06  
**Parole Commission Chairperson Date Approved**: 10-28-07

If you are recommended for a parole grant, the time frame within which you shall be released, as established by the Chairperson of the Parole Commission, shall be reflected in the grant.

**Time**
- [ ] Has served sufficient time so that release would not depreciate the seriousness of the offense
- [X] Not served sufficient time

**Comments**: YOU HAVE SERVED ABOUT 11 YRS AND YOU MUST SERVE MORE TIME ON YOUR SENTENCES OF 2 TERMS 10 YRS CS & 10 YRS CC FOR 2ND DEG SEX ASSLT(3 CTS) INVOLVING SEXUAL CONTACT AS A PRIEST WITH A 13 AND A 14 YR OLD BOY, WHICH YOU CONTINUE TO DENY.

**Institution Conduct**
- [ ] Has been satisfactory
- [ ] Marred by multiple minor reports of misconduct
- [X] Has been unsatisfactory noting major misconduct

**Comments**: NO CR'S SINCE 8/03

**Participation in Recommended Program(s)**
- [ ] Satisfactory
- [X] Unsatisfactory

**Comments**: YOU HAVE PARTICIPATED IN DENIES PROGRAM BUT YOU REMAIN IN NEED OF S0-4. YOU INDICATE YOU HAVE WRITTEN RCI REGARDING THE BEACON PROGRAM

**Parole Plan**
- [X] Workable, but will need Agent's verification
- [ ] Vague - will need further development

**Comments**: PLAN PRESENTED AT THE INTERVIEW.

**Risk to the Community**
- [X] Unreasonable risk
- [ ] No unreasonable risk

**Comments**: RELEASE AT THIS TIME WOULD POSE AN UNREASONABLE RISK. THE VIOLATION OF TRUST REPRESENTED BY THESE CONVICTIONS IS TREMENDOUS AND YOU HAVE NOT COMPLETED RECOMMENDED OFFENSE-RELATED TREATMENT.

**Recommended Conditions 1 Or Comments**

YOU ARE AWARE THESE OFFENSES FALL UNDER THE 980 STATUTES. YOU HAVE A CS 5 YR PROBATION TERM.

**Requests**
- [ ] Pre-parole investigation
- [ ] Interstate Compact
- [ ] Offense description
- [ ] Clinical Reports from Clinical Service
- [ ] No-action/review by Parole Commission Chairperson
- [ ] Other

**Signature of Parole Commissioner**

**There is no administrative appeal of this decision.**

**Distribution**: Original - Institution; Copy - PC/CRU; Copy - Offender; Copy - Agent; Copy - Ledgerkeeper; Copy - PRC; Copy - Field Supervisor when PPI is Requested

AOC 000837
I've been in prison 11 yrs. thru July. In the institution, I held the job of tutor, library helper, chapel helper, unit cleaning, unit cleaning, unit cleaning, unit cleaning. I participated in multiple club study groups, self-help, weekend seminars, workCharts, and got into the highest writing consistently on my job evaluations. Awards for participating in multiple study groups. I assisted in writing letters of support and writing letters of support for myself. I assisted in writing letters of support for myself. I assisted in writing letters of support for myself. I assisted in writing letters of support for myself. My main corrected writer was my best friend, Rob Deane. I was with the Deane family. My writing list consists of some of my friends willing to help me when I get released.

I've taken and completed a Denair program in basic writing. I have some business relationship with a business program. It was suggested at my last PARC I give a try for one guarantees that I be accepted. I do intend to take a program on the outside when I get out. I prefer to a pre-probation program. I see myself as little or no danger to society.

It should be noted that this time that I continue to assist that Mr. Paulus, was a convicted felon who diagonosed the DA's office with cancer. I was also involved in one of his ventures. At one seed, Mr. Paulus had his lawyer help with my case. I do not believe the lawyer had enough getting the Supreme Court of Wisconsin to request that Mr. Paulus was describing a situations that existed an unfair trial bill and letting our side win with the recession with pernicious prejudice. We were suppose to present two books while he could had the advantage of general evidence. This was that the type of the evidence of conform tactics that produced a conviction on a non-examined issue that has to have happened in orchard at the time of the. Somebody really good and general.

IGNATURE: [Signature]

DATE SIGNED: 7-7-05

STRICTION: Original - SS file; Copy - CRU; Copy - Agent of Record
**TRANSMISSION-REPORT**

**TIME** : OCT 04 '05 14:34  
**TEL NUMBER** : 3126424933  
**NAME** : VICAR OF PRIESTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBR</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>DURATION</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>MODE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>OCT 04</td>
<td>14:31</td>
<td>02/08</td>
<td>04 6087652797</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ed.

Call Jim Burnham. 608-785-2740

To the best of my knowledge, the lawyers were:

1) Ralph Komic - present address
   177 La Salle - 312-263-4422

Memo from 7/16/94 suggests a new lawyer

2) Not sure who is the Raley's Herald

3) Letter from Feb. 2002 suggests dropping Raley

4) Request for Personnel Records 3/11/01
   Can't find any correspondence from Jan.

Call Dan McComes 773-522-3052
MEMORANDUM

To: File - PFR-29
From: Review Board Meeting
Re: Mayday, Norbert (Incarcerated/Withdrawn)
Date: February 12, 2005

A summary of the conversation from the Professional Responsibility Review Board Meeting on February 12, 2005:

- Due to time constraints, the allegation made by [Redacted] against Rev. Norbert Maday will be presented to the Review Board for Initial Reviews at the meeting scheduled for March 19, 2005.
MEMORANDUM

To: File -- PFR-29
From: Review Board Meeting
Re: Maday, Norbert (Incarcerated/Withdrawn)
Date: February 12, 2005

A summary of the conversation from the Professional Responsibility Review Board Meeting on February 12, 2005:

The Review Board conducted an Initial Review regarding the allegation made by [Redacted]. The claim is as follows: Fr. Maday pinned [Redacted] down on the ground and exposed himself. Prior to pinning [Redacted] on the ground, Fr. Maday was tickling and hugging him.

In a 8-0 vote, in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter warrants additional investigation. The Board also requested that PRA complete the following tasks:

- That PRA [Redacted] who [Redacted] is/was.
- That PRA ask [Redacted] if [Redacted] is/was.
- That PRA speak with St. Bede’s principal Mr. Richard Guerin to determine if Fr. Maday was associated with scouting through St. Bede’s around the time of the alleged abuse [summer 1974].
Dear Vicar,

Greetings & Well Good
in the Name of Jesus!

First of all, thanks to you & Leah for making the journey to Stanley's being gracious during the interview. I must admit I was disturbed by the vilification contained in the reports that were a real distortion. I'm willing to take responsibility & be accountable for what I've done in the past; that's wrong but regarding the cases you presented I have a clear conscience since I did nothing immoral or illegal with regard to them whose names you brought up.

Yesterday in a class here I brought up the possibility of getting onto a Program that is 2 yrs & not 3 yrs. The teacher told me that my chances were slim to none since I got refused the designated program. I will write Mr. Boyle to ascertain whether or not the system can refer me by setting up what I consider unfeasible conditions (ie admitting to acts I have long renounced). Hopefully some good intentions will be reached that will make my stay here more meaningful. Again, thanks for your concern.

Peace
W. L. S.
10/4/04

Memo to Kat
Re: Not Monday
From: Ed

- I called Don Sunday evening concerning the old allegations against Mr. X.

- Don indicated that because of the new allegations that have come in, it will not be necessary to find the old allegations to return before the Feast of Christ the King.

- The old allegations become superfluous documentation to the new allegations which have come in recently enough to not require submission to the Dept. of Truth (CDT) by 2 PM today.

- Also, Don indicated CDT has shown willingness to confer by phone when deleting claims has been necessary for some time.

Ed
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the email sent by the mother of Victim BP to Rev. Joseph Kruszynski on September 6, 2005, regarding Rev. Norbert Maday. In the email, the mother of Victim BP alleges that her son was abused by Rev. Maday. She alleges that Fr. Maday came to her home for dinner and to swim. She wanted Fr. Maday to know how her son had been impacted by the alleged abuse.
July 22, 2005

Ms. Leah McCluskey  
Office of Professional Responsibility  
676 N. St. Clair St.  
Chicago, IL 60611

Dear Ms. McCluskey,

I am writing to you in order to formalize my handwritten note of July 19, 2005 in response to your letter of July 18, 2005, regarding the matter of Reverend Norbert Maday, a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago who is currently incarcerated in Wisconsin, and the allegations of sexual misconduct that were made against him by [redacted]. This matter was discussed in a Review for Cause by the Professional Responsibility Review Board at their meeting of July 16, 2005.

I accept the Review Board’s determination that the matter requires further action on my part. I agree that he should continue to be prohibited from exercising public ministry and that his monitoring protocols should remain as stated.

Since this is a matter which must be referred to the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith for further instruction as to how to proceed, I will refer the matter to that Congregation and ask for their assistance.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

[Signature]

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago

Ecclesiastical Notary

cc:  
Reverend George J. Rassas, Vicar General  
Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate  
Rev. Patrick R. Lages, Judicial Vicar/Vicar for Canonical Services  
Reverend Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests  
Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistant Minister  
Mr. Jimmy Lago, Chancellor  
Mr. John C. O’Malley, Director of Legal Services
July 22, 2005

Ms. Leah McCluskey
Office of Professional Responsibility
676 N. St. Clair St.
Chicago, IL 60611

Dear Ms. McCluskey,

I am writing to you in order to formalize my handwritten note of July 19, 2005 in response to your letter of July 18, 2005, regarding the matter of Reverend Norbert Maday, a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago who is currently incarcerated in Wisconsin, and the allegations of sexual misconduct that were made against him by [redacted]. This matter was discussed in a Review for Cause by the Professional Responsibility Review Board at their meeting of July 16, 2005.

I accept the Review Board’s determination that the matter requires further action on my part. I agree that he should continue to be prohibited from exercising public ministry and that his monitoring protocols should remain as stated.

Since this is a matter which must be referred to the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith for further instruction as to how to proceed, I will refer the matter to that Congregation and ask for their assistance.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago

Rev. Richard Scuderi
Ecclesiastical Notary

cc: Reverend George J. Rassas, Vicar General
Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate
Rev. Patrick R. Lagges, Judicial Vicar/Vicar for Canonical Services
Reverend Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Minister
Mr. Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
Mr. John C. O'Malley, Director of Legal Services
July 22, 2005

Ms. Leah McCluskey
Office of Professional Responsibility
676 N. St. Clair St.
Chicago, IL 60611

Dear Ms. McCluskey,

I am writing to you in order to formalize my handwritten note of July 19, 2005 in response to your letter of July 18, 2005, regarding the matter of Reverend Norbert Maday, a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago who is currently incarcerated in Wisconsin, and the allegations of sexual misconduct that were made against him by [redacted]. This matter was discussed in a Review for Cause by the Professional Responsibility Review Board at their meeting of July 16, 2005.

I accept the Review Board's determination that the matter requires further action on my part. I agree that he should continue to be prohibited from exercising public ministry and that his monitoring protocols should remain as stated.

Since this is a matter which must be referred to the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith for further instruction as to how to proceed, I will refer the matter to that Congregation and ask for their assistance.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago

Rev. Richard Suardi
Ecclesiastical Notary

cc: Reverend George J. Rassas, Vicar General
    Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate
    Rev. Patrick R. Lagges, Judicial Vicar/Vicar for Canonical Services
    Reverend Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
    Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Minister
    Mr. Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
    Mr. John C. O’Malley, Director of Legal Services
July 22, 2005

Ms. Leah McCluskey  
Office of Professional Responsibility  
676 N. St. Clair St.  
Chicago, IL 60611

Dear Ms. McCluskey,

I am writing to you in order to formalize my handwritten note of July 19, 2005 in response to your letter of July 18, 2005, regarding the matter of Reverend Norbert Maday, a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago who is currently incarcerated in Wisconsin, and the allegations of sexual misconduct that were made against him. This matter was discussed in a Review for Cause by the Professional Responsibility Review Board at their meeting of July 16, 2005.

I accept the Review Board's determination that the matter requires further action on my part. I agree that he should continue to be prohibited from exercising public ministry and that his monitoring protocols should remain as stated.

Since this is a matter which must be referred to the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith for further instruction as to how to proceed, I will refer the matter to that Congregation and ask for their assistance.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.  
Archbishop of Chicago

Ecclesiastical Notary

cc: Reverend George J. Rassas, Vicar General  
Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate  
Rev. Patrick R. Lagges, Judicial Vicar/Vicar for Canonical Services  
Reverend Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests  
Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Minister  
Mr. Jimmy Lago, Chancellor  
Mr. John C. O’Malley, Director of Legal Services
July 25, 2005

C/o Mr. Jeff Anderson
E-1000 First National Bank Bldg
332 Minnesota St.
St. Paul, MN 55101

Dear [name]

Please be advised that the Professional Responsibility Review Board met on July 16, 2005 and conducted a Review for Cause regarding your allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert J. Maday pursuant to Article §1104.9 of the Review Process for Continuation of Ministry.

At a Review for Cause, the Review Board determines, based on the information that has been gathered and made available to it, whether there is reasonable cause to suspect that the accused priest engaged in the sexual misconduct of a minor. If the Board determines that there is reason to suspect that sexual misconduct of a minor has occurred and Cardinal George accepts the recommendation, additional action will be taken to restrict the accused’s ministry.

In the Review for Cause of this matter on July 16th, the Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. The Board also recommended that Fr. Maday’s withdrawal from ministry continue as well as the current restrictions and monitoring that have been imposed in accord with Archdiocesan policies and procedures. Cardinal George has accepted the Board’s recommendations.

In the event that the Archdiocese of Chicago received an inquiry regarding the status of Fr. Maday, his withdrawal from ministry would be shared.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at any time. I may be reached at [312] 751-5205. Also, please know that the Office of Assistance Ministry continues to be available to you. They may be reached at [312] 751-8267.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Leah McCluskey
Professional Responsibility Administrator

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
    Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
July 25, 2005

Mr. Jeff Anderson
E-1000 First National Bank Bldg
332 Minnesota St.
St. Paul, MN 55101

Dear Mr. Anderson,

Please be advised that the Professional Responsibility Review Board met on July 16, 2005 and conducted a Review for Cause regarding your former client [REDACTED] allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert J. Maday pursuant to Article §1104.9 of the Review Process for Continuation of Ministry.

At a Review for Cause, the Review Board determines, based on the information that has been gathered and made available to it, whether there is reasonable cause to suspect that the accused priest engaged in the sexual misconduct of a minor. If the Board determines that there is reason to suspect that sexual misconduct of a minor has occurred and Cardinal George accepts the recommendation, additional action will be taken to restrict the accused’s ministry.

In the Review for Cause of this matter on July 16th, the Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. The Board also recommended that Fr. Maday’s withdrawal from ministry continue as well as the current restrictions and monitoring that have been imposed in accord with Archdiocesan policies and procedures. Cardinal George has accepted the Board’s recommendations.

In the event that the Archdiocese of Chicago received an inquiry regarding the status of Fr. Maday, his withdrawal from ministry would be shared.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at any time. I may be reached at [312] 751-5205. Also, please know that the Office of Assistance Ministry continues to be available to Mr. Rice’s family. They may be reached at [312] 751-8267.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Leon McCluskey
Professional Responsibility Administrator

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
July 25, 2005

C/o Mr. Kenneth L. Cunniff
Law Offices Kenneth L. Cunniff, Ltd.
30 N. LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60602

Dear [Redacted],

Please be advised that the Professional Responsibility Review Board met on July 16, 2005 and conducted a Review for Cause regarding your allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert J. Maday pursuant to Article 1104.9 of the Review Process for Continuation of Ministry.

At a Review for Cause, the Review Board determines, based on the information that has been gathered and made available to it, whether there is reasonable cause to suspect that the accused priest engaged in the sexual misconduct of a minor. If the Board determines that there is reason to suspect that sexual misconduct of a minor has occurred and Cardinal George accepts the recommendation, additional action will be taken to restrict the accused’s ministry.

In the Review for Cause of this matter on July 16th, the Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. The Board also recommended that Fr. Maday’s withdrawal from ministry continue as well as the current restrictions and monitoring that have been imposed in accord with Archdiocesan policies and procedures. Cardinal George has accepted the Board’s recommendations.

In the event that the Archdiocese of Chicago received a inquiry regarding the status of Fr. Maday, his withdrawal from ministry would be shared.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at any time. I may be reached at [312] 751-5205. Also, please know that the Office of Assistance Ministry continues to be available to you. They may be reached at [312] 751-8267.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Leah McCluskey
Professional Responsibility Administrator

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
    Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
July 25, 2005

C/o Mr. Kenneth L. Cunniff
Law Offices Kenneth L. Cunniff, Ltd.
30 N. LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60602

Dear [Redacted],

Please be advised that the Professional Responsibility Review Board met on July 16, 2005 and conducted a Review for Cause regarding your allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert J. Maday pursuant to Article §1104.9 of the Review Process for Continuation of Ministry.

At a Review for Cause, the Review Board determines, based on the information that has been gathered and made available to it, whether there is reasonable cause to suspect that the accused priest engaged in the sexual misconduct of a minor. If the Board determines that there is reason to suspect that sexual misconduct of a minor has occurred and Cardinal George accepts the recommendation, additional action will be taken to restrict the accused's ministry.

In the Review for Cause of this matter on July 16th, the Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. The Board also recommended that Fr. Maday’s withdrawal from ministry continue as well as the current restrictions and monitoring that have been imposed in accord with Archdiocesan policies and procedures. Cardinal George has accepted the Board’s recommendations.

In the event that the Archdiocese of Chicago received an inquiry regarding the status of Fr. Maday, his withdrawal from ministry would be shared.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at any time. I may be reached at [312] 751-5205. Also, please know that the Office of Assistance Ministry continues to be available to you. They may be reached at [312] 751-8267.

Sincerely,

Leah McCluskey
Professional Responsibility Administrator

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
    Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
April 26, 2004

John C. O’Malley  
Director of Legal Services Archdiocese of Chicago  
P.O. Box 1979  
Chicago, IL 60690

Dear John and ,

Please be advised that and I represent in his claim against Norbert J. Maday and the Archdiocese for sexual abuse that occurred in 1978 and 1979 when was in grade at St. Bede’s.

is interested in obtaining counseling for this abuse. I advised that the Archdiocese has a list of excellent therapists who are experienced in dealing with victims of sexual abuse. I request that you provide this list to him.

You also have my permission to have the Archdiocesan investigator contact directly to set up an interview with him.

Sincerely yours,

cc:  
MEMORANDUM

ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO
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To:     File — PFR-29

From:   Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator

Re:     REV. NORBERT MADAY'S [WITHDRAWN] RESPONSE TO
         ALLEGATION OF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT MADE BY 

Date:   December 9, 2004

Date of Interview: October 5, 2004     Time of Interview: 2:00pm

Present at Meeting
Rev. Norbert Maday
Ms. Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator
Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests

Face-to-Face Meeting
Rev. Edward Grace and PRA traveled to Stanley, Wisconsin to meet with Rev. Norbert Maday at
the Stanley Correctional Institution. Fr. Grace and PRA arrived at approximately 1:45pm for the
scheduled 2:00pm meeting. After passing through the security points in the correctional facility,
Fr. Grace and PRA met with Fr. Maday in a "viewing room" attached to the main visiting room.
There were no other inmates or guards present during the meeting, however the room was
observed by at least one guard for the entire two hours and fifteen minutes that Fr. Grace and
PRA were with Fr. Maday.

Fr. Grace and PRA were instructed by the guards to wait for Fr. Maday in the designated
meeting room. After approximately 5-10 minutes, Fr. Maday joined Fr. Grace and PRA. He
presented well during the entire meeting and both initiated and was easily engaged in
conversation. Fr. Maday was well groomed and appeared younger than his 65 years. Fr. Maday
wore his green prison issued uniform and white tennis shoes.

After introductions were made, Fr. Maday began by requesting that any documentation mailed to
him [at Stanley Correctional Facility] in the future be printed on legal letterhead for
confidentiality purposes. Fr. Maday then explained his concerns with the mail at the correctional
facility being opened and read prior to it reaching him. He alluded to his concerns with the information concerning him having allegations of sexual misconduct against him [as a priest] traveling in the prison. Fr. Maday continued by stating that his situation in the correctional facility is difficult enough. He stated that upon reading any correspondence from the Office of Professional Responsibility in reference to allegations against him, he would shred all such documentation after he had read through the information.

Fr. Maday then acknowledged his receipt of copies of the four most recent allegations of sexual misconduct made against him, including that of [redacted]. He stated that he began to read the reports until they became too [emotionally] difficult for him to read.

Fr. Maday also took the opportunity to share with Fr. Grace and PRA his feeling that there are no appropriate treatment programs for him to participate in at the correctional facility. He also talked about ALL of the allegations against him that he knows are untrue. Fr. Maday continued by speaking about the fact that he is "not happy" with the decision made by a judge in a court of law over ten years ago, sentencing him to prison for "allegations...that are untrue."

Referring back to the allegations to be read to him on October 5, 2004, Fr. Maday accepted PRA's offer to forward him a copy of §1100 Sexual Abuse of Minors: Policies for Education, Prevention, Assistance to Victims and Procedures for Determination of Fitness for Ministry.

[Redacted] allegation of sexual misconduct against Fr. Maday was the third of four to be read at the October 5, 2004 meeting. Fr. Maday stated that it might be possible that he would ask PRA to stop reading at certain points, as he has a short attention span.

PRA then began reading [redacted] allegation of sexual misconduct against Fr. Maday. When PRA stated [redacted] name, Fr. Maday stated that he is not familiar with the name. As PRA continued to read, Fr. Maday stated that he never took [redacted] alone to [redacted] as alleged. As per Fr. Maday, he did not "...take people solo..." and that he took the boys out in groups. Also in response to [redacted] allegation, Fr. Maday stated that he remembers taking a group to [redacted] for tennis and golf at [redacted].

As PRA continued to read the allegation, Fr. Maday stated that he does not remember [redacted] or [redacted] at all. He did add that "...is on target with the" statement that Fr. Maday took boys to [redacted]." He also stated that statement of being alone in the car with him was "...absolutely false...I was never alone in the car with [redacted] or anyone else [boys]."

As PRA continued to read the allegation, Fr. Maday objected to wrestling at "this one guy's house [as described by [redacted]]" Fr. Maday also responded by stating, "...This guy [redacted] said that we went out often...I don't know who this is...[redacted] is putting something there that didn't happen."

When asked by PRA, Fr. Maday stated that he does not remember taking [redacted] to [most likely] [redacted] home. However, Fr. Maday stated that he does remember taking [redacted] home.
In response to the alleged abuse in the locker room of Fr. Maday described it as a public place and stated that there was no privacy there. Fr. Maday also stated that he is unsure of the time period of when this was true, but is certain that at one point there was a separate locker room for kids and adults. As per Fr. Maday, ">One would] have to be insane to do what he accused me of...

Fr. Maday also denied that he ever gave wine to any of the altar boys to drink. He stated that he never gave any liquor to any teens ever. Also in response to Fr. Maday stated that it is against his beliefs/practices to touch the privates of any child and that he "...detests that in my own make-up." Fr. Maday then suggested that he might have touched the knee or back of one of the altar boys, as either a grandfather or parent would.

Fr. Maday again responded "No" to the allegation that he was alone with As PRA concluded reading the report, Fr. Maday stated that the allegation was not true and that he does not remember

PRA thanked Fr. Maday for his responses to allegation. It was agreed that PRA would forward a copy of a draft response based upon the October 5, 2004 meeting. Fr. Maday will then review the draft and make any corrections necessary prior to returning it to PRA.

Norbert Maday

Date
Co:  Review Board Members
    Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
    Mr. Jerry Boyle, Civil Attorney
To: File – PFR-29

From: Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator

Re: REV. NORBERT MADAY'S [WITHDRAWN] RESPONSE TO ALLEGATION OF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT MADE BY [REDACTED]

Date: November 30, 2004

Date of Interview: October 5, 2004 Time of Interview: 2:00pm

Present at Meeting

Rev. Norbert Maday
Ms. Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility
Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests

Face-to-Face Meeting

Rev. Edward Grace and PRA traveled to Stanley, Wisconsin to meet with Rev. Norbert Maday at the Stanley Correctional Institution. Fr. Grace and PRA arrived at approximately 1:45pm for the scheduled 2:00pm meeting. After passing through the security points in the correctional facility, Fr. Grace and PRA met with Fr. Maday in a "viewing room" attached to the main visiting room. There were no other inmates or guards present during the meeting, however the room was observed by at least one guard for the entire two hours and fifteen minutes that Fr. Grace and PRA were with Fr. Maday.

Fr. Grace and PRA were instructed by the guards to wait for Fr. Maday in the designated meeting room. After approximately 5-10 minutes, Fr. Maday joined Fr. Grace and PRA. He presented well during the entire meeting and both initiated and was easily engaged in conversation. Fr. Maday was well groomed and appeared younger than his 65 years. Fr. Maday wore his green prison issued uniform and white tennis shoes.

After introductions were made, Fr. Maday began by requesting that any documentation mailed to him [at Stanley Correctional Facility] in the future be printed on legal letterhead for confidentiality purposes. Fr. Maday then explained his concerns with the mail at the correctional facility being opened and read prior to it reaching him. He alluded to his concerns with the
information concerning him having allegations of sexual misconduct against him as a priest traveling in the prison. Fr. Maday continued by stating that his situation in the correctional facility is difficult enough. He stated that upon reading any correspondence from the Office of Professional Responsibility in reference to allegations against him, he would shred all such documentation after he had read through the information.

Fr. Maday then acknowledged his receipt of copies of the four most recent allegations of sexual misconduct made against him, including that of [redacted]. He stated that he began to read the reports until they became too emotionally difficult for him to read.

Fr. Maday also took the opportunity to share with Fr. Grace and PRA his feeling that there are no appropriate treatment programs for him to participate in at the correctional facility. He also talked about ALL of the allegations against him that he knows are untrue. Fr. Maday continued by speaking about the fact that he is "not happy" with the decision made by a judge in a court of law over ten years ago, sentencing him to prison for "allegations...that are untrue."

Referring back to the allegations to be read to him on October 5, 2004, Fr. Maday accepted PRA's offer to forward him a copy of §1100 Sexual Abuse of Minors: Policies for Education, Prevention, Assistance to Victims and Procedures for Determination of Fitness for Ministry.

[Redacted] allegation of sexual misconduct against Fr. Maday was the second of four to be read at the October 5, 2004 meeting. Fr. Maday stated that it may be possible that he would ask PRA to stop reading at certain points, as he has a short attention span.

PRA then began reading another allegation of sexual misconduct against Fr. Maday. When PRA stated [redacted] name, Fr. Maday stated that he does not remember the name. As PRA continued to read the allegation, Fr. Maday pointed out that the alleged abuse took place four years prior to his assignment at St. Bede's. In his allegation, [redacted] stated that the alleged abuse took place in the summer of 1974. Fr. Maday informed PRA and Fr. Grace that he was assigned to St. Louis de Montfort during that time period. According to Archdiocesan Archives, Fr. Maday was assigned to St. Louis de Montfort from September 22, 1969 through June 1977. Further, the Archives lists that Fr. Maday was the Catholic Scouting Assistant Director as of August 3, 1971 and appointed as the Archdiocesan Council of Catholic Youth Association Director on October 16, 1974.

As PRA continued to read the allegation, Fr. Maday stated that he "...took junior high guys to..." and that he never took fourth graders there. Fr. Maday then mentioned another victim whose allegation was read to the accused on May 8, 2003. As per Fr. Maday, he took [redacted] to [redacted] when he [redacted] was in eighth grade.

As PRA continued to read the allegation, Fr. Maday interjected "No way" when [redacted] alleged that "...Fr. Maday kissed him on the cheek..." Fr. Maday stated that [redacted] could "...say whatever he wants..." but that he [Fr. Maday] was not present at [redacted] with him as alleged.
As PRA read from page three of the allegation where he spoke of Fr. Maday's erect penis [please refer to the allegation], Fr. Maday responded, "That's gross" and indicated his response that it never took place.

Upon the conclusion of PRA reading the allegation, Fr. Maday stated, "I have no comment to make about this guy...I don't really know him." Fr. Maday stated that he never took the kids to [redacted] and that it is a fabrication on the part of his accuser. As per Fr. Maday, when he took kids to [redacted], they would all run ahead of him. Fr. Maday suggested that he could not keep up with the pace of the kids because he was "an old man" and lagged behind all of them once at [redacted]. He also noted that when at [redacted] with such a group, the kids would all stay together.

Fr. Maday again stated that he does not know [redacted] and that he "...can't take responsibility for what I haven't done [as alleged by [redacted]]..." He then stated, "I feel for this guy."

PRA thanked Fr. Maday for his responses to the allegation. It was agreed that PRA would forward a copy of a draft response based upon the October 5, 2004 meeting. Fr. Maday will then review the draft and make any corrections necessary prior to returning it to PRA.

Norbert Maday

Leah McCluskey, Administrator

AOC 000861
Ce: Review Board Members
    Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
    Mr. Jerry Boyle, Civil Attorney
To: File - PFR-29

From: Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator

Re: REV. NORBERT MADAY'S［WITHDRAWN］RESPONSE TO ALLEGATION OF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT MADE BY [REDACTED]

Date: November 30, 2004

Date of Interview: October 5, 2004 Time of Interview: 2:00pm

Present at Meeting
Rev. Norbert Maday
Ms. Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator
Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests

Face-to-Face Meeting
Rev. Edward Grace and PRA traveled to Stanley, Wisconsin to meet with Rev. Norbert Maday at the Stanley Correctional Institution. Fr. Grace and PRA arrived at approximately 1:45pm for the scheduled 2:00pm meeting. After passing through the security points in the correctional facility, Fr. Grace and PRA met with Fr. Maday in a "viewing room" attached to the main visiting room. There were no other inmates or guards present during the meeting, however the room was observed by at least one guard for the entire two hours and fifteen minutes that Fr. Grace and PRA were with Fr. Maday.

Fr. Grace and PRA were instructed by the guards to wait for Fr. Maday in the designated meeting room. After approximately 5-10 minutes, Fr. Maday joined Fr. Grace and PRA. He presented well during the entire meeting and both initiated and was easily engaged in conversation. Fr. Maday was well groomed and appeared younger than his 65 years. Fr. Maday wore his green prison issued uniform and white tennis shoes.

After introductions were made, Fr. Maday began by requesting that any documentation mailed to him [at Stanley Correctional Facility] in the future be printed on legal letterhead for confidentiality purposes. Fr. Maday then explained his concerns with the mail at the correctional facility being opened and read prior to it reaching him. He alluded to his concerns with the
information concerning him having allegations of sexual misconduct against him [as a priest] traveling in the prison. Fr. Maday continued by stating that his situation in the correctional facility is difficult enough. He stated that upon reading any correspondence from the Office of Professional Responsibility in reference to allegations against him, he would shred all such documentation after he had read through the information.

Fr. Maday then acknowledged his receipt of copies of the four most recent allegations of sexual misconduct made against him, including that of [redacted]. He stated that he began to read the reports until they became too [emotionally] difficult for him to read.

Fr. Maday also took the opportunity to share with Fr. Grace and PRA his feeling that there are no appropriate treatment programs for him to participate in at the correctional facility. He also talked about ALL of the allegations against him that he knows are untrue. Fr. Maday continued by speaking about the fact that he is "not happy" with the decision made by a judge in a court of law over ten years ago, sentencing him to prison for "allegations...that are untrue."

Referring back to the allegations to be read to him on October 5, 2004, Fr. Maday accepted PRA's offer to forward him a copy of $1100 Sexual Abuse of Minors: Policies for Education, Prevention, Assistance to Victims and Procedures for Determination of Fitness for Ministry.

[redacted] allegation of sexual misconduct against Fr. Maday was the first of four to be read at the October 5, 2004 meeting. Fr. Maday stated that it may be possible that he would ask PRA to stop reading at certain points, as he has a short attention span.

PRA then began by reading [redacted] allegation of sexual misconduct against Fr. Maday. Upon stating [redacted] name, Fr. Maday stated that the name does not "...ring a bell..." for him. As PRA continued to read, Fr. Maday stated that he was not assigned to St. Bede's until 1978, whereas [redacted] stated that [redacted]. Fr. Maday also pointed out that he was not assigned to St. Bede's at the time that the school had the Variety Show.

In regards to [redacted] allegation that the abuse by Fr. Maday took place in the basement of St. Bede's, the cleric responded by stating that he remembers being in the basement on one occasion. He stated that it was the 1980s when the building flooded which called for him to go to the basement. As per Fr. Maday, the only time that he was in the school was to teach [redacted] and shared his recollection that Fr. Duggan taught [redacted].

As PRA read from the allegation that there were "penises out," Fr. Maday responded by stating that he categorically denies "...anything like that ever happened." In response to [redacted] statement of Fr. Maday having an erection, the cleric stated that such a statement was a "...fabrication...I don't know where this [redacted] allegation] is coming from or why." As PRA read of [redacted] stated second incident of sexual abuse by Fr. Maday, the cleric stated that it "...is not true...I don't know where this is coming from."

As PRA read from page five of the allegation where [redacted] spoke of St. Bede's Principal Mr. [redacted], Fr. Maday stated that he [Mr. [redacted]] "...was the most professional of principals." Fr.
Maday then stated that if there were such an allegation that Mr. Guerin would have approached him to discuss it, which he [Mr. Guerin] never did.

After PRA finished reading the allegation, Fr. Maday expressed that he "...feels for this guy..." and that he has compassion for him as well. Fr. Maday stated that he is also sympathetic for what [person] wants to do [as per the allegation]. As per Fr. Maday, he prays for him [and others who have come forward with allegations], but that "...this [the alleged abuse] is not true." Fr. Maday stated that he denies the allegation and that "...it didn't happen." He again expressed that he "...really feels for him."

PRA thanked Fr. Maday for his responses to the allegation. It was agreed that PRA would forward a copy of a draft response based upon the October 5, 2004 meeting. Fr. Maday will then review the draft and make any corrections necessary prior to returning it to PRA.
MEMORANDUM

To: File - PFR-29

From: Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator

Re: REV. NORBERT MADAY'S [WITHDRAWN] RESPONSE TO ALLEGATION OF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT MADE BY [REDACTED]

Date: December 9, 2004

Date of Interview: October 5, 2004

Time of Interview: 2:00pm

Present at Meeting
Rev. Norbert Maday
Ms. Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator
Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests

Face-to-Face Meeting
Rev. Edward Grace and PRA traveled to Stanley, Wisconsin to meet with Rev. Norbert Maday at the Stanley Correctional Institution. Fr. Grace and PRA arrived at approximately 1:45pm for the scheduled 2:00pm meeting. After passing through the security points in the correctional facility, Fr. Grace and PRA met with Fr. Maday in a "viewing room" attached to the main visiting room. There were no other inmates or guards present during the meeting, however the room was observed by at least one guard for the entire two hours and fifteen minutes that Fr. Grace and PRA were with Fr. Maday.

Fr. Grace and PRA were instructed by the guards to wait for Fr. Maday in the designated meeting room. After approximately 5-10 minutes, Fr. Maday joined Fr. Grace and PRA. He presented well during the entire meeting and both initiated and was easily engaged in conversation. Fr. Maday was well groomed and appeared younger than his 65 years. Fr. Maday wore his green prison issued uniform and white tennis shoes.

After introductions were made, Fr. Maday began by requesting that any documentation mailed to him [at Stanley Correctional Facility] in the future be printed on legal letterhead for confidentiality purposes. Fr. Maday then explained his concerns with the mail at the correctional facility being opened and read prior to it reaching him. He alluded to his concerns with the
information concerning him having allegations of sexual misconduct against him as a priest and traveling in the prison. Fr. Maday continued by stating that his situation in the correctional facility is difficult enough. He stated that upon reading any correspondence from the Office of Professional Responsibility in reference to allegations against him, he would shred all such documentation after he had read through the information.

Fr. Maday then acknowledged his receipt of copies of the four most recent allegations of sexual misconduct made against him, including that of [redacted]. He stated that he began to read the reports until they became too [emotionally] difficult for him to read.

Fr. Maday also took the opportunity to share with Fr. Grace and PRA his feeling that there are no appropriate treatment programs for him to participate in at the correctional facility. He also talked about ALL of the allegations against him that he knows are untrue. Fr. Maday continued by speaking about the fact that he is "not happy" with the decision made by a judge in a court of law over ten years ago, sentencing him to prison for "allegations...that are untrue."

Referring back to the allegations to be read to him on October 5, 2004, Fr. Maday accepted PRA's offer to forward him a copy of $1100 Sexual Abuse of Minors: Policies for Education, Prevention, Assistance to Victims and Procedures for Determination of Fitness for Ministry.

The last [redacted] allegation of sexual misconduct against Fr. Maday was the last of four to be read at the October 5, 2004 meeting. Fr. Maday stated that it might be possible that he would ask PRA to stop reading at certain points, as he has a short attention span.

When PRA stated [redacted] name, Fr. Maday stated that he does not remember him or [redacted] being altar boys. He did agree with [redacted] reference to standing in front of the rectory with golf clubs. Fr. Maday then suggested that he might recall who [redacted] and [redacted] were with pictures. However, Fr. Maday does not feel that he had any kind of relationship with [redacted] or [redacted] as alleged. Fr. Maday then suggested that "...they may have just been kids in the parish."

In response to the alleged incidents that took place at his cousin's home, Fr. Maday stated that it was never his intention "...to get the wrestling going..." Fr. Maday stated that he does not remember [redacted], but shared that he did take kids to his cousin's home to play.

As PRA continued to read the alleged allegation, PRA defined "frottage" at Fr. Maday's request. Fr. Maday then stated that his hand "...may have been on his [redacted] back..." but that he never placed his hand into the boy's pants as alleged. He continued by stating that he has no way to defend what he is accused of, "...I know me. I was doing what I thought was like being a grandparent [and] hugging a kid. That was my intention [to act like a grandparent to the boys]."
In response to [redacted] allegation that Fr. Maday talked to him about abusive acts to engage in with others, the cleric stated that it was "...absolutely ridiculous...[that would be] against my whole integrity as a priest...I resent that [the alleged acts by [redacted]]...[that is] a downright lie." Fr. Maday then specifically denied talking to [redacted] about abusive acts as alleged. He stated, "That's all garbage...I never said [any of the things alleged]."

Fr. Maday then stated, "I am trying to be as honest as I can..." as he has done for the 10 and a half years he has been in prison. As per Fr. Maday, he is concerned about the future and "...these people [alleged victims] who are angry." Fr. Maday stated, "Whatever I have done [in ministry]...I have repented."

In regards to [redacted] and the three other men's allegations that were read to him on October 5, 2004, Fr. Maday stated that he does not remember any of them. He then suggested that the four men "...may have been part of a group." Fr. Maday continued, "As far as the four allegations, there is no truth [to any of them]."

Fr. Maday stated that in the 1960s and 1970s, he did put his hands around the waists of the boys, but that he did not think it to be wrong. As per Fr. Maday, now he would not touch anyone. Fr. Maday then again stated that he only touched the boys [in general] "...as a parent or a grandparent would...I didn't touch [anyone] to harm [anyone]."

PRA thanked Fr. Maday for his responses to [redacted] allegation. It was agreed that PRA would forward a copy of a draft response based upon the October 5, 2004 meeting. Fr. Maday will then review the draft and make any corrections necessary prior to returning it to PRA.

Norbert Maday

[Signature]

Leah McCluskey, Administrator
Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests

CC: Review Board Members
    Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
    Mr. Jerry Boyle, Civil Attorney
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim BR's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey on July 10, 2004, formalizing his allegation of abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday. The alleged abuse consisted of over and under the clothes fondling, which occurred between 1978 and 1980, when Victim BR was a fifth and sixth grade student at St. Bede elementary school. The alleged abuse occurred in Fr. Maday's car and at a country club. Abuse also occurred in the sacristy at St. Bede's parish where Fr. Maday gave him alcohol and fondled him. Victim BR also reported that Fr. Maday would ask boys to wrestle in their underwear.
MEMORANDUM

To: Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
From: Laura A. Neri-Palomino
Re: Rev. Norbert Maday (Withdrawn)
Date: April 26, 2004

Enclosed you will find the final revised report of the Response to an allegation made by [REDACTED] against Fr. Maday.

Please sign and return the original to the Office of Professional Responsibility at your earliest convenience. A copy will then be forwarded to you.

Thank you.

Enclosure
March 18, 2004

VIA FACSIMILE & U.S. MAIL

Mr. George Pappas
Attorney at Law
Three First National Plaza, Ste. 3700
Chicago, IL 60602

Re: [redacted] Fr. Norbert Maday

Dear Mr. Pappas:

I am following up on your letter of March 5, 2004 regarding the above matter. I have passed your correspondence on to Ms. Leah McCluskey, the Fitness Review Administrator of the Archdiocese of Chicago. It is my understanding that she has been in contact with your client about this matter. As you know, either you or your client can contact Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi (312-751-8293), Assistance Minister of the Archdiocese, for therapy at the Archdiocese's expense while this matter is being processed.

Please direct any correspondence about the claim you are pursuing to me.

Very truly yours,

James A. Serritella

cc: Leah McCluskey (via facsimile)
Ralph Bonaccorsi (via facsimile)
MEMORANDUM

To: File - PFR-29

From: Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator

Re: Maday, Rev. Norbert [Withdrawn]

Date: December 11, 2003

PRA spoke with on December 9, 2003 regarding his allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert Maday. is represented by attorney Jeffery Anderson and received verbal permission from Mr. Anderson to speak with PRA.

spoke with Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi of Assistance Ministry on December 5, 2003 and had provided Mr. Bonaccorsi with preliminary information regarding the alleged abuse by Fr. Maday. As per Mr. Bonaccorsi, alleges that Fr. Maday sexually abused him when he was a student at St. Bede the Vulnerable.

During the phone call on December 9th, PRA provided with information regarding the responsibilities and roles of PRA and the Office of Professional Responsibility. Further, PRA provided with information regarding the process of formalizing an allegation.

At PRA's request, was able to provide some additional information regarding the allegation against Fr. Maday. The alleged abuse began when was in fourth grade/"half-way through fifth grade." identified Richard Guerin as St. Bede's principal at the time and feels that Mr. Guerin knew of the abuse by Fr. Maday, but "tried to cover it up." He became emotional and tearful and stated that his teacher Mary Mantia...
was aware of the abuse and was "the only one" who comforted him. He asked PRA if there would be a way to determine the whereabouts of Ms. Mantia so that he could contact her or write her a note. He spoke highly of Ms. Mantia and the significance that she cared for him. He was openly emotional when he spoke briefly of behavioral problems that he suffered from as a result of the alleged abuse, as well as the fact that he never completed his education. He also noted that he felt as if Fr. Maday "picked me out," as |

It was agreed that PRA would speak with Assistance Ministry in order to determine a meeting date and time, and then contact. It was also agreed that PRA would contact Mr. Anderson to inform him of the conversation regarding formalizing his allegation against Fr. Maday.

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
    Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
PASTORAL/RELIGIOUS VISIT APPLICATION

WISCONSIN

NAME: Kaczorowski, Rev. James

DRIVER LICENSE NUMBER: [Redacted]

DATE OF BIRTH: 3/12/47

WORK PHONE NUMBER: 312-642-1837

E-MAIL ADDRESS: [Redacted]

FAX NUMBER: 312-642-4933

HOME PHONE NUMBER: [Redacted]

NAME OF RELIGIOUS INSTITUTION YOU REPRESENT:

Archdiocese of Chicago

RELIGIOUS INSTITUTION BUSINESS ADDRESS:

Loyola University, Suite 843

CITY: Chicago

STATE: IL

ZIP: 60614

NAME OF INSTITUTION/CENTER YOU WISH TO VISIT:

Stanley Correctional Institution

NAME OF OFFENDER YOU WISH TO VISIT:

Norbert Maday

DATE OF BIRTH: 2/9/63

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED ACCESS TO ANY INSTITUTION/CENTER? [ ] Yes [ ] No

IF YES, PLEASE EXPLAIN:

ARE YOU RELATED TO OR ON THE VISITING LIST OF AN OFFENDER IN ANY WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS INSTITUTIONS, CENTER OR FACILITY? [ ] Yes [ ] No

IF YES, INMATE'S NAME:

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF AN OFFENSE OR ARE YOU CURRENTLY FACING CHARGES? (Including All But Traffic or Speeding) [ ] Yes [ ] No

IF YES, PLEASE EXPLAIN:

I understand, if authorized for participation as a clergy/spiritual leader, I am not permitted to receive any article, money or item from an offender and I will make prior arrangements to bring a Bible, communion or other items to the offender. I will make arrangements to visit three working days prior to the day of the visit. Visits will be subject to institution policies and procedures.

I understand that I have a duty to inform the institution if any of the above information changes.

I hereby declare the above statements are true, and I understand that providing incorrect information could result in a denial of visiting privileges.

Clergy/Perpiritual Leader Signature: [Redacted]

Date Signed: 2/3/03

RETURN COMPLETED AND SIGNED FORM TO THE CHAPLAIN OR CENTER SUPERINTENDENT.
November 11, 2003

Rev. Norbert Maday
#288632
Stanley Correctional Institution
100 Correction Dr
Stanley, WI 54768-6500

Dear Fr. Maday,

Please be advised that the Professional Responsibility Review Board met on October 18, 2003 and conducted a Review for Cause regarding [redacted] allegation of sexual misconduct against you pursuant to Article 1104.9 of the Review Process for Continuation of Ministry.

The Cardinal has accepted the Board's determination that there is reasonable cause to suspect that you engaged in acts of sexual misconduct with a minor.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at any time. I may be reached at [312] 751-5205 or via e-mail [lmccluskey@archchicago.org]. Also, please know that Rev. James T. Kaczorowski continues to be available to you. He may be reached at the Vicars for Priests office at [312] 642-1837.

Sincerely,

Leah McCluskey
Professional Responsibility Administrator

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
MEMORANDUM

To: File - PFR-29
From: Leah McCuskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator
Re: Maday, Norbert
Date: July 14, 2003

PRA spoke with [redacted] cousin of Fr. Norbert Maday, via phone on July 11, 2003. PRA had received a written letter from [redacted] regarding Fr. Maday bringing altar boys to his Mt. Prospect home for visits.

He informed PRA that Fr. Maday visited his home frequently in the summer and winter months and would bring with him “five or six altar boys at a time.” As per [redacted], Fr. Maday would bring the altar servers with him so that the boys could play with [redacted] pool table, pinball machine, and video games.

[redacted] stated that he and Fr. Maday were “always close as kids.” When Fr. Maday was assigned to St. Louis de Montfort and St. Bede’s, [redacted] would visit his cousin and the two would play golf, go to movies, and go out to dinner together. PRA asked [redacted] if he would discuss his recollection of Fr. Maday bringing young altar servers to his home. [redacted] referred to the letter that he wrote to PRA in June of 2003. However, [redacted] obliged PRA and answered additional questions regarding his memories of Fr. Maday bringing altar servers to his home. He stated that he did get to know many of the altar boys, however, he does not remember all of their names. [redacted] agreed that on occasion Fr. Maday and the altar boys would stay at his home overnight. As per [redacted], Fr. Maday would mainly bring the boys to his home so that they [the boys] would be able to play with the pool table, pinball machine, and video games. Oftentimes, [redacted] and Fr. Maday would have pizza and hamburgers with the boys and denies that any of the boys were given alcohol. [redacted] stated that he does not drink alcohol, but did have alcohol in his home for occasions when he entertained other adults.
When asked by PRA, [redacted] agreed that Fr. Maday and the boys would stay overnight. PRA asked [redacted] to describe the sleeping arrangements in his home. As per [redacted], his home had three bedrooms on the second floor. One bedroom was [redacted] and Fr. Maday slept in a second bedroom on a sleeper couch. The third bedroom was "off limits" due to it housing all of [redacted]'s collectibles. The boys would sleep on the first floor in the living room either on the couch or on the floor. As per [redacted], the boys would oftentimes stay up late watching television or playing with the pinball machine and the pool table in the basement.

When asked by PRA, [redacted] stated that he does not recall Fr. Maday taking the boys out driving in his car. [redacted] stated that for the majority of their visit, Fr. Maday and the boys would stay in the home, but on a rare occasion would go out to the movies.

As per [redacted], not all visits by Fr. Maday and the altar boys were overnights. Sometimes Fr. Maday would take boys to Great America and stop at [redacted] home for a time prior to returning home. At times Fr. Maday and the boys would visit and not stay overnight due to Fr. Maday having to serve an early morning mass the next day.

[redacted] stated that while Fr. Maday was visiting with altar boys in his home, "nothing ever happened [alleged sexual abuse of the minors] that I was aware of." He pointed out that "no one ever said anything happened with the boys [being allegedly sexually abused by Fr. Maday] in my home."

When asked if he recalled any of the names of the altar boys brought by Fr. Maday to his home, [redacted] stated that the name [redacted] rings a slight bell [PRA did not give [redacted] the names of any of Fr. Maday's alleged victims]. [redacted] informed PRA that he "never heard the name of the second person [most recent alleged victim of Fr. Maday]." PRA asked if Fr. Maday ever stayed up late with the boys. [redacted] responded that on occasion Fr. Maday would stay awake with them, however, as per [redacted], Fr. Maday would have to drive back to the parish early in the morning to serve mass.

[redacted] wondered out loud if any of the individuals who alleged sexual misconduct against Fr. Maday were ever at his home. Without revealing their identities, PRA informed [redacted] that alleged victims have described [redacted] as either a brother or cousin of Fr. Maday’s and that there was a pool table, pinball machine, and video games in the home.

[redacted] stated that he "would probably swear on a bible that nothing happened [alleged sexual abuse of minors by Fr. Maday] in my home that I am aware of." [redacted] expressed his feeling that Fr. Maday "is a sitting duck [for individuals to come forward with allegations against him]" due to the fact that "he's already been convicted."
The conversation with [Redacted] was cordial and [Redacted] was extremely cooperative and helpful, being extremely open to answering any questions asked by PRA. PRA thanked [Redacted] for his time.

[Redacted] may be reached at [Redacted]

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
MEMORANDUM

To: File - PFR-29
From: Professional Fitness Review Board Meeting
Re: Norbert Maday (Withdrawn)
Date: June 25, 2003

A summary of the discussion from the Professional Fitness Review Board Meeting on June 21, 2003:

The Review Board conducted a Second Stage Review regarding the allegation of:

- Fr. Maday fondled genitals, gave the minor alcohol, and pot.
- Fr. Maday sat on Fr. Maday's lap while driving and Fr. Maday had an erection.
- Fr. Maday had an erection in his possession of books and child porn.
- Fr. Maday was in bed with at a hotel, where Fr. Maday rubbed stomach for a couple of hours.

In a unanimous 6-0 vote, the Review Board recommended to uphold their First Stage Review recommendation that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. Further, the Board reiterated their earlier recommendation that Fr. Maday be laicized.
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I am a [REDACTED] of Norbert J. Haday. I lived in [REDACTED], IL from 1990-1996. Occasionally Norbert would bring 3 or 4 boys to my home for an evening to use my pool table and pinball machine. Sometimes television or videos were watched or video games were played. Usually, we would all have pizza or burgers and soft drinks.

Never in all those years or any visit by Norbert were alcoholic drinks given to any minors and there was absolutely never any type of pornography material in my home. There is not one iota of truth to any of these charges and allegations.

I am surprised that any credence is being given to these spurious charges, especially since these type of charges were never made by anyone until this year. I will gladly answer any questions you may have. My telephone number is [REDACTED].

I will be out of town from June 5th until June 16th.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]
MEMORANDUM

To: PFR-29
From: Leah McCluskey, Professional Fitness Review Administrator
Re: RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS OF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT MADE BY

Date: May 14, 2003

Date of Interview: May 8 & May 12, 2003 Time of Interview: 8:00am and 9:00am respectively

Present at Interview
Fr. Norbert Maday
Ms. Leah McCluskey, Professional Fitness Review Administrator [PFRA]
Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests [VP]

Phone Interview
Fr. James Kaczorowski and PFRA contacted Fr. Norbert Maday via phone at 8:00am on May 8, 2003 for the scheduled interview. Fr. Maday is currently incarcerated at Stanley Correctional Institution in Wisconsin and was awaiting the scheduled phone call. He spoke to Fr. Kaczorowski for the first 15 minutes of the phone call regarding his recent surgery and chronic back problems.

After introductions were made, PFRA described the responsibilities of the Office of Professional Fitness Review as well as those of PFRA. PFRA offered to explain the Review Board process to Fr. Maday, to which he declined. As PFRA prepared to read the allegation of sexual misconduct made by Fr. Maday to interrupt PFRA if he was unclear with any information that was being read to him.

Prior to PFRA reading the allegation against him, Fr. Maday chose to comment on the last allegation of sexual misconduct that was read to him by Michael Just, former Interim PFRA. Fr. Maday stated that he feels that his accuser may have experienced problems in his life as a result of Fr. Maday introducing him to "another individual who was into drugs." Fr. Maday then spoke of himself being "affectionate" towards others [minor boys] and that there was nothing
wrong with the backrubs that he gave the minors due to the fact that it was similar to “goofing around kid stuff.”

Fr. Maday also spoke of going before the Parole Board the day after Easter [April 21, 2003] to request to “take programs tailor made for clergy.”

PFRA then began to read an allegation of sexual misconduct to Fr. Maday. He interrupted at one point to state that there was “no such thing” as a retreat at his cousin’s home. Further, Fr. Maday suggested that he took the word “retreat” from the papers covering his legal trial in Wisconsin.

When asked by PFRA and Fr. Kaczorowski, Fr. Maday stated that he did take minor boys to his cousin’s home for day trips, to go to the movies, and to play mini-golf. In regards to an allegation that he and other minor altar boys watched pornographic movies and drank beer with Fr. Maday at his cousin’s home, Fr. Maday stated, “That is absolutely false.” He also informed PFRA and Fr. Kaczorowski that he does not drink.

Fr. Maday stated that only “two times in 27 years in ministry” did minors drink alcohol when they were with him. Once incident occurred in Rockford when minors took alcohol when Fr. Maday was sleeping. The second incident took place when he “went to get pizza.” Fr. Maday then elaborated on the first incident. He stated that he had bought “soda and a six-pack” which he had initially kept in his car. Fr. Maday then brought the beverages into his room while he was watching television. When he went to sleep for the evening, he “took more than his share” and the other boys woke Fr. Maday up out of their concern for him. Fr. Maday described himself as being “so excited” that he had drank too much that he tripped on a bag, fell in the room, and wound up going to the Emergency Room for stitches in his chin.

In regards to an allegation that he and the other minors watched pornographic movies in Fr. Maday’s presence, he stated that he has “never watched an X-rated movie” in his life. Fr. Maday continued to say that he obtained movies from a female parishioner who owned a movie store, and that he never paid for or rented pornographic movies from her.

In response to an allegation that Fr. Maday fondled him and other minors in his car, Fr. Maday stated that he “never ever touched anyone in the privates.” He continued by stating that it was “possible” that he put his hands around the boys’ waists or on the boys’ knees when they were “driving” his car. Fr. Maday denied that the minor boys sat on his lap as they “drove” his car. He stated that his car had a “mechanical seat” and that he would move the seat all the way back and had the boys sit in front of him on the seat. Fr. Maday then commented, “I’m not stupid.” Fr. Maday was then asked if he recalled the incident alleged by . His response was, “No. Not really.”

Fr. Maday stated that he did not remember giving and then inviting him to his room in the rectory later that day. As per Fr. Maday, he would “give things to a group...not to individuals to lure [them to his room].” As PFRA continued to read the allegation regarding the alleged incident that took place in his room at the rectory, Fr. Maday stated, “Fr.
Duggan has died" and that nothing that Fr. Duggan said can be proven. In response to an allegation, Fr. Maday stated that he does not remember closing doors to his room and that he cannot remember "being alone" in his room with one other minor male. When asked, Fr. Maday stated that he did have the altar servers in his room as a group to have Atari [computer games] tournaments.

As PFRA read to Fr. Maday a recollection of an incident involving a minor male being beaten by Fr. Maday in front of the class, Fr. Maday responded, "That is absolutely false." Fr. Maday described the minor as "a very difficult kid" and that he had spoken to his mother about the minor's behavior. As per Fr. Maday, he does recall the incident of referring to him as "Fr. Gaday" as reported by . However, Fr. Maday stated that he called into the hall outside of the classroom and that he did "nothing to " in front of the class." Fr. Maday described as "not easy to deal with" and that he would report to the principal with any issues involving behavioral difficulties with students. He then stated that he was "sorry to hear of his demise" and suggested that others are "twisting" incidents and "using them against" him.

Fr. Maday stated that it was not true that was suspended for the incident described by . Fr. Maday informed PFRA and Fr. Kaczorowski that was "in trouble for other things" and suggested that PFRA speak with Mr. Guerin, the principal of St. Bede's to review records.

As PFRA continued to read the allegation, Fr. Maday stated, "I don't know anything about identifying myself as a priest at hotels." PFRA then asked Fr. Maday if he ever took groups of boys to hotels. Fr. Maday did not respond to the question, as the guard at the prison ended the phone call due to time constraints [35 minutes].

Despite Fr. Kaczorowski's request to have PFRA finish reading the allegation to Fr. Maday, the phone call was still ended by the guard. A second phone call with Fr. Maday was then scheduled for Monday, May 12, 2003 at 9:00am.

Date of Interview: Monday, May 12, 2003 Time of Interview: 9:00am

Fr. Kaczorowski and PFRA contacted Fr. Maday via phone for the scheduled interview. PFRA informed Fr. Maday that the allegation would continue to be read to him from the end point of last phone conversation that took place on Thursday, May 8, 2003.

PFRA proceeded to read the remainder of the allegation uninterrupted to Fr. Maday. Once the remainder of the allegation was read to Fr. Maday, he suggested that PFRA speak with his cousin as a "witness" on his behalf. When asked by PFRA, Fr. Maday identified his cousin (and the owner of the townhouse that Fr. Maday brought altar servers to) as . Fr. Maday added, "I never watched pornos with anyone." He stated that most movies that he watched with the altar servers were "horror flicks...adventuresome stuff like Star Wars." When asked for his cousin's contact information, Fr. Maday declined and suggested that he would write to and ask him to contact PFRA regarding this matter. Fr. Maday was then provided
with a phone number where [redacted] could reach PFRA. When asked by Fr. Kaczorowski, Fr. Maday stated that [redacted] is not married, retired, and five years his junior [60 years old].

In response to [redacted] entire allegation of sexual misconduct, Fr. Maday stated, “It’s just not true.” He did agree that [redacted] would meet him at the rectory with other altar servers to go to his cousins’ townhouse in Mount Prospect. Fr. Maday continued by stating, “I absolutely deny [redacted] allegation.” He then began to speak about [redacted] and [redacted] and described the two as “trouble makers” when they attended St. Bede’s. Fr. Maday suggested that PFRA “look at their records [from St. Bede’s].” When asked by PFRA, Fr. Maday agreed that he does remember [redacted] and that he has “an image of him.” He continued by saying that [redacted] “has a prisoner type of look... [redacted] face was contorted somewhat.”

Fr. Maday then informed PFRA and Fr. Kaczorowski that he “doesn’t remember if [redacted] was the one” but that a male minor “threw sand into a pool [at a hotel] to mess up a drain.” He then spoke of his feeling that [redacted] “has a delinquent background” and asked PFRA if the alleged victim’s background had been explored. PFRA explained to Fr. Maday that this office would not explore [redacted] background, nor would any other alleged victim’s background be explored. Fr. Maday continued by stating that the hotel was “somewhere in Indiana” and that he had asked the clerks at the hotel if he could use the pool for the day. As per Fr. Maday, he was not allowed to use the pool without renting a room. He described the trip to Indiana as taking a group to hike Mt. Baldi and to go to the beach. When asked if there were both boys and girls on this trip, Fr. Maday was vague. He stated that he interacted with groups of both boys and girls, but did not “mix them.”

In response to [redacted] recollection of being at a cabin with other minor boys overnight with Fr. Maday, he stated that he had “no idea about a cabin or what [redacted] is talking about.” When asked if [redacted] description of his car was accurate, Fr. Maday agreed that he had a green four-door car when he was assigned to St. Bede’s.

Fr. Maday then made the following statement: “I have not abused [redacted] in any way. If rubbing his back or putting [my] arms around his waist [is considered to be abusive...]. I was being affectionate.” Fr. Maday continued by describing himself as vulnerable and stated, “I know in my heart of hearts I did not abuse [redacted]. I did not go down his pants. I detest that [abuse of minors] and I do not do what I detest...I do not do what I detest.” Fr. Maday also commented, “I can’t stop them [accusers] from saying what they are going to say.” He also made reference to individuals “jumping on the bandwagon” in regards to making allegations of sexual misconduct against him. Fr. Maday informed PFRA and Fr. Kaczorowski that he is “…in prison on two false allegations” and that he is “…trying to get legal help to straighten out this out...sooner or later the truth will come out.”

PFRA and Fr. Kaczorowski thanked Fr. Maday for his time. He was informed that he would be notified once this matter was on the Review Board agenda.

Cc: Review Board Members
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop’s Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim BS's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey on February 18, 2003, formalizing his allegation of abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday. The alleged abuse consisted of genital fondling, which occurred between the time Victim BS was in the fifth and eighth grades, between approximately 1980 and 1983. The alleged abuse occurred in Fr. Maday's car and at St. Bede's parish. Fr. Maday also brought victim BS and other boys to a "retreat" where he provided them alcohol and showed them pornography. Victim BS also reported that he was observed in the rectory by the parish secretary as he was brought to Fr. Maday's room, and then later by Fr. Jeremiah Duggan who interrupted an incident of abuse when he opened Fr. Maday's closed bedroom door and entered Fr. Maday's room. Victim BR reported that on other occasions Fr. Maday invited boys out for rides in his car, out swimming, and to his room in the rectory.
February 18, 2003

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago
155 E. Superior Street
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Dear Cardinal George,

Please be advised that the Professional Fitness Review Board met on February 15, 2003. The Board fully considered all oral and written reports in the matter of Norbert Maday [Withdrawn] in the allegation made by [Name Redacted]. A First Stage Review was conducted pursuant to Article §1104.08 of the Review Process for Continuation of Ministry.

The Board recommends that there is reasonable cause to suspect that Norbert Maday engaged in sexual misconduct with a minor.

If you have any questions, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Leah McCluskey
Professional Fitness Review Administrator

Cc: Bishop-Elect Thomas J. Paprocki, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
    Ralph Bonaccorsi, Victim Assistance Ministry
    John O’Malley, Legal Services
MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:
Steve Basinski, Rev. Lawrence Dowling, Raymond Horn, Dr. Domeena Renshaw, Carol Richter, Rev. Charles Rubey

Members absent:
Alberta Bowles, Rev. Robert Heinz, Dr. Michael Jenuwine

Non-members present:
Leah McCluskey, Professional Fitness Review Administrator [PFRA]
Bishop-Elect Thomas J. Paprocki, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes – February 1, 2003

II. Case Reviews

First Stage Review:

A. [Redacted]

B. In the Matter of Rev. Norbert [NM] [Withdrawn]-PFR-29

The Review Board conducted a First Stage Review regarding the allegation of [Redacted] The claim is as follows: NM fondled [Redacted] over and under his clothes.
accompanied NM on overnight trips; NM purchased illegal substances and imbibed in them with minors.

In a unanimous 6-0 vote, the Review Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that misconduct did occur.
III. Other

Next scheduled meeting is March 15, 2003 at 10:00 a.m.

Cc: Review Board Members
Bishop-Elect Thomas J. Paprocki, Cardinal’s Delegate to Review Board
Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests
Norb Maday's bookkeeper for his funds, inquired about the possibility of Norb taking out an annuity with his funds. In speaking with Alex, he distinguished between the defined benefit and defined contribution. Norb's future rests with his status when he leaves prison. Question: Will he retire in good standing?

Defined benefit: distinguished from defined contribution based on the priest's good standing. Considered the pension that priests receive upon retirement.

Defined contribution: No one is excluded from contributing toward it and consequently benefiting from it later.

Conversation needs to occur between Bishop Goedert and Kaz regarding Norb's future status. Norb Maday can participate in defined contribution according to Alex's interpretation.

Suggestion: A portion of the $300 Norb receives monthly could be invested in defined contribution.
MEMORANDUM

To: File - PFR-29

From: Michael C. Just, Interim Professional Fitness Review Administrator

Re: Rev. Norbert Maday Response to Allegation of

Date: January 24, 2003

Date of Interview: January 21, 2003
Time: 10:00 a.m.

Present at Interview:
Fr. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
Michael Just, Interim Professional Review Administrator [PFRA]
Fr. Norbert Maday [NM], accused—via teleconference link

PFRA conducted an interview of the accused, Fr. Norbert Maday, via telephone between the office of the Vicar for Priests and NM's residence at a correctional facility in Oshkosh, Wisconsin. PFRA explained the Review Board process to NM prior to beginning the interview. PFRA asked NM whether he had any questions regarding the interview or Review Board Process, to which NM replied that he did not. NM had been informed of his right to have counsel present at the interview. PFRA subsequently read the written allegations of, concerning several alleged incidents of sexual abuse, which were made by to this PFRA in Los Angeles, California on December 7, 2002. After PFRA read the allegations verbatim, NM began his reply by cautioning that after nine years in the prison system, his mental capacities had deteriorated. Throughout his response, NM sounded articulate, had no pauses in his response or difficulties in recollecting the events to which he referred, and sounded alert and well-oriented to time and place.

NM stated that he "never ever" had interactions with any youth in which he touched their private parts. He stated he would sometimes engage in touching with youth from his parish at St. Bede the Venerable, yet characterized this physical contact as "affectionate, but not sexual."

NM claimed not to have a good recollection of during NM's assignment to St. Bede the Venerable parish in Chicago. "I would have to see a photo of He characterized as
being a “peripheral person” in his life. Regarding his association with minors in general while at St. Bede, NM stated: “I never took anyone out unless they were in junior high, with the exception of [redacted].” However, when NM was informed during the interview that [redacted] stated he was in 7th grade at the time of the allegations, and that 7th graders are in junior high, [redacted] admitted to the possibility that [redacted] was among the youths with whom NM socially associated while at St. Bede.

NM admitted that he was familiar with the [redacted] family, but he claimed to have only a “vague recollection” of them. He denied any close relationships with the [redacted] family. Concerning [redacted] allegations that NM once took [redacted] and another youth up to his rectory room and engaged in vigorous wrestling, after which he showed the boys a book of child pornography, NM admitted that it was possible he brought [redacted] up to his room with another boy and wrestled with them, rubbing his whiskers vigorously against their faces. NM admitted that during the 1970’s and 1980’s, he would wrestle with minors and give them “hinder binders and whisker rubs.” He characterized this as “normal stuff.” NM admitted to having children in his rectory room for these wrestling matches. NM described a hinder binder: he would grab a child’s underpants and pull them up as far as he could. However, NM denied that he showed [redacted] child pornography. He opines that [redacted] could have confused child pornography with a book NM owned. In his room, NM kept a “sex book” which he obtained at a religious seminar. “Kids were curious about it and would look at it,” he related. NM states the book did portray adults in naked poses on two or three pages, but claims the material was for educational purposes and non-pornographic in nature. NM denies ever taking the initiative by showing this book to [redacted] or other children. Contrary to [redacted] allegations, NM denies ever engaging in sexually explicit talk or sexual innuendo with [redacted] while they were in NM’s rectory room or any other location.

Consistent with [redacted] allegations that NM would sometimes drive them around in his green sedan, NM admitted that he owned and drove a green Chevrolet while he was assigned to St. Bede, but argued that everyone in the neighborhood knew that. [redacted] had alleged that NM would drive [redacted] and his friends around the city and achieve erections while the boys took turns sitting in his lap steering. NM responded that he would not drive around the city, but would sometimes take minors from St. Bede on drives to the Indiana Sand Dunes and other destinations. NM admitted that he would allow the boys to steer the vehicle while they sat on his lap. He claims that the boys would ask to drive. NM admitted that [redacted] could have been one of the boys who sat on his lap and drove. He also admitted that [redacted] was one of the boys who went with NM on these driving trips. NM denied having any erections when the boys would sit with him, but states that he would place his arms around their hips and backs while they drove. NM states that the boys would not sit directly on his lap since NM would move the seat back and allow the boys to sit between his legs, directly on the seat. When PFRA pointed out that perhaps 7th graders would not have been able to see clearly over the dash well enough to steer a moving vehicle, NM replied: “They were tall kids.”

Concerning the incident in which [redacted] accused NM of massaging his stomach in a hotel room at the Clock Tower Inn in Rockford, Illinois, NM admitted to bringing [redacted] and other youths to the Clock Tower. He also admits that boys slept in the bed with him at the Clock Tower: “At times I did sleep in bed with kids. They would crawl in and I would not prefer it.” NM admits to the possibility that [redacted] slept in the bed with him that night. He also admits that “it’s possible I did
give a brief belly rub” to him, but denies that he continued to massage stomach for four hours, as alleges. Consistent with allegation that NM massaged him so hard the bed shook, admitted that this could have occurred. However, NM denied that he cradled rear so that rear was against NM’s pelvis, claiming that boys would lay flat on their backs when he gave them belly rubs. NM admitted generally that he would place his hand underneath boys’ shirts when he gave them belly rubs.

He generally admitted to “tummy rubbing,” giving back rubs, and “grabbing a leg,” all activities he stated he performed on minor youths at St. Bede. However, NM stated that he performed these activities to be affectionate, rather than to achieve sexual gratification. “If I gave a back rub, I gave it to all the students.” He also admits to giving belly slaps, but states that he would slap boys’ bellies “out of comradery or fooling around.” NM also admitted he would sometimes slap kids on their posteriors. NM reflected on the allegations made against him by and other youths: “Kids are putting things in isolation where it’s my word against his word.”

alleged that NM had on occasion purchased beer for and his friends and drank it with them, describing one incident where this occurred in the parish sacristy. NM specifically denied this incident in St. Bede’s sacristy. NM denied ever buying alcohol for or other youth at St. Bede’s. But he did relate one incident in Rockford, Illinois, in which he purchased beer for himself but only bought soda pop for and other minors. NM states he fell asleep, and that while he slept, procured some of NM’s beer and imbibed it. When NM awoke, he states he fell down, cut himself on the chin, and was required to go to the hospital. NM also stated that on another occasion, when he was entertaining minors at St. Bede’s rectory, he went out to pick up a pizza. He states that while he was gone, the teens raided the rectory liquor cabinet and drank.

Regarding allegations that NM introduced and other neighborhood boys to NM’s adult cousin, NM denied this allegation, stating that met neighborhood boys independently of him, through affiliation with a golf league and by refereeing hockey games, both activities that these youth were involved in. NM also denied being present when and the boys drank alcohol, smoked marijuana or did other drugs. NM stated that on one occasion, NM “came late” to house, where he discovered “a few people experimenting.” I said: “Hey, wait a minute, wait a minute. That’s not right.” NM said one of the youths was intoxicated.

Regarding allegation that NM had taken to an extremely violent movie called “Motel Hell,” he specifically does not recall taking to a drive-in to see “Motel Hell,” but did not deny either the possibility that he could have taken to see this film or that it may have been very violent. NM stated he would take minors from St. Bede to a neighborhood video store owned by one of the congregation’s parents and allow them to pick out movies that they wanted to see. “Sometimes, I was not the best parental authority [concerning movies choices],” he admits.

Concerning allegation that NM had touched and held penis in the forest preserves while in NM’s car, NM specifically denied this allegation in its entirety. He called account “totally fabricated.” He stated that the most he would do while boys were in the car with
him was “hold them around the waist” while his other hand was on the steering wheel. He also specifically denied that he ever drove a car through the forest preserves when [person] was present in his car.

NM responded to all allegations generally: “All the other allegations are false and absolutely untrue. I think he’s going way overboard. There’s certain inappropriate behavior but nothing felonious. I consider these boundary violations. I never considered these actions to be sexual abuse. My hand never went underneath the pants. There was a clear line of demarcation. It’s abhorrent to me. Absolutely not. Not in the car or otherwise. That was a line I did not cross.” NM also claimed that since he has had his prostate removed, he is impotent. NM offered this medical information for the ostensible purpose of refuting allegations that his penis became erect when [person] sat on his lap. PFRA asked NM whether he had any additional questions, responses or statements. NM replied that he did not. PFRA thanked NM for his cooperation and ended the interview.

Cc: Review Board Members
    Rev. Thomas J. Paprocki, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
    Ralph Bonaccorsi, Victim Assistance Ministry
    John O’Malley, Legal Services
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Michael C. Just, Interim Professional Fitness Review Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Fitness Review, of Victim BT's statement, given to Mr. Just on December 7, 2002, formalizing his allegation of abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday. The alleged abuse occurred over a period of approximately six months, and consisted of Fr. Maday providing Victim BT with drugs and alcohol, in addition to several incidents of genital fondling and frequent wrestling episodes in the St. Bede's rectory, which occurred when Victim BT was in the seventh grade. Fr. Maday also showed Victim BT pictures of naked children, and engaged in sexual talk and innuendo. The alleged abuse occurred in Fr. Maday's car and at hotels during overnight trips. Victim BT recalled that Fr. Maday would have kids sit on his lap in the car while he was sexually aroused. Victim BT also recalled that there were rumors among kids that Fr. Maday was molesting kids, and was given the nickname "Fr. Gayday."
April 23, 2002

Cardinal Francis George
Archbishop of Chicago

Dear Cardinal George,

I am a former parishioner of the Archdiocese of Chicago. The reason I am writing to you is because I was molested by a former parish priest at St. Bede named Norbert Maday. Throughout the years I have tried to pretend that this abuse never occurred because the pain of dealing with it was just too profound. But, with all the stories coming out in the news lately about sexual abuse by priests I am reminded of the pain that I still feel from that time in my life. I have kept up on the news about Norbert Maday and where he is currently serving his prison sentence. I have also tried to deal with this abuse in many different ways. I find myself still being very angry and saddened by the abuse that took place at the hands of Norbert Maday. And to be honest with you I feel betrayed and angry at the Catholic Church, as well, for its complicity in the abuse.

What I am looking for in my life now are answers. I honestly don’t know if you will be able to help me with the issues that I’m dealing with now. But, having grown up in Chicago I was able to observe you as the Cardinal of the Chicago Archdiocese. I feel in my heart that you are a spiritual man on a spiritual path as I am. And I don’t believe I will be able to overcome my pain and transform it into something positive until I can get some questions answered.

Cardinal George, to be blunt, I am wondering what the hell the Catholic Church was thinking in its dealing with the Norbert Maday sexual abuse. The church kept moving him around even though they knew for a fact that he was abusing children. Was he accused of sexual abuse before he came to St. Bede? Is that why they transferred him there? If that is the case could the abuse to me and hundreds of other children been averted? I cannot begin to tell you the incredible pain this abuse has caused in my life. My relationships with God, family, and significant others was profoundly altered as result of this abuse. The question I keep asking myself is, “Where were the
adults when this abuse was going on?” The former pastor of St. Bede the Venerable, Fr. Duggan, either turned a blind eye to Norbert Maday’s actions or he was summarily ignored by the archdiocese? That is what I want to know. Did the church know what was going on and just didn’t know how to deal with it? And why was Norbert Maday transferred to another parish after St. Bede? Do you realize that he went on to abuse hundreds of other children in another parish after St. Bede? Do you have any idea how many thousands upon thousands of lives he ruined? And the Catholic Church is not absolved of the pain and torment that these families continue to feel because of the churches inaction with regards to Norbert Madays sexual abuse of children.

The question that continues to arise for me is what the hell was the church thinking? How could they continue to allow priests to ruin children’s and families lives for generation after generation. I come to you Cardinal because you are in charge of the Archdiocese and I need to know what the people at the top were thinking when all this came out about Norbert Maday. My mother told me that Norbert Maday’s mother made a huge financial contribution to the church so that they would defend him in the case he was finally put away for. If that is the case I am extremely angered by that. Where was the church for the victims of this sick, demented, twisted pedophile? Why wasn’t the church looking out for us? We were children for God’s sake! Imagine Cardinal George what would happen if the person that represented Jesus Christ and God to you as a small child betrayed and abandoned you after he had done what he wanted. My relationship with Christ has never been the same since.

I don’t know perhaps it was the leadership at the time. Hopefully the church will now take a tougher stand when it comes to the abuse of children. I have to see those changes take place myself before I decide if I would want to be a member of the church again. You must understand I am not looking for monetary gain, unless I thought that by doing so it would force the church to accept responsibility for its years of inaction. But, I do want to forgive. I do not wish to spend the rest of my life wrapped in anger and sadness over something that happened twenty five years ago. My usefulness to God is diminished when I am unable to let go of anger and resentment from the past. I wish to find closure on this situation and allow Gods grace to transform it according to his will and not my own. I’m hoping that I am doing Gods will in writing to you to let go of this anger and move on with my life. That is the mission I have in my life. To be of the greatest service to God as I understand God.

If you could please call or write to me Cardinal it would be of the utmost help. I need to know what was going on back then in the church so I can overcome this and perhaps help another human being who may be having similar problems.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Victim Assistance Ministry
   Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
   Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
   Leah McCluskey, Professional Fitness Review
   John O'Malley, Legal Services
   Rev. Thomas Paprocki, Cardinal's Delegate to the PFRB
   Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant
      Professional Fitness Review Office

DATE: October 15, 2002

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Norbert

Attached is a copy of an allegation received by this office by Father Kaczorowski. We are opening a file and Leah McCluskey, Interim Professional Fitness Review Administrator will begin the Review Process by attempting to arrange an interview with [redacted]. Please advise this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Norbert.

Thank you.

Attachment

cc: Most Rev. Raymond E. Goedert
Summary Notes Regarding Norbert Maday

- On December 12, 2001 Norbert met with the Program Review Committee and admitted to inappropriate touch and behavior. He said he was willing to enter the Deniers Program but was denied on the basis of having completed it in TN.
- The committee wanted him to complete the 5 ¾ years in prison with 2 years out-of-state, returning to Oshkosh for 3 yrs.
- Norb wants to get into the Deniers Program immediately.
- Norb is requesting that a judge modify his two 10 year consecutive terms to run concurrently.
- If no efforts are made in the two above options, he will remain in prison until 2007.
- Does not want to be put in 980 law program for mental cases with a sexual predator tendency.
- No response has been forthcoming from Jerry Boyle, his lawyer.

Mary Ann spoke with Jerry Boyle on February 4, 2002. Following is the gist of the conversation:

- Jerry Boyle did not render any encouragement to have things change. He claimed he does not have the ability to force the issue.
- He realizes Norb's frustration but felt some of his previous behavior brought on the present situation, e.g. fought charges, not forthright concerning his behavior.
- Boyle indicated that officials will not modify the consecutive 10 year terms to run concurrently. Norb is a victim of a very cold system.
February 4, 2002

Norbert Maday
278632 Oshkosh Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 3310
Oshkosh, WI 54903

Dear Norbert,

I thank you for your kind greetings on my birthday. Your thoughtfulness took me by surprise, but I am glad to get a personal note from you. I try to keep up with you through the Vicars for Priests.

We have tried, as you know, a number of avenues to see if your sentenced might be reduced or parole be given early. So far, we have not had any success, but we'll keep trying and I personally hope that you will not lose hope.

We're approaching Lent, and you'll have a very special place in my prayers during that season of penance. Again, I'm very grateful that you wrote.

Fraternally yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago
MEMORANDUM

To: Francis Cardinal George, OMI
From: Rev. James Kaczorowski
Date: November 30, 2001
Re: Rev. Norbert Maday

Yesterday, November 29, 2001, I visited with Norb Maday at the Oshkosh Correctional Institution.

Norb spoke about some concerns he has with his attorney, Jerry Boyle. Because Mr. Boyle is attached to the institution Norb feels that he is not very instrumental in being an advocate for him as a client. Norb is also struggling with his own integrity regarding the abuse incidents. He claims that he never touched anyone on their private parts but did touch them on the stomach and back. Because Norb denies the reality of what happened, he will probably be in prison until 2007 which he feels is unjust. This probability creates a great deal of difficulty for him.

The Oshkosh Institution has a deniers program and it is Norb Maday’s request that the Archdiocese put forth efforts to have him accepted in the program as soon as possible. Hopefully, this could accelerate his release from Oshkosh earlier than 2007, the official time of his release. The program extends for a period of three years. Norb is under the impression that prison officials do not want him to get into the program until 2004, three years prior to his official release in 2007. This distresses him to such a degree that he declared his willingness to lie about his guilt so that he can be released earlier. If he follows through with this intention, he will send a letter to this office indicating that he told an untruth. He maintains that he violated boundary limitations, but that he is not...
April 24, 2001

Norbert Maday 278632
Oshkosh Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 3310
Oshkosh, WI 54903

Dear Norb,

In this season of hope, I send you Easter blessings. I pray often for your early release. I was very sad to hear your clemency hearing last December was denied. Through the Vicar for Priests Office, I will continue to ask Mr. Boyle to work for your early release.

You also asked me in your letter about Judge Crane, the one who sentenced you. You inquired whether he died. Father Kaczorowski informed me that he is retired but still alive.

I was also concerned that your health is not at its best. I will pray that your biopsy for your prostate will bring good results. Be assured also of my prayers that your cataracts in both eyes will be corrected.

This might speed up your release. I told him to follow through with that. Father Kaczorowski also informed me he would be coming up to visit you in the next month. I am sure this visit will be grace-filled.

During this Easter season, Norb, let us remember that neither death, sickness or sin has power over us because Christ is Risen. Be assured of my continual prayers and support.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago
Dear Larry & Jim,

Happy New Year!

Thanks for your letter of 12/11 & the loving presence rendered at the confirmation hearing. Like you, I too await an outcome. I am ambiguous about whether the movement of the Governor to President-elect Bush's cabinet is a plus or minus. Time reveals all. May God will be aided by events & not impelled.

As I reflect on Xmas 2000 I remembered that what we are is God's gift to us & who we become is our gift to God. Understandly, those who are all wrapped up in themselves make small packages. How true it is that one can give without loving & one cannot love without giving. Hopefully the season has helped us to be a bit more like the
giving nature of Christ.

For this New Year I realize more than ever that
time is God's most precious gift. The 2001 New Millenium
marks the time we use well and share with others, esp.
the needy, lonely, & sick. My focus will be more
on who I am & not on what I possess. How
blessed are those who appreciate their uniqueness
& chosenness.

Yours here went well as Bishop Morneau
graced us with his presence Xmas with the
baptized 3 inmates in front of 100 others. A musical
group came & led us in singing Xmas carols with
much joy. I loved the Bishop's story of his Xmas
gift-a swed shirt given by a nurse. It was
contained the 4 ultimate questions of life. They were

1. Who Am I?

2. Where Am I Going?

3. How Do I Get There?

4. Where are the Cookies?

Bishop Banks celebrated the Feast of the
Holy Family with us urging me to realize that
ever Jesus’ family had communication problems &
understandings. He encouraged us to leave the
past buried & move into the future with kindness
& giving deeds. Good Advice!

Take care & know that I love, peace & peace

---

AOC 000906
MEMORANDUM

To: Francis Cardinal George, OMI

From: Rev. James T. Kaczorowski

Date: December 5, 2000

Re: Father Norbert Maday

Last Saturday Father Larry McBrady, myself, and Jerry Boyle, Norb Maday’s lawyer, attended a clemency hearing in the Capitol Building, Madison, WI regarding the possible pardoning of Norbert Maday. The pardoning board consisted of five people. Norbert Maday was not physically present, but communicated with us by phone from Oshkosh. He asked to give a statement. Basically Norb asked forgiveness of the boys and their families for all the pain and hurt he caused them.

One of the board members asked Father Maday directly and very strongly whether he admits that he sexually assaulted these boys. This board member repeated his question a second time. Father Maday admitted he sexually assaulted these boys. Jerry Boyle said it was the first time Norb admitted publicly that he sexually abused boys.

There is a program offered in prison for sexual offenders known as SOTP designed for Multiple Sexual Offenders. The aforementioned board member asked Norbert twice if he applied to the program. He reluctantly said that he is in the process of applying. Earlier Norbert had told me he was not entering the program.

Jerry Boyle inquired of the pardoning board whether they could advise the governor to run two 10 year terms concurrently and not consecutively. If the terms run concurrently, Norb could be released by February 5, 2001. If they run consecutively, he would be released in 2008.

Father McBrady and I feel the clemency hearing did not go very well.
November 29, 2000

Norbert Maday 278632
Oshkosh Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 3310
Oshkosh, WI 54903

Dear Norb,

Father Larry McBrady and Father Jim Kaczorowski informed me that your parole hearing is scheduled for Saturday, December 2, 2000 at 9:30 a.m. in Madison, WI. I’m aware that your lawyer, Mr. Boyle and Fathers McBrady and Kaczorowski are attending the meeting to lend you support and to explain your protocol. If the parole board so decides, you may be released early.

Norb, know that I am praying for an early release for you. Be assured of my support and prayers for you.

Fraternally yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago
September 7, 2000

Norbert Maday 278632
Oshkosh Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 3310
Oshkosh, WI 54903

Dear Norb,

I was happy to hear you have been transferred to Oshkosh. I knew you wanted to be closer to home. I also have been informed that your application for executive clemency is now complete and your clemency hearing will be coming up in either November or December. Let us pray for an early release.

I also wish to congratulate you on your completion of the Deniers Program. I am very happy you found it so worthwhile and how it will help you significantly in the future.

As always, be assured of my prayers and support. I am looking forward to having you home. In the meantime, please keep me in your prayers.

Fraternally yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago
Certificate of Completion

Deniers Program

Norbert J. Maday

Awarded by the Tennessee Correctional Facility

Windsor, Tennessee 38095

Friday, July 14, 2000

Dr. Zina, Ed.D.
Licensed Psychologist
Supervisor

Instructor/Group Facilitator

AOC 000910
July 26, 2000

Mr. Norbert Maday – 279632
PO Box 679 — ID 107
Whiteville, TN 38075

Dear Norb,

Thanks for your letter of July 18th. Congratulations on your completion of the Deniers Program!!
That is certainly a most significant accomplishment. I am glad to know you found it to be so worthwhile.

Jim Kaczorowski is in the process of getting on to your visitor’s list. Do you have any idea when you
may be returned to Oshkosh?

Our attorney, John O’Malley, continues to monitor developments to gain your early release. As you
well know, these things never move quickly. But, I can assure you, it is in progress and the Cardinal
remains committed to doing whatever needs to be done.

Norb, you are in my prayers. Your letter reveals a strong faith and great patience. I know the Lord is
blessing you.

Fraternally,
July 10, 2000

Norbert Maday – 278632
Whiteville County Correctional Facility – ID-107
P. O. Box 679
Whiteville, TN 38075

Dear Norb:

Thank you for your letter of last month. It was good to hear from you. I hope things are going well for you at present and I have every reason to believe that the attorneys continue to work with the State of Wisconsin to seek a change for you.

The Cardinal is currently away on vacation, but he remains committed to doing all that he can to support the effort to return you to Wisconsin and, hopefully, obtain an early release.

I am glad to know you are finding the denier’s program to be of such help. I think that will be very important in attempting to bring about your release.

Jim Kaczorowski is starting today so he will need some time to acclimate himself to this office. Thanks for the visitation application. I will ask him to fill it in.

Take care of yourself and know that we are available to be of help in whatever way we can.

Fraternally,

Rev. Lawrence P. McBrady
Vicar for Priests
State of Wisconsin, Plaintiff

NORBERT J. MADAY, Defendant

3/16/38

The defendant is convicted on 22nd day of April 1986.

The defendant is sentenced on 8th day of June 1986.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is convicted as found guilty, and:

X is sentenced to the Wis. prison for Ten (10) years each on counts 1 & 2 consecutive to each other; Ten (10) years on count 3 concurrent to counts 1 & 2.

is to be incarcerated in the County Jail: period of

is to pay: DNA SURCHARGE $250.00

X is to pay mandatory victim/witness surcharge(s):

X is granted work/study release privileges.

is to pay court costs of:

is to pay attorney fees of:

is to pay restitution of:

TOTAL $250.00

is to pay fine of:

X is to pay court costs of:

X is to pay attorney fees of:

X is to pay restitution of:

TOTAL $150.00

is to pay: $50.00 per Count

is to pay: $50.00 per Count

TOTAL $150.00

IT IS ADJUDGED that ___ days sentence credit are due pursuant to s. 973.155 Wis. Stats. and shall be credited if on probation and it is revoked.

IT IS ORDERED that the Sheriff shall deliver the defendant into the custody of the Department located in the City of WAUPUN, WISCONSIN.

NAME OF JUDGE
WILLIAM E. CRANE

DISTRICT ATTORNEY
JOSEPH PAULUS & VINCE BISKUPIC

DEFENSE ATTORNEY
STEPHEN KOMIE & EDMUND CARNS

BY THE COURT: William E. Crane

June 8, 1994

Circuit Court Judge/Clerk/Deputy Clerk

AOC 000913
The defendant entered plea(s) of:  

- Guilty  
- Not Guilty  
- No Contest

The defendant is convicted of:

- Intimidation of Victim (940.45(3))

The defendant is sentenced on:

- 22nd day of April 1994
- 8th day of June 1994

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is convicted as found guilty, and:

- is sentenced to the Wis. prison for Five years on count 4 consecutive to counts 1, 2, 3. Sentence stayed & deft.
- is placed on probation for Five years consecutive to counts 1, 2, 3.

IT IS ORDERED that the Sheriff shall deliver the defendant into the custody of the Department located in the City of

IT IS ADJUDGED that _______ days sentence credit are due pursuant to s. 973.155 Wis. Stats. and shall be credited if on probation and it is revoked.

BY THE COURT:

Date Signed
DETECTIVE GERALD FORSETH, being first duly sworn on oath, complains as follows: Your affiant states that he is a Detective with the Oshkosh Police Department and in that capacity has knowledge of the following:

Your affiant is informed from the reports of the Oshkosh Police Department and the Illinois State Police Department kept in the normal and ordinary course of business in which your affiant believes to be truthful and reliable and have proven so on numerous occasions in the past that on or about May 20, 1992, your affiant met with S.W., male/white, d.o.b. 12-2-71, to discuss a report of sexual abuse committed against him while he was a juvenile during the summer of 1986. Specifically, your affiant spoke to S.W. regarding a report that he was the victim of improper sexual contact perpetrated against him by NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 03-16-38, taking place at the "The Place 2B" located at 1965 Oshkosh Avenue in the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin.

S.W. reported to your affiant that Norbert J. Maday previously was a priest at his parish, Our Lady of the Ridge, in Chicago Ridge, Illinois. S.W. reported that he first met Maday in approximately December of 1985 at a banquet and that he and some of his male juvenile friends became close friends with Maday. He stated that Maday would often take him and other male juveniles on outings and also would frequently invite him and other male juveniles over to the parish rectory to have pizza and watch video tapes. S.W. reported that after he finished the eighth grade, in approximately the beginning of June of 1986, Maday invited him and several other male juveniles to go on a trip
to Oshkosh, Wisconsin, to a place called "The Place 2B".

S.W. recalled that Maday had told the boys they were going to see another priest at The Place 2B in Oshkosh. He told the boys how wonderful it was in Oshkosh, that there were free video games and free roller skating. S.W. indicated that Maday painted a picture of meals, ice cream and just a wonderful time by coming to Oshkosh. He also stated that Maday stated that they would be allowed to golf, swim and go on canoe trips. S.W. reported that he and several other male juveniles agreed to go on the five-day trip and that he spent the majority of the trip paired up with another male juvenile friend of his, S.K.. S.W. stated that "The Place 2B" was an old motel with a building approximately 300 feet from the motel which had a video arcade and a roller rink. He indicated that there was also rooms similar to hotel rooms where the boys could sleep and rest on the five-day trip.

S.W. reports that during the trip, Maday often would instruct the male juveniles to participate in wrestling matches in their rooms. S.W. reports that the wrestling matches also involved Maday and all the participants would wear no clothing except their underpants. S.W. recalled that on the trip, his friend, S.K., told Maday that he wished to wear his sweatpants and sweatshirt in his room and that Maday turned to S.K. and said, "Be a man, sleep in your underwear." S.W. stated that during these wrestling matches, Maday would place his hand on S.W.'s leg and then move his hand up inside of S.W.'s underwear. He stated that Maday would then caress the testicle, scrotum and penis areas. S.W. indicated that when Maday touched him in his genital area, S.W. jumped off of the floor and went over by his friend. He stated that because he was embarrassed, he didn't say anything. S.W. also stated that he saw
Maday grab the buttocks of other boys while wrestling at The Place 2B.

S.W. reports that on that same five-day trip at The Place 2B, there was an incident when he was watching TV in his underwear and Maday came up to him and started giving him a back rub. S.W. stated that Maday was rubbing S.W.'s back when there was a movie on TV. S.W. stated that the movie was a late-night TV movie and a certain portion depicted a man and woman getting romantic. S.W. stated as the movie became more and more heated so did Maday and Maday placed his hands down the inside of S.W.'s underwear and started to put his hand down around S.W.'s buttocks and into the scrotum area. S.W. stated that because Maday had long fingernails, he could feel Maday's fingernails approaching the area of his testicles and he jumped up. S.W. also reported that while also watching a movie at The Place 2B, Maday began touching him and also kissed him on the back of his neck. He stated that when that took place, he turned around and asked Maday what was going on and that Maday just got a strange look on his face.

S.W. indicated to your affiant that the incidents during the summer of 1986 were not the first incidents where Maday committed sexual acts towards him. He stated that during the spring of eighth grade, approximately April or May of 1986, he was at the rectory at Our Lady of the Ridge Parish and Maday sexually assaulted him. S.W. explained that he was at the rectory for an overnight stay with Maday and Maday began giving him a back rub. S.W. stated that Maday gave him a back rub as S.W. was laying on his chest. He stated that Maday began to reach his hands under S.W. and began rubbing him on the chest and moved his hands down past his stomach. S.W. stated that Maday eventually put his hands inside of S.W.'s underwear and began to fondle S.W.'s penis. S.W.
stated that at that point he jumped up and asked Maday what was going on and told Maday to stop. S.W. reported that in response, Maday stated, "This is simply my way of showing you affection."

Your affiant and Special Agent Mary Woolery of the Illinois State Police, Division of Criminal Investigation, reported they interviewed R.S., male/white, D.O.B. 06-23-73, regarding a report of sexual abuse against R.S. taking place during the summer of 1986 in Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin.

R.S. reported that he was an alter boy at Our Lady of the Ridge Parish located in Chicago Ridge, Illinois, during the 5th, 6th and 7th grades. R.S. explained that a Priest at that parish, NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 03-16-38, used to take R.S. and other alter boys on various outings to play miniature golf and to go to an ice cream shop. R.S. indicated that during his years as an alter boy, he went on several outings with Maday. R.S. further stated that on one day during the late spring or early summer of 1986, approximately May, June or July of 1986, Maday invited him to go to a place in Oshkosh, Wisconsin, called "The Place 2B". R.S. stated that he and some other male juveniles went to Oshkosh, Wisconsin, and when they arrived at The Place 2B, they went into an arcade room with a pool table. R.S. indicated that The Place 2B also had rooms similar to motel rooms and also had a swimming pool. He explained that when the boys initially arrived at The Place 2B they played arcade games for free with tokens given to them by Norbert Maday.

R.S. stated that while they were at The Place 2B they were given access to some of the rooms in order to take a rest. R.S. stated that he went to one of the rooms to rest while some of the other boys went to a store down the road. He stated that while he was in room, at
approximately 3:00 o'clock in the afternoon, he began to lie down on a bed and read a magazine. He stated that while he was lying on the bed, Norbert Maday was taking a shower in the bathroom in the same bedroom. R.S. stated that he began reading something as he laid on the bed and that there were two twin beds in the bedroom. He indicated that Maday eventually came out of the bathroom after taking a shower and that he was wearing shorts and a shirt. R.S. stated that Maday then sat on the bed with him and began to touch and rub the inside of R.S.'s leg. R.S. stated that Maday continued to rub him and also moved his hands up to R.S.'s penis area. R.S. stated that Maday then began to rub R.S.'s penis. R.S. stated that he told Maday that he did not think it was right to be touching him, but Maday responded and said, "Nothing was wrong with it." R.S. indicated that at that point Maday continued to rub R.S.'s penis. R.S. stated that the rubbing of his penis by Maday lasted for approximately 10 minutes and that as Maday was rubbing R.S.'s penis Maday began to masturbate himself to the point of ejaculation. R.S. stated that when Maday was done having sexual contact with him, Maday pulled his own shorts back on. He reported that Maday specifically told him that if R.S. told anybody about this incident, Maday would kill R.S.'s brother, C.S., who was also an alter boy at Maday's parish. R.S. indicated to police officers that he did not report this incident for several years because he was afraid about what Maday would do to his brother, C.S.

Your affiant reports that the records of the Illinois State Police indicate that Maday has been a resident of Illinois during the last six years and has worked at several parishes in Illinois during that time period.
All the above offense of the charged crimes took place in Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin.

That based upon the foregoing information, this complainant believes and has good reason to believe that the said NORBERT J. MADAY on or about June, July and August of 1986, at the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin:

did then and there unlawfully, intentionally and feloniously have sexual contact with a person who is over the age of 12 years and under the age of 16 years, to-wit: S.W., male/white, D.O.B. 12-02-71. Contrary to the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Wisconsin, Sec. 940.225(2)(e), Wis. Stats.

PENALTY PROVIDED: Section 940.225(2)(e), Wis. Stats. Class C Felony.
Conviction may result in a fine not to exceed $10,000 or imprisonment not to exceed 10 years or both.

AND FURTHER, COUNT #2
On or about June, July and August, 1986, at the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin, NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 3-16-38, did then and there unlawfully, intentionally and feloniously, persuade or entice a child under 18 years of age, S.W., male/white, D.O.B. 12-02-71, into any vehicle, building, room or secluded place, with the intent to commit the crime of sexual assault. Contrary to the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Wisconsin, Sec. 940.225(2)(e), Wis. Stats.

PENALTY PROVIDED: Section 944.12, Wis. Stats. Class C Felony.
Conviction may result in a fine not to exceed $10,000 or imprisonment not to exceed 10 years or both.

AND FURTHER, COUNT #3
On or about May, June, or July of 1986, at the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin, NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 3-16-38, did then and there unlawfully, intentionally and feloniously have sexual contact with a person who is over the age of 12 years and under the age of 16 years, to-wit: R.S., male/white, D.O.B. 06-23-73. Contrary to the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Wisconsin, Sec. 940.225(2)(e), Wis. Stats.

PENALTY PROVIDED: Section 940.225(2)(e), Wis. Stats. Class C Felony.
Conviction may result in a fine not to exceed $10,000 or imprisonment not to exceed 10 years or both.

AND FURTHER, COUNT #4
On or about May, June, or July of 1986, at the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin, NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 3-16-38, did then and there unlawfully, intentionally and feloniously, persuade or entice
a child under 18 years of age, R.S., male/white, D.O.B. 06-23-73, into any vehicle, building, room or secluded place, with the intent to commit the crime of sexual assault. Contrary to the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Wisconsin, Sec. 944.12, Wis. Stats.

PENALTY PROVIDED: Section 944.12, Wis. Stats. Class C Felony. Conviction may result in a fine not to exceed $10,000 or imprisonment not to exceed 10 years or both.

AND FURTHER. COUNT 45
On or about May, June or July of 1986, at the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin, NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 3-16-38, did then and there knowingly and maliciously prevent or dissuade, or attempt to so prevent or dissuade, R.S., male/white, D.O.B. 06-23-73, who has been the victim of a crime from making any report of the victimization, by an express or implied threat of force, violence, or injury against R.S.'s sibling, C.S., to wit: did threaten to kill the brother of R.S., if R.S. reported the crime of sexual assault perpetrated by Maday against R.S. Contrary to the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Wisconsin, Sec. 940.45(3), Wis. Stats.

PENALTY PROVIDED: Section 940.45(3), Wis. Stats. Class D Felony. Conviction may result in a fine not to exceed $10,000 or imprisonment not to exceed 5 years or both.

WHEREFORE, as said affiant verily believes and prays that the said NORBERT J. MADAY might be arrested and dealt with according to law.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6th day of June, 1992.

Defendant's Date of Birth: 03-16-38

Address: 407 E. 25th Street or Chicago Archdiocese Headquarters
Chicago, IL

Chicago, IL

APPROVED FOR FILING THIS 6TH DAY OF JUNE, 1992.
To Whom It May Concern:

Norbert Maday has been known to me since 1957. He was four years behind me in a seven year seminary formation program. During those years he was a very affable person, easy to meet. I think he was an average student and good in athletics. While a young seminarian he lost his twin brother to cancer. This was a traumatic experience for him and affected the whole seminary at the time.

After ordination I did not have very close ties with Father Maday. I think he was very well liked by many people in the parishes where he served. He seemed to be very involved through the years with the Boy Scouts of America and other youth groups. As time went on he continued his association with teenagers. This is probably when and how some of his own psycho-social development became arrested.

At the same time I know Father Maday created good and healthy relationships with other priests. He was a good vacation companion with some - and an often desirous golfing partner for others. As a priest he preached well and became involved in CYO programs, as well as other ordinary parish activities. I lost contact with him when his trial moved to Wisconsin.

In the past five years that I have been in the Vicar for Priests office I have dealt very directly and very personally with Norbert Maday. We have corresponded frequently and I have visited him in person about four times a year since his incarceration.
During his prison time Norbert Maday has changed dramatically. In the early
days he looked upon everything in legal terms and saw himself as a victim of the
state, of poor legal counsel and the prison system. Due to the presence and
frequent communication with [Redacted] who was experienced in 12 step
programs, Norbert began to see more clearly his own situation. Slowly, he
began to admit his problems in development and his boundary violation as a
professional and as a priest. In the past year he has been much more
aggressive in making good judgments for himself and about others. He has
depthened his own life of prayer and understanding of the scriptures. In short, he
has been transformed by deepening religious faith and admitting his sins of the
past.

Since [Redacted] death he has been able to carry on far better than in the
past. He seems to be much more independent, clearer thinking, more disciplined
and better at communicating emotional problems and personal difficulties. He is
empathetic about others and willing to cooperate with others who wish to help
him.

I believe Norbert Maday has gained much from his life in prison. At this point in
time I believe he has served enough for past mistakes. I fear that if he is to stay
in prison much longer he may begin to deteriorate because his perspective on life
will become more limited and narcissistic. Early release from prison would
indicate good judgment because this man has learned much about himself and
his crime in recent years. His release would be a vibrant sign of hope and
redemption.

Rev. Daniel P. Coughlin

Rev. Daniel P. Coughlin
To Whom It May Concern:  

April 21, 2000,

I am writing concerning Rev. Norbert Maday. I have known Norbert for over sixty years. As young boys we attended the same Catholic parish and school, with four years differential in our age. I am four years older than he is. He also followed me to the seminary high school and through the major Seminary. During those years I remained close to the family as they experienced the death of Norbert's twin brother, Herbert, from cancer. We both were ordained from the same parish. Just two years ago I buried Norbert's mother.

In the time between Norbert's being arraigned and the trial I had several occasions to talk with him about his situation. He proclaimed his innocence and felt that he would be proven so at the time of the trial. Following his incarceration I have written to him several times. Each time I have received a response from him. I also have visited him. I have observed a change in his viewing of his past and his behavior.

He has now accepted the determination of the court and is no longer in denial of the incidences that brought him to this incarceration. He now is a person who accepts the responsibility of his actions. He is much more realistic about the behavior that has brought him to the present condition.

I see the process of incarceration having fulfilled the goal of bringing him to this realization and of punishing him for his behavior. I do not see how further incarceration would provide any better resolution to his turning around his life. This appears to me to be the optimum time to allow Norbert Maday to begin living outside of prison. This is the time to best secure his growth and insights for future stabilization, by providing normal socialization in the environment outside the correctional system.

I wish to thank you for your kindness and thoughtfulness in entertaining this process of returning Rev. Norbert Maday to civil life.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Most Rev. Edwin M. Conway, D.D.
Auxiliary Bishop of Chicago

RESIDENCE:  
St. Mary of the Lake Parish  
4200 N. Sheridan Road  
Chicago, Illinois 60613

AYCARATE II  
1651 W. Diversey Parkway  
Chicago, Illinois 60614

AOC 000924
I would like to respond to Scott's five points and offer some additional information.

1. Norbert Maday completed high school, college, and graduate school. He was ordained a priest with a graduate degree in theology.

2. Since he was convicted and while serving in prison, Norbert Maday has been involved in tutoring others, some manual labor, and he was desirous of entering a denier's program in Wisconsin when he was transferred to a for-profit prison in Tennessee. Only in the past two months has the Whiteville prison offered any program. He has been participating in their denier's program since it began two months ago.

3. As far as we know, Norbert Maday has never been convicted of any crime other than the one he is presently incarcerated for.

4. We have no reports of any negative contacts with any law enforcement agency on his record.

5. Norbert Maday was ordained a priest for the Archdiocese of Chicago in 1964. As a priest his job assignments were in the parishes of the Archdiocese. In the church we look upon a priest as always being employed by the Cardinal Archbishop of Chicago.
In the Archdiocese we would look upon the pastor as his immediate supervisor when Norbert was serving in a parish.

From 6/20/83 to 6/13/89 he was assigned as Associate Pastor at Our Lady of the Ridge, 10811 S. Ridgeland, Chicago Ridge, IL 60415, (708) 425-3800.

From 6/13/89 to 4/22/92 he was assigned as Associate Pastor at St. Jude the Apostle, 880 E. 154th St., South Holland, IL 60473, (708) 333-3550.

Attached is my letter of recommendation. I was thinking it would be helpful for Scott Baumbach to know what our protocol will be for Norb Maday when he is released from prison. On a separate sheet I have dictated certain elements that we found helpful when dealing with the parole board for Ralph Strand.
April 23, 2000

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

RE: NORBERT MADAY #278632

I first had the privilege of meeting Norbert Maday seventeen years ago while he was an associate pastor at Our Lady of the Ridge Catholic Church in Chicago Ridge, IL. At that time my sons were altar servers for the Church and Norbert Maday was in charge of various liturgical ministries among them—care of the sick, Sunday worship, Church prayer group, overseeing the altar server program, youth ministry, and ministering to the aged in nursing homes.

He was well respected and loved within the parish family, an active and productive member within the surrounding community. Many people gravitated toward Norbert Maday because he was a fun loving and likable man with an easygoing manner and many interests both within and outside the Church making him an interesting person and earning him the respect of many who, today, continue to be his supporters and well wishers.

Through my children, my family and I began to enjoy a friendship with Norbert Maday, often inviting him to dinner, and as a result he soon became an important role model for my children, even encouraging my son to enter the Priesthood. is now, Father associate pastor

After Norbert was charged with the molestation of one of the altar servers at Our Lady of the Ridge and convicted and sentenced to prison, my family and I continued to support him, visiting him on a weekly basis and communicating via letter writing while he was at the Wisconsin prison system and making several visits to the Tennessee prison in Whiteville where he continues to serve prison time.
While serving time in the prison system, Norbert has been instrumental in instilling spiritual values in many of the inmates and this he continues to do. At the Wisconsin prison, Norbert was awarded two humanitarian awards—one from the Wisconsin prison system and the other from the Bishop of the local Church in Wisconsin. Presently Norbert serves as the prison librarian, has been a tutor, worked in the prison laundry, and currently sings with the prison choir. He has made many friends from the Wisconsin prison, both inmates and lay people, who continue to write and support him.

Despite all of this, I believe, the harshness and cruelties of prison life have deteriorated his health both emotionally and psychologically. He often complains of severe back pain and now suffers from high blood pressure. He continues to proclaim his innocence and hopes for his release, but has accepted the fact, and belief, that the last six years in prison have been part of his journey through life.

I see this man as a deeply forgiving and religious person who, I believe, has been wronged and humiliated. I see him as a man of great integrity, and from my perspective has definitely grown as a person. The time spent in prison has allowed him to look more deeply into himself and to become a more mature person in both body and soul. Today he is definitely more aware of who he is in both his relationships with his friends and with his God; and, I am, and have been since first meeting him, honored to be his friend.

He has served in prison with both grace and dignity for the last six years and, I believe, he should be given the opportunity to serve the remaining years of his life as a free man who wishes to continue his journey as a Priest with the Catholic Church.

I hope this letter is helpful to you as you review Father Norbert Maday's case. If I can be of further assistance or if you would like to discuss further in greater detail some of the points I have made in this letter, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thanking you in advance for taking the time to read this letter. May God bless you.

Sincerely,
March 6, 2000

Norbert Maday 278632
Hardeman County Correctional Facility
1440 Union Spring Road
P.O. Box 549
Whiteville, TN 38075

Dear Norb,

Thank you for your letter of February 16. In it I noted with a great deal of interest your meeting with the Program Review Board. I realize that you were personally disposed to enter the first class of the Deniers Group and now there seems to be a delay again. If true, this is very disappointing. Personally, I want to see that you receive the support you need to survive your present difficult circumstances. The changing rules and the changing circumstances you describe are very disheartening.

As you know, we are trying in Wisconsin to make some definite efforts to have a sentence reduction in your case. Hopefully, some good souls will see that the six years of incarceration you have already endured are enough to satisfy the state and any sense of justice.

As we enter the desert of Lent and begin a time of increased prayers and penance, I want you to know how you and your intentions are in my heart during this millennium Easter time. It would be a great fulfillment of the millennium spirit to see your captive heart set free. It is impossible for me to honestly talk about specific dates, but the liturgical seasons of Lent and Easter do inspire all of us with a sense of hope. Keep me and the Archdiocese in your prayers. Know that I continue to hold you in my prayers.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago
MEMORANDUM

TO: Cardinal George
FROM: Fr. McBrady
RE: Fr. Norbert Maday
DATE: February 16, 2000

This is the appropriate time for you to speak with Bishop Wycislo regarding Norb since the paper work has now been filed with the governor’s office.

These are the key points:

• Norb received a twenty year sentence for a first offense of touching a male minor’s genitals over the clothing.

• Norb has served five years of his sentence.

• His attorney is requesting a commutation of sentence with the understanding that he would be returned to the Archdiocese of Chicago where he will live in a monitored setting with a strict protocol. He will not be permitted to function publicly as a priest. (In other words, we are not trying to get him off the hook).

• It is important for Bishop Wycislo to intercede with the governor in his own name and not merely convey our message. We feel this is important because, at the time of the media coverage regarding the prayer service for Mrs. Maday at the prison, the media’s take on the story was that Chicago was attempting to influence the way things are done in Wisconsin.

I hope this is helpful. Please call me if you need any further clarification (642-1837).
Memorandum

Memorandum

Memo to File: PFR-29
From: Kathleen Leggdas, Administrator
Re: [Redacted]/Maday Allegation
Date: January 24, 2000

On Wednesday, January 19, 2000, PFRA met with Father Norbert Maday [NB] at Whiteville Correctional Facility in Tennessee. The meeting was held at the main checkpoint at a table set out in the hallway for monitoring purposes and limited to two hours. The meeting went for the full two hours.

Initial conversation focused on the history of NM’s legal case; current conditions in the for-profit prison, status of parole efforts, etc.

PFRA then presented NM with allegations of sexual misconduct with a minor made against him by [Redacted].

NM stated that he “vaguely remembered [Redacted], but that he was not one of the usual group of boys that he took out.” NM did say that the “driving lessons” described by [Redacted] were an accurate description of activities he and the junior high students participated in.

NM’s description follows: “The boys took turns driving his car. They sat in front of NM (the seat was pushed all the way back) so boys sat on car seat not on his lap. NM had his arms around the boys waist or he may have squeezed their knees, whatever he did was done as more affectionate than sexual.”

NM described himself as “one of the boys,” saying that “the psychosocial development wasn’t there at the time,” and that he was “fathering” them, i.e. playing around them without regard for boundary issues that he would be aware of today.

Cc: Review Board Members
Rev. Thomas J. Paprocki, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. Dan Coughlin, Vicar for Priests
Mr. John O’Malley, Legal Services
Mr. Jim Serritella, Attorney
January 12, 2000

Norbert Maday 278632
HE-108-WCF
P.O. Box 679
Whiteville, TN 38075

Dear Father Norb,

May this Jubilee Year bring you peace and the grace of Our Lord Jesus Christ.

The very calling to mind of Isaiah's words on the Year of Jubilee echo my prayer for "the release of prisoners." As you know, Father Dan Coughlin and the lawyers have something under way. I pray these efforts will bear fruit.

Recently I heard from Father Charlie Kelly expressing his concern for you. I am grateful that he and others sustain your spirit by their prayers and correspondence.

The loss of your friend and advocate, _______, must cause you sadness. She is in my prayers that the Lord will bring her quickly home.

May you remain strong in Christ and open to the Spirit who works in and through all circumstances. You are in my prayers; please keep me and the Archdiocese in yours.

Fraternally in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago
November 23, 1999

Norbert Maday 278632
HE-108-WCF
P. O. Box 679
Whiteville, TN 38075

Dear Norb:

Although this is the beginning of the holiday season for many people, I know this particular time is very difficult for you. I am sure it echoes many better times of the past but the present circumstances around you and around the people you care for are difficult. I know this must cause you a great deal of pain.

I regret that Gerald Boyle has not been in touch with you. I wish to commend you for your efforts to reach him. Last Friday I asked our lawyers to contact Boyle’s regular office to seek a time that is best to receive calls and a better phone number at which to receive calls. Yesterday in the presence of others I registered a more formal complaint with the lawyers. I am hoping that they will begin to pester Mr. Boyle and let him know that we want some deliberate action.

With this intent you must understand that my frustrations with Mr. Boyle are as real as yours. I still have not lost hope.
It is difficult to put into words, but I do pray for you and I do wish you a happy Thanksgiving.

Sincerely yours,

Rev. Dan Coughlin
Vicar for Priests
October 4, 1999

Dear Mrs. [Redacted],

Cardinal George asked that I respond to your letter of September 21. Thank you for your concern for Father Norb Maday. Your concern for him is very much appreciated. I know Norb is grateful for the many people who continue to remember him and support him with their prayers. You are certainly included in that number.

The Vicar for Priests’ office continues to be in contact with Father Norb and we are looking for ways to bring about his freedom.

Currently, we are taking some specific initiatives in the hope of bringing about his early release. I am not able to divulge the exact nature of these endeavors, but I do want you to know that this matter is being taken very seriously.

Again, I thank you for your concern and hope we will have some positive results in the near future.

Sincerely yours,

Rev. Lawrence P. McBrady
Vicar for Priests
Memo to the File

From: Dan Coughlin

Re: Norb Maday

Date: December 1, 1999

Scott Bombeck talked to John O’Malley last week. He wanted Norbert’s Social Security Number. Over the holiday weekend I obtained those from [redacted]. She called in the number [redacted]. His birthday is [redacted].

I called Scott on Monday only to find out he is in the office on Tuesdays, Thursdays, and Fridays. I again called on Tuesday, November 30, 1999. I gave him the information he wanted.

He then outlined what he is working on. He is preparing a plea of Commutation of the Sentence that will go to the Governor of the State of Wisconsin. First it must be submitted to the Governor’s own legal counsel. After that it will go to the Parole Board.

He then solicited my help. The piece he is working on asks for an “extraordinary situation”. I told him that I thought this was extraordinary in a few ways. First Norb is deteriorating physically and psychologically. He is growing depressed. This is affecting his ability to think clearly and he is becoming more and more emotional. His psychological state is affecting his health. I believe some of the pain he complains about in his back, feet and mouth are psychosomatically induced.

Because he is a priest he is prone to be the object of violence. There was one early incident of being set up with the razor blade. But because the prison wants to keep the more violent away from him, his cellmate is usually a depressed person. This adds to his depression.

Another and most singular thing to point out is that he has already served five years. Whenever he is released the Archdiocese will put him under a protocol which is much stricter than any that set up by the ordinary Parole Board. He would live in a monitored situation. He would keep a daily log and have to call in at least twice a day reporting on his whereabouts and his log. He would never be allowed to be alone with minors. We would see that he would see a therapist, probably weekly and he would be in a group overseen by a psychologist, also weekly. Unlike Parole the Archdiocese would hold him under a protocol for his entire life as a priest.

Scott thanked me. He thought the conversation helpful to his task.

He thought he would hear from the Governor’s legal counsel within ten days. The Parole Board would not be as quick.
June 9, 1999

Rev. Daniel Coughlin
Archdiocese of Chicago
645 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 543
Chicago, IL 60611

Dear Rev. Coughlin:

Re: Maday, Norbert #278632

The Wisconsin Parole Commission has received your letter of May 20, 1999 regarding Mr. Maday, and the material on the proactive program offered by the Archdiocese of Chicago for the priest. The Commission will place your material in Mr. Maday's social services file for consideration at his next parole interview.

Sincerely,

Jerry E. Smith, Jr.
Parole Commission Chairman
May 20, 1999

Dear Commissioner Smith:

I am the Vicar for Priests for the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Chicago. The Archdiocese of Chicago is a division of the Roman Catholic Church that encompasses Cook and Lake Counties in Illinois. The Archdiocese established the Vicar for Priests office in 1984; one of the principle roles of the office is to care for priests who have been accused of serious misconduct.

Norbert Maday (278632) is presently serving time at the Hardeman County Correctional Facility in Whiteville, Tennessee (HE108). He is also a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago.

The Archdiocese has a system for caring for priests who have been determined to present a risk of engaging in sexual misconduct with minors. These determinations are made by the Archdiocese of Chicago Professional Fitness Review Board. This is a Board of nine people, which is separate and independent of the Archdiocese’s administration. The Board reviews allegations that a priest of the Archdiocese has engaged in sexual misconduct with a minor. If the Board concludes that an accused priest presents a risk to minors, it makes a recommendation to the Archbishop of Chicago that the priest be withdrawn from ministry. According to Archdiocesan policy, a priest who has been withdrawn from ministry in this fashion may not return to ministry without the positive recommendation of the Review Board. The Review Board oversees the entire life situation of such a priest for the duration of his priesthood even if he is released by the court or other public body.

The Review Board is staffed by a Professional Fitness Review Administrator. Together the Administrator and I oversee the individual protocols established for priests who are withdrawn from ministry. The individual protocols are adapted to the particular situation of each priest, but all protocols include the following elements:
The priest may not engage in parish ministry or in ministry that involves minors.

The priest lives in a residence where his activities are monitored and he may never be in the presence of a minor without another adult present. A priest may not leave town except for treatment, a family visit, or other good purpose. Before doing so, he must have the permission of the Professional Fitness Administrator who establishes a monitoring protocol for when the priest is out of town. Frequently, the priest is accompanied by a monitor.

The monitoring program includes a monitor on site at the priest's residence as well as oversight by the Professional Fitness Review Administrator. Someone like Maday would be required to keep a daily log of all movements and commitments. This log is periodically reviewed by the Administrator. In addition, Maday would need to report by telephone to an official monitor all his movements and activities at least twice a day.

A priest who is withdrawn from ministry because of an accusation of sexual misconduct with a minor, will remain in the monitoring program for the rest of his life as a priest. Monitoring protocols are varied over time in response to the priest's conduct and level of cooperation.

The priest is also required to identify and regularly meet with a spiritual director. The spiritual director guides the priest in strengthening his religious and spiritual commitments and personal, religious practices.

While the priest may not engage in parish ministry or any ministry involving contact with minors, the priest is required to engage in other productive work in a monitored setting.

In summary, the Archdiocese of Chicago system is designed to ensure the safety of children and promote treatment for the accused priest. (I have enclosed some the Archdiocese of Chicago policies referred to in this letter.) There would be an additional dimension for a situation like Maday's. He would be required to participate in this system for the duration of his life as a priest, even if he were released from any and all restrictions required by the State of Wisconsin. In the meantime, the Professional Fitness Review Administrator and I would work with his parole officer and other relevant authorities to
ensure that Maday fully cooperated with the State of Wisconsin's requirements for as long as is necessary.

We would be pleased to receive Norbert Maday into the Archdiocese of Chicago system, outlined above. We would also accept financial responsibility for his maintenance, monitoring. This would relieve the State of Wisconsin from the financial burden of caring for Maday.

Thank you for your consideration. I would be pleased to provide additional information about the Archdiocese of Chicago system or answer any questions.

Very truly yours,

Rev. Daniel Coughlin
Vicar for Priests
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Kathleen Leggdas, Professional Fitness Review Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Fitness Review, of Victim BU's statement, given to Ms. Leggdas on April 27, 1999, formalizing his allegation of abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday. The alleged abuse consisted of three incidents of genital fondling over his clothing, which occurred when Victim BU was in the seventh grade. The alleged abuse occurred in Fr. Maday's car where he would have kids sit on his lap.
Memorandum

To: Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
From: Rev. Daniel Coughlin
Date: January 20, 1999
Re: Father Norbert Maday

On Saturday, January 16, 1999 I met with Norbert at the Correctional Facility in Whiteville, Tennessee. We met for over three hours. The meeting was significant because I saw dispositions and vulnerability in Norb and heard him make statements I had not heard before. Perhaps these aspects of him and his case were not new; but this was the first time I had heard things like: "I know I have caused harm to others. I know I have caused pain to the Church."

I think the move from Wisconsin to Tennessee and nearly five years of incarceration have had a great effect upon him. The distance has reduced the number of visitors and the frequency of visits from people like [REDACTED]. He is now more focused, more vulnerable and fearful; but also more aware of his immaturity, values and behavior which got him into trouble in the first place.

Ever since my last visit with him in Wisconsin I have been more optimistic that he was making some significant turns. My recent trip convinces me. So I would like to outline a plan I have in mind for this year. I trust we can review this in our next regular meeting, scheduled for February 28, 1999 at 1:30 p.m.

Norb should have his first appearance before a parole board in July. By June I hope the following pieces will have come together so that he can make an informed and good case for himself.

After this is started, I will contact a Wisconsin lawyer, Gerald Boyle, already familiar with Norb's case. I hope Norb can personally convince Mr. Boyle that he will again take interest in Norb's case; because of his change of heart. Perhaps Boyle can guide Norb how to conduct himself at the parole hearing in the hope of bringing him back to Wisconsin to enter their best program which will lead to earlier release. Boyle knows the system.

Once he is back in Wisconsin and established in a group it may be good for you to reward his progress with a personal visit. That would be late in 1999, if all goes well.
December 1, 1998

Reverend Daniel P. Coughlin
Co-vicar for Priests
645 N. Michigan Ave., #543
Chicago, IL 60611

Dear Father Coughlin:

I am writing in response to your telephone call of November 30, 1998, regarding payroll status of Reverend Norbert Maday and his recent transfer to the for-profit correctional facility in Tennessee.

In reviewing the files, his stipend was decreased to $200.00 in November of 1997. As Fr. Maday requested, we will increase this to $300.00 per month, effective December 1, 1998 to help cover additional personal expenses charged to him by this new facility.

If I can be of further assistance, please call.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Leggdas
Professional Fitness
Review Administrator

cc: Rev. Thomas J. Paprocki
The Milwaukee Archdiocese, in conjunction with the Office for Hispanic Ministry, presents this certificate of appreciation to

Norbert Maday

for his cooperation and personal commitment to develop and maintain the Catholic Prison Ministry in this institution.

Bishop Richard Skloka
Archdiocese of Milwaukee

Pedro Martinez, Director
Office for Hispanic Ministry

October 31, 1998
Norbert Maday  
Hardeman County Correctional Facility  
1440 Union Springs Rd.  
P.O. Box 549  
Whiteville, TN 38075

Dear Father Maday,

Father Dan Coughlin has told me that you've been transferred to Tennessee. While not unexpected, in the light of everything we've heard lately, the transfer is inevitably difficult for you and those who love you. I'm sorry, but I will find in this transfer an added reason to keep you more constantly in my prayers. Father Coughlin told me that you need a new set of the Liturgy of the Hours. I'll be happy to send that as a personal gift to you from me, with the hopes that I will also be in your prayers.

The basic problem of cooperating with the authorities in the prison system, even though this means an admission of need for help on your part, will continue to influence the way you're treated in the system and the possibilities of your being released into some form of personal liberty. This difficulty remains in my mind as I pray for you, and I hope you can find your personal solution to it in a new situation. Above all, I hope that you will retain a deep sense of your personal dignity in whatever situation you find yourself. May the Lord give you a deep trust in His goodness and a confidence in the future.

Fraternally yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago

Received: OCT 28 1998
MEMORANDUM

To: Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
From: Rev. Daniel P. Coughlin
Date: July 7, 1998
Re: Fr. Norbert Maday

This memo is provided to give you a brief historical summary of Fr. Norbert Maday's case. In it I will try to indicate his personal dispositions along the way to better understand him and also the attempted actions of the Archdiocese on his behalf.

Father Maday had a reputation through the years of working with the Boy Scouts and a personal interest and ministry to the youth in the parishes where he served.

On June 8, 1994, following a trial by jury in the circuit Court of Winnebago County, Wisconsin, Fr. Maday was convicted of three counts of Sexual Assault and one count of Intimidation of a Witness. He was sentenced to two consecutive ten year terms of imprisonment, as well as additional ten year and five year concurrent sentences. Fr. Maday appealed the conviction and the Wisconsin Appellate Court affirmed the trial court judgment in March 1996. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has denied leave to appeal. There presently are no legal proceeding pending and Fr. Maday remains in prison.

The Archdiocese learned of the incidents giving rise to the conviction in late 1991 or early 1992. Specifically, youths with whom Fr. Maday had a relationship at Our Lady of the Ridge parish alleged that he had sexually abused them at the parish and on an overnight at a youth retreat center run by a priest friend of his in Oshkosh, Wisconsin in 1986. The abuse was alleged to consist of fondling and threats not to disclose the activity. The matter was brought to the attention of local Illinois and Wisconsin officials and the Archdiocese learned of the matter as a result of their inquiries. Fr. Maday was removed from ministry and placed on administrative leave in March 1992.

The Vicar for Priests, Fr. Pat O'Malley recommended that Fr. Maday retain counsel and provided names of attorneys with whom the Archdiocese had experience and who would be available to represent him. Fr. Maday retained attorney Stephen Komie on his own initiative. Mr. Komie was not one of the attorneys recommended by Fr. O'Malley.
Thereafter, the Archdiocese learned that Fr. Maday had commenced fundraising in the Our Lady of the Ridge parish community and elsewhere. At the instance of Cardinal Bernardin, Fr. O’Malley directed Fr. Maday to cease fundraising. In turn, the Archdiocese agreed to loan money to Fr. Maday to fund his defense in order that he not importune his parishioners.

While the charges against Fr. Maday were straightforward, the processing of the case was relatively complicated because it required investigative services and the employment of local counsel in Wisconsin, the production of witnesses and information across state lines. Expense of the trial in Wisconsin included housing and related expenses for Mr. Komic and his staff and expert and witnesses travel expense. More important, the matter was allowed to proceed in a contentious manner from the outset. Disputes were not resolved constructively; rather, they were litigated at great length. There was at least one interlocutory appeal to the Wisconsin appellate court seeking review of a ruling excluding certain witnesses. There were contested proceedings in other states to obtain college and military records of the accusers.

Despite his repeated and public claims of innocence, Fr. Maday did not testify in his own defense at trial. To this day we, nor Fr. Maday, know why legal counsel chose not to put him on the stand.

Following the conviction, and based upon the circumstances, the Cardinal determined that the Archdiocese could not continue to provide direct financial support by way of further loans to Fr. Maday and Mr. Komic withdrew as Fr. Maday’s attorney. (Fr. Maday says he also paid Mr. Komic $3,000.) Nevertheless, in an effort to protect Fr. Maday’s right to an appeal, Fr. O’Malley arranged for and Fr. Maday agreed to legal representation by attorney Gerald P. Boyle, an experienced Wisconsin criminal defense attorney, who agreed to handle the appeal for whatever expenses could be covered by Fr. Maday’s stipend. (It is estimated this effort cost about $13,000)

It was Mr. Boyle’s opinion that the appeal was not likely to succeed and, as a practical matter, whatever doubt might have existed in the minds of the jurors was not furthered by Fr. Maday’s apparent inability to deny under oath that he committed the acts. Mr. Boyle was hopeful that he could be of some assistance to Fr. Maday as he served his sentence for the reason that Boyle served on a Wisconsin prison oversight board and could facilitate Fr. Maday’s participation in programs and opportunities that might be helpful to him. Fr. Maday has written again to Mr. Boyle as he pursues entrance into a Deniers Group in the prison at Oshkosh.

After the appeal was concluded Fr. Maday terminated his relationship with Boyle. Until recently, Fr. Maday continued not to avail himself of prison programs for sex offenders. At present Wisconsin is moving inmates to various prisons in an effort to address the overcrowding situation faced at prisons like Fox Lake where Fr. Maday is now incarcerated.
Because Fr. Maday is not a problem prisoner, has no legal action pending and not availed himself of any prison programs for sex offenders, he is a likely candidate to be moved to Tennessee. This plus continued exhortation by the Archdiocese to enter a program like Oshkosh's Deniers Group have finally moved Fr. Maday to write two letters to Mr. Dan Benak, Assistant Warden of Fox Lake Prison.
Dear Most Rev. Agustino Caccavelli,

Greetings and All Good in the Name of the Lord!

My name is Norbert Haday, a Catholic priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago for 34 years, convicted sentenced to prison in Wisconsin.

I do not want to argue about my conviction. But I can say I am innocent of the crimes that they have accused me of. I've been sitting in prison for almost four years. Until now, I do not understand the Way of God but I accept it. Neither do I understand the lack of support I have from my Church.

I feel frustrated because phone calls are not accepted and visits are almost non-existent. I feel the degeneration of the situation as I ponder the disproportionate sentence of 20 years given to me and no movement taking place. Since early 1997 I've been using my own personal funds for lawyers who have proven to be not only ineffective but from the mouths of the prison legal staff, incompetent.

I'm appealing to you as the
maximal representative of the Roman Catholic Church to help me to get through this nightmare and help me find genuine justice.

If you need more details about my case I will gladly send them to you. I know in my heart that your help will be immeasurable.

Sincerely,

Fr. Norbert Maday

cc *Cd. Francis George
May 23, 1998

Norbert Maday
Fox Lake Correctional Institute
P. O. Box 147
Fox Lake, WI 53933

Dear Norb:

As the Easter season draws to a close, I am about to leave for Rome. I want you to be assured of my prayers in the basilicas of Rome while I am on my ad limina visit.

As one of my priests, you know our relationship is a very special one. I am sympathetic over the loss of your mother and your continued incarceration.

There are some situations that we cannot do anything about. There are other situations that have in-built opportunities to change matters. One matter you can assume yourself is to enter the Denier's Group which has been recommended to you both by counsel and by the State of Wisconsin. Entrance into this group is one sure way you can possibly change your entire future.

As your Archbishop I insist you enter the Denier's Group. Know that I urge this for your own good.

When Father Dan Coughlin visits you I hope you can report to him that you have already complied with my request.

Please remember me in your prayers. Pray for a safe journey for our entire group.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago
Reverend Norbert J. Maday  
P.O. Box 16702  
Chicago, Illinois 60616-0703  

Dear Father Maday:

At the direction of Cardinal Bernardin, I am writing in response to your request to review the restriction forbidding you to wear the Roman collar or any other form of clerical garb while you are on an administrative leave of absence.

The Cardinal has reviewed your request with his advisors. Accordingly, as explained to you by Father Patrick O'Malley, Vicar for Priests, you are hereby dispensed from paragraph #5 of the Precept Imposing Restrictions, dated July 1, 1992, during such times as you are required to appear publicly in connection with your pending prosecution.

With every best wish, I remain

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Reverend Thomas J. Paprocki  
Chancellor

Given at the Chancery

Vice-Chancellor

bc: Reverend Patrick J. O'Malley, Vicar for Priests  
Mr. John O'Malley, Office of Legal Counsel  
Mr. James Serritella, Legal Counsel

TJP/cfb
Dear Father Maday:

After conferring with my advisors concerning your appeal in your letter of July 2, 1992, I have decided to affirm the restriction that you not wear the Roman collar, as indicated in the precept of July 1, 1992.

In upholding this restriction, I am applying a consistent rule that the Roman collar is not to be worn by anyone who is on a leave from priestly ministry, since the collar is symbolic of those who are authorized to exercise such ministry in the Church. No further inference is intended by this restriction, nor should it be taken to imply guilt or otherwise to reflect negatively on you.

In addition to the restriction on wearing the Roman collar, please note that all the other restrictions of the precept of July 1, 1992 remain in effect. In particular, I also wish to reiterate the restriction that you refrain from public comment in the media. Specifically, this means that you are not to give interviews to reporters, hold press conferences, or issue public statements concerning your case. In this regard, I consider your televised interviews with reporters last week to have been contrary to the spirit, if not the letter, of this restriction. For your own sake as well as the good of the Church, you are warned not to engage again in this type of public comment.

As the proceedings in this case continue, I will be praying that truth and justice will be served.

With cordial good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours in Christ,

[Signature]
Archbishop of Chicago

Given at the Chancery

Thomas J. Paprocki
Chancellor

Reverend Norbert J. Maday
P.O. Box 16703
Chicago, Illinois 60616-0703
Dear Archbishop George,

Belated congratulations on your being named Archbishop of Chicago! I believe an Order priest can bring new insight into the Archdiocese. May God grant you the strength, courage and wisdom for the monumental tasks and decisions ahead of you.

I am writing to you about one of those thorny problems that you have inherited and have already become aware. To Norbert J. Maday currently imprisoned in Wisconsin for a term of twenty years after being convicted of felony child abuse and intimidation while serving as an active priest for the Archdiocese of Chicago.

Norbert is currently orchestrating a so-called “grass roots” petition and complaint to the Archdiocese concerning the alleged manner in which the Archdiocese has “abandoned” him and is not doing enough for him. The main purpose of this petition by Norbert is to gain your sympathy for himself. To me, this is just another one of his Machiavellian schemes or plots to gain some benefits for himself—this time by placing public pressure on you. After Norbert was diagnosed as a pedophilic sociopath, I began to understand his total disregard for everyone else as long
as he gets what he wants.

In justice, I believe you should be made aware of these facts and not be influenced by this petition or really, by anything he says. If you are going to re-open his case, you must judge it by what evidence was presented to the Archdiocese's Commission investigating the many complaints against him, reports from his previous pastors and by the criminal trial itself. I personally do not believe he will get many signatures on the petition, but you never know. He has had many, many people completely fooled for many years. When CardinalBernardin held a news conference and publicly stated that the Archdiocese would not pay for the defense of priests in criminal lawsuits and the very next day lent Norbert the first $100,000 for his defense, I really wondered, "Is this the way the Church works? Say one thing publicly to be politically correct and semantics aside, privately do the opposite!"

I also question Norbert being officially classified as being "on administrative leave" and I simply cannot fathom how the Archdiocese can justify continuing to pay Norbert a salary. Will he be on administrative leave and salaried for the remaining seventeen years of his prison sentence? I do not believe the Archdiocese should be rewarding a convicted criminal with a salary. I FIND THIS SCANDALOUS!!! What is the reasoning and logic behind this?

It really appears that the Archdiocese has become very arrogant and considers itself above the law and the will of the people by just winking at criminal behavior by its priests. It appears that the Archdiocese has money to burn when it comes to its priests while having little or no regard for its priests'
victims. I believe if this continuation of salary became public knowledge, it could only bring increased damage and scandal to the Church. I realize you had nothing to do with forming Archdiocesan policies regarding these issues; however, you now have the authority to make amends and needed changes.

I know the Archdiocese and Norbert are still facing civil lawsuits. I do not understand why the Archdiocese must back its priests 100% no matter what they do. It just seems to encourage them rather than trying to correct them. Wouldn't it be better to cut off the "judas priests" rather than continue to cater to them? At the time Norbert was first accused, there was mention of an Ecclesiastical trial. Will this ever happen or was this just another public relations maneuver?

I was very, very reluctant to write to Cardinal Bernadin due to his failing health and especially due to the fact that Norbert always managed to find out exactly who spoke out against him to the Archdiocese.

I hope you will find this letter helpful in dealing with the upcoming petition and also give you another viewpoint concerning some Archdiocesan policies. Thank you, your Excellency, for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

P.S. Welcome to Chicago!!!
October 31, 1997

Norbert Maday
Fox Lake Prison
PO Box 147
Fox Lake, WI 53933

Dear Norb,

We continue to keep you in our prayers as you grieve the loss of your mother.

The Archbishop has received your letter of October 22. By now, you have probably received his letter to you dated October 21. As he indicated in the letter of the 21st, I have been asked to respond.

Sometime ago the Archbishop asked that I explore possibilities relative to your situation. To that end, I met with Warden Berge and Associate Warden Benik who, as you know, oversees treatment programs. They were both adamant that no special program would be designed for you -- or for any other inmate. They told me Oshkosh is the appropriate program for you and that this is the only way you can be considered for a parole. In other words, to not participate is to, in effect, agree to serve the entire sentence.

The Archbishop would like you to go to the Oshkosh program because he feels this is your best opportunity. While you may not like the "institutional" and "programmed" nature of the State's program, the Church has no ability to request special treatment for you. We have been told clearly by the State of Wisconsin that the denier's program is the only program available.

The Archbishop hopes you will accept the parameters of your confinement and avail yourself of this program which offers the only possibility for early release.
You are remembered in the Archbishop's prayers as well as my own as you struggle with this situation.

in Christ,

Rev. Lawrence P. McBrady
Vicar for Priests
September 8, 1997

The Honorable Tommy Thompson,
Governor, State of Wisconsin
Room 115 East, State Capitol
Post Office Box 7863
Madison, Wisconsin 537007

Dear Governor Thompson,

Thank you for your personal thoughtfulness in granting an extraordinary permission for the body of Catherine Maday to be brought to the Fox Lake Correctional Facility for the viewing by her son, Norbert. It was an exceptional act of charity.

Many of your staff and employees deserve special recognition. Mr. Stewart Simonson, your legal counsel, demonstrated a readiness in his acumen and understanding of the situation by facilitating the movements of the day. Deputy warden, Daniel Benik of the Fox Lake Correctional Facility, his staff, the chaplain services and the correctional officers all were both effective and compassionate in providing access to the facility for the family members and Norbert. They were personally supportive and caring of the mourners. I know of the extraordinary planning and subsequent effective mobilization that had to take place that day. It required exceptional effort of your staff. I do appreciate all of that effort which was rendered with dignity and courtesy.

Please accept my appreciation for all you have done, your personal thoughtfulness and the goodness of your staff.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Most Reverend Francis E. George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago

CC: Mr. Steward Simonson, Legal Counsel
    Mr. Daniel Benik and Staff, Fox Lake Correctional Facility
    Mr. Michael Sullivan, Sec. Corrections/Bishop Wycislo
July 2, 1997

Dear Norb,

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 1997. I was happy to have the opportunity to speak with your mother.

In your letter you request that I become involved with the Wisconsin legal system and the governor, Mr. Thompson. Although I understand your desire to be released from prison, an intervention on my part would not be helpful. I have no ability to circumvent the legal process.

This, I know, is not the answer you want but it is important that we be realistic about the possibilities in this situation.

You are remembered in my prayers.

I also strongly urge you to have your attorney contact Mr. John O’Malley of our Legal Services office. Mr. O’Malley and your attorney should be in conversation.

Fraternally,

Most Reverend Francis E. George, O.M.I.  
Archbishop of Chicago

Norbert Maday  228632
P.O. Box 147
Fox Lake, WI  53933
ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO
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Memo  (maday89)
To:  Cardinal Bernardin
From:  Rev. Patrick O’Malley
Date:  4/16/96
Re:  Norbert Maday

On Monday evening, April 15, I spoke to Mr. Jerry Boyle, attorney for Norb Maday. On Friday, April 12, Norb’s attorneys had filed a further appeal to the Wisconsin Supreme Court. Boyle told me that, if the supreme court rules negatively on this appeal, that is it.

One of the reasons that Boyle has not been able to see Maday is that it takes a full day out of his time and he just cannot afford that kind of time. He is sending someone up to see Norb this coming week. Boyle feels that at some point along the way, Norb has to admit that he got himself into the circumstances. He then has to do some work on it and that’s his best chance of getting out early.

Boyle went back over the trial a little bit saying that these three young men, with no indication that they were in collusion, came and had the same stories against Norb. Boyle is convinced that, had he been handling this case from the start, he would have been able to get Maday off with 6 to 8 years and he would be almost out by this time. But that’s water under the bridge.

Boyle again expresses his edification at the way you and the Archdiocese have treated Maday.

I told Boyle that I would stay in touch with him as we await the response to the latest appeal. He did not sound overly optimistic.
Pat O'Malley and I have looked over Norb Maday's most recent letter to you. It involves so many points at this time we are suggesting that I simply discuss some of these matters with Norb Maday when I visit with him on April 11 or 12. Perhaps in the conversation I can search for more clarity. After my visit we can discuss his situation and perhaps during the Easter time you can make another response to him. Please see my attached letter to Norb Maday.
Memo to File (Maday81)

From: Rev. Patrick O'Malley
Date: 12/16/95
Re: Rev. Norbert Maday

I met with Norbert Maday at the Columbia Correctional Facility on Friday, 12/15. We shared the Eucharist and had a good session. Norb expressed some concern about his presence in the prison. He says that he is reluctant to enter any kind of a program for sexual offenders. He has two reasons: 1) He feels that the psychiatrists and psychologists do not understand the life of a priest and the spiritual life and, 2) he is afraid to talk about anything in the groups at the prison. He feels that words that might be said in confidence would be told to others and might come back on him. Norb feels that, because he is a priest, he is the object of some hostility at the prison. Many of the inmates are practicing the Muslim faith and seem not to get along well with those with Christian background. There usually is a racial question as well.

Norb tries to avoid any kind of sticky situations, knowing that they end up on his record. The incident of the razor blade in February 1995 is a perfect example. He feels that the blade was planted on him and that the time he spent in solitary will count against him in the long run. He is not a violent person and does not wish people to see him as a violent person.

My sense is that the reality of living in a maximum security prison is setting in and is very difficult, especially in the light of Norb’s sensibilities. While he finds many really fine people in the prison, he also notes that there are many who have no sense of spiritual values. Norb has completed his course on tutoring and is now a certified tutor for others.

I told Maday that I would contact his lawyer to find out if there is any more information and also to inform him of Norb’s concerns at this time. One man who originally was a cellmate of Norb has a very strong dislike and is very hostile to Norb. While he is no longer in Norb’s area, he has made some calls to [redacted], the woman who drives Mrs. Maday to visit Norb. This man says, when he calls that he is Norbert Maday, and he asks them to accept the call. They have learned not to accept it. The man also says that he had some of his friends from Milwaukee go and case the
place where ______ lives. This disturbs Norb as well it should. I will mention these things to Jerry Boyle when I speak to him.
July 28, 1995

Norbert Maday
#278632
Columbia Correctional Institute
P.O. Box 900
Portage, WI 53901

Dear Norbert:

I appreciated your last letter and clearly understood the contents that you brought to my attention, but you did not present a thought that I had not visited mentally before I wrote the brief.

There is no way that a client ever becomes co-author with the lawyer on a brief because amongst other things you would have to read the 2500 plus page transcripts and in fact have legal training so as to know the requirements of the law. I have never before had a request such as you had made when you asked why I did not give you the brief for your comments before I submitted it, and I would never have accepted representation from a client who made such a request. I have had many clients talk to me about the issues they felt were important and I can absolutely assure you that that was done in your case.

I see where you have indicated to me that you have written the "Cardinal." I can imagine that letter contains much of the same statements that you made in your letter to me. I have this day sent a copy of your brief to your mother who upon making her first request when I was out of town also embodied in her request a statement that you were very upset with the brief. This comes as no surprise to me but there is not much I can do about that. I know my job and unfortunately you do not quite understand what an appellate attorney has to do when writing a brief to the Court of Appeals.

As an example, if I had argued that there was not a sufficient basis to find you guilty of the crime charged, I would be lying. The rule of law is simply whether there exists credible evidence on which the jury can base a conviction. Your Mr. Komie did not
convince the jury that these boys were total liars and without your
taking the witness stand you did not bring your story to the jury’s
attention so they could judge whether you were telling the truth or
the boys were telling the truth. You and your lawyers decided that
the cross-examination of the boys and other related matters would
show to the jury that they were liars. The verdict indicates as a
matter of fact and law, the jury chose to believe the boys,
otherwise they could not have found you guilty. The verdict
indicates that all of the other things that Mr. Komie did on your
behalf failed because if he was successful the jury would have
acquitted you. This was not a credibility issue between them and
you because your credibility was not an issue as you chose not to
testify. Therefore, I was precluded from arguing that as a matter
of conscience (meaning my conscience) I was unable to proffer the
argument that the jury did not have sufficient basis to convict.
If I were to have said otherwise, it is my belief that the
credibility of the rest of my brief might very well have fallen by
the wayside. If I make an argument that is hopelessly ridiculous
then what happens to those arguments where I feel I am absolutely
right in arguing the validity of my position.

Your brief was the best that I could do and I can assure you
unequivocally the best anyone else could do. I will have an
opportunity to respond to the State’s brief but I shall do it in my
way and unless you can show me something that makes sense I will
not alter my impressions submitted in the first brief. You have
extracted words and phrases and have made such important in the
totality of what has been presented to the Appellate Court, but I
suggest very strongly that you misunderstand the process and my
prior discussions with you in trying to explain how it works have
not been understood by you.

I am on your side and am committed to trying to help you but
I cannot undue things that were done in preparation for or things
that occurred at your trial. I am limited to the record and cannot
go beyond that except to argue common sense concepts. That was
done. The only two good issues in your case were argued
vociferously, one, relative to Mr. Komie’s inability to challenge
the video, and two, the fact of your excessive sentence. There
were none other that had any basis to them.

I am sorry I disappoint you, but there is nothing I can do
about that. When and if you are ever in surgery, even though you
are awake during the surgery, you would not expect the doctor to
listen to the patient as to surgical procedures other than to
listen to the patient’s claim of problems and act thereon, but only
utilizing good medical practice. The same is true of a lawyer.
July 28, 1995
Page 3

I am out of town next week. I will try to set up a meeting telephonically the week I get back, and I am most anxious to get your written approval to send a copy of this letter to Cardinal Bernardin as I think it only fair that he read it so as to understand my perception of this situation.

Sincerely yours,

GERALD P. BOYLE, S.C.

Gerald P. Boyle
Attorney at Law

GPB/pk
Memo

To:   Cardinal Bernardin
From: Rev. Patrick O’Malley
Date: 4/22/95
Re:   Norbert Maday

I visited Norb Maday on April 21. He seemed in good spirits. He is having some problems with We visited for about 2 hours and talked in general about a lot of things. He talked a bit about his situation there at the jail. Norb has some concerns about entering fully into a sex abuse program. He does not trust the other inmates. He feels that anything he might say in that setting could end up being passed around to others. I don’t know what their standards of confidentiality are, but that is his concern.

His mother is back living at home and has been up to see him a couple of times. She is walking with a cane. While she has lost some weight she still seems to be very spirited and perky. I will continue to keep you advised about Norb’s progress and the progress of his appeal.

I did bring up the question of car insurance for him. I told him I would try to keep his present car on insurance until 1/1/96. At that time we will know better the disposition of his case. Failing his release from prison, we will remove the insurance.

Ott: (312) 642-1837
Fax: (312) 642-4933
March 7, 1995

Dear [Name],

I have just returned from Australia and New Zealand. One of the first things I asked Father O'Malley was how you are doing. He told me that he had spoken with you recently and that you were doing better and hoped to be able to visit Norb soon. I was delighted to hear this.

I am writing simply to let you know that I remember you and Norb each day at Mass. I pray that God will give you both the strength and grace you need at this difficult time.

With cordial good wishes, I remain,

Devotedly in Christ,

[Signature]

Archbishop of Chicago
On 3/3/95 I visited Norb at Columbia Correctional Facility. He is no longer in isolation and so our visit was much less strained than it was back in January.

They allowed us a little longer time, about an hour and a half, and we had a good conversation. I was able to talk to Norb more directly about why he is in the prison and what our perception of his history is.

Norb has been pressured by the people at the facility to get into a treatment program for sex abusers. He has some concern about generating information while he is awaiting his appeal. I told him that concern should be directly discussed with his attorney.

He also has some concern about whether you would want him to get into such a program. I told him that I am certain you would want him to get help. I also told him that, while he is able to write to you several times a week, you can only return his letters when you have the opportunity. He understood that and doesn’t expect you to respond every time he writes.

All in all, he seemed to be in a better frame of mind. I spoke to Jerry Boyle, his attorney, last week.

Their request for a lessening of the sentence was dismissed. That is the first step in the appeal of the case. Now papers will be submitted and the usual legal point-counterpoint will take place. Boyle thinks that the case may go to the Appellate Court around July. The court then has 90 to 120 days normally to respond. So a decision on the appeal may not be forthcoming until well into the fall or early winter.

I’ll keep you informed as we go along about the matter.
Memo to File
From: Rev. Patrick O'Malley
Date: 12/8/93
Re: Rev. Norbert Maday

I spoke to Norbert Maday this morning. He informed me that after Christmas he is beginning classes on computer skills near Libertyville. He also has been taking typewriting classes and is planning on studying Spanish. In our conversation, I informed him of the call received recently from [redacted] whose statement I read to him. Fr. Maday denied any such allegations and said that they were not news to him since they had come forward at the time of his trial. [Redacted] had appeared on TV at one point denouncing Norb. I reiterated that the call from [redacted] was just received in November by the Professional Fitness Review Administrator and sent over to me.
Memo to File  
From: Rev. Patrick O'Malley  
Date: 11/24/93  
Re: Rev. Norbert Maday  

I received the record of a phone call that the Professional Fitness Review Administrator received on 11/17/93. It revolves around a call from a ( ) . said that he had called us at the time Maday had first been removed but got no response. I had Sr. Joyce Raden go back over all our phone logs for that time and we found no calls logged from him.

I then called ( ) to tell him this and he insists that he had called, but perhaps it might have been to some other Archdiocesan office where a tape was made. I apologized for that but told him how we log every call that comes in and follow up on it.

stuck by the story that he gave to Rita Mongan at the Professional Fitness Review Office. He says he himself was never abused by Maday but saw Maday abuse . He said there was drinking, smoking Pot, watching pornographic videos. says that he went on "200 trips with Maday". He says he believes those things that Maday is accused of. says that if he did not do them to the boys up in Wisconsin, then he certainly did them to others because knew the way he operated.

says that if we ever need any more information we should feel free to call him. He does not necessarily want to see Maday go to jail, but he does know that he needs help. I assured him that Maday will not be returning to parish.
ministry or ministry with youth at any time in the future. I told [redacted] that after the civil authorities do whatever it is they have to do, the Archdiocese will get him the help that is needed.

[redacted] seemed reassured by my call and said he felt better. At the end, once again he said if we ever needed more information, he would be happy to provide it.
The State of Wisconsin, by District Attorney Joseph F. Paulus, submits to defense counsel the following list of potential witnesses for trial, excluding rebuttal witnesses or those witnesses called for impeachment purposes, pursuant to Section 971.23(3), Wis. Stats., in the above-referenced case:

1. Father Martin Carr  
   1965 Oshkosh Avenue  
   Oshkosh, Wisconsin

2. 

3. Father Thomas Sloan  
   Our Lady of the Ridge Parish  
   109 Ridgeland  
   Chicago Ridge, Illinois

4. 

5. 

6. 

7. 

STATE OF WISCONSIN
Plaintiff,

vs.

NORBERT J. MADAY,  
Case No.: 92 CF 183

Defendant.
9. Father John Powers  
St. Jude's Parish  
880 East 154th Street  
South Holland, Illinois

11. Father Thomas Murphy  
St. Jude's Parish  
880 East 154th Street  
South Holland, Illinois

14. Fred Speck  
6500 West Fireside Drive  
Chicago Ridge, Illinois

15. Kevin Birmingham  
6649 104th Street  
Chicago Ridge, Illinois

18. Bruce Noworul  
6432 West 81st Place  
Burbank, Illinois

19. Thomas Hacker  
Menard Psychiatric Institute  
P.O. Box 56  
Menard, Illinois

20. Father Raymond Goedart  
Vicar for Priests  
Chicago Archdiocese  
162 Superior  
Chicago, Illinois
21. Cardinal Joseph Bernardin  
Chicago Archdiocese  
162 Superior  
Chicago, Illinois

22. Father Jerry Duggan  
St. Bede's Church  
8200 South Kostner Avenue  
Chicago, Illinois

23. 

24. 

25. Father James Hyland  
St. Christopher's  
1461 Keeler  
Midlothian, Illinois

26. 

27. 

28. Dan and Mike O'Donnell  
8801 West 143rd Street  
Orland Park, Illinois

29. Dr. Anne Brown  
813 Dempster Street  
Evanston, IL 60201

30. Beverly Marinelli  
48 E. Jefferson Avenue  
Naperville, Illinois

31. Dr. Fran Ferder  
Therapy and Renewal Associates  
1037 South 102nd Street  
Seattle, WA 98168

32. Tim Smith  
200 W. Mercer  
Seattle, Washington

33. Father John Heagle
34. [name redacted]

35. [name redacted]

36. Fran Ditta  
RR 1, Harlem Avenue  
Box 292  
Peotone, Illinois

37. Mary Wollery  
Illinois State Police

38. Detective Gerald Forseth  
Oshkosh Police Department

39. Mark Cavens  
Assistant State’s Attorney  
Cook County State’s Attorney Office  
Chicago, Illinois

40. Diane Romza  
Assistant State’s Attorney  
Cook County State’s Attorney Office  
Chicago, Illinois

41. Tony Calabrese  
Assistant State’s Attorney  
Cook County State’s Attorney Office  
Chicago, Illinois

42. Jack O’Malley  
State’s Attorney  
Cook County State’s Attorney Office  
Chicago, Illinois

43. Officer Brian Galske  
Chicago Ridge Police Department  
Chicago Ridge, Illinois

44. Barbara J. Morris  
Records Custodian  
St. Elizabeth Hospital  
1501 Hartford Street  
Layayette, Indiana
45. Records Custodian
Worth Elementary Schools - District 127
Worth, Illinois

46. Records Custodian
Finley Junior High School
Chicago Ridge, Illinois

So submitted this 6th day of November, 1992.

[Signature]
Joseph F. Paulus
District Attorney
Winnebago County, Wisconsin
FILE: (P. O'Malley)  
DATE: March 15, 1992  
RE: Norb Maday

A [redacted] called. Her phone number is [redacted]. She has two boys who are presently in college, [redacted] Fr. Norb was chummy with the family. At one time he took the boys to [redacted]. Apparently the boys said that Fr. Maday got "fresh" with them. The boys did not like Fr. Maday. She told me her boys are fine at this time. They were thrilled when his name was announced that allegations had been brought against him.

At the time that this happened, Fr. Boreczky was pastor. The mother talked to Fr. John who then talked to Norb and Norb denied any improper contact with the boys. She says that she had received calls on Sunday night when the announcement came over the news from other parents who had similar things happen to their children when Fr. Norb was there.
Memo to File
From: Rev. Patrick O’Malley
Date: 1/25/95
Re: Norbert Maday

On Monday of this week I had applied for a pastoral visit to Norbert Maday at Columbia Correctional Facility. I received a call from the chaplain today advising me that Maday had been placed in segregation. This means that he has been taken out of his present block. Apparently a razor was found stashed in his shoe or on his shoe. He disclaims any knowledge of it but both for his own protection and because of the rules, he has now been placed in segregation.

The chaplain warned me that it would be possible I might not get to see him were I to go up there. However, I could call there on Friday morning to see if there is any problem and give it a shot. I asked him how long he would be in segregation but the chaplain was not able to tell me since there needs to be some kind of conduct hearing. He is able to have visitors, three a week, as usual.

I then spoke to John O’Malley who gave me Jerry Boyle’s number. I spoke to Jerry, the lawyer for Maday. He was fully appraised of Maday’s situation. He does not think that Maday sounds like a self-destructive person. He does feel that Maday is not accepting the reality of what he is facing at this time. Boyle hopes to, within the next couple of weeks, have some kind of hearing on his appeal for a re-trial. My impression is that he would not be very confident of a re-trial unless Maday himself were to deny the charges.

I have informed the Cardinal just to keep him abreast of what is happening.
Memo
To: Cardinal Bernardin
From: Rev. Patrick O’Malley
Date: 1/24/95
Re: Norbert Maday

You received a letter from [REDACTED] recently and asked me to draft a reply for your signature. May I suggest the following:

DRAFT

Dear [REDACTED],

I received your letter of January 17 and was out of town for a bit so my response is a little late.

Thank you very much for your letter in support of Fr. Maday. As you know, Father endured the trial up in Wisconsin and was then sent to prison. I have kept in close contact with him in prison. I even have visited him there myself. Along with the visits that he gets from his family and friends, our Vicar for Priests, Fr. O’Malley, sees him regularly. Fr. Norb and I are in communication regularly by letter.

It is true he is experiencing some difficulties in the prison as one might readily imagine. I have met with his attorneys and they assure me they are doing everything to see that he is treated justly.

So, in general we are doing all we possibly can at this time to both stay in touch and support Fr. Norb. I intend to continue to do so. I should mention that I have also been in touch with his mother regularly, reassuring her of our prayers and support.

My request to you is to continue your prayers for him, for his mother, and for all priests in similar situations. Again, thank you for your support for Fr. Norb in this trying time for him.

Sincerely yours in Christ,
Dear Norb,

I was trying to phone your Mother this morning to see how she is doing. I keep missing her since I also called last week. She has no answering service which has become the ultimate resource for leaving messages. But I’ll keep trying.

Just want to let you know that I did enter your name for the New World and received a confirmation today so you should be receiving that soon. I also put you in for a subscription for the NCR. In addition, you should be receiving the Jerome Biblical Commentary soon from Alverno. I had it sent out directly. The chaplain, Gary, suggests that when a book is sent directly from the store or publisher, it gets through faster. I hope so.

There are a lot of great articles in the JBC and I hope you find them helpful. Also the commentaries on the various books are quite good. It’s like a library of important information. I hope you find it helpful in your further study of the Scriptures.

I will try to arrange a visit for the 21st or 22nd of this month. I don’t want to conflict with your Mother’s visit and so I’ll double check with her before I go. As I understand it, she usually goes up on Wed. and Sat. The Cardinal mentioned that he had a long letter from you on his desk when he returned from Rome. He’s still catching up and now he has to go to Washington for the Fall bishops’ conference.

Upon his return, he did not bring the name of the new auxiliaries. Apparently the apostolic delegate sent the names over late and the Holy Office hasn’t had time to consider the full slate. The Cardinal says he hopes to have the names before Christmas — though that is “iffy” as well. Tim Lyne and Alfie are still hanging in there a year after their retirement.

I hope things are going okay with you. I look forward to a little longer visit next time. Dick Heidkamp was asking about you last week and has been promising that he would
write. He sends his best regards in the meantime. I had some sense the last time we were together that you were feeling the confinement even more. That has to be difficult, Norb. I hope that the attorneys will complete their work with the court proceedings soon and get that appeal moving along. Wouldn’t it be great if you could win the appeal and get out on bond? I hope that isn’t too great an expectation. While you want to have hope, you probably don’t want to get your hopes up too soon.

I’ll keep praying for a successful hearing on that matter. Ralph Strand is continuing to have the hearings. He’ll probably make his decision about how he shall proceed after the first of the year.

Bob Mayer lost his appeal and will begin to serve his time after the elections. I spoke to his lawyer, Pat Tuite, recently. His sentence is for about three years. He is eligible for a work release program shortly after his entry.

At the Retreat House, they will soon be moving into the former convent. It will probably be known as Monsignor Harry Koenig Hall. That was one of the names the men came up with and the Cardinal thought it appropriate. Wayne Wurst continues to shepherd the preparations of the new quarters. I hope the new home works out for the guys.

Norb, you take care. I’ll let you know next week when I’ll be coming up. Meantime keep all of us here in your prayers. God bless.

Fraternally,
Memo
To: Cardinal Bernardin
From: Rev. Patrick O'Malley
Date: 7/16/94
Re: Rev. Norbert Maday

I visited Norb Maday at the Dodge Correctional Institute in Waupun, Wisconsin, (P.O. Box 661, Waupun, WI, 53963-0661) on Thursday, July 14. We had a long visit and discussed many things. He seems quite nervous to me as you might expect.

He has chosen to go with the suggestion that he drop Komie as his attorney for the appeal. We will attempt to get him a pro bono lawyer and he would like the pro bono lawyer to use Komie as a consultant. Jim Serritella and John O'Malley are working on this aspect at this time.

I have been in contact with his mother about some other personal requests. She is holding up but feels very badly. More on this as we go along.
June 2, 1994

Mr. Joseph Cardinal Bernadine
155 E. Superior Street
Chicago, IL  60611

Dear Cardinal Bernadine,

The verdict in Rev. Norbert Maday’s trial is a travesty of justice and has caused me to begin loosing faith in the church as well as our country’s judicial system.

I reside in Hawaii most of the year and was unable to attend the trail, however through my parents whom have followed the case I have received newspaper articles and updates on the trial. The verdict came as no surprise to myself and most likely most of Rev. Norbert Maday’s supporters. His accusers manipulated the judicial system through the prosecuting attorney. Based on rulings of the judge throughout the trial to the lack of tenacity Rev. Maday’s attorney, this trial sounds like something from yester years.

Only God, Rev. Maday and his accusers know the truth, however it is difficult for me to believe these charges brought against Rev. Maday. For this I give you some background of my relationship with Rev. Maday.

Approximately 1970 or so our family became parishioners of St. Louis Demonfort in Oak Lawn. Shortly thereafter I became an alter boy. In very little time I became one of the top alter boys receiving most of the benefits of the status i.e., weddings in which we receive tips, choice of masses, etc. This was primarily due to the fact that I was one of Father Maday’s favorites. Being one of his
"favorites" I was taken on overnight trips, slept overnight at the rectory, drove his car, went on many excursions, etc., etc. Some of the time there were several other boys and sometimes just myself. The point is that I was very close to Rev. Maday and friends with most of the other boys whom were close to him. Never once did I experience, witness or hear of any type of sexual misbehavior.

The tenure of this very close relationship lasted approximately 4 years until I went to high school. Even after high school my family and I have maintained a close relationship with Rev. Maday. Without going into detail I know of others whom were in a similar relationship after my graduation from grade school.

As mentioned earlier in this letter based on the information given to me before and during the trial, the verdict came as no surprise, however with false hope I thought that justice and honesty would prevail. Poor judgment on my part for not getting involved and coming forward sooner. I will not sit idle anymore.

You have experienced what it is like to be wrongfully accused. Use whatever means available to you to see that your colleague receives nothing but the best to defend his honor and integrity. Don't settle any suit because of economic reasons. To ignore this case and not give it your undivided attention would be a travesty in itself. The real crusade is to protect the honor and dignity of a man who has not received the help he deserved throughout this ordeal. This letter may be sent to as many people, news organizations, etc. so that they may assist this man in making this a newsworthy story. Perhaps this will result or reveal the misjustice which has occurred and give this man a fair shot at a second chance.

Sincerely,
Memo to File  
Re: Norbert Maday  
From: Rev. P. O'Malley  
7/16/94

I visited Norb in the Dodge Correctional Facility,  
P. O. Box 661, Waupun, Wi. 53963-0661 on Thursday, 7/14/94.

I spoke to the two chaplains about the facility and how Norb would fare there.

We will provide about $20 per month as a stipend while he is incarcerated. An initial $40 is being sent to him this week.
We discussed at great length Norb's options for legal counsel as the appeal is pursued. We talked about three options;

1) He would continue with Komie, paying his fees, etc. The archdiocese has already informed him that it would not financially support his appeal with a loan.  
2) He could use the Milwaukee lawyer mentioned by Patrick Reardon - Bob La Belle. Pat was high on him. The costs would be lower than Komie's but it would not be pro bono.  
3) If Norb wished, the archdiocese would procure a good lawyer for him who would work pro bono.

It was a difficult decision for Norb, but he finally asked if we would get him a good lawyer pro bono. He would want the lawyer to use Komie as a consultant.

Overall the meeting was sad. Norb seemed very nervous. He is presently in a cell alone while the testing process is going on. Norb, with instructions from his attorney, is cooperating on some aspects of the testing, but refuses to generate any information that could be used against him in the appeal.

The irony is that the more he cooperates, the more time will be taken off his sentence. It is somewhat of a catch-22 for Norb.
Mrs. [redacted] reported to the Chiswell Police to report abuse of her son. 

Answered by Sgt. Thomas White [redacted]. 

Referral was to Oakbrook where it happened — on an altar by retreat or to Archdiocese of Chicago. 

Off. White called [redacted]. 

Sgt. White thinks she has a lawyer and is pursuing. 

The number has been disconnected. 

Off. White off until Friday. He is on duty over the weekend. 

Perhaps only McDonald could follow-up.

(This addition is from [redacted], who attempted to follow up on 2/26 and 2/27).

STATEMENT OF THE ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO
RE: commencement of Rev. Norbert Maday's trial in Oshkosh, Wisconsin
April 11, 1994

Criminal court proceedings began today against Rev. Norbert Maday, a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago, in Winnebago County Circuit Court in Oshkosh, Wisconsin.

As is normally the case with pending litigation, the Archdiocese does not plan to comment on the day-to-day trial proceedings. Father Maday remains on administrative leave from the Archdiocese, under supervision, pending the outcome of his trial.

We pray that justice will be served for all those affected by the allegations against Father Maday.

###

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:
Maria Salemi, Bob Quakenbush
or Mary McDonough
312/751-8227 or 751-7999 Ext. 8227
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q: Will the Archdiocese of Chicago comment on allegations made against Father Maday during his trial?
A: No. As is normally the case with pending litigation, the Archdiocese does not plan to comment on the court proceedings involving Father Maday.

Q: What are the charges against Fr. Maday? Can you summarize the allegations against Fr. Maday?
A: Two counts, second degree sexual assault (unwanted sexual touching of a minor by an adult) and one count intimidation of a witness (alleged to have threatened an individual not to disclose it).

Q: Where is Father Maday now?
A: During the trial in Wisconsin, Father Maday will be staying in a supervised facility in Wisconsin.

Q: Have there been any other allegations, or have any other accusers come forward, about Fr. Maday since this case began?
A: There are other allegations currently being processed.

Q: Who is Father Maday's lawyer? How can I get in touch with
Q: Is Father Maday "in treatment"?
A: According to archdiocesan policy, any priest against whom an allegation of this kind is made is requested to undergo an evaluation. Illinois law prohibits us from releasing mental health information.

Q: Why is Father Maday being prosecuted in Wisconsin, rather than in Illinois?
A: Although Father Maday was an associate pastor at Our Lady of the Ridge Parish in Chicago Ridge at the time, the alleged incident occurred in Wisconsin.

Q: Have any restrictions been placed on Father Maday?
A: Yes. While he is on administrative leave, he is not to exercise any public ministry, such as preaching, or publicly celebrating the Eucharist or other sacraments. He is to refrain from making public comments in the media.

Q: NOT FOR COMMENT DURING TRIAL: Does the Archdiocese believe it is appropriate for its priests to wrestle with youngsters in their underwear?
A: It is inappropriate. (make sure questions is general and not fact-specific).

Q: NOT FOR COMMENT DURING TRIAL: Does the Archdiocese believe it is appropriate for children to travel out of state with priests?
A: With parental permission, yes. Without parental knowledge or consent, absolutely not. In addition, it has been customary that other adult chaperones are present.

NOTE: IN FAIRNESS TO ALL PARTIES INVOLVED, THE ARCHDIOCESE WILL REFRAIN FROM COMMENT ON THE PRECEDING TWO QUESTIONS UNTIL AFTER THE TRIAL IS OVER.

Q: Do parishes ask parents to sign consent forms before children travel with priests?
A: The Archdiocese does not formally require this, but it is a prudent and common practice.

Q: Are there codes of conduct for priests while in the company of children?
A: Priests and others involved in pastoral ministry are expected to uphold the highest standards in carrying out that ministry to youth, including prudence and the fulfillment of religious commitments. It would be near impossible to develop written policies for every conceivable situation. When responsible for the care and supervision of children away from home, the priest is expected to behave in the manner of a good parent.

Q: Was Father Maday governed by any specific codes of conduct because of his special role as the Archdiocese's liaison with the Boy Scouts of America? When was he the liaison
to the Boy Scouts?

A: (refer to above answer)

Q: If Father Maday is found guilty, will he be removed from the priesthood?
A: The Archdiocese will review the matter at the conclusion of the proceeding.

Q: If he is convicted, will he be the first priest of the Archdiocese convicted of sexual abuse?
A: No. A priest was convicted of sexual abuse in 1993 (Rev. Robert Mayer) and another in 1985 (Rev. Robert Friese).

Q: How long has Father Maday been a priest?
A: Fr. Maday was ordained in 1964. Fr. Maday served at Our Lady of the Ridge from June, 1983, until June, 1989. Fr. Maday has served as associate pastor at St. Jude the Apostle since 1989. He is 56 years old (birthdate: March 15, 1938).
January 31, 1994

Rev. Norbert Maday  
Cardinal Stritch Retreat House  
Post Office Box 455  
Mundelein, Illinois 60060

Dear Norb,

In the spring of 1993, the Cardinal agreed to allow a board of three pastors of the Archdiocese to review the salary situations of men who have been removed from ministry because of alleged misconduct. On July 1, all the men whose cases had been reviewed were informed of the new parameters for salary compensation.

At that time, all those who were not working in ministry of some sort or other, were cut back, especially in their transportation allowance and in the fact that their seniority increment was frozen as of July 1, 1993. For men who had not yet gone through the second stage review with the Professional Fitness Review Board, their situation was to have been reviewed around the beginning of 1994.

This letter is just to advise you that that review will be taking place within the next couple of weeks.

Norb, since your case is still being tried in the public forum and has not yet been examined by the Professional Fitness Review Board, and since the six month time limit has lapsed, your situation is up for review.

As soon as the meeting with the pastors is held, we will get back to you with the information on your salary compensation from this point on.

Fraternally yours,

Rev. Patrick O'Malley  
Vicar for Priests
Memo to File  
From: Rev. Patrick O'Malley  
Date: 11/16/93  
Re: Norman Maday

Norb Maday called today to let me know that he is signing up for a beginning computer course at Triton as well as a beginning Spanish course. He asked if it would be all right and I told him it would. I told him we would help him with the costs. He will be in touch with Steve Sidlowksi's office on this matter as well.

He asked if he might be able to go for three days to Michigan with Fr. Ciemiega and I told him he could. He will contact Bernadette Connolly and make all the necessary arrangements.
Memo to File
From: Rev. Patrick O'Malley
Date: 10/26/93
Re: Norbert Maday

I met with Fr. Maday on Friday, Oct. 22, at 1:45. My reason for that meeting was to discuss the possibility of some kind of non-parochial, limited work. Fr. Paprocki had told me that Mr. Steven Kolmie, Norb's lawyer, was in the process of analyzing Norb's situation.

When I approached Norb with this possibility, he told me that I was mistaken and that he was not particularly interested in that. I contacted Kolmie during the meeting and he reassured me that Norb was correct in his interpretation. I then encouraged Norb to follow up on that saying that he is handling his situation well.

I am not so certain since he has more to do with his share of physical ailments, some of which may be due to the stress. Norb says that he is in a support group of priests and has friends with whom he talks. I pointed out to him that it is good to have friends and

I then spoke to Norb about the possibility of getting typing classes. He will look into classes at Triton College. I tried to explain that, by increasing his skills at typing, he may be able to increase his potential for getting some kind of work within the Archdiocese. Norb said he would follow up on that.

He is also having trouble with his back at this time. The lower back muscles do not seem as developed in Norb as they are in most people. This causes him a good deal of discomfort at times.
Memo to File
From: Rev. Patrick O'Malley
Date: 7/23/93
Re: Norb Maday

I spoke to Norb today about his

In the meantime we gave permission to go down with his mother
to visit his priest friend in Peoria. He is to work out the
details with Fr. Wayne Wurst.

Norb asked if his dental insurance would continue to be taken
out of his pay. I told him I was not aware of the dental
insurance deduction every month in his case. I suggested he
call Rita Mongan and have her find out what she needs to do
to deduct that money and to have that paid every month.
INDIVIDUAL SPECIFIC PROTOCOL
FOR REVEREND NORBERT MADAY

I have reviewed, understood and agree to all requirements of this protocol:

1. Unaccompanied out-of-house activities include the following:

   Mercy Hospital - meals - every day
   Archdiocese of Chicago Office - when needed
   Visits with the attorney - when necessary
   Visits with mother - no regular schedule, overnight stay
   at her house - Sun/Mon 24 hours, once a week
   Visits with [REMOVED] to whom Rev. N.M. is a legal guardian
   Visits with the Spiritual Director

   Visits with family, classmates and friends, going to restaurants, theatres, movies, libraries, pet stores, shopping, etc.,
   every day

2. Attendance at all activities and the specific names, addresses, time, telephone numbers regarding resident’s whereabouts must be entered on the individual’s itinerary. If for some reason(s) change(s) in individual’s schedule has been made, resident is obliged to call in and update a staff member as soon as possible.

3. Resident is accountable for his own time and is required to support his attendance at social events with physical proof /i.e., movie ticket, receipt from restaurant, etc./. Resident is required to call in the residence staff member every four hours unless decided otherwise by the Executive Director and/or the Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator designate. The telephone number from where the call has been made may be verified by a staff member. Unlisted, unpublished and mobile telephone numbers will not suffice. Unsuccessful attempts to reach resident when out-of-house will be followed by writing a memorandum. Such a memorandum will be kept on file at [REMOVED]. In case of repeated violations copies of original memoranda will be forwarded to the Office of Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator.

4. In order to leave boundaries of Chicago metropolitan area
5. Prior approval for public celebration of masses and other religious services such as weddings, baptisms, funerals, etc., must be received from the Vicar for Priests or designate.

6. Any contraband materials such as alcohol and pornography will be confiscated and can be done by any staff member. VCR is permitted at resident's apartment.

7. Visitation can only occur only at resident's apartment. All visitors must sign in and out at the Community Room.

8. No one under the age of eighteen is permitted to be at the residence unless prior approval has been received from the Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator and/or designate. Meetings with minors, in and out of the residence, can take place only in the presence of an approved companion.

9. Any deviation and/or non-compliance with requirements of this protocol will be addressed by Executive Director and/or designate and may be grounds for modification of currently existing arrangements regarding resident's stay at [PREPARED BY: ]. Such a modification will be collectively determined by the Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator and/or designate with conjunction with the Executive Director and [PREPARED BY: ]. In case of emergency, any staff member can modify this protocol until an administrative decision can be made by Executive Director and/or designate.

10. In order to change this protocol prior approval must be obtained from the Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator and/or designate.

11. This is a working document which can be changed, altered or superseded when there is an indicated need to do so.

12. A copy of this protocol will be sent to the Office of Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator.

Signed: [Signature]

Printed Name: [Name]

Executive Director: [Signature] Date: [Day 6, 93]
November 25, 1992

Reverend Norbert J. Maday
P.O. Box 16702
Chicago, Illinois 60616-0703

Dear Father Maday:

At the direction of Cardinal Bernardin, I am writing in response to your request to review the restriction forbidding you to wear the Roman collar or any other form of clerical garb while you are on an administrative leave of absence.

The Cardinal has reviewed your request with his advisors. Accordingly, as explained to you by Father Patrick O’Malley, Vicar for Priests, you are hereby dispensed from paragraph #5 of the Precept Imposing Restrictions, dated July 1, 1992, during such times as you are required to appear publicly in connection with your pending prosecution.

With every best wish, I remain

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Reverend Thomas J. Paprocki
Chancellor

Given at the Chancery

Vice-Chancellor

bc: Reverend Patrick J. O’Malley, Vicar for Priests
Mr. John O’Malley, Office of Legal Counsel
Mr. James Serritella, Legal Counsel
Memo to File
From: Rev. Patrick O'Malley
Date: 11/9/92
Re: Norb Maday

Fr. Thomas Cabala, Associate at St. Jude in South Holland, called this morning to tell me that he had met with a [REDACTED] who has a son, [REDACTED], who has reacted very strongly to the letter that Norb Maday sent around. [REDACTED] has been in touch with Ralph Bonaccorsi.

[REDACTED] is apparently also concerned for his younger brother, [REDACTED]. The report in the Sun Times in September about Maday indicated that he had been accused of threatening the brother of a young man if anything was said by the young man himself. Apparently [REDACTED] has some similar fears growing up inside him.

I spoke to Ralph Bonaccorsi later in the morning and explained the situation. Ralph felt that there might be some truth to it. He believed that in one call he made he may not have heard her concerns deeply enough. Ralph suggested that the way we handle this would be as follows:
He would call Fr. Tom Cabala to get the story from him and he would call [redacted] and explain Fr. Cabala's great concern for her and how he (Ralph) maybe missed the ball in regards to hearing all [redacted] was expressing. Ralph thinks that would be the best way to handle it at this time. I concur and he will keep me informed.
Memo to File
From: Rev. Patrick O'Malley
Date: 11/7/92
Re: Norbert Maday

I spoke with Ernie Cierniaga in an interview today concerning his relationship with Norb Maday. Ernie has been a friend to Norb even before the most recent crisis. He agreed to monitor Norb in situations where they would be out together. We felt that Ernie would be a good monitor for him since he is an age appropriate person and is willing and able to call Norb if such be necessary.

Norb is feeling the strain right now of the coming trial. He has not gone to see any psychologist although Ernie and we have encouraged him to do so. We will continue to try to support Norb during these tough times.

Ernie brought up the question of whether we should have someone at the trial to support Norb. We need to think about that. He will probably go to help support Norb.
October 24, 1992

Dear Friends:

Life is or can be so loving, joyful, peaceful; or it can suddenly become violent, tragic, hurtful. For over 27 years I have served people, young and old in many capacities. For many of you I have been honored to provide the Sacraments of the Church. For some I witnessed your marriages, for others baptized your infants, and still for others, I was present at the death and burial of a loved one. As Chaplain, coach, friend, I have witnessed many miracles in my life as your priest of God. Now, I come to you as one in need. Without you I won’t make it.

As you probably know from TV, Newspapers, and other people, I'm on trial in Oshkosh, Wisconsin, on December 7, until "?". During these days of crises, I'm asking your help in a special way. For some, taking the time and being present in the courtroom will be the answer; for others, financial support might be your way. In any event, I need all of you to pray and offer moral support.

We have made arrangements for your stay in Oshkosh if you desire to come for the trial. The Jesuit Fathers have graciously opened their Retreat House on beautiful Lake Winnebago. The cost will be nominal. If you cannot afford to make the trip, we will provide funds for you. It is imperative that a show of support be evident on my behalf during this trial. If your schedule permits, please call Fr. Ernie at St. Isidore Parish, 708-388-0807, to let your intentions be known.

Let me assure you, I intend to overcome these false accusations and return to an active Ministry as the Cardinal deems appropriate. I am very grateful for your support in the past. I pray that it continues until we resolve this problem. Since the beginning of this crisis, I have remembered all of you in my daily Masses and will continue to do so. Please remember me in your prayers. With God's help, all will turn out well.

With Sincere Appreciation, I remain,

YOUR PRIEST IN CHRIST,

Rev. Norbert J. Maday
October 20, 1992

Dear Friends:

I believe in the power of prayer. God challenges us in many ways so as to form us into a compassionate people. Since March, I have grown in the appreciation of God’s mysterious ways. Although I can’t understand why such false allegations were made against me, I trust all will go well. Already, half the charges against me have been dismissed by the court. However, now we must go to trial for the remaining charges on December 7, 1992.

My Faith in God has sustained me throughout these difficult times, especially when the Media reveled in sensationalism and did not portray the honest truth. My Faith in the Christian people whom I have served also encouraged me especially when I received letters and prayers of support for my mother and myself.

Each time I visit the lawyers office or make a trip to Oshkosh, Wisc. for a pre-trial hearing, my mind and heart asks, “Lord, How Long?” My hope is FREE IN ’93. Let us pray for Peace and Justice in all our lives. Thanks for reading this letter. May Joy, Peace, and Love never disappear from our lives. As the Bible says, “In times of adversity, remember prosperity and in times of prosperity, remember adversity.” All I ask is that you remember me especially now in time of adversity.

Gratefully in Christ,

Fr. Norbert

This letter was given to us by Father along with the assurance of daily prayers. May we see him through these trying times.

Friends of Fr. Norbert Madey

By: [Redacted]
Reservation Sheet

I would like to support Fr. Norbert Maday in this way:

- 1. Be in Oshkosh, Wisc. for the trial on Dec. to Dec.
- 2. Will Drive
- 3. Need a Ride
- 3. Offer prayers and good works for all involved

Name______________________________
Telephone__________________________

The enclosed letter and reservation sheet have been submitted to you by

THE FRIENDS OF FR. MADAY
have reviewed, understood and agree to all requirements of this protocol:

1) Unaccompanied out-of-house activities include the following:
   - Visit with the attorney - when necessary
   - Visits with [name not visible] to whom Rev. N.M. is the legal guardian
   - Visits with mother - no regular schedule, overnight stay at her house - Sun/Mon 24 hours, once a week
   - Visits with the Spiritual Director, visits to health clubs, golf courses, Indiana Dunes Parks as long as accompanied by Rev. E. Ciemiega
   - Visits with family, classmates and friends, going to restaurants, movies, theaters, etc. - every day

2) Attendance at all activities and specific names, addresses, time and telephone numbers regarding resident's whereabouts must be entered on the individual itinerary. If for some reason(s) the itemized requirements cannot be met, the resident is obliged to call in and update the residence staff member on duty about his whereabouts.

3) It is resident's responsibility to make an effort to be reachable at any given time. Resident is accountable for his own time and is required to support his attendance at social events with physical proof(s) i.e., movie ticket, receipt from restaurant, etc. If such proof(s) cannot be provided, resident is obliged to call in the residence staff member from the place of destination. The telephone number from where the call has been made may be verified by a staff member. Resident is required to call in every three hours unless otherwise arrangements have been made and approved by Executive Director or designate. Unlisted, unpublished telephone numbers will not suffice unless previously approved by Executive Director. Using mobile telephones is not permitted. Repeated, unsuccessful attempts to reach resident at given number will be followed by writing a memorandum. Such a memorandum will be kept on file at [name not visible]. In case of repeated violations copies of original memoranda will be forwarded to the Archdiocese Vicar for Priests.

4) Cannot leave boundaries of Archdiocese/Lake County, Cook County without permission obtained from the Vicar.

5) Visitation by previously approved visitors can occur only at room 402. All visitors must sign in and out at the Community Room - 104. No one under the age of eighteen is permitted to be at the residence unless prior approval has been received from the residence Executive Director, the Archdiocese Vicar for Priests or designate. Meetings with minors, in and out of the residence can take place only in the presence of an approved companion.
6) Any contraband materials i.e., alcohol, pornography, can be confiscated.

7) Any deviation and/or non-compliance with requirements of this protocol will be addressed by Executive Director and/or designate and may be grounds for modification of currently existing arrangements regarding resident's stay at . Such a modification will be collectively determined by the Vicar for Priests and/or designate in conjunction with Executive Director. In case of emergency any staff member can modify this protocol until an administrative decision can be made by Executive Director and/or designate.

8) In order to change this protocol prior approval must be received from the Vicar in conjunction with Executive Director.

This is a working document which can be changed, altered or superseded where there is an indicated need to do so.

A copy of this protocol will be kept on file at the Archdiocese Vicar for Priests' Office.

This protocol and the signing of it by the person named below is not and cannot be construed as a perception of guilt or as an admission of guilt on the part of the signee.

Signed: 

Printed name: WURBERT MADAY

Date: 6-15-97

Executive Director:
July 31, 1992

Rev. Ernest Ciemiega
St. Isidore the Farmer Parish
1811 West Burr Oak Avenue
Blue Island, Illinois 60406

Dear Ernie,

I just want to thank you again for being present to Norb Maday as he goes through this difficult time. You have been a big support for him. I would ask you to continue to urge him to get some kind of counseling at this time. It could be totally apart from this case that he is going through at this time. The main thing is that he is able to deal with some of those feelings that I'm sure are welling up inside him. We will pick up the tab on the counselling.

I would also ask you and Norb to be pretty cautious when you go out in public these days. Don't go to places where there will be children roaming around, since Norb's face has become easy to recognize. If people were to see him in a place where there are a lot of children, there might be some repercussions -- I'm not sure what they would be, but we need to be as cautious as possible.

Again, thanks very much for your help and I hope things are going well with you. I'm sorry about that Lemont appointment that didn't come your way.

Fraternally yours,

Rev. Patrick O'Malley
Vicar for Priests
TO: File
FROM: Rev. Andrew McDonagh
DATE: July 16, 1990
RE: Rev. Norbert Maday '64 - St. Jude the Apostle

708-333-3550 - St. Jude the Apostle

1. Fr. Jack Powers, Pastor, called this morning to report that a [REDACTED] called the secretary at St. Jude this morning to say his child was molested by Fr. Norbert Maday two years ago and he, [REDACTED], just found out this morning. [REDACTED] was very angry and said he was going to call South Holland Police.

2. Fr. Jack called the Vicar's office who referred the case to me. Fr. Jack said that Fr. Norbert had 15 boys and girls out at Schaeffer Lake for an outing. There were 4 parents along.

3. Fr. Jack said he and Fr. John Michael Murphy, the other associate, have often been somewhat suspicious of Fr. Maday's behavior with boys. Fr. Norb always is joking around with the kids. He often has kids in his room -- mostly boys.

4. Fr. Norbert has been there about 1½ years. Fr. Murphy has been there 3½ years.

5. I suggested Fr. Jack return the call. He did and a girl answered saying there was no one there by that name.

6. I called Mr. Serritella. He is to return my call.

7. I told Fr. Jack we would support him and to keep in touch.
CONFIDENTIAL

July 8, 1992

Dear Bishop Banks,

As I believe you are aware, a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago, Father Norbert Maday, has been charged in Winnebago County, Wisconsin, with violations of criminal laws relating to alleged incidents of sexual misconduct with minors. While defending himself against these charges, Father Maday has been placed on an administrative leave of absence and has been restricted from the exercise of priestly ministry (see enclosed).

In order to facilitate and assure his appearance in court, arrangements have been made for him to stay at the Jesuit Retreat House in Oshkosh, Wisconsin. My Vicar for Priests, Father Patrick O'Malley, has discussed these arrangements with your Chancellor, Sister Ann Rehrauer, O.S.F. She advised that this would be an appropriate place for Father Maday to stay during his legal proceedings.

Due to the great media interest in this story, I would imagine that your Communications Department may be receiving many inquiries about this matter. If it would be helpful, I would be glad to have our Department of Public Information share our news releases or any other materials which may be helpful for you. If there is anything else I can do, please do not hesitate to ask.

Most of all, please pray for a just and fair outcome in the matter.

With cordial good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours in Christ,

ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

July 8, 1992

CONFIDENTIAL

Dear Bishop Banks,

As I believe you are aware, a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago, Father Norbert Maday, has been charged in Winnebago County, Wisconsin, with violations of criminal laws relating to alleged incidents of sexual misconduct with minors. While defending himself against these charges, Father Maday has been placed on an administrative leave of absence and has been restricted from the exercise of priestly ministry (see enclosed).

In order to facilitate and assure his appearance in court, arrangements have been made for him to stay at the Jesuit Retreat House in Oshkosh, Wisconsin. My Vicar for Priests, Father Patrick O'Malley, has discussed these arrangements with your Chancellor, Sister Ann Rehrauer, O.S.F. She advised that this would be an appropriate place for Father Maday to stay during his legal proceedings.

Due to the great media interest in this story, I would imagine that your Communications Department may be receiving many inquiries about this matter. If it would be helpful, I would be glad to have our Department of Public Information share our news releases or any other materials which may be helpful for you. If there is anything else I can do, please do not hesitate to ask.

Most of all, please pray for a just and fair outcome in the matter.

With cordial good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours in Christ,
July 1, 1992

Dear Father Haday:

In light of your arrest for charges that have been brought against you by the public authorities in Wisconsin, I regret that it is necessary for me to notify you of certain canonical implications under these circumstances.

As provided in canon 1720, it will be necessary to initiate a canonical process for possible sexual misconduct with a minor (canon 1395, §2) and/or violation of clerical chastity (canon 277). However, so as not to interfere with the criminal prosecution in Wisconsin, this process will be held in abeyance until the case in Wisconsin is concluded. If it should become necessary to proceed with the formal canonical process, you will be given ample opportunity to defend yourself, with representation by canonical counsel. Every care possible will be taken on the part of the Archdiocese to protect your good name during this time.

In the meantime, while you are on administrative leave of absence, it is necessary for me to place certain restrictions on you as indicated in the enclosed document.

Norb, I truly regret the severity of these restrictions. Nevertheless, I believe that they are necessary for your own good and the good of the Church.

Please be assured of my continued daily prayers for you.

With every best wish, I remain

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Joseph Cardinal Bernardin
Archbishop of Chicago

Given at the Chancery
PRECEPT DIRECTED TO REVEREND NORBERT J. MADAY IMPOSING RESTRICTIONS

Having heard the Promoter of Justice and having cited the accused, in order to preclude scandals, to protect the freedom of witnesses and to safeguard the course of justice, the following restrictions are hereby placed on you, in accord with canons 49, 1319, 1722, 392, and 223:

1. You are hereby forbidden to exercise any sacred ministry, ecclesiastical office or function.

2. You are prohibited from public celebration of the Eucharist.

3. You are restricted from any public preaching (canon 764).

4. You are forbidden to hear confessions except in danger of death of the penitent (canons 974 and 976).

5. You are not to wear the Roman collar or any other form of clerical garb.

6. You are to refrain from public comment in the media.

7. You are required to continue to follow the protocol signed by yourself on April 15, 1992, which shall include residence at the Jesuit Retreat House in Oshkosh, Wisconsin, or any other residence in the State of Wisconsin as indicated by the Vicar for Priests during the course of legal proceedings against you in that State.

You are bound to observe these restrictions as a matter of obedience (canon 273). You are warned that violation of these restrictions may result in the imposition of appropriate penalties (canon 1347). These restrictions will remain in effect until the conclusion of the canonical process.

Dated this 1st day of July, 1992.

Joseph Cardinal Bernardin
Archbishop of Chicago
Memo to File (POM)
Re: Norbert Maday
7/7/92

Norbert Maday went up to Oshgosh, Winnebago County, Wisc. on Monday evening, July 6. He stayed at the Retreat Center overnight. He was represented in court the next day by his lawyer and a woman lawyer from the Wisconsin area.

John O'Malley and Fr. Andrew McDonagh from our office were there to vouch for Norb's appearance. A bond of $15,000 was set. Norb was treated very harshly by the authorities there. He was taken from the car in which he had come voluntarily. He was handcuffed and made to change into prison clothes for his appearance in court. The Wisconsin lawyer duly noted the excessive hostility and force that was used on this man who had come forward voluntarily.

The hearing went as well as could be expected. Both O'Malley and McDonagh were accosted by at least five TV outfits. Everywhere they went they were followed. Even after he made a phone call Andy had reporters waiting outside the phone booth when he left. It was noted that CBS bugged McDonagh's call to the Cardinal. (On 7/10, the Cardinal wrote a stiff letter of protest to CBS. O'Malley also wrote to the judge of the court in Wisconsin protesting the way things were handled.)

Andy and John returned about 1:00 PM in the afternoon and Norb will be returning to Vianney North today. Later in the evening, Norb appeared on TV and gave a series of comments while giving an interview to a channel 5 reporter. He is obviously not observing the restrictions placed upon him by the Cardinal. This will be dealt with at the next meeting of the Adv. Bd.
STATE OF WISCONSIN
COUNTY OF WINNEBAGO

In CIRCUIT COURT
Winnebago County, Wisconsin

STATE OF WISCONSIN

-vs-

NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 01-16-38
407 E. 25th Street, Chicago, IL or Archdiocese Corporate Headquarters
162 E. Superior Street
Chicago, IL

THE STATE OF WISCONSIN TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER:

A Complaint, copy of which is attached, having been filed with me accusing, the defendant, of committing the crime of Two Counts of Second Degree Sexual Assault, Two Counts of Enticing a Child for Immoral Purposes, and One Count of Intimidation of a Victim contrary to sec. 940.225(2) (e), 944.12, and, Stats., and I having found that probable cause exists that the crime was committed by the defendant.

You are, therefore, commanded to arrest the defendant and bring him before me, or, if I am not available, before some other judge of this county.

Dated June 6, 1992.

JUDGE BRUCE K. SCHMIDT
Circuit Court, Branch VI
Winnebago County, Wisconsin

* FELONY WARRANT - WISCONSIN AUTHORITIES WILL EXTRADITE
Application for Requisition

STATE OF WISCONSIN

County of WINNEBAGO

To His Excellency TOMMY THOMPSON, .... Governor of the State of Wisconsin:

VINCE BISKUPIC, Deputy District Attorney in and for Winnebago County, do hereby make application for the requisition and return to the State of Wisconsin of NORBERT J. MADAY, .... who stands charged in the Circuit Court of Winnebago County with the crimes of Two Counts of Sexual Assault, Two Counts of Enticing a Child for Immoral Purposes, and One Count of Intimidation of a Victim, which are alleged to have been committed in the City of Oshkosh, County of Winnebago, on or about May through August 1986, as more fully appears from the duly verified complaint made and filed in the said Circuit Court, a certified copy of which is annexed to these papers and made a part hereof.

I HEREBY CERTIFY:

A. That the full name of the person for whom the requisition is asked is NORBERT J. MADAY, who will be hereinafter referred to as the defendant.

B. That said defendant (has fled from the jurisdiction of the State of Wisconsin, and is now a fugitive from justice), is out of the jurisdiction of the State of Wisconsin and, as I am informed, is now located in the City of Chicago, State of Illinois, and that in my opinion the ends of public justice require and I am content that the defendant should be brought to the State of Wisconsin for trial, at public expense, and that such expense shall be a charge upon the county in which the offense was committed, to wit: Winnebago County.

NOTE: See secs. 52.10(5) and 976.03(5), (6), Stats.
DETECTIVE GERALD FORSETH, being first duly sworn on oath, complains as follows: Your affiant states that he is a Detective with the Oshkosh Police Department and in that capacity, has knowledge of the following:

Your affiant is informed from the reports of the Oshkosh Police Department and the Illinois State Police Department kept in the normal and ordinary course of business in which your affiant believes to be truthful and reliable and have proven so on numerous occasions in the past that on or about May 20, 1992, your affiant met with S.W., male/white, d.o.b. 12-2-71, to discuss a report of sexual abuse committed against him while he was a juvenile during the summer of 1986. Specifically, your affiant spoke to S.W. regarding a report that he was the victim of improper sexual contact perpetrated against him by NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 03-16-38, taking place at the "The Place 2B" located at 1965 Oshkosh Avenue in the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin.

S.W. reported to your affiant that Norbert J. Maday previously was a priest at his parish, Our Lady of the Ridge, in Chicago Ridge, Illinois. S.W. reported that he first met Maday in approximately December of 1985 at a banquet and that he and some of his male juvenile friends became close friends with Maday. He stated that Maday would often take him and other male juveniles on outings and also would frequently invite him and other male juveniles over to the parish rectory to have pizza and watch video tapes. S.W. reported that after he finished the eighth grade, in approximately the beginning of June of 1986, Maday invited him and several other male juveniles to go on a trip...
to Oshkosh, Wisconsin, to a place called "The Place 2B".

S.W. recalled that Maday had told the boys they were going to see another priest at The Place 2B in Oshkosh. He told the boys how wonderful it was in Oshkosh, that there were free video games and free roller skating. S.W. indicated that Maday painted a picture of meals, ice cream and just a wonderful time by coming to Oshkosh. He also stated that Maday stated that they would be allowed to golf, swim and go on canoe trips. S.W. reported that he and several other male juveniles agreed to go on the five-day trip and that he spent the majority of the trip paired up with another male juvenile friend of his, S.K.. S.W. stated that "The Place 2B" was an old motel with a building approximately 300 feet from the motel which had a video arcade and a roller rink. He indicated that there was also rooms similar to hotel rooms where the boys could sleep and rest on the five-day trip.

S.W. reports that during the trip, Maday often would instruct the male juveniles to participate in wrestling matches in their rooms. S.W. reports that the wrestling matches also involved Maday and all the participants would wear no clothing except their underpants. S.W. recalled that on the trip, his friend, S.K., told Maday that he wished to wear his sweatpants and sweatshirt in his room and that Maday turned to S.K. and said, "Be a man, sleep in your underwear." S.W. stated that during these wrestling matches, Maday would place his hand on S.W.'s leg and then move his hand up inside of S.W.'s underwear. He stated that Maday would then caress the testicle, scrotum and penis areas. S.W. indicated that when Maday touched him in his genital area, S.W. jumped off of the floor and went over by his friend. He stated that because he was embarrassed, he didn't say anything. S.W. also stated that he saw
Maday grab the buttocks of other boys while wrestling at The Place 2B.

S.W. reports that on that same five-day trip at The Place 2B, there was an incident when he was watching TV in his underwear and Maday came up to him and started giving him a back rub. S.W. stated that Maday was rubbing S.W.'s back when there was a movie on TV. S.W. stated that the movie was a late-night TV movie and a certain portion depicted a man and woman getting romantic. S.W. stated as the movie became more and more heated so did Maday and Maday placed his hands down the inside of S.W.'s underwear and started to put his hand down around S.W.'s buttocks and into the scrotum area. S.W. stated that because Maday had long fingernails, he could feel Maday's fingernails approaching the area of his testicles and he jumped up. S.W. also reported that while also watching a movie at The Place 2B, Maday began touching him and also kissed him on the back of his neck. He stated that when that took place, he turned around and asked Maday what was going on and that Maday just got a strange look on his face.

S.W. indicated to your affiant that the incidents during the summer of 1986 were not the first incidents where Maday committed sexual acts towards him. He stated that during the spring of eighth grade, approximately April or May of 1986, he was at the rectory at Our Lady of the Ridge Parish and Maday sexually assaulted him. S.W. explained that he was at the rectory for an overnight stay with Maday and Maday began giving him a back rub. S.W. stated that Maday gave him a back rub as S.W. was laying on his chest. He stated that Maday began to reach his hands under S.W. and began rubbing him on the chest and moved his hands down past his stomach. S.W. stated that Maday eventually put his hands inside of S.W.'s underwear and began to fondle S.W.'s penis. S.W.
stated that at that point he jumped up and asked Maday what was going on and told Maday to stop. S.W. reported that in response, Maday stated, "This is simply my way of showing you affection."

Your affiant and Special Agent Mary Woolery of the Illinois State Police, Division of Criminal Investigation, reported they interviewed R.S., male/white, D.O.B. 06-23-73, regarding a report of sexual abuse against R.S. taking place during the summer of 1986 in Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin.

R.S. reported that he was an alter boy at Our Lady of the Ridge Parish located in Chicago Ridge, Illinois, during the 5th, 6th and 7th grades. R.S. explained that a Priest at that parish, NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 03-16-38, used to take R.S. and other alter boys on various outings to play miniature golf and to go to an ice cream shop. R.S. indicated that during his years as an alter boy, he went on several outings with Maday. R.S. further stated that on one day during the late spring or early summer of 1986, approximately May, June or July of 1986, Maday invited him to go to a place in Oshkosh, Wisconsin, called "The Place 2B". R.S. stated that he and some other male juveniles went to Oshkosh, Wisconsin, and when they arrived at The Place 2B, they went into an arcade room with a pool table. R.S. indicated that The Place 2B also had rooms similar to motel rooms and also had a swimming pool. He explained that when the boys initially arrived at The Place 2B they played arcade games for free with tokens given to them by Norbert Maday.

R.S. stated that while they were at The Place 2B they were given access to some of the rooms in order to take a rest. R.S. stated that he went to one of the rooms to rest while some of the other boys went to a store down the road. He stated that while he was in room, at
approximately 3:00 o'clock in the afternoon, he began to lie down on a bed and read a magazine. He stated that while he was lying on the bed, Norbert Maday was taking a shower in the bathroom in the same bedroom. R.S. stated that he began reading something as he laid on the bed and that there were two twin beds in the bedroom. He indicated that Maday eventually came out of the bathroom after taking a shower and that he was wearing shorts and a shirt. R.S. stated that Maday then sat on the bed with him and began to touch and rub the inside of R.S.'s leg. R.S. stated that Maday continued to rub him and also moved his hands up to R.S.'s penis area. R.S. stated that Maday then began to rub R.S.'s penis. R.S. stated that he told Maday that he did not think it was right to be touching him, but Maday responded and said, "Nothing was wrong with it." R.S. indicated that at that point Maday continued to rub R.S.'s penis. R.S. stated that the rubbing of his penis by Maday lasted for approximately 10 minutes and that as Maday was rubbing R.S.'s penis Maday began to masturbate himself to the point of ejaculation. R.S. stated that when Maday was done having sexual contact with him, Maday pulled his own shorts back on. He reported that Maday specifically told him that if R.S. told anybody about this incident, Maday would kill R.S.'s brother, C.S., who was also an alter boy at Maday's parish. R.S. indicated to police officers that he did not report this incident for several years because he was afraid about what Maday would do to his brother, C.S.

Your affiant reports that the records of the Illinois State Police indicate that Maday has been a resident of Illinois during the last six years and has worked at several parishes in Illinois during that time period.
All the above offenses of the charged crimes took place in Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin.

That based upon the foregoing information, this complainant believes and has good reason to believe that the said NORBERT J. MADAY on or about June, July and August of 1986, at the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin:

did then and there unlawfully, intentionally and feloniously have sexual contact with a person who is over the age of 12 years and under the age of 16 years, to-wit: S.W., male/white, D.O.B. 12-2-71. Contrary to the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Wisconsin, Sec. 940.225(2)(e), Wis. Stats.

PENALTY PROVIDED: Section 940.225(2)(e), Wis. Stats. Class C Felony. Conviction may result in a fine not to exceed $10,000 or imprisonment not to exceed 10 years or both.

AND FURTHER, COUNT #2
On or about June, July and August, 1986, at the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin, NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 3-16-38, did then and there unlawfully, intentionally and feloniously, persuade or entice a child under 18 years of age, S.W., male/white, D.O.B. 12-02-71, into any vehicle, building, room or secluded place, with the intent to commit the crime of sexual assault. Contrary to the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Wisconsin, Sec. 944.12, Wis. Stats. Class C Felony. Conviction may result in a fine not to exceed $10,000 or imprisonment not to exceed 10 years or both.

AND FURTHER, COUNT #3
On or about May, June, or July of 1986, at the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin, NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 3-16-38, did then and there unlawfully, intentionally and feloniously have sexual contact with a person who is over the age of 12 years and under the age of 16 years, to-wit: R.S., male/white, D.O.B. 06-23-73. Contrary to the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Wisconsin, Sec. 940.225(2)(e), Wis. Stats.

PENALTY PROVIDED: Section 940.225(2)(e), Wis. Stats. Class C Felony. Conviction may result in a fine not to exceed $10,000 or imprisonment not to exceed 10 years or both.

AND FURTHER, COUNT #4
On or about May, June, or July of 1986, at the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin, NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 3-16-38, did then and there unlawfully, intentionally and feloniously, persuade or entice
a child under 18 years of age, R.S., male/white, D.O.B. 06-23-73, into any vehicle, building, room or secluded place, with the intent to commit the crime of sexual assault. Contrary to the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Wisconsin, Sec. 944.12, Wis. Stats.

PENALTY PROVIDED: Section 944.12, Wis. Stats. Class C Felony. Conviction may result in a fine not to exceed $10,000 or imprisonment not to exceed 10 years or both.

AND FURTHER, COUNT #5
On or about May, June or July of 1986, at the City of Oshkosh, Winnebago County, Wisconsin, NORBERT J. MADAY, D.O.B. 3-16-38, did then and there knowingly and maliciously prevent or dissuade, or attempt to so prevent or dissuade, R.S., male/white, D.O.B. 06-23-73, who has been the victim of a crime from making any report of the victimization, by an express or implied threat of force, violence, or injury against R.S.'s sibling, C.S., to wit: did threaten to kill the brother of R.S., if R.S. reported the crime of sexual assault perpetrated by Maday against R.S. Contrary to the statute in such case made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Wisconsin, Sec. 940.45(3), Wis. Stats.

PENALTY PROVIDED: Section 940.45(3), Wis. Stats. Class D Felony. Conviction may result in a fine not to exceed $10,000 or imprisonment not to exceed 5 years or both.

WHEREFORE, as said affiant verily believes and prays that the said NORBERT J. MADAY might be arrested and dealt with according to law.

Complainant

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6th day of June, 1992.

Defendant's Date of Birth: 03-16-38

Address: 407 E. 25th Street or Chicago Archdiocese Headquarters
Chicago, IL 162 E. Superior Street
Chicago, IL

Deputy District Attorney
Winnebago County, Wisconsin

APPROVED FOR FILING THIS 6TH DAY OF JUNE, 1992.

Deputy District Attorney
Winnebago County, Wisconsin

AOC 001025
GOVERNOR'S WARRANT OF ARREST

TO ALL PEACE OFFICERS OF THIS STATE, GREETINGS:

The Governor of WISCONSIN demands of me the arrest and delivery of NORBERT J. MADAY as a fugitive from justice and has tendered to me proper documentation certified as authentic and duly authenticated. The documentation states that this fugitive, on or about May through August, 1986, while present in the demanding State, committed Second Degree Sexual Assault (two counts), Enticing a Child for Immoral Purposes (two counts) and Intimidation of a Witness (one count), being a crime under the laws of that State, and thereafter fled that State.

Now, Therefore, in compliance with the Constitution and the laws of the United States and of this State, you are hereby commanded and required to arrest the fugitive NORBERT J. MADAY and to produce this fugitive in open court. The fugitive shall be afforded the protection of personal liberty provided by the laws of this State. Unless discharged by the court, the fugitive shall be delivered to the custody of Sheriff Lee Burton and/or Designee, as agent of the Governor of the demanding State, for return of the fugitive to that State.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused to be affixed the Great Seal of State, at the Capitol in the City of Springfield, June 12, 1992.

JIM EDGAR
GOVERNOR

By the Governor:

GEORGE H. RYAN
SECRETARY OF STATE
May 5, 1992

S U P P L E M E N T
T O
I N D I V I D U A L S P E C I F I C P R O T O C O L
F O R N O R B E R T M A D A Y

I have reviewed, understood and agree to all requirements of The Residence Protocol, and the following items:

1) Unaccompanied out-of-house privileges include the following:
   - Visit with the attorney
   - Visit with [REDACTED] to whom N.M. is the legal guardian/
   - Visit with mother/overnight stay Sun/Mon-24 hours - once a month/
   - Visits with the Spiritual Director [REDACTED]
   - Visits to the Riviera Health Club in Orland Park
   - Visits to Joe Louis Golf Course/accompanies by Rev. E. Ciemiega/-
     Wednesday-12 hours
   - Visits to the Indiana Dunes/accompanies by Rev. E. C./
   - Visits with family, classmates and friends, going to restaurants,
     movies, theatres, etc., - every day
   - Classes at Mundelein Seminary

2) The items 4/in order to leave boundaries of Archdiocese resident
   must receive permission from the Vicar/, 2, 3, 5-9 still apply to the
   resident.

3) This protocol and the signing of it by the person named below is not
   and cannot be construed as a perception of guilt or as an admission of
   guilt on the part of the signee.

Signed: [Signature]

Printed Name: NORBERT MADAY

Date: May 5, 1992

Executive Director: [Signature]

A copy of this protocol will be kept on file at the Archdiocese Vicar
for Priests’ Office.

AOC 001027
TO: File (P. O'Malley)
DATE: April 22, 1992
RE: Norbert Maday

Norb called this morning to inform me that an uncle of his had died. He used to bring Communion to this uncle once a month for the past months and years. He asked permission to go to the wake and to celebrate the funeral Mass. He said the uncle was never married and so had no family. Therefore, the Mass would probably have no more than 30-35 people at it.

I spoke to Andy McDonagh and he felt as I did that we could go ahead and give permission. I then got back in touch with Norb and told him that if Jack Crosby, the pastor of St. Alexander's, has any problem, he should give me a ring.

Jack Crosby did call to confirm the permission. He had no problem.
I have reviewed, understood, and agree to all requirements of The Residence Protocol, and the following items:

1) Unaccompanied out-of-house privileges include the following:

   - Visit with the attorney
   - Visit with [redacted] to whom N.M. is the legal guardian/
   - Visit to the Rivera Health Club in Orland Park for purposes of physical workout as long as he is accompanied by Father Ernest Clemiega
   - Visit with mother
   - Visit with the Spiritual Director [redacted]
   - Visit with classmates or friends

Mentioned above activities include free time and are limited to a total of 16 hours per week including transportation time except as follows:

   - Visits to your attorney
   - Visits to [redacted] in the performance of your duties as legal guardian

Two weeks from the date of signing, these limits may be expanded in the discretion of the Vicar for Priests and his advisors. In addressing any questions relating to expansion, the Vicar for Priests and his advisors will consider the extent of Father Maday's cooperation with existing restrictions.

2) Visitation by previously approved visitors can only occur at Room 402, all visitors must sign in and out at the Community Room.

3) Any contraband materials (i.e., alcohol, VCR's, pornography) can be confiscated.

4) Cannot leave without permission boundaries of Archdiocese/Lake County, Cook County.

5) Attendance at all activities and the specific names, addresses, time, and telephone numbers regarding residents must be entered on the individual itinerary.

6) It is resident's responsibility to be reachable at any
given time. Resident is accountable for his own time and is
required to support his attendance at social events with
physical proof/ i.e., movie ticket, receipt from restaurant,
etc./ If such proof cannot be provided, resident is required
to call the residence staff member from the place of
destination. The telephone number from where the call has been
made will be verified by a staff member. Unlisted, unpublished
and mobile telephone numbers will not suffice. A 72 hour
restriction to the Residence will result if resident is not
reachable after three attempts. Unsuccessful attempts to reach
resident at the given number will be followed by writing a
memorandum. Such a memorandum will be kept on file at the
Residence. In case of repeated violations copies
of original memoranda will be forwarded to the Archdiocese
Vicar for Priests.

7) No one under the age of eighteen is permitted to be at the
Residence unless prior approval has been received from the
Residence Executive Director, the Archdiocese Vicar for Priests
or designate. Meetings with minors, in and out of the
Residence, can take place only in the presence of an approved
companion.

8) Any deviation and/or non-compliance with requirements of
the Individual Specific Protocol will be addressed by the
Residence Executive Director and/or designate and be grounds
for modification of currently existing unaccompanied
out-of-house privileges. Such modification will be
collectively determined by the Vicar for Priests and/or
designate/ other referring authority in conjunction with the
Executive Director. In case of emergency, any staff member can
modify the Individual Specific Protocol until an administrative
decision can be made by the Residence Executive Director and/or
designate.

9) In order to change the Individual Specific Protocol and/or
items within the protocol, prior approval must be received from
the Executive Director with conjunction with the Vicar for
Priests.

This is a working document which can be changed, altered,
or superseded where there is an indicated need to do so.
10) This protocol and the signing of it by the person named below is not and cannot be construed as a perception of guilt or as an admission of guilt on the part of the signee.

Signed: 

Printed Name: NORBERT MADAY

Date: April 15, 1992

Executive Director:

A copy of this protocol will be kept on file at the Archdiocese Vicar for Priests' Office.
Norb has been confined to his quarters until we have an opportunity to meet with him and his lawyer concerning the protocol. He has asked through [redacted] to go to his doctor this afternoon because his back is bothering him. I gave him permission. I also gave permission for all the fellows to attend the Chrism Mass on Tuesday at the Cathedral.

I spoke to Tom Paprocki about what would happen if Norb continued to be non-cooperative in terms of living situation. Tom suggested two steps: one, the Cardinal would approach Norb on the question of doing it out of obedience. This of course has limited application to details of his living. If Norb does not cooperate with that, then the following step is in order. Two, it might involve citing Canon 1722 in which the Cardinal can impose restrictions even as far as living conditions if it has to do with the good of the Church or the common good. We would try to take this in a reasonable direction when we meet with Norb and his lawyers.

The meeting is set for 4/15/92 at 10:30 AM in Paprocki's office.
I have read and understand the residence protocol; however I am not agreeing to the policy statement as it suggests an unidentified need to protect the public.

Resident Signature: Norbert Maday

Resident Printed Name: Norbert Maday

Date: 4-10-92 6:37 PM

Witness Signature: Virginia Marano

Witness Printed Title: Virginia Marano

Date: 4-10-92
April 8, 1992

Rev. Norbert Maday
Post Office Box 16703
Chicago, Illinois 60616-0703

Dear Norb,

After our conversation on August 8 in the morning, I wanted to clarify our reasoning for not allowing that letter.

One, the Archdiocese does not want to appear as if it is making a judgement about innocence or guilt while the investigation is proceeding. Anything like that letter which would appear in an Archdiocesan or a parish publication might be construed by the opposition as such a judgement.

Secondly, we are always afraid that if your name appears in a bulletin or in a newspaper that it might trigger someone else, out of anger or whatever, to come forward to bring an accusation. That is the reason why we are asking you to be very circumspect in your behavior and to follow the parameters of the protocol that has been set down. Your lawyer's advice not to go to that Health Club without Ernie present is very good advice and I hope that you follow it.

I'll be in touch.

Fraternally yours,

Rev. Patrick O'Malley
Vicar for Priests
March 19, 1992

BY MESSENGER

Mayer, Brown & Platt
Attorneys at Law
190 South LaSalle Street
Chicago, Il 60603

Re: Father Norbert Maday

Dear [name]

Enclosed please find our client's assertion of privilege and written consent to release of information. Our client had a strong objection to the last sentence of paragraph one. Our client, in his own hand, amended the document to reflect his concerns regarding treatment and obtaining a second opinion.

Please modify the original document on your computer to reflect his wishes.

If you wish to discuss this matter, please contact me immediately.

Very truly yours,

KOMIE AND ASSOCIATES

[Signature]

SMK:vm
Enclosure
Dear Norb,

Patty O'Malley has informed me of your conversations about the

I want to once again reassurance you that I am requesting
that you take this message as a help for you and for ourselves.

An allegation of child molestation was made against you. Who
made the call is a point we cannot determine. In a sense, it is ano­
ynymous. But, in the present climate, for your protection and for the
Archdiocese's protection, I submitted the situation to the Commission
I had appointed. They recommended that you

At my house on the day we talked, you shared with me your fear
and your own misgivings about what might have occurred in the past.
I understand, Norb, and I want you to know that, in no way, will the

test or whatever the disclosure be held "against" you. That's
not the way I operate. If one of my priests needs help, I will do
all I can to make sure he gets that help. You have served the Arch­
diocese well over the years. I want to see that service continue and
even deepen. You have always been a generous priest.

We are thinking of your future, Norb, and of your physical and
emotional well-being.

I assure you I will have the
same regard for you after the
as I will have after
your physical exams. I want to see you whole and well.

Please believe me when I offer you this word of encouragement.
Let's work together and the loving grace of God will be even more a
part of our lives. I will be praying for you as you move through this
important phase of your life. If you want to talk to me, don't
hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Cardinal Bernardin
FROM: Rev. Andrew McDonagh
DATE: July 16, 1990
RE: Norbert Maday

1. Fr. Jack Powers (708-333-3550, rectory, [redacted]), pastor of St. Jude, South Holland, called Monday morning to report that a certain [redacted] called the rectory to charge that his child had been molested by Fr. Maday 2 years ago and he learned about it just then. He was very angry and said he was going to call the South Holland Police.

2. I suggested that Fr. Jack call the detective. The reply was that no one by that name lived there.

3. Fr. Norbert Maday '64, is the alleged perpetrator. Fr. Norb has been at St. Jude about a year, 5 years at Our Lady of Ridge (the phone prefix given by the caller is in Our Lady of the Ridge area. Also, I called the police station asking for a [redacted]. The police said no one by that name was a member of their force nor did they know of anyone by that name in the surrounding forces). He was 5 years at St. Bede. I don't know about the other 4 years.

4. On Monday, July 16, Fr. Maday in company with 4 parents took 15 boys and girls to Schaeffer Lake for an all-day picnic. Father spends a lot of time with kids -- has them in his room. Jack and Fr. John Michael Murphy have discussed this and have some concern.

5. I told Fr. Powers to call back if there is more.

6. Today (7/18) I called Fr. Powers and suggested he tell Fr. Maday about the incident. Fr. Powers feels he can handle the situation. I suggested also that Jack could invite Norbert to talk with me. I asked that he be cautious not to give the impression that there is anything punitive involved.

AOC 001037
VAM # 0060

Date Received: 11/18/02
From: PFRA - Leah McCluskey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>ACCUSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Birth:</td>
<td>Age:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name:</td>
<td>Last Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name:</td>
<td>Position/Title:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>Affiliation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (H)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(W)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parent's names: (Mother) ____________________________ (Father) ____________________________

Spouse/Partner name: ____________________________

Therapy: Yes ☐ No ☐ |
Therapist: ____________________________
Address: ____________________________
Phone: ____________________________ Fax: ____________________________
Tax ID #: ____________________________ License #: ____________________________

Legal Involvement: Yes ☐ No ☐ L/S File #: ____________________________

Lawyer: ____________________________
Address: ____________________________

Phone Number: ____________________________ Contact: ____________________________

Additional Comments: ____________________________
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim BV's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey on November 11, 2002, regarding Rev. Norbert Maday. Victim BV reported that he had been on a trip to Wisconsin as a child with Fr. Maday and that he believed the criminal allegations against Maday were true. Victim BV did not want to formalize his allegations against Fr. Maday at the time of his statement.
October 28, 2003

Ms. Leah McCluskey
Office of Professional Responsibility
676 N. St. Clair St.
Chicago, IL 60611

Dear Ms. McCluskey,

I am writing to you in order to formalize my handwritten note of October 23, 2003 in response to your letter of October 21, 2003, regarding the matter of Norbert Maday, a priest ordained for the Archdiocese of Chicago who is currently incarcerated, and the allegations of sexual misconduct that were made against him by [names redacted]. I understand that a Review for Cause was conducted by the Review Board on October 18, 2003.

I accept the Review Board’s determination that there is reasonable cause to suspect that Norbert Maday engaged in acts of sexual misconduct with a minor. Since he is no longer exercising ministry in the name of the Church, there are no further restrictions that can be placed upon his ministry.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago

OCT 3 1 2003 Rev. Richard Kadlec
Ecclesiastical Notary

cc: Most Reverend Edwin M. Conway, Vicar General
Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate
Rev. Patrick R. Lagges, Judicial Vicar/Vicar for Canonical Services
Reverend James T. Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi, Victim Assistance Minister
Mr. Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
Mr. John C. O’Malley, Director of Legal Services
Could I get a transcript of the meeting that you, I and Lea had initially?

---Original Message---
From: Mayra Flores [mailto:mflores@archchicago.org]
Sent: Monday, September 29, 2003 8:12 AM
To:
Subject: RE: Suggestions

Will do. - Mayra

>>> 09/27/03 08:33AM >>>
If you want to call [redacted] and tell him I will call him Monday
that would be great. Thanks for all the help. [redacted]

---Original Message---
From: Mayra Flores [mailto:mflores@archchicago.org]
Sent: Friday, September 26, 2003 4:28 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: Leah McCluskey
Subject: Suggestions

Dear [redacted],

It was good talking to you today — although I doubt I’ll ever drive
to Indianapolis.

The two attorneys listed are lawyers that have represented other
people. They are:

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

If you would like me to call them first and make an "introduction",
I’d be happy to do so.

Have a good weekend. Please call if I can be of any further
assistance.
Peace,
Mayra Flores
Assistance Ministry
Archdiocese of Chicago
312.751.8267
312.751.8307 (fax)
mflores@archchicago.org
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry  
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests  
    Jimmy Lago, Chancellor  
    Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility  
    John O'Malley, Legal Services  
    Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board  
    Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant  
      Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: October 28, 2003

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Norbert [Redacted]

Attached is a copy of a new allegation received by this office on 10/21/03. We are opening a file and Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator will begin the Review Process by attempting to arrange an interview with [Redacted]. Please advise this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Norbert [Redacted].

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

cc: Most Rev. Edwin M. Conway
September 28, 2006

C/o Jeffrey Anderson & Associates
E-1000 First National Bank Building
332 Minnesota Street
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

Dear [Name]

I hope this letter finds you and your family doing well.

I have been notified that a monetary settlement with the Archdiocese has been completed. The Archdiocese wishes to attend to your personal request made during mediation.

The Office of Assistance Ministry has been asked by Cardinal George to coordinate efforts to assure that your personal request has been addressed in a timely manner. I have been designated to assist in this effort. You may contact me at any time. As stated in your settlement agreement, the outreach described in this letter is voluntary on the part of the Archdiocese and is not a component of the legal settlement. However, if disagreements arise regarding this outreach, you can request the assistance of the mediators, Mr. Thomas Gibbons and Sr. Rose Ann McDonald. Requests for mediator assistance would be made through Mr. Anderson and appropriate arrangements would be made at that time.

The outreach we are suggesting is as follows:

- **Therapy to be paid by the Archdiocese of Chicago**
  
The Office of Assistance Ministry offers and provides independent counseling services to Victim-Survivors and their families when they come to the Archdiocese to make a report of an accusation. If, and when, a settlement is reached, it is the Victim-Survivor's responsibility to pay for further counseling. The office will assist in the smooth transition of payment from the Archdiocese to the Victim-Survivor according to the terms of a settlement agreement.

- **Arrange for mail to be sent from St. Liborius to his children**
  
  I have found a listing for a St. Liborius Parish at 71 West 35th Street, Steger Illinois 60475. I would be happy to contact the parish to inquire how to assure your children receive...
parish literature from them. If, however, you wish to contact them directly, their telephone number is 708/754-1363. Please let me know what you prefer.

☐ Allow priests to marry

I can appreciate the reasoning behind your request. It, however, is a request that is beyond the scope of this office. I would like to share with you some information on current Archdiocesan efforts:

The Archdiocese has adopted the VIRTUS Program for the training of all Archdiocesan employees, including clergy, seminarians and volunteers. The program is designed to educate and train people on how to recognize and prevent sexual abuse. To date, more than 35,800 people from the Archdiocese have been trained. If you would like more information, I could arrange for you to receive information directly from the office director, Ms. Jan Slattery.

There have been general apologies published by the Archdiocese throughout the years. I could provide copies, if you so wish. Cardinal George, also, has met and/or written to Victims and their families to apologize to them personally for their abuse and for the sexual abuse of children committed by Archdiocesan Catholic priests.

It is the Archdiocese’s current policy that no priest against whom there has been a substantiated allegation of sexual misconduct with a minor may serve in any type of public ministry. This policy is in compliance with the Dallas Charter of 2002. If you would like copies of either document, please let me know and I would be happy to provide them.

The Archdiocese currently posts letters and other statements announcing the removal of priests with substantiated allegations of sexual misconduct with a minor on its website for thirty days after they are issued. We also have an information service for inquiries about the status of a particular priest. Under this process, the Archdiocese provides a written response of whether or not there is a substantiated allegation that a particular priest has committed sexual misconduct against a minor. The Archdiocese has received a number of inquiries through the service since its inception.

In addition to your requests, I would like to take this time to respectfully extend an invitation to you to meet with Francis Cardinal George if you feel such a meeting would be beneficial to you. As you reflect on the invitation (or if a meeting is not possible), I also ask you to consider whether you would like to receive a letter from the Cardinal. It is his personal hope to meet an/or write to all Victim-Survivors, if they wish.

As challenging as these times may be for the Church, I would be remiss if I did not extend this invitation, and will abide by whatever decision you reach.

If you wish to speak to me directly, I can be reached at 312/751-8267.

Peace,
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
    Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
    Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility
    John O'Malley, Legal Services
    Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant
      Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: April 29, 2004

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Norbert J. (Withdrawn)

Attached is a copy of a new allegation received by this office on 4/29/04. We are opening a file and Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator will begin the Review Process by attempting to arrange an interview with [REDACTED]. Please advise this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Norbert J.

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

cc: Most Rev. Edwin M. Conway
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim BW's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey on July 12, 2004, formalizing his allegation of abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday. The alleged abuse consisted of one incident of attempted genital fondling, frequent discussions of sexual topics initiated by Fr. Maday and directing and encouraging Victim BW to sexually abuse other children. The alleged abuse occurred in Fr. Maday's car and at the St. Bede's rectory and took place when Victim BW was between the sixth and eighth grades and a student at St. Bede elementary school. Victim BW stated that he reported the abuse to a priest when he was in 8th grade and believes nothing was done in response to his report. Victim BW also reported that Fr. Maday would take him on outings and would have him sit on Fr. Maday's lap while Fr. Maday massaged him. On other occasions, Victim BW recalled that Fr. Maday would engage in sexual discussions and ask about details of sexual experiences.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
   Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
   Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
   Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility
   John O'Malley, Legal Services
   Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
   Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant
      Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: May 10, 2004

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Norbert (Withdrawn)

Attached is a copy of a new allegation received by this office on 5/3/04. We are opening
a file and Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator will begin the Review
Process by attempting to arrange an interview with [redacted]. Please advise this office
of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Norbert [redacted]

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent
to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the
investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

cc: Most Rev. Edwin M. Conway
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry  
Re: Vince Costello, Vicar for Priests  
Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests  
Jimmy Lago, Chancellor  
Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility  
John O'Mailey, Legal Services  
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board  
Patricia Zacharias, Office of Professional Responsibility

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant  
Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: September 7, 2005

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Rev. Norbert (Incarcerated)

Attached is a copy of a new allegation received by this office on 9/6/05. We are opening a file and Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator will begin the Review Process by attempting to arrange an interview with the victim's mother. Please advise this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Norbert.

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

cc: Rev. George Rassas, Vicar General
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the email from the mother of Victim BP to Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, on September 7, 2005, regarding Rev. Norbert Maday. In the email, the mother of Victim BP alleges that her son was abused by Fr. Maday during out-of-town retreats. She shared that the alleged abuse had ruined her son's life.
MEMORANDUM

To: File - PFR-29

From: Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator

Re: Maday, Rev. Norbert [Incarcerated/Withdrawn]/

Third Party allegation

Date: May 11, 2006

PRA received a phone call on May 9, 2006 from [redacted]. She stated that she was calling on behalf of her husband [redacted] to request some information.

[redacted] referenced a newspaper article in the May 3, 2006 Sun Times on Rev. Norbert Maday. She then stated that she has been married to [redacted] for five years and that she knew his family for 14 years prior. [redacted] stated that her husband has "...talked to counselors..." and that he is now 41 years old. She then clarified that her husband was 12 years old when Fr. Maday sexually abused him.

PRA confirmed for [redacted] that she had contacted the correct office. [redacted] stated that her husband now wishes to speak with someone [at the Archdiocese of Chicago] about the abuse. PRA provided [redacted] with a verbal explanation of arranging a meeting so that [redacted] may formalize his allegation against Fr. Maday. [redacted] was also verbally provided basic information on the Office of Assistance Ministry.

[redacted] expressed her appreciation for the information and stated that she would forward it to her husband. She informed PRA to expect a phone call from [redacted].

When asked, [redacted] provided [redacted] birth date of [redacted]. Also when asked, [redacted] stated that she is not certain if the alleged abuse took place at a certain parish, but stated that her husband grew up in the area of the south side of Chicago. [redacted] noted that she and her husband currently live in New Lenox, but that [redacted] works in the downtown area.
MEMORANDUM

To: File - PFR-29
From: Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator
Re: Maday, Rev. Norbert [Withdrawn/Incarcerated]
Date: May 15, 2006

PRA received a voice mail message today from [redacted], wife of [redacted]. [Redacted] suggested that PRA attempt to reach her husband on his cellular phone, as it is back in service. [Redacted] stated that [redacted] cellular phone number is [redacted].

PRA reached [redacted] via his cellular phone today. [redacted] requested that he return PRA's phone call, as he was at work.

PRA received a return phone call from [redacted]. PRA referred to the phone call that took place the week prior with his wife [redacted] and asked if he had any questions or wished for an explanation of the Office of Professional Responsibility. [redacted] agreed to have PRA provide him information on the office as well as information on formalizing an allegation against Rev. Norbert Maday. PRA also mentioned the Office of Assistance Ministry. [Redacted] expressed his interest in beginning therapy again, as he mentioned that he had participated in therapy in the past. It was agreed that PRA would provide Dr. Michael Bland of the Office of Assistance Ministry with [redacted] name and phone number to discuss therapy.

[Redacted] mentioned that he is employed as a plumber and married with children. He stated that he participated in therapy as a child. [Redacted] informed PRA that he saw Fr. Maday's name in the paper in the recent past and everything [memories of the abuse] came flooding back to him again. He spoke of another adult, whom he believes may have been Fr. Maday's [redacted]. [Redacted] stated that Fr. Maday took him, his brother, and two or three friends to this [redacted] home where they "smoked dope" and referred to other things that happened in the home. He thinks that Fr. Maday's [redacted] was possibly a dentist and had a house on a golf course, possibly in Mt. Prospect. [Redacted] noted that the abuse began when Fr. Maday would take them driving in his car. He stated that Fr. Maday would let each of them sit on his lap to "drive," and the cleric would fondle them.
expressed that his biggest fear is that his name will be released in the media. PRA informed that the Archdiocese of Chicago does not and would not release names of victim/survivors who come forward to report the abuse of minors by clerics. was informed that Fr. Maday and other appropriate offices within the Archdiocese would know his name, which he understood.

It was agreed that would look at his calendar and contact PRA with dates and times to schedule a face-to-face meeting. In the interim, PRA will provide Dr. Bland with contact information to discuss therapy.

may be reached at

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
Dr. Michael Bland, Office of Assistance Ministry
Ralph Bonaccorsi, Office of Assistance Ministry
August 18, 2006

VIA FACSIMILE (651-397-6543) & U.S. MAIL

Mr. Jeffrey R. Anderson  
Jeff Anderson & Associates  
E-1000 First National Bank Building  
332 Minnesota Street  
St. Paul, MN 55101

Re: [Redacted]

Dear Mr. Anderson:

I am following up on your letter of July 3, 2006 regarding the above matter. Pursuant to your request, we included [Redacted] in the July 13, 2006 Tolling Agreement and an executed copy of which we sent you on August 2, 2006.

I have passed your correspondence on to Ms. Leah McCluskey, the Professional Responsibility Administrator of the Archdiocese of Chicago. It is my understanding that someone from her office has been or will be in contact with you about this matter. As you know, either you or your client can contact Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi (312-751-8267), Assistance Minister of the Archdiocese, for pastoral assistance or therapy at the Archdiocese’s expense from a licensed therapist of your client’s choice.

Please direct your communications about this matter to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

James A. Serritella

cc: Leah McCluskey (via facsimile)  
    Ralph Bonaccorsi (via facsimile)
Mr. Jeffrey R. Anderson  
August 18, 2006  
Page 2

bcc: Reverend Edward Grace (via facsimile)  
Reverend Vincent Costello (via facsimile)  
John O'Malley (via facsimile)
Ms. Leah McCluskey  
Office of Professional Responsibility  
P.O. Box 1979  
Chicago, IL 60690-1979  

Dear Ms. McCluskey,  

I am writing to you in order to formalize my handwritten note in response to your letter of January 22, 2007, regarding the matter of Reverend Norbert Maday, a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago who is retired and prohibited from public ministry, and the allegation made by [redacted] following the Initial Review conducted by the Professional Responsibility Review Board on January 20, 2007.  

In light of the Board's consideration of the information presented in this matter, I accept the Board's determination that the information suggests further investigation into whether Father Maday engaged in sexual misconduct with a minor.  

With this letter is a decree which appoints you as the investigator into an allegation of sexual misconduct with a minor that was made against Father Maday. The terms of this investigation are spelled out in the decree.  

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.  

Sincerely yours in Christ,  

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.  
Archbishop of Chicago  

cc: Very Rev. John F. Canary, Vicar General  
Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate  
Rev. Patrick R. Lagges, Judicial Vicar/Vicar for Canonical Services  
Reverend Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests  
Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistant Minister  
Mr. Jimmy Lago, Chancellor  
Mr. John C. O'Malley, Director of Legal Services
DECREET

Having received the recommendation of the Archdiocesan Professional Responsibility Review Board that information at least seems to be true that Reverend Norbert Maday engaged in sexual misconduct with a minor at the time of the alleged incident, I have concluded that this constitutes information which “at least seems to be true” (c. 1717) and warrants further investigation.

Therefore, in accordance with the aforementioned canon, I decree that an inquiry be done into the facts and circumstances of this accusation, as well as its imputability to Father Maday.

Since my other duties prevent me from conducting this investigation personally, I hereby appoint Ms. Leah McCluskey to act as the investigator in this matter. In carrying out these duties, Ms. McCluskey will have all of the authority of an auditor, in accordance with cc. 1428 and 1717. She is to collect any additional proofs she deems necessary in accordance with the norm of law as they relate to the present allegation. She is delegated to take testimony from the accused and from any witnesses (cc. 1530 - 1538 and 1547 - 1573), to obtain any necessary documents (cc. 1540 - 1546), to enlist the services of any experts deemed necessary (cc. 1574 - 1581), and to have access to places or things which she deems necessary for her investigation.

In conducting her investigation, Ms. McCluskey is to take care that such an investigation does nothing to harm Father Maday’s name or to violate his right to protect his privacy.

After she has concluded her investigation, Ms. McCluskey is to make a written and oral report to the Professional Responsibility Review Board, no later than one hundred eighty days from the date of this appointment. This report is to address the facts, circumstances, and imputability concerning the alleged offense. This report is to be sent to me, along with the advice of the Review Board.


Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago

Rev. Richard Haecker
Ecclesiastical Notary
Burke, Warren, MacKay & Serritella, P.C.
ATTENTION: JAMES SERRITELLA
22nd Floor, IBM Plaza
330 North Wabash Avenue
Chicago, IL 60611-3607

RE:      v. MAYDAY, et al.

Dear Jim:

Please be advised that I represent [redacted] in his claim against FR.
NORBERT MAYDAY and the ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO arising out sexual abuse
perpetrated on him when he was a minor.

Please be advised that I claim a lien for my services as attorney for the above-captioned
individual, and I ask that someone contact me before May 26, 2006 to discuss a
reasonable resolution to this claim.

Very truly yours,

JOSEPH G. KLEST
Attorney at Law

JGK/ml

cc

CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
Rev. Vince Costello, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility
John O'Malley, Legal Services
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
Patricia Zacharias, Office of Professional Responsibility

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant
Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: October 26, 2006

RE: [PFR-29] Mayer, Rev. Robert (Resigned)/Maday, Rev. Norbert (Incarcerated)/THIRD PARTY ALLEGATION

Attached is a new allegation received by this office on 8/24/06.

Please be advised this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Rev. Norbert.

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

Cc: Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Very Rev. John Canary, Vicar General
Rev. Dennis Lyle, Rector, Mundelein Seminary
Very Rev. James Presta, Vicar, St. Joseph Seminary
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim BX's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey on December 19, 2003, formalizing his allegation of abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday. The alleged abuse consisted of two incidents of genital fondling when Victim BX was in the fourth grade in approximately 1974 to 1975. The alleged abuse occurred at the school and rectory of St. Bede's parish. Victim BX reported that he told his teacher of the abuse at the time, and his teacher was horrified by the reported abuse. The abuse was also reported to the pastor of St. Bede's in approximately the late 1980's.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
    Rev. Vince Costello, Vicar for Priests
    Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
    Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
    Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility
    John O'Malley, Legal Services
    Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
    Patricia Zacharias, Office of Professional Responsibility

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant
       Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: November 30, 2006

RE: [PFR-29] Mayer, Rev. Robert (Resigned)/Maday, Rev. Norbert (Incarcerated)/

Attached is a new allegation received by this office on 8/24/06.

Please be advised this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Mayer, Rev. Robert.

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

Cc: Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
    Very Rev. John Canary, Vicar General
    Rev. Dennis Lyle, Rector, Mundelein Seminary
    Very Rev. James Presta, Vicar, St. Joseph Seminary
July 25, 2005

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Michael J. Howlett, Jr.
Counsel to the State's Attorney
Richard J. Daley Center
69 West Washington Street, 32nd Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60602

RE: [Redacted]
Date of Birth: Unknown
Date of alleged abuse: Unknown

Dear Mr. Howlett:

Please be advised the Archdiocese of Chicago has received a claim from Jeff Anderson &
Associates on behalf of [Redacted] current age unknown, that Rev. Norbert Maday, an
Archdiocesan priest, sexually abused him when he was a minor. The priest is incarcerated.
There is no other information available at this time.

If our office can provide any additional information, or be of any further help, please let
me know.

Very truly yours,

John C. O'Malley
Director of Legal Services

JCOM:sm

cc: Ms. Shauna Boliker
Ms. Leah McCluskey
Mr. James A. Serritella
MEMORANDUM

TO: Leah McCluskey
FROM: Father Lagges
RE: Maday Case
DATE: 29 September, 2005

What I was calling you about earlier this week was that I was putting together Maday’s case for Rome and I didn’t have information on a few of the accusations. In particular, I don’t have anything on:

- 
- 
- 
- 

I know some of these may not have been formal accusations, but Rome is asking for all the accusations.

For the other accusations, I have been sending Rome the following:
- Statement of the accuser
- Response of the priest
- Summary of Review Board meeting(s)
- Letters from you to Cardinal George about Review Board findings
- Letters from Cardinal to you accepting the findings

If you have those on the above people, fine. If not, would you at least send me the statement of the accuser and Maday’s response, if any? I will be out until Friday next week (October 7).

Thanks.
July 12, 2005

James Serritella
Burke, Warren, MacKay & Serritella
22nd Floor, IBM Plaza
330 North Wabash Avenue
Chicago, IL 60611-3607

RE: [Redacted] v. Archdiocese of Chicago and Fr. Norbert Maday

Dear Jim:

By this letter I am confirming that I advised you that we represent [Redacted] in a claim for sexual abuse by Fr. Maday and we agreed that the statute of limitations would be tolled and that the Archdiocese would enter into a tolling agreement effective as of this date. I enclose a copy of the usual tolling agreement we’ve entered into in the past and would ask that you sign and return it on behalf of your client as soon as is convenient.

Thank you for your courtesy in this matter.

Very truly yours,

Jeffrey R. Anderson
jeff@andersonadvocates.com

JRA: tat
Enclosure
cc: Marc Pearlman

*Certified Civil Trial Specialist by National Board of Trial Advocacy and Minnesota State Bar Association
1 Also Admitted in Illinois 2 Also Admitted in Wisconsin 3 Also Admitted in Missouri
A discussion summary from the Professional Fitness Review Board Meeting on August 21, 1999.

The Review Board asked to be put on record that it was unable to make a determination about the allegation against Rev. Norbert Maday due to lack of cooperation with the Review Board’s process and the consequent lack of information. The Board wondered about the wisdom of the Archdiocese negotiating a settlement with an alleged victim before the Board has had a chance to review the allegation.
Summary of discussion from Professional Fitness Review Board meeting on May 15, 1999:

- New allegation by [redacted]
- Fr. Maday's parole hearing is May 27, 1999.
- Recommendation re: credibility of allegation deferred until PFRA obtains further information from alleged victim.

Additional questions to [redacted]

- Parish, time, dates, additional details.
- Attorney's reasons
- Maday know [redacted]
- How did [redacted] meet Father Maday?
- Involvement with LINK UP; source of referral to Joe Klest.
To: FILE
From: KATHLEEN LEGGDAS, PFRA
Re: TELEPHONE CONTACT - PFR/VP 29
Date: December 2, 1998

Ralph Bonaccorsi (RB), Victim Assistance Minister (VAM), and I had a conference call with Jim Serritella (JS) regarding [redacted] by [redacted].

As requested by JS, I called [redacted], attorney for [redacted]. [redacted] is currently [redacted] and wants to meet with PFRA to formally present his allegations. RB & I will plan a trip to conduct the interview in preparation for a First Stage Review.

[redacted] stated he would need a few weeks notice in order to make arrangements. He suggested we give him a few dates in January as he would like to be present and requested we come down on a Friday or Saturday.

I told him we would call him with dates sometime the week of December 7, 1998 -

cc: Rev. Thomas Paprocki
    Rev. Lawrence McBrady
    Ralph Bonaccorsi
    James A. Serritella (by fax)
PFRA attempted to reach [redacted], a [redacted] of Fr. Norbert Maday. Fr. Maday identified [redacted] as a [redacted] who owned a townhouse in [redacted] [where he resided from 1970-1996] where Fr. Maday would bring altar boys to visit and stay overnight. PFRA left a message for [redacted] and requested a return call.

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop’s Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
September 8, 2004

Dear [Name],

Thank you for returning your report to this office with your changes. However, you did not sign the report. I am enclosing it once more for your signature. Please return it to this office in the envelope provided at your earliest convenience.

Once all signatures are obtained, a copy will be sent to you and to your attorney, Mr. Cunniff.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at [312] 751-5205.

Sincerely,

Laura A. Neri-Palomino
Administrative Assistant

Enclosure

Cc: Kenneth L. Cunniff, Attorney
October 1, 2004

Fr. Norbert Maday
#288632
Stanley Correctional Institution
100 Correction Dr
Stanley, WI 54768-6500

Dear Fr. Maday,

In preparation for your meeting with Leah McCluskey on Tuesday, October 5, 2004 I am forwarding you the following four victim interview reports in reference to allegations of sexual misconduct made against you.

The following victim reports are enclosed:

Sincerely,

Laura A. Neri-Palomino
Administrative Assistant

Enclosures
TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry  
Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests  
Jimmy Lago, Chancellor  
Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility  
John O'Malley, Legal Services  
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board  
Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant  
Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: April 29, 2004

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Norbert J. (Withdrawn)

Attached is a copy of a new allegation received by this office on 4/29/04. We are opening a file and Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator will begin the Review Process by attempting to arrange an interview with [REDACTED]. Please advise this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Norbert J. [REDACTED].

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

cc: Most Rev. Edwin M. Conway
MEMORANDUM

To: File – PFR-29

From: Review Board Meeting

Re: Maday, Norbert (Withdrawn)

Date: April 9, 2005

A summary of the conversation from the Professional Responsibility Review Board Meeting on April 9, 2005:

The Review Board conducted an Initial Review regarding the allegation made by [redacted] The claim is as follows: One attempt by Fr. Maday to physically fondle [redacted] genitals; Fr. Maday would talk to [redacted] about sexually explicit topics and then instructed him [redacted] to physically touch [abuse] other minors, including his brother [redacted]

In a 6-0 vote, in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter warrants additional investigation.
MEMORANDUM

To: File –PFR-29

From: Laura A. Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant

Re: Norbert Maday (Withdrawn)

Date: February 18, 2003

A summary of the discussion from the Professional Fitness Review Board Meeting on February 15, 2003:

The Review Board conducted a First Stage Review regarding the allegation of Norbert Maday. The claim is as follows: NM fondled over and under his clothes; accompanied NM on overnight trips; NM purchased illegal substances and imbibed in them with minors.

In a unanimous 6-0 vote, the Review Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that misconduct did occur.
Memorandum

To: File – PFR-29

From: Review Board Meeting

Re: Maday, Rev. Norbert (Incarcerated/Withdrawn)

Date: January 20, 2007

A summary of the discussion at the Review Board Meeting on January 20, 2007:

The Review Board conducted an Initial Review of the allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows:

- more than six incidents of fondling over clothing while sitting on Fr. Maday's lap and "driving" his car; Fr. Maday performed oral sex on while on weekend trip to home of cleric's cousin.

In a 9-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Review Board determined that the matter warrants additional investigation.

The Board also made the following general recommendations regarding Fr. Maday based upon the information provided that the cleric is scheduled to be released from prison in October 2007:

- Recommendation for a very strict supervision program and mandatory treatment for Fr. Maday to be established and put into place prior to his release from prison
- 9-0 vote that Cardinal George writes a letter and follows up with a phone call to the [Wisconsin] prosecutors' office to state that the Archdiocese of Chicago recommends and supports that Fr. Maday's sentence is extended
- 9-0 vote that Mr. Gass and PRA work on a letter to Cardinal George regarding the Boards' recommendation that a residential and therapeutic program be established for clerics removed from ministry [as a result of a substantiated allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor]
July 14, 2006

C/o Mr. Joseph Klest
1701 E. Woodfield Road
Suite 909
Schaumburg, IL 60173

Dear [Name],

I hope that this letter finds you doing well.

Enclosed you will find a draft report of your allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert Maday. The report is based upon our meeting that took place on June 21, 2006.

I ask that you review the report and make any changes necessary to ensure its accuracy. Please return the draft to me with any changes in the envelope provided. I will then return a final report to you for your signature. Once all signatures are provided, a copy of the final report will be forwarded to you through your attorney Joseph Klest.

In the interest of expediting this process, I ask that you return the enclosed report with your changes as near to or on July 31, 2006. Please know that you may also respond by July 31st with a written request for a two-week extension to review the report, which then I would ask that you return it to me by August 14, 2006. I have noted this time frame in light of presenting this matter to the Review Board as soon as possible so that this matter may continue forward.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (312) 751-5205.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Professional Responsibility Administrator

Enclosure
Memorandum

To: File - PFR-29

From: Review Board Meeting

Re: Maday, Rev. Norbert (Incarcerated/Withdrawn)

Date: January 20, 2007

A summary of the discussion at the Review Board Meeting on January 20, 2007:

The Review Board conducted an Initial Review of [REDACTED] allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: consumed alcohol, smoked pot, used other drugs, watched porno movies; fondling under clothing.

During the January 20th meeting, the Board also directed PRA to conduct a search on the Illinois Department of Professional Regulation website to determine if [REDACTED] was listed as a dentist who was ever disciplined. PRA successfully completed the search during the Board meeting and reported that the following was determined:

[REDACTED]

PRA verbally provided the Board with the information found and printed out a copy of the information for the file.

In a 9-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Review Board determined that the matter warrants additional investigation.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
    Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
    Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility
    John O'Malley, Legal Services
    Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant
      Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: October 28, 2003

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Norbert

Attached is a copy of a new allegation received by this office on 10/21/03. We are opening a file and Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator will begin the Review Process by attempting to arrange an interview with [REDACTED]. Please advise this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Norbert [REDACTED].

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

cc: Most Rev. Edwin M. Conway
Fax

To: Leah McCluskey  #5205 phone
Of: Office of Prof. Resp.
Fax #: (312) 751-8307
From: Det. M. E
Fax: 

Date: Feb. 15, 2005

Pages: 4, including this cover sheet.
If you do not receive all pages, please call ____________

Comments: Attached are Log notes from 12/03 to 7/04 on St. Bede's School

Stevenson Public School + CCD @ St. Bede's

Gr. 5 was @ St. Bede's 7/7-7/8
Fr. H. came in June 1977

May have been only 1 year or even less or for 2 yrs, Gr. 4/5
1. St. Bede the Venerable - Leah McCluskey sent an email, trying to locate who taught at St. Bede's in the 1970's as a lay teacher. The man recalls her kindness to when he was a child and he confided in her that he was an abused child. Teacher personnel found that she is now 55 y.o. and taught at the school for only 7 years. She called the long-term school secretary to ask if she or any long-term teacher there might have contact with the teacher or know where to find her. In the 1970's that was a large neighborhood school. Sent an email back to Leah on the information to date. Later in day AP heard from Secretary, Marion, that she has NO current information on the former teacher.

6. St. Bede the Venerable - E-mail from Leah McCluskey of Assistance Ministry asking how a graduate, now an adult, could see his record. Faxed her the pages in the administrator handbook under "Records."

3. St. Bede the Venerable - Conversation with Ralph B and Mayra Flores re how to obtain a diploma for graduate of about 30 years ago. Suggested he call Rick Guerin to ask him to verify the date/fact of graduation. OCS can make a diploma and provide a cover. Rick Guerin could sign it. The supt. will, however, be the present Supt., but this is probably OK.

8. St. Bede the Venerable - Call from RB of Office of Professional Responsibility regarding the man who wanted a diploma from the school, claiming he lost it. The principal searched records from 1970-1980 and found no record of his graduation. Wondered if he transferred to the local public school. Told RB that I know a lawyer who used to be legal counsel for CPS and would ask him about the graduation records...how/if they could be verified...how/if a person could get a copy of his diploma.

9. St. Bede the Venerable - Talked to Attorney (regarding his memory of how CPS works regarding student records. Said they are kept at Central Records for 60 years. Would need the person's social security # and name (and maybe DOB) to verify graduation. They might just verify on the phone. In order to get a written copy of verification of graduation, a form must be filled out. He was not sure about getting a duplicate diploma, but it probably can be done. (Our company will do it for our system, but the cost is much higher than one for the present school year.) Sent an email to Leah McC and Ralph B stating the above.

5. St. Bede the Venerable - Called principal, Rick Guerin, left message that I tried to return his call of yesterday. Eventually we shall connect. A later VM was to ask about the boy who
3. St. Bede the Venerable - Rick Guerin called to say that he could not find any information on [name] from [school] or [parish]. He talked to [name] who graduated in 1977 who lived at [address], a block from the school. She went to [school]. The father was named [name] and was a salesman. Rick knows he sold [product]; is now deceased. She received First Communion at St. Bede's, so was there for years, etc. She would have been [grade] of this person named [name] if his date of birth was [date]. If the age for first grade was 6 by Dec. 1, he would have started school in 1973. He would have been in [grade] in 1976, and had he stayed in that school, he would have been in [grade] in 80-81.

3. St. Bede the Venerable -

Called Rick Guerin and he found class pictures, but they were not in good order. He found a 5th grade photo from 1977-1978 and [name] is in the photo. There is a hand-printed list of names for each picture. He does not think there is a 4th grade class photo from 1976-1977. In addition, there were about 4 classes for each grade at that time. He will put the photos in order now and fax me lists and photos asap. I called the lawyers back and RB back to say we have established that [name] was in 5th grade there in '77-'78

1. St. Bede the Venerable - Received from principal Rick Guerin a faxed copy of class photo from 1977-1978. In the bottom row, third rectangle from the right is [name]. The teacher [name] faxed to Pat Carlson. [name]'s file is there somewhere and he will search for it. (If he does not have it, we can get her OCS file from the archives.) He said a [name] used to keep in touch with her, but she has died. He also said that in photos from 1978-79 and 79-80 that [name] is not in any class picture. He must have left in 1978. There were no class pictures the year before the one Rick found, i.e. Gr. 4 in 1976-1977. He mentioned that a half-dozen of the present school parents were in the photo with [name]. If we want he can ask them if they remember [name] and how long he may have been in their class. In those days the youngsters were in self-contained rooms of 50 and there were four rooms and kids were switched around each year. The school secretary found transfer sheets and there is none for [name] anywhere. He concluded that Fr. Mayday was a jerk and he never liked him. Later he learned he was a fondler of kids.

Other questions for our lawyer: should he dig through sacramental records? I will call [name] (now 60) I will ask her if she knows how to contact [name]. Should he talk to classmates of [name] who are now school parents? Should he go to Kinkos and make a copy of the class photo (might be a good bargaining chip to promise him this).
3. St. Bede the Venerable - Tried to locate. Old Chicago address was. She is now 60 y.o. and has moved to. Found her pension card to get her husband's name. The school secretary remembers that she moved near the near Pat Carter found an in the white pages through Internet. The number is. I called the number and the man who answered said she was out shopping. I told him who I was and why I was calling. He asked if he could tell her the name of the student I was trying to verify. He gasped when I said. He said he remembers her talking about this child and that he was "strange" and that he thinks she was afraid of him.

Many years later there was a TV show on TV and was interviewed about his lifestyle and if he had any fear of getting AIDS. She told him that was on TV. He mentioned he is a retired Chicago police officer. I told I would call back in two hours. (Their address was)

When I called back I told her who I was and that says he was in the class of. (later married and we do not know her married name) in Gr. 4 and in Gr. 5. We found a class photo from 1977-1978 and he was in her class. This verifies he was there at St. Bede's that school year, but he may have left before June. Would she remember him? Do you recall what year he came in (with several siblings in 1974 or 1975)? He may have been in the school as many as 4 years. Did she have any knowledge of how we might contact who taught there from 1971-1978. He thinks she is the teacher he told that Fr. Mayday had molested him. The teacher or his father told the principal. This is his perception, but it may need to be corrected.

said she remembers that was there the whole year of 5th grade. She had heard 4th grade teachers speak about him the year before, so she noticed him then. She said she never met the father and she believes he lived with his mother and who may have been in high school. She recalls that he was very. He was not a behavioral problem and he did not have a learning problem. She remembers he had his own mind. If ever corrected, he would give her a little grin as if to say: this is not going to go anywhere. My mother would not do anything if you contacted her. She particularly

remembers that he was very, even at the young age of 9 or 10. She recalls the October Halloween party. He arrived as a, with perfect make-up that his sisters had applied. He was very comfortable like this and really enjoyed it. They remarked that no other boy in Gr. 5 would ever have done this.

She thought that the 4th grade teacher's name was, but she thought that this was her maiden name and that her married name was.
A Summary of the discussion from the Professional Responsibility Review Board Meeting on October 18, 2003:

The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause regarding the allegation of Norbert Maday. The claim is as follows: Norbert Maday and other altar boys were invited on a retreat by Norbert Maday. Norbert Maday provided and other altar boys with alcohol and pornographic movies. Norbert Maday fondled over his clothing in his car while “teaching” to drive.

In a unanimous 6-0 vote, the Board recommended that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred.

PRA updated the Board with recent phone conversations with regarding his extreme concerns with the following: the fact that Norbert Maday is not yet laicized and that Norbert Maday is receiving a small sum of money from the Archdiocese on a monthly basis. The Board was notified that PRA put in contact with Fr. Smilanic in order to address specific concerns with the process of laicization. Board recommended that
June 22, 2006

Rev. Norbert Maday
#288632
Stanley Correctional Institution
100 Correction Dr
Stanley, WI 54768-6500

Dear Fr. Maday,

I am writing to notify you of a recently received third party allegation of sexual abuse made against you by [redacted] on behalf of her deceased son [redacted]. [Redacted] requested that I forward to you the attached information that she e-mailed to me concerning [redacted].

Fr. Maday, I ask that if you have any response to the e-mails that [redacted] has sent, to please notify me in writing as soon as you are able.

You may forward any correspondence to me at the following address:
Leah McCluskey
Office of Professional Responsibility
676 N. St. Clair, Suite 1910
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Sincerely,

Leah McCluskey
Professional Responsibility Administrator

Enclosures
April 11, 2007

Parole Commission in Wisconsin
Alfonso Graham, Chair
3099 East Washington Avenue
P.O. Box 7960
Madison, WI 53707-7960

Dear Mr. Graham:

I am writing to you as the Catholic Archbishop of Chicago about Norbert Maday (#278632), a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago, who is presently serving time for crimes he was convicted of committing in your jurisdiction; he is about to complete his sentence. I am his ecclesiastical superior.

In May of 1999, the Vicar for Priests, an official of this archdiocese, wrote to the Wisconsin Parole Commission saying that this archdiocese ‘would be pleased to receive Norbert Maday into the Archdiocese of Chicago system’. However, the situation has changed. Given the manner in which Norbert Maday has addressed his incarceration and the comprehensive program of monitoring that society would now reasonably demand, the Archdiocese of Chicago is not capable of receiving him back into our system. This conclusion is based, in part, on information from those who have dealt directly with Norbert Maday and his situation, including the descriptions of interactions that Vicars for Priests and the Archdiocesan Director of Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review had with him while visiting him. Shortly I will approach the office in Rome responsible for overseeing priests who have abused minors, requesting that Norbert Maday be dismissed from the Catholic priesthood. If granted, this action would sever the legal relationship in Catholic Church law that exists between the bishop of a diocese and a priest of the diocese. He would once again be simply a Catholic layperson; I would no longer have the authority over him that a bishop has over a priest.

I bring my recommendation to you, and I will bring my request to the authorities in Rome, for several reasons.

My first reason is the protection of the vulnerable. For the safety of young people and for the peace of mind of the citizenry, Norbert Maday would require a comprehensive program of monitoring. This Archdiocese lacks the resources to monitor him. Equally significant, this Archdiocese lacks the coercive police power to effectively enforce such monitoring. This is also why I am seeking to have him dismissed from the priesthood.
My second reason is that the ministry and life of this Archdiocese would be gravely affected by simply receiving Norbert Maday back into our system as a priest, albeit with restrictions and conditions. The position of a Catholic priest is a position of public trust, not just for Catholics but for all people. To have him present in the midst of the Archdiocese as a priest, even though permanently withdrawn from public ministry, undermines the credibility and ministry of all priests.

Finally, given the history and the widespread knowledge of his situation, it would be a cause of scandal to the Catholic faithful and to all people if he were to return to the Chicago metropolitan area and remain a priest. Because the facts of his case are so public, it is no longer possible for this Archdiocese to house him at an ecclesiastical facility.

Sincerely yours,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Archbishop of Chicago
**SUMMARY TIME LINE OF ALLEGATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ACCUSED</strong></th>
<th><strong>ACCUSER</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name: Rev. Norbert Maday</td>
<td>James G. Birnbaum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: Stanley Correctional Institution Stanley, Wisconsin</td>
<td>Jeff Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Birth:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current age: 68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of civil attorney: James G. Birnbaum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date of Ordination [of accused]:** 4/30/64  
*Location:* Mundelein  
*Age at ordination:* 26  
*Assignment location of accused:* N/A  
*Status of accused:* Withdrawn  
*Name of canonical advocate:* Mr. Lawrence G. Price, JD, JCL

**Date allegation received by PRA:** 5/9/06  
**Date allegation formalized with PRA:** 8/25/06  
**Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:** summer of 1976  
**Date of last incident of alleged abuse:** fall of 1976  
**Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse:** more than six

AOC 001316
Brief summary of alleged abuse: more than 6 incidents of fondling over his clothing while sitting on Fr. Maday's lap and "driving" his car; Fr. Maday performed oral sex on while on weekend trip to home of cleric's cousin

Brief summary and date of response from accused: allegation mailed on 1/11/07 to Fr. Maday's civil attorney to present to him in prison; written request for response made by PRA

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: Initial Review

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]
Date of birth: [redacted]
Current age: [redacted]
Name of civil attorney: [redacted]
Date allegation received by PRA: 11/17/93
Date allegation formalized with PRA: 6/21/06
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1975
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1977
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: several

Brief summary of alleged abuse: consumed alcohol, smoked pot, used other drugs, watched porno movies; fondling under clothing

Brief summary and date of response from accused: allegation mailed on 1/11/07 to Fr. Maday's civil attorney to present to him in prison; written request for response made by PRA

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: Initial Review

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: 

Date of birth: 

Current age: 

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson

Date allegation received by PRA: 1/23/06

Date allegation formalized with PRA: 8/23/06

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1979

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1980

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: six

Brief summary of alleged abuse: Approximately four incidents of fondling over and under clothing while sitting on Fr. Maday's lap in his car and "driving;" fondling over and under bathing suit in a hotel swimming pool; fondling over and under clothing while "wrestling" in Fr. Maday's private quarters in the rectory

Brief summary and date of response from accused: allegation mailed on 1/11/07 to Fr. Maday's civil attorney to present to him in prison; written request for response made by PRA

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: Initial Review

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]

Date of birth: [redacted]

Current age: [redacted]

Name of civil attorney: [redacted]

Date allegation received by PRA: 9/4/97

Date allegation formalized with PRA: 4/27/99

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1971

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1972

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: more than one

---

Brief summary of alleged abuse: Allegation initially received via [redacted] attorney. Sitting on lap while driving, fondling through clothes [sexual touching over and under clothes of [redacted]]

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 1/19/00

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: 8/99--The Board asked to be put on record that it was unable to make a determination due to lack of cooperation with the Board's process and the consequent lack of information.

---

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: 

Date of birth: 

Current age: 

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson

Date allegation received by PRA: 4/29/02

Date allegation formalized with PRA: 12/7/02

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1978

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: 11 years of age; fondled genitals, gave alcohol, pot; sat on Fr. Maday's lap while "driving" - erection; books, child porn; hotel in bed, rubbing stomach for a couple of hours; sexual talk by Fr. Maday.

Brief summary and date of response from accused:

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: 6/03—reasonable cause to suspect the alleged misconduct occurred; recommendation to Cardinal George that Fr. Maday be laicized

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth: unk

Current age: unk

Name of civil attorney: N/A

Date allegation received by PRA: 11/1/02

Date allegation formalized with PRA: note in file that [Redacted] does not want to make allegation.

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [Redacted] was not interested in formalizing his allegation at this time [11/02], but in obtaining information regarding Fr. Maday's trial if it is public record; stated that alleged abuse took place in Wisconsin

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A—no allegation to present to Fr. Maday for a response

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: N/A—no allegation or response to present to Review Board

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]
Date of birth: [redacted]
Current age: [redacted]
Name of civil attorney: [redacted]

Date allegation received by PRA: [redacted]
Date allegation formalized with PRA: 2/18/03
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1981
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1983

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: more than one

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [redacted] at St. Bede; retreat included alcohol, porno movies and was at Fr. Maday's [redacted] townhome; included fondling, watching pornographic videos and alcohol; sexual touching under [redacted] clothes

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 5/8/03; Fr. Maday wrote response and denied allegation

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: 10/03—concluded, reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth: unk

Current age: PRA verbally notified in 2003 by [Redacted] that his friend [Redacted] had recently committed suicide

Name of civil attorney:

Date allegation received by PRA: 10/19/93

Date allegation formalized with PRA:

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: fall 1979

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: spring 1980

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: Allegation first received in 1993 via [Redacted] attorney; as per attorney letter "indecent sexual acts" that took place while on weekend trip for altar servers

Brief summary and date of response from accused:

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: substantiated by Administrative Review

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth:

Current age:

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson

Date allegation received by PRA: 6/22/93

Date allegation formalized with PRA: N/A

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: summer 1986

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: information received from [Redacted] attorney; sexual touching over and under clothes—at retreat house

Brief summary and date of response from accused:

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: substantiated by Administrative Review

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth:

Current age:

Name of civil attorney:

Date allegation received by PRA: 11/16/92

Date allegation formalized with PRA:

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1985

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1987

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: more than one


Brief summary and date of response from accused:

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: substantiated by Administrative Review

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]
Date of birth: [Redacted]
Current age: [Redacted]
Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson
Date allegation received by PRA: 10/20/03
Date allegation formalized with PRA: 2/2/04
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: summer 1974
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: summer 1974
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: one

Brief summary of alleged abuse: Fr. Maday pinned [Redacted] down on the ground and exposed his erect penis in [Redacted] face; [Redacted] tickling and hugging prior to exposing himself to [Redacted]

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 10/5/04; Fr. Maday denied the allegation

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: 7/05—concluded, reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
Name of accuser: [REDACTED]

Date of birth: [REDACTED]

Current age: [REDACTED]

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson

Date allegation received by PRA: 10/16/03

Date allegation formalized with PRA: 12/19/03

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: two

Brief summary of alleged abuse: 2 separate incidents: 1st incident, Fr. Maday had an erection, exposed himself to and fondled [REDACTED] 2nd incident consisted of same abusive acts by Fr. Maday

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 10/5/04; Fr. Maday denied the allegation

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: 7/05—concluded, reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]
Date of birth: [redacted]
Current age: [redacted]
Name of civil attorney: [redacted]
Date allegation received by PRA: 4/8/04
Date allegation formalized with PRA: 7/12/04
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1978
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1979
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: several

Brief summary of alleged abuse: one attempt by Fr. Maday to physically fondle [redacted] genitals; Fr. Maday would talk to [redacted] about sexually explicit topics and then instructed him to physically touch [redacted] other minors, including [redacted]

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 10/5/04; Fr. Maday denied the allegation

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: 7/05—concluded, reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]
Date of birth: [redacted]
Current age: [redacted]
Name of civil attorney: [redacted]

Date allegation received by PRA: 4/26/04
Date allegation formalized with PRA: 7/10/04
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1978
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1979

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: several

Brief summary of alleged abuse: Fr. Maday began by having [redacted] sit on his lap to "drive" his car, massages; fondling under clothing in sacristy; progressed to Fr. Maday having [redacted] stay over night at rectory, fondled him and had him touch him as well; never progressed past fondling and touching

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 10/5/04; Fr. Maday denied the allegation

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: 7/05—concluded, reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]

Date of birth: [redacted]

Current age: [redacted]

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson

Date allegation received by PRA: 7/21/05

Date allegation formalized with PRA: 7/05: Jessica Arbour from Jeff Anderson's office wrote PRA an email stating she would let PRA know about scheduling an allegation meeting with [redacted] No further contact since that time per the file. 180 days [to make inactive] = 1/25/06.

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: unk

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: unk

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: N/A — no allegation to present

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]

Date of birth: unk

Current age: [redacted]

Name of civil attorney: N/A

Date allegation received by PRA: 9/6/05—received via e-mail from [redacted]

Date allegation formalized with PRA: N/A

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: unk

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: unk

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: as per [redacted] alleged abuse took place while Fr. Maday was at Our Lady of the Ridge

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: N/A—do allegation to present to Fr. Maday

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]
Date of birth: unk
Current age: unk
Name of civil attorney: N/A
Date allegation received by PRA: 8/23/06
Date allegation formalized with PRA: N/A
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: unk
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: unk
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [redacted] stated during meeting with PRA that [redacted] present in car when boys took turns sitting on Fr. Maday's lap and "driving the car."

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: N/A—third party allegation and no contact made by [redacted] and/or anyone to report alleged abuse on his behalf

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth: unk

Current age: unk

Name of civil attorney:

Date allegation received by PRA: 8/23/06

Date allegation formalized with PRA: N/A

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: unk

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: unk

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [Redacted] stated during meeting with PRA that [Redacted] present in car and was one of boys who took turns sitting on Fr. Maday’s lap and “driving the car.”

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: N/A—third party allegation and no contact made by [Redacted] and/or anyone to report alleged abuse on his behalf

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]
Date of birth: unk
Current age: unk
Name of civil attorney: N/A

Date allegation received by PRA: 8/23/06
Date allegation formalized with PRA: N/A
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: unk
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: unk
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [redacted] stated during meeting with PRA that [redacted] present in car and was one of boys who took turns sitting on Fr. Maday's lap and "driving the car"

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: N/A—third party allegation and no contact made by [redacted] and/or anyone to report alleged abuse on his behalf

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]
Date of birth: unk
Current age: unk
Name of civil attorney: N/A
Date allegation received by PRA: 8/23/06
Date allegation formalized with PRA: N/A
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: unk
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: unk
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [redacted] stated during meeting with PRA that [redacted] present in car and was one of boys who took turns sitting on Fr. Maday’s lap and “driving the car”

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: N/A—third party allegation and no contact made by [redacted] and/or anyone to report alleged abuse on his behalf

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
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ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth: unk

Current age: unk

Name of civil attorney: N/A

Date allegation received by PRA: 8/23/06

Date allegation formalized with PRA: N/A

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: unk

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: unk

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: unk

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [Redacted] stated during meeting with PRA that [Redacted] present in car and was one of boys who took turns sitting on Fr. Maday's lap and "driving the car"

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: N/A—third party allegation and no contact made by [Redacted] and/or anyone to report alleged abuse on his behalf

Additional allegations made by accuser: None

Signature of PRA: [Signature]

Date: 4/19/07
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Appointed</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Date Left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-25-64</td>
<td>St. John of God</td>
<td>Asst.</td>
<td>3-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-66</td>
<td>St. Leo</td>
<td>Asst.</td>
<td>9/22/69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/22/69</td>
<td>St. Louis de Montfort (Oak Lawn)</td>
<td>Assistant</td>
<td>6/77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/3/71</td>
<td>Catholic Scouting</td>
<td>Asst. Dir.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/8/77</td>
<td>St. Bede the Venerable</td>
<td>Associate</td>
<td>6/29/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/28/79</td>
<td>O.L. OF THE RIDGE CHICAGO RIDGE</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>6/12/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/12/89</td>
<td>St. Jude the Apostle, S. Holland.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Administrative Record
INDIVIDUAL SPECIFIC PROTOCOL
FOR REVEREND NORBERT MADAY

I have reviewed, understood and agree to all requirements of this protocol:

1. Unaccompanied out-of-house activities include the following:

   - Mercy Hospital - meals - every day
   - Archdiocese of Chicago Office - when needed
   - Visits with the attorney - when necessary
   - Visits with mother - no regular schedule, overnight stay at her house - Sun/Mon 24 hours, once a week
   - Visits with [redacted] to whom Rev. N.M. is a legal guardian
   - Visits with the Spiritual Director [redacted]
   - Visits with family, classmates and friends, going to restaurants, theatres, movies, libraries, pet stores, shopping, etc., every day

2. Attendance at all activities and the specific names, addresses, telephone numbers regarding resident's whereabouts must be entered on the individual's itinerary. If for some reason(s) change(s) in individual's schedule has been made, resident is obliged to call in and update a staff member as soon as possible.

3. Resident is accountable for his own time and is required to support his attendance at social events with physical proof /i.e., movie ticket, receipt from restaurant, etc./. Resident is required to call in the residence staff member every four hours unless decided otherwise by the Executive Director and/or the Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator designate. The telephone number from where the call has been made may be verified by a staff member. Unlisted, unpublished and mobile telephone numbers will not suffice. Unsuccessful attempts to reach resident when out-of-house will be followed by writing a memorandum. Such a memorandum will be kept on file at [redacted]. In case of repeated violations copies of original memoranda will be forwarded to the Office of Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator.

4. In order to leave boundaries of Chicago metropolitan area
resident must receive permission from the Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator or designate.

5. Prior approval for public celebration of masses and other religious services such as weddings, baptisms, funerals, etc., must be received from the Vicar for Priests or designate.

6. Any contraband materials such alcohol and pornography will be confiscated and can be done by any staff member. VCR is permitted at resident's apartment.

7. Visitation can only occur only at resident's apartment. All visitors must sign in and out at the Community Room.

8. No one under the age of eighteen is permitted to be at the residence unless prior approval has been received from the Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator and/or designate. Meetings with minors, in and out of the residence, can take place only in the presence of an approved companion.

9. Any deviation and/or non-compliance with requirements of this protocol will be addressed by Executive Director and/or designate and may be grounds for modification of currently existing arrangements regarding resident's stay at . Such a modification will be collectively determined by the Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator and/or designate with conjunction with the Executive Director and . In case of emergency, any staff member can modify this protocol until an administrative decision can be made by Executive Director and/or designate.

10. In order to change this protocol prior approval must be obtained from the Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator and/or designate.

11. This is a working document which can be changed, altered or superseded when there is an indicated need to do so.

12. A copy of this protocol will be sent to the Office of Professional Fitness Review Board Administrator.

Signed: 
Printed Name: 
Executive Director: 
Date: 

AOC 001340
November 10, 1997

Mr. Norbert Maday  
Fox Lake Prison  
P.O. Box 147  
Fox Lake, WI 53933

Dear Norbert:

Please be advised that the Office of Professional Fitness Review has completed its evaluation of priest salaries. Effective November 1, 1997, you will receive a monthly stipend of $200.00 to assist you with your needs at Fox Lake Prison. We ask that you submit receipts, if possible, to my office.

If you should have any questions, please contact me at the above address.

Very truly yours,

Bernadette Connolly  
Professional Fitness  
Review Administrator

cc: Members of the Review Board  
Rev. Thomas Paprocki  
Archbishop’s Delegate to the Review Board  
Vicar for Priests
A while ago, we were discussing a possible assurance that Cardinal Bernardin had given to Fr. Maday that he would not seek the canonical penalty of dismissal from the clerical state. At that time, I said that I may have copies of those letters in my files.

I was finally able to locate copies of the letters that were sent in June, 1996. I have enclosed them with this memo.

As you can see, Cardinal Bernardin did say that he would not seek the penalty of dismissal from the clerical state. He also said that any decisions about future ministry would have to be made in accordance with universal and particular law. He did not, however, promise that he would not seek other penalties which might be less severe than dismissal from the clerical state.

I hope this assists you.
Dear Norb:

Despite the fact that I have not recently seen you, I have been thinking and praying about your situation. The Vicars for Priests have kept me informed about your situation along the way. I know that Father O'Malley recently visited you and shared with you what my intentions are with regard to your priesthood. Let me reiterate how I am approaching this matter.

Because of the requirements of canon law, I had to consider whether a "canonical penalty" should be imposed in your situation. Considering the circumstances of your case as they are known to me, I have decided that I will not seek your dismissal from the clerical state. Father O'Malley, I am informed, told you that verbally. I do not judge that justice and equity would be served by so severe a sanction. You have suffered enough by your present deprivation of ministry and your incarceration.

On the other hand, because of your conviction and imprisonment, I cannot guarantee any public ministry for you in the future. Although I cannot speak for any future Archbishop who will someday succeed me, you should expect that any decision regarding future ministry will have to be made in accord with canon law and Archdiocesan policies and procedures, in consultation with the Professional Fitness Review Board. Apart from the question of any ministerial assignment, it is my hope that as a priest you would focus on being dedicated to a fervent life of prayer, nourished by attention to deepening your own priestly spirituality.

Norb, I hope this information helps you focus on your future in as constructive a way as possible. If you have any questions about it, please discuss it with one of the Vicars.

Please be assured of my continued prayers on your behalf.

With cordial good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Joseph Cardinal Bernardin
Archbishop of Chicago

Reverend Norbert Maday
278632 Columbia Correctional Facility
P.O. Box 900
Portage, WI 53901

cc: Reverend Patrick J. O'Malley
Reverend Daniel P. Coughlin
Office of the Vicar for Priests

bc: Joseph Cardinal Bernardin
Rev. Patrick R. Lagges, Ad hoc Promoter of Justice
Mr. John C. O'Malley, Director Office for Legal Services

P.S. Many thanks for your Memorial Weekend letter. You continue to be in my prayers. When you next speak to your minister, please give them my regards. Sincerely,

Joseph

AOC 001343
DEGREE
DETERMINING NOT TO INITIATE A PROCESS FOR IMPOSING A PENALTY AGAINST
REVEREND NORBERT MADAY

IN THE NAME OF THE MOST HOLY TRINITY. AMEN.

In light of the fact that Reverend Norbert Maday was convicted of criminal sexual abuse of minors in the State of Wisconsin in April of 1994 and is currently serving a twenty year sentence of confinement in prison;

Mindful that the period of prescription for initiating a canonical process against Father Maday for the offenses currently known will expire on June 23, 1996;

Seeing that, in my prudential judgment, it would not be expedient in light of canon 1341 to impose a penalty, since justice and equity would not be served by an ecclesiastical sanction in addition to the present deprivation of ministry caused by his incarceration, and that fraternal correction and other ways of pastoral care would be more suitable to provide for the restoration of justice, reparation of scandal, and reform of the offender;

Therefore, I, Joseph Cardinal Bernardin, by the grace of God and the Apostolic See Archbishop of Chicago, hereby determine in accord with canon 1718, §1 not to initiate a process for imposing a penalty against Reverend Norbert Maday. I further determine that any decision regarding Father Maday’s future ministry shall be made in accord with canon law and Archdiocesan policies and procedures, in consultation with the Professional Fitness Review Board.

Dated at Chicago this 4th day of June, 1996.

[Signature]
Archbishop of Chicago

Given at the Chancery

[Signature]
Chancellor

bc: Joseph Cardinal Bernardin
Rev. Patrick J. O’Mailey, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Daniel P. Coughlin, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Patrick R. Laggas, Ad hoc Promoter of Justice
Mr. John C. O’Mailey, Director, Office of Legal Services
MEMORANDUM

To: File – PFR - 29

From: Leah McCluskey, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Re: Maday, Norbert (Laicized priest)

Date: January 3, 2011

On December 27, 2010, I received an e-mail from an individual who identified himself as [Redacted]. In the e-mail, [Redacted] noted that he read on the “Chicago Catholic Diocese website” that he could “formalize an allegation against members of the clergy.” [Redacted] did not identify the accused in the initial e-mail, but noted that he was interested in formalizing his allegation against “both clergy members involved.”

After corresponding back and forth with [Redacted] he identified Norbert Maday as one of the two accused clergy members. [Redacted] noted that Norbert Maday was a parish priest at St. Bede and Our Lady of the Ridge. The second of the two accused clergy members is a member of the [Redacted] community and a separate file is being opened in this office (did identify the accused by name). The allegation will be communicated to the [Redacted] and the intention is to put into contact with the appropriate person(s) to respond to the allegation on behalf of the [Redacted] In my correspondence to [Redacted] I informed him that a representative from this office might request to be a part of his report of abuse by a member of the [Redacted] dependent upon where the alleged incident(s) took place.
To: File - PFR - 029

From: Leah McCluskey, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Re: Maday, Norbert (Laicized priest)

Date: August 29, 2011

On January 3, 2011, contacted this office to report his allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against Norbert Maday, a laicized priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago.

Due to the fact that over 180 days has passed since my last contact with this matter is being made inactive at this time.

Bcc: John O'Malley, Legal Services
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah Mccluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim DC's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey on May 11, 2010, formalizing his allegation of abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday. The alleged abuse consisted of multiple incidents of kissing and fondling, which occurred at the St. Bede the Venerable parish rectory. The alleged abuse occurred between the time Victim DC was in the sixth and eighth grades. Victim DC was an altar boy and Fr. Maday was in charge of the altar boys. According to Victim DC's statement, there were more than 10 incidents of abuse.
could have been one of them. He did remember the episode of cutting a piece off a car at 12th, so this could indeed be
in 1980 and that the boy had placed a piece in the exhaust
pipe of a car at 12th on the day that he was assaulted by Fr.
Mady. He could not remember any specific inappropriate
touchings, but did remember a lot of the whisker burns and
that they used to refer to Mady as "Gayday." He stated that he has a
very strong outgoing personality and he didn't believe
Mady would ever risk trying to do anything with him. He thought
that Mady was definitely a strange dude.

I did talk to Fr. Powers at the St. Jude parish in Holland,
Illinois by phone on 6/12/92. Powers went on to say that other
priests in the parish, including Fr. Murphy, were saying to him
that during this period Mady was having children in his room and
that me, Powers, had told Mady he was not to have children in
his room because he didn't think it was appropriate and that
they, meaning the priests, shouldn't do that. Also Powers said
that he was not close friends with Mady but this was not
uncommon because he was not real close to a lot of the associate
priests or pastors. Powers did say that he had been told by Fr.
Goedert, who is the vicar for the archdiocese, that Fr.
Goedert specifically instructed Powers to tell Mady not to have children
in his room. Fr. Powers stated he did do this and then later
was told by Fr. Murphy that Mady was continuing to have children
in his room even after this warning and it was on Fr. Powers' days off.

I then spoke with Fr. Murphy. Fr. said that he had seen
children in the room and he just didn't think this was
appropriate and that's why he had told Powers, and even after Fr.
Powers had told Mady not to do this Mady continued to do it on
Fr. Powers' days off. Fr. Murphy also stated he thought that
Mady was "dumb" because in this day and age you certainly don't
take children into your room alone.

Detective [redacted] was contacted by a [redacted] He was
at St. Bede's Church from 1982 to 1983. He did not tell his
parents until about the winter of 1991 about being assaulted by
Fr. Mady. He stated he just recently read an article by Ms. Terry
Smith of the Chicago Tribune, that's why he came forward. He
stated that with Fr. Mady he would also start by going to his
room in the rectory at St. Bede's, where there was a lot of
videogames, it would turn into a wrestling match, and it would
very often be one on one with Fr. Mady, who would pin them to
the ground. He would begin to take his thigh as he was laying on
top of him, that is Fr. Mady, rub his thigh up against the thigh
of [redacted] He believes even at that young age he was
scared, the priest was getting sexual gratification because his
genitalia would be going across the thigh area of [redacted]
The priest would give him whisker rubs and while doing it across
his face made sure that they were often lip to lip contact. When
it started getting very hot or intense, the relationship, [redacted]
would yell "That's enough, stop, stop" and the priest would
finally back off. I asked him if there were any nicknames that they called him, and he said yes, Fr. Maday was Fr. Paydev or Fr.
Payday. He stated he also invited his brother to go on a retreat in 1982 to 1983 to a place in ________, he believed it to be
______, but his parents would not let him go. He did not come forward until about 1990, when he was talking at a rape seminar
with a group and all of a sudden he said to the counselor "Yeah,
you know by gosh, I have been sexually assaulted by a priest."
He has been talking to ________ and also strongly informed his son that he should get hold of the authorities in
______.

The second party that called me was _______. He resides at
______, he lives on the outskirts of _______. He reports that he
was at St. Louis De Montfort 4th through 8th grade. He knew
Fr. Maday through that entire period. Fr. Maday would often
provide the boys with 12 packs of beer, Olympia beer mainly, when
they went to trips in Indiana and Wisconsin, mostly to Indiana.
There was one time back in 7th grade, which has been approx. 13
years ago, as he is now 26 years of age, that they were in
______ when he got so drunk from a 12 pack of beer
provided by Fr. Maday that he passed out and had to be taken to a
hospital. Fr. Maday was also drunk and he fell across the floor
and hit his face on a fixture on the wall in the hotel and had to
have stitches to his jaw or lip area. _______ said that Maday told
the authorities that the boys had snuck beer into the room, in
the night he heard all of the noise, got up, and tripped over
some of the boys in the dark, and as best he can remember is that
the authorities never questioned neither himself or Maday about
the drinking episode.

_______ stated that he made at least 50 trips with the father
because he was very popular, he could get kids to come along, and
he felt that father was using himself to bring people into
his routine of assaulting boys. _______ said that father had tried
to sexually assault him, including grabbing at him, but he never
did succeed because _______ was a person who wouldn’t put up with
any crap, he was always able to get away from him.
He remembers that a cousin of his was age 13 when they
and his cousin, were on one of the trips to Indiana. He couldn’t
find his cousin so he went looking for him in the motel in
______. He finally found his cousin; he was sitting around the pool, he was crying, refused to go back to the
room, basically said he woke up with Maday lying on top of him.
He wouldn’t go into any further detail, other than the fact that
he remembers that later that day his cousin came up to him and
told him that Maday had apologized for what had happened to him.
_______ remembers that his cousin told him that he, the cousin,
couldn’t believe that Maday would apologize for giving him, the
cousin, a hand job, and they all laughed about it and referred to
him as Payday.

_______ also went on to say that this was about the time of
the John Wayne Gacy trial and they used to call Fr. Maday Fr.
Wayne and Fr. Gacy, also implying that he was queer. _______ also
Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim DD's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey on August 12, 2008, formalizing his allegation of abuse against Rev. Norbert Maday. The alleged abuse consisted of fondling and masturbation when Victim DD was in junior high school. Fr. Maday would also give him and other boys wine, and change clothes in front of him and other boys. The alleged abuse occurred when Victim DD stayed overnight at the Our Lady of the Ridge parish rectory. The alleged abuse also occurred at a pool, and in Fr. Maday's car. Victim DD was an altar boy.
April 22, 2008

Archdiocese of Chicago
Office of the Chancellor
P. O. Box 1979
Chicago, IL 60690


To Whom It May Concern:

Please be advised that I represent [Redacted] in his claim against the ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO and NORBERT MAYDAY arising out of sexual childhood abuse committed by him when [Redacted] was a minor.

Please be advised that I claim a lien for my services as attorney for the above-captioned individual, and I ask that someone contact me before May 13, 2008 to discuss a reasonable resolution to this claim.

Very truly yours,

[Redacted]

CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
SUMMARY OF ALLEGATION/RESPONSE/INVESTIGATION

Name of Alleged Victim

Name of Accused
Rev. Norbert Maday [Laicized]

Summary of Allegation
The Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review [CAIR] was first made known of the allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against the former Rev. Norbert Maday on 4/24/08 through written correspondence from his civil attorney. He said that he was in either fifth or sixth grade when he first met Fr. Maday. As per the alleged victim, he was enrolled in CCD at Our Lady of the Ridge and feels that this is where he met the accused. He provided clarification that he feels that he might have actually met Fr. Maday at confession while a part of the CCD program at Our Lady of the Ridge. He also provided the information that he was an altar boy at the identified parish. He spoke of alleged incidents of abuse that took place at Our Lady of the Ridge, Fr. Maday's quarters, in Wisconsin, and at other places.

The alleged abuse included: sexual conversation during confession [2 or 3xs] as well as Fr. Maday touching his knee during confession; showered in Fr. Maday's bathroom and towed off after while Maday was in underwear and shaving--Fr. Maday then took off all of his clothes in front of him to get into the shower; after drinking alcohol, he woke up on Fr. Maday's couch with his pants down and "a hard on" and Maday standing next to him; Fr. Maday had an erection when he put his hand on his lap so he could "drive" the car; Fr. Maday came up behind him while he was in the bathroom and [Fr. Maday] rubbed himself against him while wrestling in Fr. Maday's quarters where the cleric was in his underwear; Fr. Maday giving whisker burns and massages as well; said that he has seen Fr. Maday naked; Fr. Maday grabbing his penis from behind while at the pool [less than 5 incidents].

Summary of Response
Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests, has yet to receive a response to his written request to Mr. Maday to schedule a phone call so that the allegation may be read to him.

Summary of Investigation
The initial suggestions/recommendations/requests for information made by the Review Board at the Initial Review will determine the need to request additional investigative services from Hillard Heintze on this matter.

Signature

Date

AOC 001352
January 17, 2008

Rev. Edward D. Grace
Vicar for Priests
Archdiocese of Chicago
645 N. Michigan Ave., Ste 543
Chicago, IL 60611

RE: In re the commitment of Norbert J. Maday
Case No. 07 CI 1

Dear Rev. Grace,

I am writing this letter to update you on the status of Norbert J. Maday's 980 Civil Commitment case. As you may know, on Wednesday January 9, 2008 I represented Mr. Maday in his 980 Probable Cause hearing in front of Judge Bruce Schmidt, in Oshkosh, Wisconsin.

The hearing went as expected, with Judge Schmidt finding probable cause to hold a commitment hearing for Mr. Maday. However, Judge Schmidt did note that this case was unique because the State's expert testified that according to a battery of tests he applied to Mr. Maday's case, he falls below the commitment threshold, yet because of Mr. Maday's pathological tendencies, the expert would still recommend commitment.

The only reason I think Judge Schmidt found probable cause in this case is because of the low burden the State had to meet. Therefore, I think the state might have a more difficult time with their higher burden at the actual 980 Commitment Hearing.

As a result of Judge Schmidt's probable cause finding, a jury trial has been scheduled to determine whether Mr. Maday has a mental disease that makes him a sexually violent person and more likely to recommit a crime because of that mental disease. This trial has been scheduled for May 5, 2008 at 8:30 am in front of Judge Bruce Schmidt, Branch VI, in Oshkosh, Wisconsin. I have included a copy of the Judge's findings and order. I have also included a copy of the State's demand for a jury trial and a copy of the Circuit Court's minutes.

I spoke with Mr. Maday regarding the future of his case and he stated that after the Probable Cause hearing on January 9, the Archdiocese of Chicago would not be covering his legal expenses. If this is the case, our firm needs to have a serious discussion with Mr. Maday because the preparation for this hearing and the retention of an expert witness, which I think is necessary for Mr. Maday's defense, will quickly become expensive.

On another note, Mr. Maday expressed to me that he still has questions...
Specifically, he is having problems with his teeth and the only option the Wisconsin Resource Center provides is to pull all his teeth and provide him with full dentures. Since Mr. Maday has a few remaining teeth for which to chew, he wanted partial dentures instead of full dentures.

Secondly, Mr. Maday still has questions regarding Mr. Maday had a specific question as to whether he could join a Bible group, or become a lector as a layman. I told him that this would not be advisable since that could be perceived as taking on canonical duties or volunteering in an affiliated organization, but he wanted to know what the Archdiocese's interpretation of those paragraphs would be.

I hope this letter finds you well. Should you have any questions, comments or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me at the above numbers. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Birnbaum
BIRNBAUM, SEYMOUR, KIRCHNER & BIRNBAUM, LLP
Attorneys for Mr. Norbert Maday
May 23, 2007

Norbert Maday 278632
Stanley Correctional Institution
100 Corrections Dr.
Stanley, WI 54768-6500

Dear Norb,

I am in receipt of your letter dated April 27, 2007. I understand that my letter of April 10th was, in fact, a serious disappointment to your hopes. At this point, however, the important task is to look ahead to your situation following release.

In your letter you mention that suggested that you consider a voluntary resignation. This seems to me to be a very wise course of action. Your voluntary resignation and petition for laicization would facilitate the fashioning of an exit package for you. I mention the petition for laicization to make clear that this would be required. The reason for this requirement is that the only complete resignation includes laicization.

I agree that it is important for us to meet and discuss the particulars of this matter. In preparation for this meeting I have asked our attorneys to draw up a proposed exit agreement for discussion. I will have the proposed settlement sent to your civil and canonical advocates for their evaluation. I plan on coming up to see you and discuss these matters about June 10th. This delay will allow the attorneys time to do their work. When I have the date finalized I will confirm with you. I’m not sure of the formalities involved in visiting with you, but if there is a list of approved visitors for you, please make sure that I am on it.

I will remember you and your family in my prayers; please pray for me also.

Sincerely,

Edward D. Grace

CC: James G. Birnbaum, Attorney at Law
    Lawrence G. Price, Canonical Advocate
Dear Cardinal George,

Greetings and All Good

in the Name of Jesus

Received a letter from the Vatican about my present situation and future projections. He encouraged me to write you about my concerns and so that is the purpose of this letter. But before I go into it I want you to know I pray for you daily. I hope your multiple recoveries are going well from the agent's broken bone in your thigh. In your contemporary age one and realize how fragile and precarious health issues can be.

This morning on my spiritual reading of Psalm 138 I was struck by the words, God gives the lonely a home to live in, He leads the prisoners forth into freedom. I know my freedom will be very limited as I am parole til at least 2014. With the time I have left I would like to do good and help others to see the real one not the "media-created" me.

Regarding the Vatican letter I found it disturbing that he finds no appropriate place for me. In previous months a Canon Lawyer talked about a Monastic situation of prayer. Then later I had asked about a place outside St Louis where the Servants of the Paraclete deal with priests and brothers on a program.
All I can say is that the Monastic setting would help me spiritually & the St. Louis program would help me psychologically. I am under orders to take a program while on parole. Both situations seem very appropriate for my spiritual & psychological health.

After receiving the Vicar's letter I talked to my cousin [redacted], a nurse. She felt my best option would be assisted living due to my multiple problems. As you may remember I had a

So, it evolved in old & vulnerable and live in a fragility situation.

My cousin checked out a place but the
expense is very steep ($150,000 - one bedroom apt &
$1600 monthly). The place is a mile from my two cousins who has M.S. will undergo a knee operation May 1st & her sister has cancer. Both may need my help if I'm able to give it. eg. drive to doctor, shopping, etc. I've written friends to see if they can send a more reasonable place that is within an hour drive to my cousins.

Cardinal Bernardin had me get on Social Security
when he told us we were on the bottom rung of the economic ladder. My Social Security would be $523 a month, and I would begin to need some health insurance or religious order that could accommodate me. Some grants make limited amounts of money. Perhaps a retirement home should be on my agenda. I will be on Medicare but will also need some supplemental insurance as well. So you can see I will need help in this. I need advice since this is all unfamiliar territory, especially if I am left alone to fund for myself.

I also want to express my concern with the journalistic descriptions of my behavior reacting from genuine minds who like spin-jaobs seek to get attention. In these cases monetary reward for raving. In all for dramatic and open discussion of the scandal I cannot and I refuse to accommodate or accept blame and extreme exaggerations. I have told lawyer Jim Bronbaun what red flags you, the lies away. eg. and, oral and Touching person. Such actions are against my training and I avoided them on all the cases brought against me. I am not lying or in denial and were it true I would shamefacedly admit it.

Please remember I was in Service for 20 years and never had a request against me. I spent every summer as a camp counselor in Owosso, Michigan.
Am I concerned about future allegations from ambiguous situations? The answer is an emphatic, yes. Can they be harmful, again yes. Can I be falsely accused & have lightning strike twice, yes. But I can't live with ghosts of the past or ghosts of the future. I've spent 13 yrs in prison learning to live in the here & now. I can assure you I am not a danger becoming the physical constipating as well as spiritual development. We may have to deal with the past and I will be forthcoming & cooperative in any way circumstances require.

Soon I will be interviewed by the Social Worker about future plans as to residence, job & companions. I look forward to seeing the Vision about their matters.

Regarding voluntary resignation, no one has given me a clear picture of what exactly was involved. So I can't make an informed judgment. All I know is that a letter did is involved. By that decision I hope the Victim's spell is lifted. I was asked to sign a form. Aaron Berntson to send. I get the info on paper so I can discuss it. I've made a decision with family & friends.

I pray that our relationship is biblical in the sense that God, the Father and you are the Good Shepherd. May justice be tempered with mercy.

Thank you for your consideration.

Peace,
Robert Monday
Dear Kathleen,

6-13-01

Greetings & All Good in the Precious Name of Jesus!

Thanks for your letter of response dated June 5th. Perhaps you are aware that I’ve been scheduled to go out-of-state again to one of three possible destinations: Tennessee, Oklahoma, or Minnesota. I was told that since my mandatory release isn’t until 2007, I can wait till 2008 before the program becomes available. The system is set up that programming coincides with release. It looks like I’ll be inside the prison system until Oct 27, 2007 unless some legal way can be found. Cardinal Bernardin told me on a visit if needs be, a technicality would be found. I hope she is a straight shooter the legal team finding a way out since the Wisconsin charges are bogus. But with a conviction the burden of proof lies heavily on me & my defense team. Mr. Roche sees no constitutional issue but there must be a way when the D.A. manipulated the case to bring about the conviction. I had no plea bargain offered like others did. But the publicity in my case created an image that had political potential for advancement of many. I trust the Lord’s hand in His Perfect Timing I will be released.
On having medical & dental issues. (C was making surgery on two teeth & the need for a partial) It may delay my exit from Wisconsin.

I am getting "roomies" who have psychological problems. I have been to a Resource Center in recent years. These guys would be confined to a single cell. God is testing me to the ultimate - in enclosing an article that was taken from a recent issue of the Milwaukee Journal. It shows the hardness of the system. I believe Father Knerr has run into its inflexibility on the occasion of my possible commitment. Human rights are being ignored. The need of reform is urgent as evident when they keep a слеагая on you with no parole being looked at another 7. Even if I were guilty the penalty is disproportionate. Cardinal Bernardin & H.E. Msgr. Hittinger are saying that I should receive a remission - justice demands that. Revenge & past looking smacks up as not only unhealthy but wrong. Program's rehabilitation is what should be in the agenda. Hopefully some higher-ups will see the possibility of my release to the Archdiocese's request for it. I do not want to leave the priesthood for marriage or simply run away. May God's Wisdom encourage the proper authority to do what is best & just not convenient.

God bless - I am on my daily prayer.
When I go before a program review board that places me in programs, they always ask for my MRP date (Mandatory Release) to 10/27/07. They aren't concerned about programs until April of 2004 since the program is 3 1/2 years. They wait but the end—using all the time possible for final programs. It's like waiting in line for your train. The reason I won't send the form in that there is no place that Dick and I can meet where it can't be electronically monitored. So we have no opportunity for privacy and confidentiality. The only two places are where lawyers and pastors meet. Dick is on my visiting list and cannot be placed on my pastoral list since we are allowed to one name. That name is the Vicar.

Hope all is going well. I still remember our visit in Tennessee. You and all who serve the good of God people at heart are in my prayers. Take care.

Peace,

Mark Maryland
SUMMARY OF ALLEGATION/RESPONSE/INVESTIGATION

Name of Alleged Victim

Name of Accused
Norbert Maday (Laicized priest)

Summary of Allegation
The Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review (CAIR) was first made known of an allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against Norbert Maday on June 15, 2009 through his attorney Jeff Anderson. He formalized his allegation on May 11, 2010.

is 41 years old, resides in and does seasonal work in . He grew up on the south side of Chicago and attended St. Bede the Venerable grammar school from the time he was eight years old (third grade) through eighth grade. was an altar server at St. Bede and met Maday when Maday was the trainer for the altar servers.

When formalizing his allegation, attorney did not allow for the May 11, 2010 meeting to be audio taped. agreed to provide some background information about himself, his family, his schooling, and employment. He then allowed Ms. McCluskey to read what he identified as his Victim Impact Statement, which he submitted to the court in Oshkosh, Wisconsin in 1994 when Maday was sentenced. alleges that Maday sexually abused him on at least 10 but less than 100 different occasions when he was between the ages of 11 and 13 years old. The alleged incidents included: Maday would wrestle with and pin him down to the floor; Maday "whisker rubbed" cheeks, forehead, ears, neck, nose, and upper chest; at times Maday would rub his face against lips as well--touching with his lips and tongue occasionally; Maday would grind his erect penis on top of body.

Summary of Response
This matter has not yet been presented to Maday for his response. As per attorney , is in and his attorney has not been able to obtain additions/corrections to the draft report of allegation.

Summary of Investigation
To date, Hillard Heintze has not been asked to contribute to the investigation of this matter.

Signature: Date: 9/3/10
### SUMMARY TIME LINE OF ALLEGATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCUSED</th>
<th>ACCUSER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name:</strong> Norbert Maday</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address:</strong> Wisconsin Resource Center Winnebago, Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of Birth:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current age:</strong> 72</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of civil attorney:</strong></td>
<td>Ralph Sczygelski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeff Anderson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Date of Ordination [of accused]:** 4/30/64 |
| **Location:** Mundelein             |
| **Age at ordination:** 26           |
| **Assignment location of accused:** N/A |
| **Status of accused:** Laicized     |
| **Name of canonical advocate:** N/A  |

| **Date allegation received:** 6/15/09 |
| **Date allegation formalized:** 5/11/10 |
| **Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:** 1980/1981 |
| **Date of last incident of alleged abuse:** 1982/1983 |
| **Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse:** at least 10, less than 100 |
**Brief summary of alleged abuse:** Maday would wrestle with [redacted] and pin him down to the floor; Maday "whisker rubbed" [redacted] cheeks, forehead, ears, neck, nose, and upper chest; at times Maday would rub his face against [redacted] lips as well--touching [redacted] with his lips and tongue occasionally; Maday would grind his erect penis on top of [redacted] body.

**Brief summary and date of response from accused:** allegation not yet presented to Maday for his response

**Stage of disposition by Review Board:** Initial Review

---

**Additional allegations made by accuser:** None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: 
Date of birth: 
Current age: 51
Name of civil attorney: 
Date allegation received: 9/4/97
Date allegation formalized: 4/27/99
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1971
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1972
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: more than one

Brief summary of alleged abuse: sat on Maday's lap while driving, fondling over and under clothes

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 1/19/00

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 8/99; the Board asked to be put on record that it was unable to make a determination due lack of cooperation with the Board's process and the consequent lack of information

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth: [Redacted]

Current age: 44

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson

Date allegation received: 4/29/02

Date allegation formalized: 12/7/02

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1978

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse:

Brief summary of alleged abuse: 11 years of age; Maday fondled [Redacted] genitals, gave [Redacted] alcohol and pot; [Redacted] sat on Maday’s lap while “driving” — erection; books, child porn; hotel in bed, rubbing stomach for a couple of hours; sexual talk by Maday.

Brief summary and date of response from accused:

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 6/03; reasonable cause to suspect the alleged misconduct occurred; recommendation to Cardinal George that Maday be laicized

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]

Date of birth:

Current age:

Name of civil attorney: N/A

Date allegation received: 11/11/02

Date allegation formalized: note in file that [redacted] does not want to make allegation.

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse:

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [redacted] was not interested in formalizing his allegation at this time [11/02], but in obtaining information regarding Maday’s trial if it is public record; stated that alleged abuse took place in Wisconsin.

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A; no allegation to present to Maday for a response.

Stage of disposition by Review Board: N/A; no allegation or response to present to Review Board.

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]
Date of birth: [Redacted]
Current age: 41
Name of civil attorney: [Redacted]

Date allegation received:
Date allegation formalized: 2/18/03
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1981
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1983
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: more than one

Brief summary of alleged abuse: altar boy at St. Bede; retreat included alcohol, porno movies and was at Maday's cousin's townhome; included fondling, watching pornographic videos and alcohol; sexual touching under [Redacted] clothes

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 5/8/03; Maday wrote response and denied allegation

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 10/03; concluded, reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]
Date of birth: [Redacted]
Current age: [Redacted]
Name of civil attorney: [Redacted]
Date allegation received: 10/19/93
Date allegation formalized: 
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: fall 1979
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: spring 1980
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: 10

Brief summary of alleged abuse: as per attorney letter "indecent sexual acts" that took place while on weekend trip for altar servers

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 

Stage of disposition by Review Board: substantiated by Administrative Review

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth:

Current age:

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson

Date allegation received: 6/22/93

Date allegation formalized: N/A

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: summer 1986

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse:

---

Brief summary of alleged abuse: information received from [Redacted] attorney; sexual touching over and under clothes at retreat house

Brief summary and date of response from accused:

Stage of disposition by Review Board: substantiated by Administrative Review

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]
Date of birth: [Redacted]
Current age: [Redacted]
Name of civil attorney: [Redacted]
Date allegation received: 11/16/92
Date allegation formalized: [Redacted]
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1985
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1987
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: more than one

Brief summary of alleged abuse: sexual touching under [Redacted] clothes; Maday performed oral sex on [Redacted] Maday showed [Redacted] pornographic videos; attempted penile penetration of [Redacted] anus and masturbated him

Brief summary and date of response from accused: [Redacted]
Stage of disposition by Review Board: substantiated by Administrative Review

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: 

Date of birth: 

Current age: 46

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson

Date allegation received: 10/20/03

Date allegation formalized: 2/2/04

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: summer 1974

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: summer 1974

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: one

Brief summary of alleged abuse: Maday pinned 

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 10/5/04; Maday denied the allegation

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 7/05; concluded, reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]
Date of birth: [Redacted]
Current age: [Redacted] passed away or [Redacted] illness
Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson
Date allegation received: 10/16/03
Date allegation formalized: 12/19/03
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:
Date of last incident of alleged abuse:
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: two

Brief summary of alleged abuse: two separate incidents where Maday had an erection, exposed himself to and fondled [Redacted]

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 10/5/04; Maday denied the allegation

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 7/05; concluded, reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth: [Redacted]

Current age: 44

Name of civil attorney: [Redacted]

Date allegation received: 4/8/04

Date allegation formalized: 7/12/04

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1978

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1979

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: several

Brief summary of alleged abuse: one attempt by Maday to physically fondle [Redacted] genitals; Maday would talk to [Redacted] about sexually explicit topics and then instructed [Redacted] to physically touch (in a sexually inappropriate manner) other minors, including [Redacted]

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 10/5/04; Maday denied the allegation

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 7/05; concluded, reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]
Date of birth: [Redacted]
Current age: 42
Name of civil attorney: [Redacted]
Date allegation received: 4/26/04
Date allegation formalized: 7/10/04
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1978
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1979
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: several

Brief summary of alleged abuse: Maday began by having [Redacted] sit on his lap to "drive" his car, massages; fondling under clothing in sacristy; progressed to Maday having [Redacted] stay over night at rectory, fondled him and had him touch him as well; never progressed past fondling and touching

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 10/5/04; Maday denied the allegation

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 7/05; concluded, reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: 
Date of birth: 
Current age: 35
Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson
Date allegation received: 7/21/05
Date allegation formalized: 5/22/07
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1985
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1986
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: four specific incidents

Brief summary of alleged abuse: tickling, groping while wrestling with group of other altar boys which led to Maday exposing himself and masturbating only in front of , oral sex in sacristy on two different occasions; oral sex in confessional

Brief summary and date of response from accused:
Stage of disposition by Review Board: 10/07; reasonable cause to suspect

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth: [Redacted]

Current age: [Redacted]

Name of civil attorney: N/A

Date allegation received: 9/6/05; received via e-mail from [Redacted] mother

Date allegation formalized: N/A

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: [Redacted]

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: [Redacted]

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: [Redacted]

Brief summary of alleged abuse: as per [Redacted] mother, alleged abuse took place while Fr. Maday was at Our Lady of the Ridge

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 6/07; based upon limited information, does not warrant further investigation and closed

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Blank]

Date of birth: [Blank]

Current age: 42

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson

Date allegation received: 1/23/06

Date allegation formalized: 8/23/06

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1979

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1980

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: six

Brief summary of alleged abuse: approximately four incidents of fondling over and under clothing while sitting on Maday's lap in his car and "driving," fondling over and under bathing suit in a hotel swimming pool; fondling over and under clothing while "wrestling" in Maday's private quarters in the rectory

Brief summary and date of response from accused: allegation mailed on 1/11/07 to Maday's civil attorney to present to him in prison; made request for Maday to return a written response

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 4/07; reasonable cause to suspect

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]
Date of birth: [redacted]
Current age: 45

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson
Date allegation received: 5/9/06
Date allegation formalized: 8/25/06
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: summer 1976
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: fall 1976
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: more than six

Brief summary of alleged abuse: more than 6 incidents of fondling over his clothing while sitting on Maday's lap and "driving" his car; Maday performed oral sex on [redacted] while on weekend trip to home of cleric's cousin

Brief summary and date of response from accused:
Stage of disposition by Review Board: 7/07; reasonable cause to suspect

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]
Date of birth: [Redacted]
Current age: 44
Name of civil attorney: [Redacted]
Date allegation received: 11/17/93
Date allegation formalized: 6/21/06
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1975
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1977
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: several

Brief summary of alleged abuse: consumed alcohol, smoked pot, used other drugs, watched porno movies; fondling under clothing

Brief summary and date of response from accused: allegation mailed on 1/11/07 to Maday's civil attorney to present to him in prison; made written request to Maday for response

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 4/07; reasonable cause to suspect

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [REDACTED]

Date of birth: 

Current age: 

Name of civil attorney: N/A

Date allegation received: 8/23/06

Date allegation formalized: 

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse:

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [REDACTED] stated during meeting with PRA (now Director, CAIR) that [REDACTED] was present in car when boys took turns sitting on Maday’s lap and “driving the car”

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Review Board: N/A; third party allegation and no contact made by [REDACTED] and/or anyone to report alleged abuse on his behalf; therefore, this matter not presented to Review Board for its consideration

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]
Date of birth:
Current age:
Name of civil attorney:
Date allegation received: 8/23/06
Date allegation formalized: N/A
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:
Date of last incident of alleged abuse:
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse:

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [Redacted] stated during meeting with PRA (now Director, CAIR) that [Redacted] present in car and was one of boys who took turns sitting on Maday's lap and "driving the car"

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Review Board: N/A; third party allegation and no contact made by [Redacted] and/or anyone to report alleged abuse on his behalf; therefore, this matter not presented to Review Board for its consideration

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth:

Current age:

Name of civil attorney: N/A

Date allegation received: 8/23/06

Date allegation formalized: N/A

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse:

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [Redacted] stated during meeting with PRA (now Director, CAIR) that [Redacted] present in car and was one of boys who took turns sitting on Maday's lap and "driving the car"

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Review Board: N/A; third party allegation and no contact made by [Redacted] and/or anyone to report alleged abuse on his behalf; therefore, this matter not presented to Review Board for its consideration

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]

Date of birth:

Current age:

Name of civil attorney: N/A

Date allegation received: 8/23/06

Date allegation formalized: N/A

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse:

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [redacted] stated during meeting with PRA (now Director, CAIR) that [redacted] present in car and was one of boys who took turns sitting on Maday's lap and "driving the car"

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Review Board: N/A; third party allegation and no contact made by [redacted] and/or anyone to report alleged abuse on his behalf; therefore, this matter not presented to the Review Board for its consideration

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [redacted]

Date of birth:

Current age:

Name of civil attorney: N/A

Date allegation received: 8/23/06

Date allegation formalized: N/A

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse:

Brief summary of alleged abuse: [redacted] stated during meeting with PRA (now Director, CAIR) that [redacted] present in car and was one of boys who took turns sitting on Maday’s lap and “driving the car”

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Review Board: N/A; third party allegation and no contact made by [redacted] and/or anyone to report alleged abuse on his behalf; therefore, this matter not presented to Review Board for its consideration

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth: [Redacted]

Current age: 46

Name of civil attorney: [Redacted]

Date allegation received: 7/20/07

Date allegation formalized: 8/7/07

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1977

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1977

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: more than one

Brief summary of alleged abuse: Maday fondled [Redacted] genitals over and under clothing while "driving" car; Maday fondled [Redacted] genitals while under sheet in bed; Maday fondled [Redacted] genitals under bathing suit while in hotel pool

Brief summary and date of response from accused: N/A

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 6/08; reasonable cause to suspect

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]

Date of birth: [Redacted]

Current age: 36

Name of civil attorney: [Redacted]

Date allegation received: 4/24/08

Date allegation formalized: 8/12/08

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: mid to late 1980s

Date of last incident of alleged abuse: mid to late 1980s

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: several

Brief summary of alleged abuse: sexual conversation during confession [2 or 3xs] as well as Maday touching [Redacted] knee during confession; [Redacted] showered in Maday's bathroom and toweled off after while Maday was in underwear and shaving--Maday then took off all of his clothes in front of [Redacted] to get into the shower; after drinking alcohol, [Redacted] woke up on Maday's couch with his pants down and [Redacted] and Maday standing next to him; Maday had an erection when he put [Redacted] on his lap so he could "drive" the car; Maday came up behind [Redacted] while [Redacted] and Maday rubbed himself against [Redacted] wrestling in Maday's quarters where the cleric was in his underwear; Maday giving whisker burns and massages as well; [Redacted] said that he has seen Maday naked; Maday grabbing [Redacted] penis from behind while at the pool [less than 5 incidents]

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 1/5/09; Maday denied any inappropriate touching of [Redacted]

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 3/09; concluded, reasonable cause to suspect

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [REDACTED]
Date of birth: [REDACTED]
Current age: 46

Name of civil attorney: Jeff Anderson
Date allegation received: 10/22/08
Date allegation formalized: 1/26/09
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: mid 1970s
Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: several

Brief summary of alleged abuse: numerous incidents of fondling and rubbing penis and genital area over clothing while sitting on Maday's lap to "drive" the car; Maday grabbing crotch while in the swimming pool at [REDACTED]; Maday putting his hand inside of [REDACTED] pants while at the home of Maday's cousin; attempted oral sex with [REDACTED] naked; alcohol provided

Brief summary and date of response from accused: Maday denied the entire allegation

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 7/09; concluded; reasonable cause to suspect

Additional allegations made by accuser: None
ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser: [Redacted]
Date of birth: [Redacted]
Current age: [Redacted]
Name of civil attorney: [Redacted]

Date allegation received: 3/26/09
Date allegation formalized: 7/29/09
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1981
Date of last incident of alleged abuse: 1982
Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: numerous

Brief summary of alleged abuse: fondling over and under clothing; incidents of Maday having [Redacted] masturbate him; incidents of Maday exposing his erect penis to [Redacted]; one incident of rape in Maday's car

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 10/16/09; Maday denied the entire allegation

Stage of disposition by Review Board: 2/10; concluded; reasonable cause to suspect

Additional allegations made by accuser: None

Signature of Director: [Signature]
Date: 9/2/10

Summary Time Line
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ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO
INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

IN THE MATTER OF REV. NORBERT MADAY (LAICIZED)
CASE NO. PFR-29

Confidential - Prepared for Use by Counsel

DELIVERED ON JANUARY 27, 2010 TO:
MS. LEAH MCCLUSKEY, DIRECTOR
OFFICE FOR CHILD ABUSE INVESTIGATIONS AND REVIEW
737 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE, SUITE 900
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60611
January 27, 2010

Ms. Leah R. McCluskey
Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review
ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO
737 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 900
Chicago, IL 60611

Dear Ms. McCluskey:

Hillard Heintze has completed the investigation requested for the November 25, 2009 case referral in the matter of Rev. Norbert Maday, a laicized priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago. Attached you will find our investigative report which has been prepared as a confidential work product between Hillard Heintze and the Archdiocese of Chicago, Professional Review Board. With this transmittal, one (1) original hardbound copy and one (1) electronic version of our report are being submitted to you as Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review.

During the course of this investigation, Hillard Heintze reviewed the documentation provided by the Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review and conducted a limited background investigation of the accuser, as well as several interviews and a site visit. Investigator James Molloy conducted interviews of Fr. William Stenzel, Mr. Richard Guerin, Fr. James Hyland, and along with a site visit to St. Bede the Venerable.

Should you need any additional information on our investigation, please do not hesitate to call me at .

Sincerely,

HILLARD HEINTZE, LLC

Arnette F. Heintze
Partner and CEO
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On November 25, 2009, Hillard Heintze received a request from the Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review for investigative assistance in the matter of Rev. Norbert Maday, a laicized priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago. Specifically, we were asked to assist in learning more about the background of the accuser, a 39-year-old male subject, who alleges that he was sexually abused by Rev. Maday when he was approximately 11 years old at St. Bede Church rectory in Rev. Maday's room, as well as at a forest preserve. Specifically, he alleges the following: Rev. Maday made touch his penis and masturbate him; Rev. Maday exposed his penis to; Rev. Maday fondled; Rev. Maday “forcefully” masturbated, and Rev. Maday raped.

In the scope of this investigation, Hillard Heintze gathered relevant information on which included, but was not limited to his current life situation, personal identifiers, social security number, business affiliations, professional licenses, real property ownership, criminal history checks, arrest records, court records query, financial records, media records and U.S. military records. With this insight, we then assessed the investigative findings to provide you information regarding the subject's character, integrity, ethics, general reputation, personal characteristics and mode of living.

Investigator James Molloy conducted interviews of Fr. William Stenzel, Mr. Richard Guerin, Fr. James Hyland, and along with a site visit to St. Bede the Venerable.

Following a careful analysis of the statements made by in his allegation as well as during the various interviews, certain fundamental key findings were made, which are contained in the Key Findings section of this report.
CASE REFERRAL TO HILLARD HEINTZE

On November 25, 2009, Director Leah McCluskey, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review, referred the matter of Rev. Maday to Hillard Heintze for further investigation. Specifically, we were requested to:

- Conduct a site visit to St. Bede the Venerable in order to inspect the physical layout and to confirm recollection.
- Identify and interview other priests, lay persons and staff who were associated with St. Bede during the time period in question in order to verify statements regarding the presence of students in the rectory, service and training of altar servers and other general statements regarding St. Bede.
- If possible, interview the mother and sister of to determine when he first told them of his abuse.
- Interview the mother and sister of to determine when he first told them of his abuse.
- Conduct a follow-up interview with Mr. Maday to clarify any questions arising from the investigation.

On November 25, Hillard Heintze Senior VP Harvey Radney assigned Investigator James Molloy the primary responsibility of conducting the follow-up investigation.

DETAILS OF INVESTIGATION

CASE REVIEW

Beginning on November 25, 2009, Hillard Heintze CEO Arnette Heintze, Radney and Investigative Associate James Molloy initiated a comprehensive case review of Rev. Maday’s investigative file provided by the Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review. This file included allegations.

Specifically, alleges the following: Rev. Maday made touch his penis and masturbate him; Rev. Maday exposed his penis to Rev. Maday fondled penis; Rev. Maday “forcefully” masturbated and Rev. Maday raped penis.

INTERVIEWS

DECEMBER 16, 2009 – INTERVIEW OF FR. WILLIAM STENZEL

Fr. Stenzel stated that some changes had been made to the layout of the St. Bede church and rectory following a flood in the late 1990s. Fr. Stenzel said that some parishioners believed that Maday did nothing wrong and some told him that they would have to pound
on the doors of the rectory to retrieve their sons from visits with Maday. Some parishioners told Fr. Stenzel that Maday would give boys beer. Fr. Stenzel passed along names of women who worked in the St. Bede office during Maday's tenure to investigator Molloy.

DECEMBER 16 - INTERVIEW OF MR. RICHARD GUERIN

Mr. Guerin, current Principal of St. Bede Grammar School as well as at the time of the alleged abuse, said that he recalled the family but not specifically. He had no memory of having a drinking problem while a student at St. Bede. Mr. Guerin recalled various children refer to Maday as "Father Gayday" but did not recall the incident described by during which Maday made apologize for calling him the name. Mr. Guerin said that he does not recall who was in charge of altar boy training nor was he familiar with the specifics of the training. Mr. Guerin recalled Maday taking small groups of boys on overnight trips and to the. He heard later that Maday had told the boys not to tell him. Mr. Guerin said that he and Fr. Duggan had asked the former pastor, Fr. Bill Graney, about Maday. They relayed to Fr. Graney that they had suspicions about Maday, but Fr. Graney told them that there was "no bad paper on him."

DECEMBER 18, 2009 - INTERVIEW OF , a former receptionist/clerk at St. Bede rectory, said that she never saw boys on the second floor or in the priests' living quarters but recalled hearing that boys went up there. She said it was possible that they walked through the passageway from the church to get up to the second floor. recalled hearing that Maday had an electronic game, possibly "Pacman," in his living quarters. She had also heard of Maday taking boys on trips. She could not recall who trained altar servers at St. Bede. passed along names of other former administrative staff members at St. Bede during Maday's tenure.

DECEMBER 21, 2009 - INTERVIEW OF FR. JAMES HYLAND

Fr. Hyland, who was assigned to St. Bede during the time that Maday was assigned there, said that Maday used the suite closest to the common room on the second floor of the rectory. Fr. Hyland stated that he had the suite in the middle of the second floor with two rooms adjoined by a bathroom. When asked, Fr. Hyland did not know if Maday had used that suite prior to his arrival. Fr. Hyland stated that while they were assigned to St. Bede together, Maday was in charge of the altar boys. Fr. Hyland recalled Maday having an Atari game system in his living quarters and that young boys would gather in small groups to play the game at Maday's invitation. Fr. Hyland said that beer was stored in a refrigerator in the common room but he did not see the visiting boys have any nor did he recall any of the altar servers being drunk. He was not certain about the frequency of the boys' visits and said many different boys visited Maday, although some were regulars. Fr. Hyland stated that he and Maday disagreed about the use of the common room, which
Maday used for some visits with the young boys. Fr Hyland said that Fr Duggan told Maday one more than one occasion to stop bringing boys into the rectory, but that Maday did not listen. Fr. Hyland recalled Maday driving a large, four-door car, which he believed was a Chevrolet, but cannot say for sure. Fr. Hyland said that Maday took groups of boys on outings in Wisconsin and to arcades in the Chicago area. Fr. Hyland recalled Maday being a member of the , but did not recall him taking the boys there. Fr. Hyland did not recall the incident involving , but was aware that some people called Maday “Gayday.” Fr. Hyland passed on information regarding former administrative staff members for St Bede. He said that although he does not recall visiting Maday, it does not mean that he was never in the rectory.

DECEMBER 30, 2009 – INTERVIEW OF Ms. named that she worked in the St. Bede rectory during Maday’s assignment. She stated that she never saw boys in Maday’s rooms as she never went to the second floor of the rectory and the priests’ living quarters. She also said that she never saw any boys walk up the stairs from the basement of the rectory, said that they would have passed by her office on their way and that she would have seen them then passed on information relating to other administrative staff employed at St Bede at the time.

JANUARY 5, 2010 – EMAIL FROM MS. LEAH McCluskey
Ms. McCluskey sent an email to Investigator Molloy stating that she had received a voicemail message dated December 29, 2009 from attorney, . relayed that sister had agreed to be interviewed, however the unnamed friend spoken about in statement had decided that he did not wish to be interviewed.

JANUARY 8, 2010 – INTERVIEW OF Ms. named sister, stated that told her about eight or nine years ago that he was abused by Norbert Maday. said that both she and were still living at their parents’ home and that they were in her brother’s room when he told her about the abuse. said that she had always “suspected that this occurred” and said that did not talk about it until that day.

JANUARY 11, 2010 – INTERVIEW OF MR. JOHN TREANOR
Mr. Treanor, the Director of the Archdiocesan Archives, performed a search for Investigator Molloy on January 4, 2010 for a former at St. Bede the Venerable between the years of and , believed to have the name . Mr Treanor called Molloy on January 11 and said that the search found the Annual Report from St. Bede in which is listed as the
With the spelling correction, Molloy was able to locate [redacted]'s current phone number.

**JANUARY 11, 2010 - INTERVIEW OF [redacted]**

[redacted] is a former [redacted] for the St. Bede rectory during Norbert Maday’s assignment there. [redacted] stated that Maday had the set of rooms closest to the common room at the west end of the second floor hall of the rectory. [redacted] stated that she never saw boys in Maday’s rooms, nor did she see him entertaining young boys in the common room. She did not recall Maday having an Atari game system in his room. The only person [redacted] recalled visiting Maday was his mother [redacted]. [redacted] said that she did not see boys entering or wondering around the rectory. She said that she remembered Maday as being nice to her and that she considered him a nice priest.

**JANUARY 12, 2010 - INTERVIEW OF [redacted]**

[redacted] a former priest with the Archdiocese who served at St. Bede with Norbert Maday, stated that he resided in the suite at the west end of the second floor, closest to the common room. He said that Maday occupied the two middle rooms [redacted] said that he knew of a few occasions when Maday had groups of boys in his rooms, but that it was not a frequent occurrence. He said that these groups were loud, resembling parties, with laughter being heard. [redacted] did not recall Maday having a video game system in his room, nor did he recall Maday entertaining boys in the common room. [redacted] stated that he never saw Maday provide beer to the visiting boys. [redacted] did not recall the make or model of Maday’s car, but described it as a very large four-door. He also did not recall Maday giving the boys rides or taking them on trips. [redacted] stated that he left St. Bede because he felt the atmosphere was bad – he also believed that Maday was immature and inappropriate. [redacted] said that he felt Maday spent too much time with young boys and even went to Fr. Duggan to complain on several occasions. [redacted] stated that Fr. Duggan made “feeble attempts” to have Maday change his behavior. He added that he never had believed that improper sexual behavior was being conducted at the church, but said that he would have notified the police and “nailed” Maday if there had been sexual behavior.

**SURVEILLANCE**

None conducted in this investigation.
SITE VISITS
DECEMBER 16, 2009 – SITE VISIT OF ST. BEDE THE VENERABLE CHURCH AND RECTORY
Fr. Stenzel accompanied Investigator Molloy on a tour of the parish. The living quarters for the priests are on the south end of the second floor and now consist of three suites. However, prior to a flood in the late 1990s, there were four suites – two suites shared a bathroom. Fr. Stenzel stated that Norbert Maday had the two that shared the bathroom using one for a bedroom and one for a sitting room. Each suite has its own bathroom and there was no separate bathroom for public use in the priests’ living area hallway. Molloy toured the sacristies and came across a confessional used during Maday's assignment which is no longer utilized. Molloy saw that there is no bathroom in either sacristy, nor has there ever been one according to Fr. Stenzel.
LIMITED BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION SUMMARY

As of Date: January 27, 2010

SUBJECT IDENTIFIERS

Name: 
Current Address: 
Current or Most Recent Phone: 
Date of Birth: 
SSN: issued in IL between 1977 and 1978

ASSOCIATED ADDRESSES (PREVIOUS TEN YEARS)

[Redacted]
KEY FINDINGS

As a result of our limited background investigation, interviews, surveillance and site visits in relation to case number PFR-29, the following key findings were made:

- Described an incident wherein Norbert Maday pulled out of a classroom and beat up for saying “Zip up your pants. Here comes Fr. Gayday.” added that was taken to the principal’s office where was forced to apologize to Maday for the remark. Rick Guerin is now and was then the school principal. Guerin said he did not remember this incident. However, both Fr. Hyland and Mr. Guerin said they did know that Maday was referred to as “Goyday” by some people around the parish.

- said that boys would play Atari in Maday’s room. Fr. Hyland also said that boys played the Atari system that Maday kept in his rooms. said that she remembered that Maday had a game in his rooms that he let boys use, but wasn’t sure what it was, saying that it might have been Pacman. said that he could not remember a video game in Maday’s rooms while was assigned to St. Bede.

- said that there “fun trips” taken by the altar boys to local amusement attractions. Fr. Hyland, Mr. Guerin and all said they either had direct knowledge of such trips or had heard about them. Fr. Hyland said that Maday was in charge of the altar boys during their common tenure at St. Bede, which included the time of the alleged abuse.

- said that Norbert Maday abused him in the sacristy bathroom before mass when arrived early to clean the sacristy. There is no bathroom in either the priest or server sacristy at St. Bede. There is a bathroom in both the east and west hallways across from the sacristies that are near entrance doors to the Church. It is possible that is referring to one of these bathrooms due to their proximity to the sacristies.

- gave an accurate description of the passageway that leads from the priests’ sacristy in the church to the lower level of the rectory. He also gave an accurate description of the lower level room and the stairway to the upper level.
said he thought that Maday used the rooms near the middle of the hallway. There are three sets of rooms for priests’ quarters on the second floor of the rectory with a common room located at the west end of the rectory. The common room can be reached by walking up eight stairs from the second floor. Fr. Hyland said that while he and Maday were at St. Bede, Fr. Hyland had the rooms in the middle and Maday’s quarters were closest to the common room. said that when he was at St. Bede with Maday (September 1979 to September 1980), Maday had the rooms in the middle and had the suite closest to the common room.

said that the receptionist, an older woman, might have seen him and the other boys in and out of the rectory. , , and all said that they did not remember ever seeing boys on the second floor of the rectory. and said they worked in the office and were never on the second floor. Both said that they rarely worked later than 4:00 P.M. and said she never saw boys in Maday’s rooms or with him in the common room.

Fr. Hyland said that he remembered and his family, but does not remember as being part of the group that frequented Maday’s quarters or the common room. Fr. Hyland also said that this would not necessarily mean that was not ever in either place with Maday, just that he did not remember seeing him there. Fr. Hyland said that Maday entertained groups of three to five boys in his rooms or in the common room, but he did not remember any incidents where he saw only one boy in either room. also said that he remembered Maday entertaining groups of boys in his rooms, but not in the common room. said that he thought Maday spent too much time around young boys.

said that he was abused by Maday in Maday’s car, but he did not describe the car. Fr. Hyland said that Maday drove a large four door car, possibly a Chevrolet. also said that Maday had a very large four door car, but did not remember the make or model. also said that he did not remember Maday giving any boys rides in the car.
said that he told his sister about the abuse he suffered as well as one of his friends. The friend chose not to be identified and interviewed, but sister, did agree to an interview. She said that her about the abuse about eight or nine years ago. She also said that he just let it all out one night and that she had suspected something like that had happened to her brother.
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW: FR. WILLIAM STENZEL

Date: December 16, 2009

Reference: PFR 29 - Maday

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy conducted an in-person interview with Fr. Stenzel on December 16, 2009 at 9:30 A.M. The interview took place in Fr. Stenzel's office at St. Bede rectory. Molloy informed Stenzel of the reason for the interview and that the matter is confidential.

Fr. Stenzel said that he became Pastor at St. Bede Parish in July of 2005. Molloy asked Stenzel if the physical condition of the church and rectory was the same as it had been in the early 1980s. Fr. Stenzel said that there were some changes in the rectory due to a flood that occurred in the late 1990s. It was at this time that walls were modified in the priests' living quarters and some changes were made in the common room.

Fr. Stenzel said that his office had been part of a larger basement meeting room prior to 2006 when he had the space walled off. There is a passage to the sacristy of the church from the meeting room.

The interview with Fr. Stenzel was interrupted at 9:45 A.M. in order for Mr. Guerin to be interviewed prior to his leaving for the day.

The interview with Fr. Stenzel was reinitiated at 10:00 A.M. during a walking tour of the church and rectory.

Fr. Stenzel said that some people in the parish continued to profess a feeling that Maday did nothing wrong and was the victim of an overly zealous prosecutor in Wisconsin. Fr. Stenzel also said that other parishioners told him how they would have to pound on the doors of the rectory to retrieve their sons from visits with Maday. Fr. Stenzel said that these parishioners also spoke of how Maday would give the boys beer to drink while showing them movies in the common room. Fr. Stenzel said the subject was broached only after he told the parishioners that a settlement had been reached in a case involving Fr. Duggan. He said that this occurred in 2005 and that his discussion also included Maday.

Fr. Stenzel was able to provide the names of two women who served as Confident at the rectory during Maday's assignment to St. Bede. He
said that [redacted] worked at the rectory from [redacted] to [redacted], and that [redacted] worked at the rectory in [redacted] and [redacted].

Fr. Stenzel said that according to his current staff, [redacted] is now living in [redacted] and [redacted] resides in [redacted]. However, no addresses were provided to Molloy. Fr. Stenzel also said that [redacted] is currently [redacted].

Investigator Molloy thanked Fr. Stenzel for his time and concluded the interview.
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW: MR. RICHARD GUERIN

Date: December 16, 2009

Reference: PFR 29 – Maday

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy conducted an in-person interview with Mr. Richard Guerin, Principal of St. Bede Grammar School on December 16, 2009 at 9:45 A.M. The interview took place in the pastor’s office in the rectory. Molloy explained the reason for the interview and explained that the matter in question needed to be treated as confidential. Mr. Guerin said he was the principal at the time of the alleged abuse and is still so employed.

Mr. Guerin said that he remembered the family, but not specifically.

Mr. Guerin said that he did remember hearing various children at school refer to Norbert Maday as "Father Gayday" but had no specific memory of an incident, as described by where Maday made apologize for using the term.

Mr. Guerin said that the teacher who might have had the most contact with was but added that is now deceased. Mr. Guerin also said that no teacher from that era was still employed at St. Bede.

Mr. Guerin he did not recall if Maday was in charge of altar boy training at the time and is not familiar with the specifics of altar boy training.

Mr. Guerin said that he does know that Maday took groups of four to six boys on overnight trips to motels and to the for swimming. He said that he only learned of this later along with the fact that Maday had told the boys "Don’t tell Guerin."

Mr. Guerin said that he and Fr. Duggan, the pastor at the time, went to see the former pastor, Fr. Bill Graney, to ask him about Maday. Mr. Guerin said that they told Fr. Graney that they had some suspicions about Maday,
but Fr. Graney told them he had checked with Maday's former pastors and that there was "no bad paper on him."

When Mr. Guerin said he had nothing else to add, Molloy thanked him for his time and concluded the interview.
MEMORANDUM OF SITE VISIT: ST. BEDE THE VENERABLE CHURCH AND RECTORY

Date: December 16, 2009

Reference: PFR 29 – Maday

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy conducted a site visit of the church and rectory at St. Bede the Venerable on December 16, 2009. The pastor of the parish, Fr. William Stenzel, accompanied Molloy on the tour and answered questions about the physical plan of the building.

The rectory contains offices on the first floor along with a kitchen and eating area. There is also a suite for visiting priests that was once used by a live-in cook and housekeeper. There is a stairway that leads from the office to the lower level. The lower level contains a large meeting room and a pastor's office. The office is located in the southeast portion of the larger room and was walled off from the larger space in 2006. The laundry and storage rooms are also located on the lower level to the west of the meeting room.

There is a stairway located just off the first floor office that leads upstairs to the living quarters of the priests assigned to the parish. The living quarters are on the south end of the second floor and now consist of three suites. The middle suite was reconfigured after a flood during the late 1990s. It had formerly been two guest rooms with a common bathroom in between the two rooms. Fr. Stenzel said that Norbert Maday used both of the rooms as his living quarters, using one room as a sitting room and the other as his bedroom.

There is a stairway at the west end of the hallway that leads up to a common room, used as a parlor. There is a stairway between the first and second floor at this end of the hall as well. Fr. Stenzel said that the fireplace in the room now was not there prior to the remodeling efforts following the flood. He also said that there had been two doors leading to a deck where there is now one.

There is no separate bathroom for public use in the priests' living area hallway.
There is a door in the lower level meeting room that leads to a passage way to the sacristy of the church. Walking toward the church, you go up several steps, reach a landing, then go up several more steps and reach a landing that ends in a door to the sacristy.

You enter the priests’ sacristy as you walk through this door. It is a large room in which there is a vault, a large closet, a wardrobe with six doors, a sink, a large cabinet and table and an old confessional. Fr. Stenzel said the confessional was in use at the time of Maday’s assignment. The person wishing to make a confession would enter their side of the confessional from the hall outside the sacristy on the east side of the church, closest to Kostner Street. The priest would enter from the sacristy. The confessional is no longer used and the partition between the two entrances has been removed.

There is a servers’ sacristy to the west of the priests’ sacristy. It is small and has a sink and a closet. The rooms have a connecting door and both rooms can be accessed from the sanctuary of the church or from the hallway doors.

There is no bathroom in either sacristy and Fr. Stenzel said that there never was one. There is a bathroom in each of the hallways: one across the hall from the priests’ sacristy on the side closest to Kostner Street and one across the hallway from the servers’ sacristy on the courtyard side, or west side.

There are double glass doors immediately next to the bathrooms from which egress to the outside of the church can be made. Fr. Stenzel said that he thinks the doors used to be made of solid metal with cross cutouts, similar to the main entrance doors on 83rd Street.

Molloy has supplied diagrams of the sacristies and the lower level of the rectory but they are not to scale. A similar diagram was made of the second floor living area during Maday’s tenure, as it was described by Fr. Stenzel.

Investigator Molloy thanked Fr. Stenzel for his time and concluded the site visit.
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW:

Date: December 18, 2009

Reference: PFR 29 – Maday

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy interviewed at 10:00 A.M. on December 18, 2009. Molloy introduced himself to and explained the reason for the interview, telling that the matter was of a confidential nature. 's name had arisen in an earlier interview when she was identified as a former at St. Bede rectory. has been employed at since . resides in . said that she started working as a at the St. Bede rectory in , working on Mondays to help with the money count from the weekend masses. said she worked at St. Bede until she took her job at . said that her boss at St. Bede was and supplied Molloy with a telephone number for . She also said she would call to let her know that Molloy would be contacting her.

said that during her time at St. Bede she never saw any boys on the second floor in the priests' living quarters, but added that she heard that boys did go up there. She said it was very possible that boys could walk into the rectory through the passageway from the church and get up to the second floor of the rectory.

said that she had heard that Norbert Maday had an electronic game, possibly “Pacman,” on the second floor. also said that though she had heard of Maday taking boys on trips, she had no personal knowledge of it.

said that she could not recall which priest trained altar servers at St. Bede.
was shown sketches that Molloy had drawn of the rectory and sacristy of the church and she said that they were accurate to her recollection.

said that there used to be a staff at the rectory. She named as being the women who held that position.

said that was there from the time the rectory was built until when took over. worked there from until .

said that these women would have filled in at the desk after the day person left until the nighttime high school students took over at 5:30 P.M. and worked until 9:00 P.M.

Investigator Molloy thanked for her time and concluded the interview.
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW: FR. JAMES HYLAND

Date: December 21, 2009

Reference: PFR 29 - Maday

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy interviewed Fr. James Hyland at 10:00 A.M. on December 21, 2009. The interview took place in the ante room of the pastor's office in the rectory at Most Holy Redeemer Parish, located at 9525 S. Lawndale in Evergreen Park, Illinois. Molloy explained the reason for the interview and told Fr. Hyland that the matter was of a confidential nature.

Fr. Hyland said he was ordained on May 13, 1981 and is currently assigned as Pastor of Most Holy Redeemer Parish. Fr. Hyland said that he had been assigned to St. Bede upon his ordination, arriving there in June of 1981 and remaining there until he was reassigned in 1988. Fr. Hyland said that he served at St. Bede with the Pastor, Fr. Duggan, and Fr. Norbert Maday. Fr. Hyland said that Maday was transferred out of St. Bede in 1983 and was replaced by Fr. Lawrence Malcolm.

Fr. Hyland said that he was given the suite that consisted of the two guest rooms in the middle of the second floor when he was first assigned to St. Bede. Fr. Hyland said that Maday had the suite closest to the common room at that time. Fr. Hyland also said that he moved into the west suite when Maday left and that Fr. Malcolm then resided in the middle rooms. (This contrasts with the information provided by the current pastor of St. Bede, Fr. William Stenzel. Stenzel said that Maday had the middle rooms.) Fr. Hyland said that he did not know if Maday had ever used the middle rooms prior to his arrival. Fr. Hyland said that the west suite had a bathroom in each room, sharing a common wall. The furthest west room had the bathroom in the southeast corner and the bathroom was located in the northwest corner in the other room.

Fr. Hyland said that Maday was in charge of the altar boys during their tenure together. Fr. Hyland said that boys would begin as servers in the second half of fifth grade. He said that Maday would sometimes take servers to the cemetery after serving a funeral mass, an uncommon practice. Fr. Hyland also said that Maday said mass for shut-ins and would sometime take servers to assist him at these masses.
Fr. Hyland stated that Norbert Maday had an Atari game system in his private quarters and young boys would often gather, in groups of three to six, to play the game at Maday's invitation. Fr. Hyland said that Maday would also entertain similar groups of young boys in the common room by showing them movies. Fr. Hyland said that there was a refrigerator in the northeast corner of the common room in which beer was stored. Fr. Hyland also said that he never saw the visiting boys have any of the beer. Fr. Hyland also said that he could not be certain as to the frequency of such visits. He also said that the visits were during daytime or evening hours and that the groups had some regulars, but that many different boys seemed to visit.

Fr. Hyland said that he did not care for the boys being in the common room, as their presence kept him from being able to use the room. He said that he did not like the idea of watching the movies that Maday showed. Fr. Hyland said that he and Maday had words on one occasion when Fr. Hyland was entertaining some of his classmates in the common room. Fr. Hyland said that Maday brought his mother over to the rectory and was upset that Fr. Hyland was using the common room. Fr. Hyland said that Maday told him that he should have cleared the classmate visit with Maday. Fr. Hyland said that he then replied to Maday that Maday never cleared any of the boys' visits with him.

Fr. Hyland said that, although there was not a formal policy concerning visitors to the rectory, Fr. Duggan told Maday on more than one occasion to stop bringing boys into the rectory. Fr. Hyland also said that Maday did not heed this admonishment. Fr. Hyland said that Fr. Duggan did not have an "open door" policy in regard to the priests' rooms.

Fr. Hyland said that Maday drove a large, four-door car, possibly a Chevrolet, but that he was not sure of the car's make. Fr. Hyland said that Maday did take groups of boys on outings to "The Place to Be" in Oshkosh, Wisconsin and to arcades in the Chicago area. Fr. Hyland said that although he knew Maday was a member of the [redacted] he had no knowledge of Maday taking any boys there.

Molloy asked Fr. Hyland if he had any recollection of an incident involving a student calling Maday, "Gayday" and being pulled out of class by Maday and taken to the school Principal's office. Fr. Hyland said that he had no recollection of such an incident, but did know that some people used that term to refer to Maday.
Fr. Hyland said that there was no bathroom in either the priests' or servers' sacristy at St. Bede. He said that there was one bathroom in each of the hallways adjacent to the sacristies. Fr. Hyland said that the current passageway between the church and rectory has remained the same since his tenure. Investigator Molloy asked Fr. Hyland if he could remember an altar server being drunk during his time at St. Bede. Fr. Hyland said he had no recollection of this type of behavior.

Fr. Hyland said that anyone walking from the basement to the second floor via the east stairway would have had to walk past the office to get upstairs. He also said that entry to the second floor could have been gained by going through the basement laundry room and then up the west stairs by the housekeeper's quarters.

Fr. Hyland said that was the when he first arrived at St. Bede and added that she is now deceased. He said that replaced , but that he had no knowledge of her current whereabouts. Fr. Hyland said that and also both worked at the rectory, but are now deceased. Fr. Hyland said that worked in the office with and replaced when she left. Fr. Hyland said that the high school students who worked at the rectory started at 4:00 P.M., when the office ladies went home.

Fr. Hyland said that he knew and his family from his time at St. Bede. Fr. Hyland said that he does not remember being a part of the various groups who visited Maday. Fr. Hyland also said that even though he does not specifically remember seeing in the rectory, it does not mean that he did not visit Maday.

Investigator Molloy thanked Fr. Hyland for his time and concluded the interview.
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW:

Date: December 30, 2009

Reference: PFR 29 - Maday/

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy called [redacted] at telephone number [redacted] on December 30, 2009 at 10:34 A.M. Molloy introduced himself, explained the reason for his call and asked [redacted] if she would agree to be interviewed about the matter. [redacted] said that [redacted] had called her to say an investigator would be calling her and agreed to be interviewed. [redacted] said that she was born on [redacted] and had worked at St. Bede from [redacted] until she retired in [redacted].

[redacted] said that her work hours at the rectory started at 9:00 A.M. and ended at 4:00 P.M. [redacted] said that she never worked late and that during the time frame in question, she sometimes left earlier than 4:00 P.M. [redacted] said that she would often make up the time by starting at 8:00 A.M. She also said that [redacted] would take over the phones when she left. [redacted] said [redacted] would handle the phones until high school students arrived to perform that duty at either 5:00 or 6:00 P.M.

[redacted] said that she never saw boys in Norbert Maday's rooms as she never went upstairs to the area of the rectory that contained the priests' living quarters.

[redacted] also said that she never saw any boys walk up the stairs from the basement of the rectory and that if any had, she would have seen them because they would have had to pass by her office. [redacted] described the basement room into which one would enter the rectory from the sacristy of the church as a meeting room.

[redacted] said that most of the [redacted] from her time at the rectory are deceased, but said she has no idea as to whether [redacted] is still living.

Investigator Molloy asked [redacted] if she had any other information to add and she said she did not.

Investigator Molloy thanked [redacted] for her time and concluded the interview.
MEMORANDUM OF EMAIL COMMUNICATION: MS. LEAH MCCLUSKEY

Date: January 5, 2010

Reference: PFR 29 – Maday

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy received an email from Ms. Leah McCluskey, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review Archdiocese of Chicago, on January 5, 2010 at 10:34 A.M. The email contained information regarding a request Molloy had made to interview certain persons with regard to this investigation.

Ms. Leah McCluskey stated in the email that she had received a voicemail message dated December 29, 2009 from attorney, ... In the message, ... said that it was okay to interview sister, ... regarding telling her about the alleged abuse. However, ... said that the unnamed friend, ... had also claimed to have told about the abuse, decided he did not wish to be interviewed.

Molloy will conduct the interview with ... upon notification from Ms. McCluskey that ... is expecting his call.
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW:

Date: January 8, 2010

Reference: PFR 29 - Maday

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy made four attempts to contact [redacted], between January 6, 2010 and January 8, 2010. Molloy left a message on the voice mail of her cell phone [redacted] on each attempt. [redacted] called Molloy on his cell phone at 3:15 P.M. on January 8, 2010. Molloy informed [redacted] that he needed to verify a statement made by her brother in regard to telling her he had been abused. [redacted] agreed to be interviewed in regard to this investigation.

[redacted] said that she is the [redacted] sister of [redacted] and was born on [redacted]. [redacted] also said that she resides in [redacted] but did not provide an address.

[redacted] said that it was eight or nine years ago when [redacted] had told her of the abuse he suffered by Norbert Maday. [redacted] said they were both [redacted] at the time. She also said that they were in [redacted] when he told her about the abuse.

[redacted] said that she had always suspected that this had occurred, but also said that [redacted] had not talked about it until that day. [redacted] said [redacted] just let it all come out on that day.

[redacted] said she had nothing further to add.

Investigator Molloy thanked [redacted] for her time and concluded the interview.
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW: MR. JOHN TREANOR

Date: January 11, 2009

Reference: PFR 29 – Maday

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy placed a call to Mr. John Treanor, Director of the Archdiocesan Archives, on January 4, 2010. Molloy requested a search seeking information on a former staff member at St. Bede the Venerable, [s/c]. The search timeline was between the years [ ] and [ ]. Information on [ ] had been identified during interviews of former staff members of St. Bede’s rectory.

John Treanor called Molloy on January 11, 2010 at 1:15 P.M. with the results of the search. Mr. Treanor said that the [ ] St. Bede Annual Report listed [ ] as being [ ]. Treanor said that no other information pertaining to [ ] was available.

Investigator Molloy thanked Mr. Treanor for his time and efforts and concluded the interview.
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW:

Date: January 11, 2010

Reference: PFR 29 - Maday

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy learned from John Treanor that the correct spelling for the former housekeeper at St. Bede's rectory was not as had been given to Molloy by other former St. Bede staff members. Molloy used available databases to find on www.whitepages.com at telephone number . Molloy placed a call to that number on January 11, 2010 at 12:55 P.M. Molloy asked the woman who answered the phone if she was and she said that she was. Molloy then asked if she had ever worked as a at St. Bede the Venerable Rectory. said that she had worked there and agreed to be interviewed after Molloy explained the reason for his call.

stated that she worked as at the rectory from through . She stated that her date of birth is said that there were three priests in residence at the rectory while she worked at St. Bede: Fr. Duggan, Fr. Maday and Fr. Hyland.

said that Norbert Maday had the set of rooms that were closest to the common room at the west end of the hall.

said that she never saw boys in Maday's rooms. She also said she never saw Maday entertaining boys in the common room. said she did not recall Maday having an Atari game system in his suite. She said the only visitor she remembered for Maday was his mother.

said that she did fill in answering the phones between 4:00 P.M., when the staff left, until 6:00 P.M., when the high school students arrived to work at the office. said that she had a phone in
the kitchen and only went near the office to answer the front door bell or to fill out Mass cards when needed. She said that she did not ever see any boys entering the rectory or wandering around the rectory.

Molloy asked if she had anything else to add. She said that she remembered Maday as being nice to her and thought he was a nice priest. Also said that she remembered Maday walking in the courtyard between the rectory and the school while saying his prayers.

Investigator Molloy thanked for her time and concluded the interview.
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW:

Date: January 12, 2010

Reference: PFR 29 - Maday,

Investigator: James Molloy

Investigator Molloy received a telephone call from, on January 12, 2010 at 4:16 P.M. The caller identified himself as, to whom Molloy had sent a letter asking to contact him. Molloy identified himself to and explained the reason why he wished to speak with him. is a former priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago who left the active ministry in. agreed to be interviewed by Molloy in regard to his tenure at St. Bede the Venerable.

said that he was born on and was ordained as a priest in. said that he was assigned to St. Bede in September, 1979 and was transferred away from there in September, 1980. said that the pastor during his tenure was Fr. Duggan and that Norbert Maday was the other associate.

said that he occupied the suite of rooms on the west end of the second floor, closest to the common room. said that Maday occupied the middle two rooms during 's time at St. Bede.

said that he knew that Maday had groups of boys in his rooms on at least two occasions, but that it was not a frequent occurrence. He said that the groups were loud, with a lot of laughter being audible. said he would describe the visits as “party time.” He said that he had no knowledge of Maday having a video game system in his room. said that he did not remember any boys being entertained in the common room by Maday and that he never saw Maday provide any of the visiting boys with beer.

said that he thought the boys visiting would have entered through the front door of the rectory and then would have used the stairs in the dining room to get to the second floor. This would have placed them at the west end of the second floor hallway.

said that he did not remember the make or model of Maday's car, but did describe it as being a very large four-door. said
that he had no knowledge of Maday ever giving any boys rides in his car or taking any boys on trips or outings.

said that he left St. Bede after one year at his own request because he felt the atmosphere was bad and that the living conditions were not conducive to peace and quiet. He said that Maday was immature and a "master of inappropriateness." said that he felt Maday spent too much time with young boys. said that he went to Fr. Duggan on several occasions to complain about Maday and that Fr. Duggan responded by making a feeble attempt to have Maday change his behavior.

said that he would describe Maday as being "like a dog that pees on your prized oriental rug and then wags his tail to show how pleased he was." also said that he felt that it was like talking to a wall when criticizing Maday.

said that he never had any inkling that any improper sexual behavior was taking place while he was at St. Bede. said that he would have "nailed" Maday and notified the police if he had heard of sexual behavior. When asked if he had any thing else to add, said that he did not.

Investigator Molloy thanked for his time and concluded the interview.
MEMORANDUM

To: File - PFR - 29

From: Leah McCluskey, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Re: Maday, Rev. Norbert (Laicized)

Date: June 29, 2009

At the beginning of this year, I made a request to Legal Services for any information they had on past communication with public authorities of incidents concerning the former Rev. Norbert Maday and allegations of the sexual abuse of minors.


Upon review of the entire document, the following individuals also communicated alleged incidents of abuse by Fr. Maday to the public authorities:

- [Redacted] (and his mother, [Redacted])
- [Redacted] (parents of sons; from the attached, not clear of names and/or how many sons)
- [Redacted] (grandmother of "the boys")
- [Redacted] (assumed to be)

Copies of the attached documents have been placed in the respective existing files of As of the date of this memo, we have no record in this office of anyone with the last name [Redacted] or [Redacted] who has come forward to report an allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor by Fr. Maday.

Attachment

AOC 001427
On March 4, 1992, at approximately 2:25 p.m., Cook County Assistant State's Attorney Joe Gebhart and Special Agent Mary Woolery interviewed (M/W; DOB: ) at the Illinois State Police Zone 4 office located at 12800 South Austin Avenue, Palos Heights, Illinois. The purpose of the interview was in order to verify report of alleged sexual abuse by Father NORBERT J. MADAY (M/W; DOB: ). MADAY was formerly a priest at Our Lady of the Ridge Parish, 109th and Ridgeland, Chicago Ridge, Illinois. provided his home address as accompanied their son to the state police facility. provided responses but not verbatim to questions asked of him by A/S/A Gebhart and S/A Woolery.

stated that he knows MADAY. stated that MADAY was just a priest at the parish. stated that MADAY was friendly and nice to him. stated that he initially met MADAY at a meeting when he was in fifth (5th) grade after he had signed up to be an altar boy. stated that he was an altar boy at Our Lady of the Ridge Parish when he was in sixth and seventh (6th - 7th) grades and he served at mass about twice a week. stated that he was also a student until his mother moved them to . stated that he might have been in sixth (6th) grade while at .

stated that he was usually never alone with MADAY. stated that his brother and/or other boys were usually present. recalled that they went and played miniature golf and to the ice cream shop for Dove bars. recalled that the outings with MADAY usually took place on Saturday mornings. could not recall the number of outings but he stated that it was a lot times.

recalled that he went into MADAY's room at the parish. stated that MADAY had a desk with weird things on it and a collection of some type. did not provide any further explanation.

A/S/A Gebhart inquired into reason(s) that prompted the investigation. stated that he talked to Sgt. and another officer on last Wednesday at the Chicago Ridge Police Department. stated that he told them his information about MADAY.

stated that one day during the summer of 1986, MADAY invited him to go to . He recalled that he wore some shorts and a tank top. stated that MADAY wore solid colored dress pants and a regular shirt without his priest collar. stated that they went to Wisconsin in MADAY's light blue Oldsmobile or Buick. stated that he was thirteen (13) years old.
stated that he rode to Wisconsin with MADAY and three other boys. stated that he could only recall one of the boy's name was stated that MADAY's place had an arcade room with a pool table. stated that they stayed in rooms that looked like motel rooms. recalled that there was a swimming pool too.

stated that upon their arrival everybody went to the arcade room. recalled that none of the boys paid for the games because gave MADAY the tokens for them. stated that they went to the church and the arcade room then back to the bedroom.

recalled that he was in seventh (7th) grade and was in sixth (6th) grade. stated that he could not recall whether they were still altar boys at the time of the trip but they had been altar boys together at the parish.

stated that MADAY had reserved the room before they arrived there just so that they would have some place to rest. stated that MADAY and him went to the room together while the other boys went to the store down the road. stated that he did not want to go to the store and there was nothing else to do other than for him to go to the room. recalled that it was around 3:00 p.m. before dinner.

recalled that he was lying on the bed in the room while MADAY took a shower. stated that MADAY came out of the bathroom in regular (casual) shorts and a shirt. stated that he was reading something as he laid on the bed. stated that there were two (2) twin beds in the room.

recalled that MADAY sat on the bed next to him. stated that MADAY started to touch and rub on the inside area of his leg. stated that MADAY rubbed and moved his hand up to his personal area (penis). stated that MADAY rubbed his penis. stated that initially MADAY did not say anything but he told him that he did not think it was right. stated that MADAY told him that nothing was wrong with it and he kept doing it to him.

stated that MADAY rubbed his penis then he (MADAY) rubbed his own penis as he rubbed him. stated that MADAY pulled his own shorts down then he (MADAY) pulled down his shorts. stated that he told MADAY to stop and MADAY told him that he (MADAY) would kill his brother if he anyone. recalled that MADAY's voice sounded very mean and he (MADAY) looked strangely at him. stated that he thought MADAY was "nuts" (crazy) and he (MADAY) looked like he did not care.

stated that MADAY continued to rub his penis up and down as he (MADAY) rubbed himself. stated that he should not have become a priest if he was going to be a "fucking asshole". stated that MADAY ejaculated but he
did not ejaculate and it was possible that he did not obtain an erection. stated that he did not say anything else to MADAY after he threatened his brother. stated that MADAY told him not to tell anybody about the incident.

stated that he left the room after MADAY finished and he went to the store. stated that he saw the other boys but he did not say anything about the incident to any of them. stated that they sat around the store for awhile then they went back for dinner at 's place. stated that he could not remember if any of the other boys went back to the room alone with MADAY. stated that they stayed at 's place for about four (4) hours after the incident with MADAY. stated that it was dark when they left Wisconsin.

stated that MADAY had taken his brother a couple of years ago to 's place. stated that MADAY and his brother possibly stayed a day or two. stated that his brother was also an altar boy before him. stated that his brother used to golf with MADAY. stated that his brother never told him anything about MADAY other than he was weird.

A/S/A Gebhart inquired into reason(s) for presently providing the information since it occurred in approximately 1986. stated that he told his girlfriend (18 years old) about two weeks ago about the incident. stated that he told that a priest did something to him when he was little. stated that he reported the incident now because had not told his mother. stated that he would not have told anybody if she had not told his mother. stated that his parents only know that MADAY did something to him but they do not know any details.

stated that MADAY never touched him when he was an altar boy at the parish. recalled that MADAY used to grab hold of some of the other boys when they went swimming and he (MADAY) rubbed his beard on them. stated that he saw MADAY rub some of the boys' backs but he (MADAY) did not rub his back.

stated that he quit as an altar boy after they returned from Wisconsin. recalled that someone called his house but he just told them that he did not want to be an altar boy anymore. stated that he did not have any further contact with MADAY.
On this date we met with and his family with Vince Bishop, the Asst. D.A. for Winn County at the State Building, Gunnell, Wi. After discussing some issues myself and and in undated report to the vicinity of the . I want there specifically for to point out to me anything that he could remember. He came from the back side of the motel, which used to be the old Digiwo Hotel, which was remodeled into the . The buildings had been considerably remodeled since 1986, yet was able to, from several views of the building, positively identify the building as being what he referred to as in 1986. However, he said there were some buildings there that were not there at the time he was there and some of the buildings were missing. He was able to figure out approximate where the building at that time would have been where the bridge was. Then we went on to the K-Mart parking lot, which is just about a half a block to the south of the across the street from.

It was at this point in time that we looked to the north and could see the and remembered specifically that this was the building because that portion of the building had not been changed at all. He remembered that they had walked directly across from the to the K-Mart parking lot and had been in the K-Mart store.

He remembers specifically that he believes it to be that he had taken a picture and placed it in an exhaust pipe of a car while they were in the K-Mart parking lot.

We did go over the Illinois police report where Father Mayday(sp?) had sexually molested a young boy at the on or about June, July or August of 1986 when at that time was a 13 yr. old juvenile.

The report from Illinois is very accurate in all details. We went over the entire report and every aspect of the report is true as reported by to the Illinois police. did confirm that the Priest, Father Mayday(sp?), did indeed violate Wisconsin State Statutes, by sitting on the bed next to when he was a 13 yr. old boy. remembers that a priest began to fondle him in the penis area as the priest fondled himself. He pulled down his shorts and continued to fondle as he fondled himself. Father Mayday also achieve an orgasm and was turned off by the entire thing. He told him to stop several times. The priest had said if he didn't cooperate he would "kill his brother", referring to

left the , walked across the field to K-Mart to join the other boys.
states that he did not tell anyone about this issue, he repressed it until approx. two months ago, telling it to his girlfriend, and is currently states everything that we dictated in this tape is true and accurate to the best of his memory, as of today's date, on 05-30-92.
SUPP REPORT BY DET. 5/16/92 92-3902

On this date, I called with the parents of . The actual date this conversation took place was 5/10/92. She wanted to tell me she had information regarding the family, but she also had some information to tell me about some behavior patterns of that occurred about the same he was assaulted by Fr. Maday, when he was on a trip to the.

He told me the wanted to state that she and her husband, as well as the parents, had confrontations with Fr. Maday, one day they went to his room at the rectory in church at Our Lady of the Ridge in Chicago Ridge, Illinois. The parents went there to confront Maday and told him that they no longer wanted their sons in his room, especially overnight, no more R rated movies, and no more R rated theaters.

During this confrontation, Fr. Maday about the R rated movies said it is OK to show R rated movies to children because "it depicts the goodness from the badness." That was his only explanation, and they thought this was really a strange answer.

The, along with the, stated that they were no longer going to permit their sons to come to the rectory because the priest was encouraging them to break the law by going to R rated movies and also by coming home after curfew in the town ordinance, yet the priest continued to do so. He continued to solicit children, he called them at home, took them for rides, let them drive his car when they did not have driver's licenses, and the parents were just fed up with Maday.

On this day I also talked to the grandmother of the boys. She wanted to tell me that she remembered that back at St. Louis Le Montfort around the 1970's the kids used to always say "Don't ever go into the garage with Fr. Maday because he will molest you." She could not find any specific kids that would come forward back then, but she had talked to some, and she wanted me to be aware of that.

Detective also talked with of. He stated that he could remember that he had been to 's house and he didn't remember the name, there were several boys, etc.
He did not remember any specific inappropriate episodes involving or even that they had to refer to Maday as Gayday. He stated that he has a very strong outgoing personality and he didn't believe Maday would ever risk trying to do anything with him. He thought that Maday was actually a strange dude.

I then spoke with Fr. Murphy. He said that he had seen children in the room and he just didn't think that was appropriate and that's why he had told Powers, and even after Fr. Powers had told Maday not to do this Maday continued to do it. In Fr. Powers' words, Fr. Murphy also stated he thought that Maday was "dumb" because in this day and age you certainly don't bring children into your room alone.

Detective [redacted] was contacted by [redacted] who was at St. Bede's Church from 1982 to 1983. He did not tell his parents until about the winter of 1991 about being assaulted by Fr. Maday. He stated he just recently read an article by Ms. Jerry Smith of the Chicago Tribune, that's why he came forward. He stated that with Fr. Maday he would also start by going to his room in the rectory at St. Bede's, where there was a lot of videogames, it would turn into a wrestling match, and it would very often be one on one with Fr. Maday, who would pin them to the ground. He would begin to take his thigh as he was laying on top of him, that is Fr. Maday, rub his thigh up against the thigh of [redacted]. He believes even at that young age he was scared, the priest was getting sexual gratification because his genitals would be going across the thigh area of [redacted] the priest would give him whisker rubs and while doing it, the priest would yell "That's enough, stop, stop" and the priest would...
SUMMARY OF ALLEGATION/RESPONSE/INVESTIGATION

Name of Alleged Victim

Name of Accused
Rev. Norbert Maday [Laicized]

Summary of Allegation
The Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review [CAIR] was first made known of
allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against the former Rev.
Norbert Maday on October 22, 2008 through his civil attorney Mr. Jeff Anderson. I
worked with civil attorney David Argay of Kerns, Frost, & Pearlman to schedule a day
and time to meet with...

We eventually met on January 26, 2009.

... was clearly uncomfortable and upset during the January 26th meeting where
some information that he shared about the alleged incidents of abuse were observably
difficult for him to talk about. He said that there were numerous incidents of Fr. Maday
fondling and rubbing his penis and genital area over his clothing while sitting on Fr.
Maday's lap to "drive" the car. ... also described an incident of Fr. Maday
grabbing his crotch while in the swimming pool at ... The most difficult
incidents for ... to talk about were those that took place at the home of Fr.
Maday's cousin. ... said that Fr. Maday put his hand inside of ... pants while at the home of Fr. Maday's cousin and also attempted oral sex with ...
... while ... was naked. Fr. Maday provided ... with alcohol.

Summary of Response
Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests, will attempt to contact Mr. Maday where he is
being held by the state of Wisconsin to ask if he is willing to schedule a time to have ...
allegation presented to him.

Summary of Investigation
The initial suggestions/recommendations/requests for information made by the Review
Board at the Initial Review will determine the need to request additional investigative
services from Hillard Heintze on this matter. I have also obtained copies of ...'s
school records and altar server lists from St. Louis de Montfort.

Signature

Date
June 1, 1991

To The Personnel Board:

I write to you expressing my interest in being considered as the next pastor of Divine Savior. I feel I'm a very viable choice for the following reasons.

(1) I'm interested in prayer groups which I've been involved in for almost 10 years. I've been in a priest's prayer group lead by Frs. Lyons and Rodell.

(2) I've worked with youth and the C.Y.O. for 25 years. Presently I'm arranging trips for the youth of St. Jude to Schaeffer Lake, Go-Kart track, Golf Outing, with the help of the Holy Name Society and parents.

(3) I've seen enough staffs and parish councils to give me a good handle on how to relate to them.

(4) I would be a good delegator of responsibilities, coordinator of programs, and thrifty in the financial department.

(5) I'm presently working on a pilot program with Maqr. Jack Ligan regarding counseling. It pertains to the children who will be raised religiously after a mixed marriage divorce. I'm also involved in a federal court case with a New York lawyer regarding an atheistic assault on Scouting. I'm a legal guardian of a retarded woman, whose house I've sold and whom I had to relocate. I feel I've done well in all these unique situations.

(6) Finally, I would appreciate an assignment near my mother who is 83 and in failing health. This would give me the opportunity as an only son to look in on her. If her heart condition gets worse I would be forced to leave St. Jude with a leave of absence.

As a senior priest, my [redacted] became a pastor of Five Holy Martyrs (26 years ordained). I'm 27 years ordained during a shortage of priests, and yet I wait for the green light. I'd be glad to come before the board to prove the authenticity of my claim. I'm experienced, talented, and enthusiastic. I'm ready.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Fr. Norb Paday,
July 8, 1988

Dear Norb,

In light of the recommendation of the Sabbatical Board, I am pleased to grant your request for a sabbatical beginning September 1, 1988 through February 1, 1989. Please inform Father Ken Velo of your address during this time.

The appointment is made with the understanding that you will return to your position at Our Lady of the Ridge upon your return.

Following archdiocesan and Sabbatical Board policy, the parish will pay your salary for the period of the 5-month sabbatical. The Center for Development in Ministry will pay tuition, room and board expenses.

May I take this opportunity to thank you for your fine work at Our Lady of the Ridge as well as for your twenty-four years of service to the Archdiocese.

As you look forward to this important transition in your priesthood, please know that you have my support and prayers. Please pray for me as well.

With cordial good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Archbishop of Chicago

Rev. Norbert Maday
Our Lady of the Ridge
10811 S. Ridgeland
Chicago Ridge, IL 60415

cc: Rev. Kenneth Velo
Rev. Wayne F. Prist
Clergy Personnel Board
Rev. Thomas Sloan
1.1 Name: Norbert Maday
Year of Ordination: 1964

2.0 Current Assignment Profile
2.1 Name of Parish (or Agency): St. Louis de Montfort
2.2 Name of Pastor (or Supervisor): James Quinn
2.3 Name(s) of Fellow Associates: Ted Dobson

2.4 Work Description: In what activities do you spend your time and effort and what proportion of your time is taken up by each of these activities?
- 20% Youth
- 5% Sick
- 15% Counseling + Instructions
- 5% Mission
- 25% Sacraments + Preparation
- 5% Freedom
- 10% Spirituality
- 5% Elderly

2.5 What degree of satisfaction do you experience in this assignment?
- Well Satisfied (✔)
- Satisfied
- Unsatisfied
- Very Unsatisfied

2.6 How would you evaluate your present assignment: a) Rectory Life (e.g. regular staff meetings, communication, collegiality, etc.) b) Parish Life?
- Rectory Life is very good - friendship is good + improving. A good amount of responsibility without formal structure. Delegation is good. In process of formal meeting with 3 priests + 5 deacons.
- Parish Life: certain groups very good. CED, Athletic Assoc., Parish Hall. Others weak - women's club, ushers - still too much general apathy.

2.7 How is your health at the present time? Good Fair (X) Poor
Have you had a physical exam within the past 2 years? Yes Comments: Neck + back checked out no relief.

3.0 Background Profile
3.1 You may describe any special experience, talents, degrees, courses of study that you feel should be considered in making recommendations for your assignments:
- Much youth activity
- Took a number of courses in psychology
- Began many youth programs, e.g., summer camps, scouting
- Worked with reason program at C.A.P.S.
- Very good with marriage cases

3.2 Language Skills: English only

(Over)
4.0 Current Interest Profile

4.1 Special Interests: At some time in the history of my ministry, perhaps on a limited term basis, I would be interested in:

Hospital work:
- a) Full time Chaplaincy (Catholic or non-Catholic Hospital)
- b) Parish serving a hospital

Ministry in the Black Community:
- Pastor
- Associate
- Shared Ministry

Ministry in the Spanish Speaking Community:
- Pastor
- Associate
- Shared Ministry

Comments: Some inclination toward hospital probably because of twin brother involvement at Mercy

4.2 Check the areas of work in which you feel the most interest and competence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adult Education</th>
<th>Liturgy</th>
<th>Other Fields</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Work</td>
<td>Campus Ministry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling YOUTH</td>
<td>Chancery Work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Parish Work</td>
<td>Marriage Tribunal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Education</td>
<td>Military Chaplain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Work</td>
<td>Seminary Work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Though your term of office may not have expired, would a change from your present assignment be:
- Desirable;
- Very Desirable;
- Acceptable, if needed elsewhere

4.4 Type of Parish you would be willing to serve in your next assignment.

Mark line at left: #1 = most willing #2 = willing #3 = unwilling
- No special preference
- Inner City Black Parish
- Middle Class Black Parish
- Bi Lingual (Specify)
- Other (Describe)

4.5 Type of Parish Work in which you think you would be effective. (e.g., general parish work, emphasis on CCD, grammar school teaching, community work, adult education, etc.) Please specify your preference: General Parish Work - Facilitator of Genesis E

Some adult education - facilitator of Genesis E

If the situations clarify I may well choose to go full time into CYO & be a resident at St. Louis. However at present I don't have a clear picture from Ray. Paris is not part of picture of CYO & full associate role who also wants grassroot level work in a familiar parish.

4.6 Would you be interested in assuming the office of Pastor? Yes No

Thank you for filling out your Personal Data Form. We would like to remind you that all the Board Members are available for personal interviews.

N.B. For your own future reference, you might want to make a copy of the more important information you have provided in this form.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry
    Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
    Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
    Leah McCluskey, Office of Professional Responsibility
    John O'Malley, Legal Services
    Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests

FROM: Laura Neri-Palomino, Administrative Assistant
      Office of Professional Responsibility

DATE: April 29, 2004

RE: [PFR-29] Maday, Norbert J. (Withdrawn)

Attached is a copy of a new allegation received by this office on 4/29/04. We are opening a file and Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator will begin the Review Process by attempting to arrange an interview with [redacted]. Please advise this office of any information you may have in your files regarding Maday, Norbert J.

It is extremely important that you forward copies of any and all documentation pertinent to this case to this office within 5 business days of receipt of this memo to ensure that the investigation of this matter be properly handled.

Thank you.

Attachment

cc: Most Rev. Edwin M. Conway
WHILE YOU WERE OUT

TO: Rev. T.R. 
DATE: 7/30/97

WHILE YOU WERE OUT

FROM: Rev. Chal. O. Carm. 
OF: Diocese Chaplain

PHONE #: 628-1878

URGENT! TELEPHONED PLEASE CALL 
WILL CALL AGAIN CALLED TO SEE YOU: WANTS TO SEE YOU:
RETURNED YOUR CALL YOU’LL KNOW

MESSAGE:

Dr. Herbert Maday can he consecrate Mass w/fv. Read?

NOTE: 7/30/97 (4:00 p.m.) - Spoke with Fr. Reed; he said Fr. Maday told him he had faculties. I indicated that this is not correct; in fact, Fr. Maday is restricted from all public ministry. If Fr. Maday wishes to press the issue, he could write to Archbshop George to request removal the restriction.

TOP

AMPAD 23-016
July 2, 1992

His Eminence Joseph Cardinal
Bernardin
Archbishop of Chicago
P.O. Box 1979
Chicago, IL 60690

Your Eminence,

I cannot tell you how much I appreciate your prayerful
concerns for me and your willingness to advance funds to assist
me during this crisis in my life. Your willingness to shake my
hand and remember me in your prayers is a spiritual uplifting
of my current condition.

While I don't wish to seem impertinent, I would like to
appeal one of the restrictions imposed by the precept of July
1, 1992. I do not question the exercise of your judgment nor
your wishes to prevent scandals. However, one of the precepts
strikes at the heart of a core perception.

A public trial forces strangers to deal directly with
issues and accusations which would be better explored in
private. The harsh reality of my upcoming trial is that of a
Catholic priest on trial regardless of how I dress in the
courtroom or in the public places coming and going. The
principals in the courtroom, my lawyer tells me, the judge, the
jury, and the prosecutor, will exploit my status as a priest
for purposes of obtaining a conviction. The media will record
the words of the judge, prosecutor, my lawyer, and the
witnesses. Everyone will describe me as a Catholic priest on
trial.

By the removal of my roman collar, a media scandal will be
created by rumors of defrocking, suspension, and/or the Church
turning me out to be eaten by the wolves.

The perception of banishment from the Church will prevent
me from obtaining a fair and impartial trial while serving as a
premature conviction by the Church and the Archbishop of
Chicago in the eyes of the faithful.

I ask in the same way as the bible teaches a parent not to
turn away a child in trouble, that you not create the public
image of refusing to provide me with emotional and spiritual
support in the course of the case.
His Eminence Joseph Cardinal Bernardin
July 2, 1992
Page Two

Your Eminence, I have given my life to the service of the Church and I believe I have earned the right to wear the roman collar and should continue to do so as a man who is innocent until proven guilty in the court of the Church. I ask that you reconsider your precept to me because I know you are a man of fairness who wishes that I and the others involved in my case receive justice without any undue advantage or disadvantage.

Respectfully yours in the Lord,

Father Norbert J. Maday

P.S. I would like to meet with you personally to discuss this matter.
MEMORANDUM

To: File
From: Reverend Thomas J. Paprocki
Date: July 2, 1992
Re: Father Norbert J. Maday

Today, July 2, 1992, from 10:30 AM to 12:00 PM, I met with Father Norbert J. Maday here at the Chancery. I presented him with the Cardinal's letter, informing him of the opening of a canonical process and the precept imposing restrictions in connection with his administrative leave of absence.

Father Maday objected to the restriction about wearing the Roman Collar at trial. He said that this restriction will give the impression that the Church has already defrocked him and would severely limit his defense.

I informed him that he could appeal the Cardinal's decision by writing to the Cardinal within ten working days, asking him to reconsider this restriction. Father Maday indicated that he would do this as soon as possible.
LIST OF CANON LAWYERS GIVEN TO
REV. NORBERT J. MADAY

Canon Law Society of America
Catholic University
Washington, D.C. 20064
(202) 269-3491

Reverend John Huels, O.S.M.
(312) 533-0360

Sr. Therese Sullivan
Reverend Sam Maletta
Diocese of Gary
(219) 769-9292

Ms. Barbara Anne Cusack
Associate Chancellor
Archdiocese of Milwaukee
(414) 769-3340

Sr. Ann Rehrauer, O.S.F.
Chancellor
Diocese of Green Bay
(414) 435-4406

Reverend William Stetson
Delegate
Opus Dei
(312) 283-5800
DECREES DETERMINING THAT AN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS TO APPLY A PENALITY OR PENAL REMEDY BE SET IN MOTION

In light of the public allegations and prosecution against Reverend Norbert Maday for possible sexual misconduct with a minor in the State of Wisconsin, the cautious inquiry described in canon 1717, #1 appears to be entirely superfluous.

Accordingly, I therefore decree that the prior investigation of this penal process be waived; and I hereby determine on the basis of the information which has been gathered that an administrative penal process be set in motion in accord with the norms of canon 1720.

In accord with the requirements of the law, Father Norbert J. Maday is to be informed of the accusations which have been made. He is to be provided with the opportunity of examining the proofs which have been gathered, and he is to be given sufficient time to prepare a self-defense. Should Father Maday desire canonical assistance, he is to be provided with the names of qualified and approved advocates who will be able to assist him.

Finally, I hereby appoint Reverend Thomas J. Paprocki and Reverend Robert Flinn, S.V.D., to serve as assessors and to assist me in the consideration of the proofs and arguments which are associated with this penal action.

Dated this 1st Day of July, 1992.

[Signature]
Archbishop of Chicago

Given at the Chancery

[Signature]
Chancellor
Professional Responsibility Review Board  
Saturday, October 18, 2003 - 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.  

MINUTES

Review Board Members Present: [Redacted]

Members absent: [Redacted]

Non-members present:  
Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator [PRA]  
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes - September 20, 2003

II. Review of September 20, 2003 Board Meeting recommendations

III. Case Reviews

Initial Review  
A. In the Matter of [Redacted]

Review for Cause  
B. In the Matter of [Redacted]
C. In the Matter of Norbert Maday - PFR-29
The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause regarding the allegation of [redacted]. The claim is as follows: [redacted] and other altar boys were invited on a retreat by Norbert Maday. Norbert Maday provided [redacted] and other altar boys with alcohol and pornographic movies. Norbert Maday fondled [redacted] over his clothing in his car while "teaching" [redacted] to drive.

In a unanimous 6-0 vote, the Board recommended that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred.

PRA updated the Board with recent phone conversations with [redacted] regarding his extreme concerns with the following: the fact that Norbert Maday is not yet laicized and that Norbert Maday is receiving a small sum of money from the Archdiocese on a monthly basis. The Board was notified that PRA put [redacted] in contact with Fr. Smilanic in order to address specific concerns with the process of laicization. Board recommended that [redacted] be [again] offered therapy in order to continue with healing process.

IV. Other Matters
D.
Next scheduled meeting is November 15, 2003 at 9:00 a.m.

Cc: Review Board Members
   Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
   Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
   Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests
MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Members absent:

Non-members present:
Leah McCluskey, Professional Fitness Review Administrator [PFRA]
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes – May 17, 2003

II. Case Reviews

Initial Review

A. In the Matter of

B. In the Matter of Norbert Maday [Withdrawn] – PFR - 29
The Review Board conducted an Initial Review regarding the allegation of

The claim is as follows: fondling, watching pornographic videos, alcohol
provided by Fr. Maday.

In a unanimous 6-0 vote, the Review Board recommended that the information at
least seems to be true of an offense. As a result, the Board also recommends that the
safety of children requires the immediate withdrawal of the accused from ministry.
As Norbert Maday is already withdrawn as well as incarcerated, the Board restates
their recommendation that Norbert Maday be laicized.
Second Stage Review

C. In the Matter of [Redacted]

D. In the Matter of [Redacted]

E. In the Matter of Norbert Maday [Withdrawn] – PFR – 29

The Review Board conducted a Second Stage Review regarding the allegation of [Redacted]. The claim is as follows: Fr. Maday fondled [Redacted] genitals, gave the minor alcohol, and pot. [Redacted] sat on Fr. Maday's lap while driving and Fr. Maday had an erection. Fr. Maday had in his possession books and childporn.
Fr. Maday was in bed with [redacted] at a hotel, where Fr. Maday rubbed [redacted] stomach for a couple of hours.

In a unanimous 6-0 vote, the Review Board recommended to uphold their First Stage Review recommendation that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. Further, the Board reiterated their earlier recommendation that Fr. Maday be laicized.

F. In the Matter of

In the Matter of

G. In the Matter of
III. Other Matters

- All present agreed upon having a late lunch with Bishop Paprocki [1:00pm] after the next Board meeting.

Next scheduled meeting is July 19, 2003 at 9:00 a.m.

Cc: Review Board Members
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests
Revision

Review Board Members Present:

Members absent:

Non-members present:
Leah McCluskey, Professional Fitness Review Administrator [PFRA], Rev. Thomas J. Paprocki, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes – February 1, 2003

II. Case Reviews

First Stage Review:

A. In the Matter of [Redacted]

B. In the Matter of Rev. Norbert Maday [NM] [Withdrawn]-PFR-29

The Review Board conducted a First Stage Review regarding the allegation of [Redacted]. The claim is as follows: NM fondled [Redacted] over and under his clothes; [Redacted] accompanied NM on overnight trips; NM purchased illegal substances and imbibed in them with minors.

In a unanimous 6-0 vote, the Review Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that misconduct did occur.
C. In the Matter of

D. In the Matter of

Second Stage Reviews

A. In the Matter of

B. In the Matter of
Next scheduled meeting is March 15, 2003 at 10:00 a.m.

Cc: Review Board Members
Rev. Thomas J. Paprocki, Cardinal’s Delegate to Review Board
Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Thomas Tivy, Vicar for Priests
MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Others Present:

Rev. Thomas Paprocki, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
Kathleen Leggdas, PFR Administrator

Absent:

I. Approval of May 15, 1999 minutes.

Approval of July 17, 1999 minutes with clarification to be made in letter from Cardinal

II. Case Reviews

A. Matter of

B. Matter of

The Review Board asked to be put on record that it was unable to make a determination about an allegation against Rev. Norbert Maday due to lack of cooperation with the Review Board's process and the consequent lack of information. The Board wondered about the wisdom of the Archdiocese negotiating a settlement with an alleged victim before the Board has had a chance to review the allegation.

II. Other Matters:

Decisions of Monitoring Committee and of Individual Protocols deferred to September 18, 1999 meeting.
Professional Fitness Review Board Meeting  
Saturday, July 17, 1999

MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

[Blank]

Others Present:


Absent:

[Blank]

I. Case Reviews:

A. Approval of May 15, 1999 minutes deferred.

B. Matter of new allegation:
C. Matter of new allegation -

D. Matter of new allegation -

E. Matter of
F. Matter of

II. Other Business:

Update on items from June 19, 1999 meeting which was cancelled.


Next regularly scheduled meeting is Saturday, August 21, 1999.
PROFESSIONAL FITNESS REVIEW BOARD MEETING
Saturday, May 15, 1999
10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

AGENDA

I. Approval of Minutes: April 17, 1999
   
   Corrected Minutes of March 20, 1999 (enclosed).
   Corrected Letter to Cardinal George April 12, 1999 (enclosed).

II. Review Board Matters
   Father Dan Coughlin, Vicar for Priests will attend this Board meeting to discuss the following:
   
   A. 
   B. 
   C. 
   D. 
   E. Rev. Norbert Mayday, PFR-29
      - New allegation.
   
   F. 
   G. 

III. Other Business
   
   A. Letter to Cardinal George re: attending PFR Board meeting.
   B. For Your Information: Updating of “Client Information Sheets”.

NOTE:

Next regularly scheduled meeting is Saturday, June 19, 1999.
Professional Fitness Review Board Meeting
Saturday, May 15, 1999

MINUTES

Board Members Present:

[Redacted]

Others Present:

Rev. Dan Coughlin, Vicar for Priests
Kathleen Leggdas, PFR Administrator

Absent:

Rev. Thomas Paprocki, Cardinal’s Representative to the Review Board

Approval of Minutes April 12, 1999

A. Amend page 2 to read, “Some of the members of the Review Board questioned the length of time recommended for [Redacted]”

B. [Redacted]
New allegation by [redacted].
Fr. Mayday’s parole hearing is May 27, 1999.
Recommendation re: credibility of allegation deferred until PFRA obtains further information from alleged victim.
Additional questions to [redacted]

- Parish, time, dates, additional details
- Attorneys reasons
- [redacted]
- How did [redacted] meet Father Mayday
- Involvement with LINK UP; source of referral to [redacted]

G.

H.

Next regularly scheduled meeting Saturday, June 19, 1999.
MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Members absent:

Non-members present:
Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator [PRA]
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes - June 19, 2004

II. Case Reviews

Initial Review
A. In the Matter of

B. In the Matter of

C. In the Matter of
D. In the Matter of

Review for Cause
A. In the Matter of
B. In the Matter of

C. In the Matter of

III. Other Matters

- PRA provided the Board information on the following:
  
  - PRA and Rev. James T. Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests may have to travel to where Rev. Norbert Maday and [redacted] are incarcerated in order to read allegations of sexual misconduct to each. Fr. Kaczorowski has had a difficult time working with the alleged abusers' respective prisons to schedule phone time to have the allegations read.
• PRA updated the Board with Cardinal George's decisions based upon their recommendations from the June 19, 2004 meeting.

Next scheduled meeting is August 21, 2004 at 9:00 a.m.

Cc: Review Board Members
Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. James T. Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Members absent:

Non-members present:
Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator [PRA]
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes – June 18, 2005
   - All six matters involving the former [redacted] [see pages 2-4], were presented to the Review Board for a Review for Cause; it was mistakenly recorded that the cases were presented for Initial Reviews
   - The Board then unanimously approved the minutes 7-0

II. Case Reviews

Review for Cause
A. In the Matter of [redacted]

The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause regarding the allegation made by

The allegation is as follows: one attempt by Fr. Maday to physically
fondle genitals; Fr. Maday would talk to about sexually
explicit topics and then instructed him to physically touch [abuse]
other minors, including

In a 7-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Review Board determined that there
is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. Further, the Board
determined that Fr. Maday's withdrawal from ministry continue and that the restrictions
and monitoring imposed continue. Fr. Maday is currently incarcerated.

The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause regarding the allegation made by [Redacted]. The allegation is as follows: Fr. Maday began by having [Redacted] sit on his lap to "drive" his car, massages; fondling under clothing in sacristy; progressed to Fr. Maday having [Redacted] stay over night at the rectory where he [Fr. Maday] fondled [Redacted]. Fr. Maday also had [Redacted] touch him; the abuse did not progress past fondling and touching.

In a 7-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Review Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. Further, the Board determined that Fr. Maday's withdrawal from ministry continue and that the restrictions and monitoring imposed continue. Fr. Maday is currently incarcerated.


The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause regarding the allegation made by [Redacted]. The allegation is as follows: the first incident of abuse consisted of Fr. Maday having an erection and exposing himself to and also fondling [Redacted]. The second incident consisted of same abusive acts by Fr. Maday.

In a 7-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Review Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. Further, the Board determined that Fr. Maday's withdrawal from ministry continue and that the restrictions and monitoring imposed continue. Fr. Maday is currently incarcerated.


The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause regarding the allegation made by [Redacted]. The allegation is as follows: Fr. Maday pinned [Redacted] down on the ground and exposed his erect penis in his [Redacted] face; Fr. Maday told [Redacted] to "kiss it" or he would not let him go; [Redacted] Fr. Maday tickled and hugged [Redacted] prior to exposing himself to him.

In a 7-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Review Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. Further, the Board determined that Fr. Maday's withdrawal from ministry continue and that the restrictions and monitoring imposed continue. Fr. Maday is currently incarcerated.
E. In the Matter of

III. Supplementary Review
F. In the Matter of

IV. Review for Advice
G. In the Matter of

V. Other Matters
- informed the Board members of the planned in-service scheduled for the August 20, 2005 Review Board meeting
- 
- 
Fr. Smilanic informed Board of his responsibility to gather a nominating committee in order to identify three deacon candidates to present to Cardinal George; the Cardinal will then appoint a deacon to fulfill the third cleric position on the Board.

requested that the Board members begin to think about completing a "self-evaluation" and what is going well with the Board and what is not.

suggested the possibility of an off-site retreat for the Board; all discussed support of a retreat to possibly take place in early 2006.

requested that all bring calendars to the August 20th Board meeting in attempts to schedule a day for a retreat.

PRA discussed need to have packets of information [sent to Board members to review for scheduled meetings] signed for upon delivery; agreed that Board members would have the choice of where [home, office, other] and when [Saturday or Monday before scheduled meetings] to have packets sent; such information will be communicated to PRA's Administrative Assistant, Laura Neri-Palomino.

As of August 20th scheduled Board meeting, receipt of packets sent to Board members will require a signature.

will not be present at the September 17, 2005 scheduled Board meeting.

Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, August 20, 2005 at 9:00 a.m.

Cc: Review Board Members
    Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
    Rev. Vincent Costello, Vicar for Priests
    Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
    Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
AGENDA

I. Approval of Minutes – June 18, 2005

II. Case Reviews

Review for Cause:

A. In the Matter of

B. In the Matter of

   - Allegations made by 
   - Initial Reviews 4/9/05
   - Allegation made by (Deceased 2004)
   - Initial Review 2/12/05
   - Allegation made by 
   - Initial Review 2/12/05

D. In the Matter of

E. In the Matter of
III. Supplementary Review:
   
   F. In the Matter of
      
      •
      •
      •

VI. Review for Advice:

   G. In the Matter of
      
      •

V. Other Matters:

The next scheduled Board Meeting is for Saturday, August 20, 2005
MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Members absent:

Non-members present:
Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator (PRA)
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes – March 19, 2005
• One typo on page 2,
• Unanimously approved pending one correction [6-0]

II. Case Reviews

Initial Review
A. In the Matter of
The Review Board conducted an Initial Review regarding the allegation made by [redacted]. The claim is as follows: Fr. Maday began by having [redacted] sit on his lap to "drive" his car, massages; fondling under clothing in sacristy; progressed to Fr. Maday having [redacted] stay over night at rectory; Fr. Maday fondled [redacted] and cleric had [redacted] touch him as well; never progressed past fondling and touching.

In a 6-0 vote, in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter warrants additional investigation.

C. In the Matter of [redacted] – PFR 29
The Review Board conducted an Initial Review regarding the allegation made by [redacted]. The claim is as follows: One attempt by Fr. Maday to physically fondle [redacted] genitals; Fr. Maday would talk to [redacted] about sexually explicit topics and then instructed him to physically touch [abuse] other minors, including [redacted].

In a 6-0 vote, in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter warrants additional investigation.
D. In the Matter of

Update on Recommendations Made to the Cardinal:
E. In the Matter of

F. In the Matter of
III. **Other Matters:**

- Fr. Smilanic discussed having an in-service in the near future. The suggested date is August 20, 2005.

*Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, April 16, 2005 at 9:00 a.m.*

Cc: Review Board Members
Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. James T. Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Members absent:

Non-members present:
Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator [PRA]
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes - January 15, 2005
• Unanimously approved [7-0]

II. Case Reviews

Initial Review
A. In the Matter of
B. In the Matter of


The Review conducted an Initial Review regarding the allegation made by [redacted]

The claim is as follows: Fr. Maday had an erection, exposed himself to [redacted] Fr. Maday also fondled [redacted]

In a 8-0 vote [redacted] was present for this matter, in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter warrants additional investigation. The Board also requested that PRA complete the following tasks:

• That PRA attempt to obtain [redacted] school records from St. Bede’s.
• That PRA and Fr. Smilanic follow up with the Office of Catholic Schools and share [redacted] alleged interactions with principal Richard Guerin [expulsion from St. Bede’s].


The Review Board conducted an Initial Review regarding the allegation made by [redacted]. The claim is as follows: Fr. Maday pinned [redacted] down on the ground and exposed himself. Prior to pinning [redacted] on the ground, Fr. Maday was tickling and hugging him.

In a 8-0 vote, in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter warrants additional investigation. The Board also requested that PRA complete the following tasks:

• That PRA request a consent from [redacted]
• That PRA ask [redacted] who “Frank” is/was.
• That PRA speak with St. Bede’s principal Mr. Richard Guerin to determine if Fr. Maday was associated with scouting through St. Bede’s around the time of the alleged abuse [summer 1974].
Other Matters

- Due to time constraints, the allegations made by [redacted] against Rev. Norbert Maday will be presented to the Review Board for Initial Reviews at the meeting scheduled for March 19, 2005.
- [redacted] will not be present at the May 21, 2005 Review Board meeting.

Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, March 19, 2005 at 9:00 a.m.

Cc: Review Board Members
Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. James T. Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Review Board
Monday, October 20, 2007 9:00 am to 1:00 pm

MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Review Board Members Present Via Phone:

Review Board Members Not Present:

Non-members Present:
Leah McCluskey, Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
Patricia Zacharias, Assistant Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

I. Approval of Minutes – September 15, 2007
  • Minutes approved
II. Case Reviews

B. In the Matter of AOC 003647
The Review Board conducted an Initial Review of allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor by Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: Fr. Maday fondled genitals over and under clothing while "driving" car; Maday fondled genitals while under sheet in bed; Fr. Maday fondled genitals under bathing suit while in hotel pool.

In a 7-1 vote in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter warrants additional investigation.

In the interim, the Board members directed Ms. McCluskey to do the following:
• Request a copy of school records from St. Bede the Venerable
• Attempt to obtain a class list during time period of alleged abuse

D. In the Matter of
III. Request for Supplementary Review
   E. In the Matter of

IV. Request for Supplementary Review
   F. In the Matter of

V. Other Matters
Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, November 17, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.
Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Review Board
Saturday, September 15, 2007 9:00 am to 1:00 pm

MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Review Board Members Not Present:

Non-members present:
Leah McCluskey, Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board

- Fr. Smilanic introduced [redacted] as the newest Review Board member, replacing [redacted]'s appointment to the Review Board had expired; [redacted] was appointed by Cardinal George; [redacted] is the pastor of St. Celestine in Elmwood Park and has been at the parish for 15 years
- [redacted] introduced himself and shared some personal background information with the other Review Board members;
- [redacted] shared that he will not be able to be present at the October 20, 2007 Review Board meeting, as he will be on a planned pilgrimage

I. Approval of Minutes – July 21, 2007
- Minutes approved

II. Case Reviews
   Initial Review
   A. In the Matter of
Review for Cause


The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause of [redacted] allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: four specific incidents; tickling, groping while wrestling with group of other altar boys which led to Maday exposing himself and masturbating only in front of [redacted] oral sex in sacristy on two different occasions; oral sex in confessional.

Ms. McCluskey verbally provided the Review Board with the information obtained from Our Lady of the Ridge School that [redacted] was a student and altar boy there during the time periods that he identified in his allegation against Fr. Maday.

In a 7-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged abuse occurred.
C. In the Matter of

D. In the Matter of

III. Request for Supplementary Review

E. In the Matter of
IV. Update

V. Other Matters
Rev. Norbert Maday
- Fr. Smilanic provided a verbal update of the active pursuit of Fr. Maday’s laicization; Fr. Smilanic stated that this case was sent to the Holy See and the response received was that Fr. Maday be asked one more time to voluntarily request laicization; as per Fr. Smilanic, a letter was written to Fr. Maday as per the Holy See and that Vicar for Priests Rev. Edward Grace was going to see him (Fr. Maday) within the next two weeks to ask about laicization; Fr. Smilanic also verbally provided the information that Fr. Maday’s exit package was being worked on.
- Ms. McCluskey provided a verbal update of the possibility of civil confinement for Fr. Maday;
- Ms. McCluskey informed the Review Board of the meeting scheduled for Monday, 9/17/07 by Vicar General Rev. John Canary to include all current pastors of Fr. Maday’s former assignments and various PCAC members; purpose of the meeting is to inform the pastors of Fr. Maday’s status and possible release from prison at the end of 10/07, with the possibility of additional time in civil confinement.
- The Review Board members asked if Cardinal George ever received a response to his letter to the Wisconsin Parole Board; Fr. Smilanic and Ms. McCluskey responded that they did not think that the Cardinal did receive a response.
At this point during the 9/15/07 Review Board meeting, Matt Hunnicutt joined the group in order to introduce himself as the new Director of the Office of Assistance Ministry.
Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, October 20, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.
Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Review Board
Saturday, June 16, 2007 9:00 am to 1:00 pm

MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Non-members present:
Leah McCluskey, Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

   • Each set of minutes approved 8-0

II. Case Reviews
   Initial Review
      The Review Board conducted an Initial Review of third party allegation of the sexual abuse of her son by Rev. Norbert Maday. is now deceased. A summary of the allegation is as follows: through a series of e-mails, has expressed her feeling that her son was sexually abused by Fr. Maday when he was a minor, which contributed to her son’s decision . and Ms. McCluskey informed the Review Board that has not responded to any recent e-mails. The Board expressed its feeling that the Archdiocese of Chicago needs to extend an offer of outreach to stated that he would e-mail again on Monday [June 18, 2007]. It was also agreed that Ms. McCluskey would e-mail and give her the e-mail address of Kathleen Leggda of the Office of Assistance Ministry again.
In an 8-0 [one Board member not yet present] vote in light of the information presented, the Board determined that there is not enough information to make a finding in this matter and that there be no further investigation. The Board made the recommendation that Cardinal George write a letter to [redacted] and within the letter, again provide information on the Office of Assistance Ministry and the offer to provide her therapy. The Board emphasized that its vote is not discounting that something may have happened to her son [possible sexual abuse by Fr. Maday], but that its finding was based upon the information that was presented.

The Review Board conducted an Initial Review of [redacted]’s allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor by Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: 4 specific incidents; tickling, groping while wrestling with group of other altar boys which led to Maday exposing himself and masturbating only in front of [redacted]; oral sex in sacristy on two different occasions; oral sex in confessional.

[Redacted] provided the information that all priests listed in Ms. McCluskey’s June 5, 2007 Memorandum are deceased [see file].

In a 9-0 [all Board members now present] vote in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter warrants additional investigation. The Board also directed Ms. McCluskey to do the following:

- Contact Our Lady of the Ridge school and request [redacted] school records as well as any existing altar server records from the time of the alleged abuse

B. In the Matter of [Redacted]
C. In the Matter of

D. Review for Cause

D. In the Matter of
E. In the Matter of

F. In the Matter of

In the Matter of

The Review Board was to conduct a Review for Cause of Stephen Perillo’s allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: more than 6 incidents of fondling over his clothing while sitting on Fr. Maday’s lap and “driving” his car; Fr. Maday performed oral sex on [redacted] while on weekend trip to home of cleric’s cousin.

Ms. McCluskey began by reading to the Review Board civil attorney’s e-mail dated June 16, 2007 regarding [redacted]. The Board was also informed that [redacted] has not responded to any of the most recent e-mails sent to her requesting additional information that she might have concerning [redacted].

The Board recommended that this matter be continued to the scheduled July 21, 2007 Review Board meeting. In the interim, the Board directed Ms. McCluskey to do the following:

- Contact civil attorney [redacted] and ask for whatever [redacted].

Also to request that the information is forwarded in time for the July 21st scheduled Review Board meeting.
Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, July 21, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.

Cc: Rev. John Canary, Vicar General
    Rev. Vincent Costello, Vicar for Priests
    Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
    Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
    Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
    Review Board Members
    Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
MINUTES

I. Approval of Minutes – March 17, 2007

- Requested changes will be made as directed; Revised March 17, 2007 minutes will be sent out in the packets for the next scheduled Review Board meeting for members' approval
- [Redacted] began by leading a brief discussion of the status of the proposed "Supervision Program [formerly known as the 'Monitoring Program']" and the process of updating the §1100 Sexual Abuse of Minors: Policies for Education, Prevention, Assistance to Victims and Procedures for Determination of Fitness for Ministry

II. Case Reviews

Initial Review


The Review Board was to conduct an Initial Review of [Redacted] third party allegation of the sexual abuse of her son [Redacted] by Rev. Norbert Maday. [Redacted] is now deceased. A summary of the allegation is as follows:

through a series of e-mails, [Redacted] has expressed her feeling that her son [Redacted] was sexually abused by Fr. Maday when he was a minor, which contributed to her son’s decision [Redacted]
The Board was informed that if it made the recommendation, Cardinal George has stated that he would write a letter to regarding this matter. The Board was also informed that Cardinal George stated that he would follow through with requesting Fr. Maday’s laicization and that this information will be reported to .

Fr. Smilanic shared Cardinal George’s letter to the Wisconsin parole board with the Board.

After discussion, the Board agreed on its preference that attempt to contact in attempts to communicate with her. Then the preference was shared that Cardinal George call as opposed to writing her a letter.

It was pointed out that on my last e-mail to that Ms. McCluskey provided her with the incorrect e-mail address for Kathleen Leggdas, acting Clinical Coordinator for the Office of Assistance Ministry. The Board directed Ms. McCluskey to send another e-mail with Ms. Leggdas’ correct e-mail address.

The Board agreed to continue this matter to the scheduled May 19, 2007 Review Board meeting.

B. In the Matter of

III. Review for Cause

C. In the Matter of

The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause of allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: approximately four incidents of fondling over and under clothing while sitting on Fr. Maday's lap in his car and "driving," fondling over and under bathing suit in a hotel swimming pool; fondling over and under clothing while "wrestling" in Fr. Maday's private quarters in the rectory.

Ms. McCluskey began by informing the Board of the April 13, 2007 voice mail message from Fr. Maday's civil attorney James Birnbaum requesting a delay in the Review Board proceedings, as he was in the process of preparing a response to the allegation. *Note: The Review Board chose to go forward with this matter, as Mr. Birnbaum indicated that he would have Fr. Maday's response to provide to the Review Board in time for the scheduled April 13, 2007 meeting.

In a 6-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged abuse occurred.


The Review Board was to conduct a Review for Cause of allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: more than 6 incidents of fondling over his clothing while sitting on Fr. Maday's lap and "driving" his car; Fr. Maday performed oral sex on while on weekend trip to home of cleric's cousin.

Ms. McCluskey began by informing the Board of the April 13, 2007 voice mail message from Fr. Maday's civil attorney James Birnbaum requesting a delay in the Review Board proceedings, as he was in the process of preparing a response to the allegation.

Ms. McCluskey informed the Board that civil attorney James Serritella's office is in

The Board recommended that this matter be continued to the scheduled May 19, 2007 Review Board meeting. In the interim, the Board directed Ms. McCluskey to do the following:

- Send a letter to Mr. Birnbaum asking for Fr. Maday's response to allegation
- E-mail and ask if her husband would be willing to speak [with Ms. McCluskey]
- Follow up with Mr. Serritella's office on the status of their investigation

The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause of **allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against Rev. Norbert Maday.** A summary of the allegation is as follows: consumed alcohol, smoked pot, used other drugs, watched porn movies; fondling under clothing.

Ms. McCluskey began by informing the Board of the April 13, 2007 voice mail message from Fr. Maday's civil attorney James Birnbaum requesting a delay in the Review Board proceedings, as he was in the process of preparing a response to the allegation. *Note: The Review Board chose to go forward with this matter, as Mr. Birnbaum indicated that he would have Fr. Maday’s response to provide to the Review Board in time for the scheduled April 13, 2007 meeting.*

In a 5-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Board determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged abuse occurred.

### IV. Other Matters

- Jimmy Lago and John O'Malley joined the Review Board members at 9:30am as planned.
Mr. Lago spoke of cases where there might be a question of a false allegation against a cleric; continued by stating that in such cases, not as an assumption of guilt, but out of the interest of protecting children, the cleric would need to step aside.

There was a question asked and subsequent discussion of cases of recommendations of the preliminary removal of a cleric made by the Review Board; discussion included that the Board does have the ability to make recommendations on removal/other restrictions to the Cardinal; discussion that a conference call with Board members can be arranged by Director in cases of such recommendations being made in between scheduled Review Board meetings; further discussion that as per policies, preliminary actions/recommendations in such matters are made by the Director, Archbishop, etc. which are then presented to the Review Board so that the members can review the preliminary actions made and either support or make other/additional recommendations.

made the statement that there is no known treatment of pedophiles and as a result, all treatment providers and others can do is struggle to contain their [pedophiles'] behavior.

expressed his opinion that the Archdiocese of Chicago needs to invest money for a treatment center for the withdrawn clerics.

Question asked of Mr. Lago of what is the Review Board's role in monitoring/supervision; through discussion, agreed that the Board would continue to make recommendations for the creation of Individual Specific Protocols.

Mr. Lago stated that one of the next steps being taken is to generate a "profile" on each withdrawn cleric; the first option will be to get these clerics to resign from the priesthood; second option is for the cleric to adhere to a life of prayer and penance; last option is laicization.
• Mr. Lago informed the Review Board members that he would be willing to come back to a meeting any time for continued discussion; he added to the discussion that the Review Board’s point of view would be very helpful concerning the future of the 18 men who have been withdrawn from ministry

•

Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, May 19, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.

Cc: Rev. John Canary, Vicar General
    Rev. Vincent Costello, Vicar for Priests
    Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
    Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
    Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
    Review Board Members
    Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Review Board
Saturday, May 31, 2008 9:00 am to 1:00 pm

MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Review Board Members Present Via Phone:

Review Board Members Not Present:

Non-members Present:
Leah McCluskey, Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board
I. Approval of Minutes – April 26, 2008
   • Minutes approved

II. Case Reviews
   Initial Review
   A. In the Matter of

   

   B. In the Matter of
Review for Cause
C. In the Matter of

D. In the Matter of

E. In the Matter of
F. In the Matter of

G. In the Matter of

H. In the Matter of

The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause of allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor by the former Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: Fr. Maday fondled genitalia over and under clothing while “driving” a car, Fr. Maday fondled genitalia while under a sheet in bed, Fr. Maday fondled genitalia under a bathing suit while in a hotel pool.

Ms. McCluskey verbally informed the Board that the Vicar for Priests [Rev. Edward Grace] was unsuccessful in his attempts to schedule a phone call with the facility in which Mr. Maday resides to read the allegation to him for a response. She also made the point to the Board that this matter had been in process prior to the Archdiocese of Chicago’s [AOC] relationship with Hillard Heintze, which is why there is no report from the firm concerning this matter. Ms. McCluskey explained that this matter was before it for a Review for Cause because of the delay between this stage and the Initial Review. The cause of the delay was the continued unsuccessful attempts by Fr. Grace to schedule the aforementioned phone call with Mr. Maday. Ms. McCluskey wanted to bring this matter before the Board and ask if it required any additional information.

The Board discussed that there was not any additional information necessary prior to taking a vote.

In a 7-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Board determined that there is reason to suspect that the accused has engaged in sexual abuse of a minor.
L. In the Matter of
III. Other Matters

- 
- 
-
Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, June 28, 2008 at 9:00 a.m.
Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Review Board Meeting
Saturday, December 6, 2008 9:00 am to 1:00 pm

MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Review Board Members Not Present:

Non-members Present:
Leah McCluskey, Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop’s Delegate to the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes
   • September 4, 2008 - Minutes approved
   • October 18, 2008 – Minutes approved

II. Case Reviews
    Initial Review
    A. In the Matter of

The Review Board conducted an Initial Review of an allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor by the former Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: sexual conversation during confession [2 or 3 xs] as well as Fr. Maday touching the knee during confession; showered in Fr. Maday's bathroom and toweled off after while Fr. Maday was in underwear and shaving--Fr. Maday then took off all of his clothes in front of the shower; after drinking alcohol, woke up on Fr. Maday's couch with his pants down and Fr. Maday standing next to him, Fr. Maday had an erection when he put his lap so he could "drive" the car; Fr. Maday came up behind while and Fr. Maday] rubbed himself against while wrestling in Fr. Maday's quarters where the cleric was in his underwear, Fr. Maday giving whisker burns and massages as well. Ms. McCluskey said that he has seen Fr. Maday naked; Fr. Maday grabbing the penis from behind while at the pool [less than 5 incidents].

Ms. McCluskey provided the Board with a verbal overview of this matter.

In a 7-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter does warrant additional investigation. The Board also asked Ms. McCluskey to do the following:

• Make the request to Legal Services that a transcript of the court hearing referred to by is obtained
• Attempt to obtain CCD records for from Our Lady of the Ridge
• Attempt to identify "

Review for Cause

C. In the Matter of
III. Case Reviews

•

•

•
• **Deacon Daniel Welter to introduce himself to the Board**
  Deacon Welter provided the Board with an overview and update of his role with the Metropolitan Tribunal preparing laicization cases to be sent to Rome.

**Other Business**

- [Name redacted] will not be present at the scheduled January 17, 2008 Review Board meeting

*Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, January 17, 2009 at 9:00 a.m.*
Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Review Board Meeting
Saturday, November 21, 2009 from 9:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.

MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Non-members Present:
Leah McCluskey, Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review
Rev. Jeffrey Grob, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board - Designate
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board

- There will be no meeting on December 12, 2009
- There is a possibility that the tentatively scheduled January 23, 2010 meeting will be rescheduled to take place on January 9, 2010
- Report that [Redacted] resigned from his position on the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes – October 17, 2009 and November 2, 2009
   - Minutes approved

II. Case Reviews
   Initial Review
   A. In the Matter of Rev. Norbert Maday (Laicized 2007) - PFR-29
   The Review Board conducted an Initial Review of [Redacted] allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against the former Rev. Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: fondling over and under clothing; incidents of Fr. Maday having [Redacted] masturbate him; incidents of Fr. Maday exposing his erect penis to [Redacted] one incident of rape in Fr. Maday’s car.

   Ms. McCluskey provided the Review Board with a verbal overview and update of the investigation of this matter.
In a 9-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter warrants additional investigation.

III. Other Matters

• Introduction of new Review Board members:
• 10:30 a.m. – 11:15 a.m.: introductions between Revs. John Collins and Vincent Costello, Vicars for Priests, and the Review Board
• 12:15 p.m.: Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I. to swear in [redacted] as new members of the Review Board

Next tentatively scheduled meeting is Saturday, January 9, 2010 from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.
Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Review Board Meeting
Saturday, November 20, 2010 from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

MINUTES

Review Board Members Present:

Non-members Present:
Leah McCluskey, Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review
Rev. Jeffrey Grob, Cardinal’s Delegate to the Review Board

I. Approval of Minutes – September 18, 2010
   • Minutes approved

II. Case Reviews
   Initial Review
   A. In the Matter of
In the Matter of Norbert Maday (Laicized priest 2007) – PFR - 29

The Review Board was to conduct a Review for Cause of an allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against Norbert Maday. A summary of the allegation is as follows: Maday would wrestle with and pin him down to the floor; Maday "whisker rubbed" cheeks, forehead, ears, neck, nose, and upper chest; at times Maday would rub his face against lips as well, touching with his lips and tongue occasionally, Maday would grind his erect penis on top of body.

Ms. McCluskey provided the Review Board with a verbal overview and update of the investigation of this matter.

In light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter should be continued to the next scheduled Review Board meeting. The Board directed Ms. McCluskey to contact attorney Jeff Anderson and make another request for the signed allegation report from.
III. Request for Supplementary Review
E. In the Matter of

IV. Other Matters
➢ In the absence of Francis Cardinal George, O M I , Msgr John Canary, Vicar General, swore in the new Review Board members (parent), (parish council member), (cleric), and (victim/survivor of child sexual abuse)

➢ The Review Board meeting scheduled for December 11, 2010 was canceled

Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, January 15, 2011 from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.
April 26, 1991

Dear Norb:

I have received your letter of April 11, 1991, in which you expressed your desire to serve as a pastor of one of our parishes.

I would like to offer a word of thanks for all you have done in your various assignments. It is my hope that your desire to be a pastor will be fulfilled in the not so distant future.

Be assured, Norb, of my continued prayers, as well as my gratitude for all you are doing to serve the Church.

With cordial good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours in Christ,

[Signature]

Archbishop of Chicago

Reverend Norbert J. Maday
Saint Jude the Apostle Parish
880 E. 154th Street
South Holland, Illinois 60473
April 27, 1966

The Reverend Norbert J. Maday
St. John of God Church
1234 West 52nd Street
Chicago, Illinois 60609

Dear Father Maday:

Under instructions of His Excellency, Archbishop Cody, I wish to inform you of an appointment arranged for this coming Monday, May 2, at 9 a.m.

The Archbishop will see you at his residence, 1555 North State Parkway.

With every personal good wish, Norb, I remain,

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Reverend David E. Franzone
Secretary to the Archbishop
Meeting No. 69 - The Eighth Board
Time: 10:15 A.M.
Date: May 13, 1983
Place: Clergy Personnel Board

1. Opening Prayer: Reverend Richard F. Schroeder

2. Acceptance of Minutes:

3. Reports:

Bob Kyfes has written to the Cardinal about possible pastors at St. Louis de Montfort: "no leadership", "open, intelligent, insightful" and Norb Maday, a return to the anything goes "good old days". If Maday comes, Kyfes would ask to be transferred.
Norb Maday has 3 reservations about going to Our Lady of the Ridge:
1) he does not want to be with Bob Duffy; 2) he is applying for St. Louis de Montfort; 3) he does not want to be the only associate at a parish.

4. Acceptance of Agenda:

5.
6. Old Business:

7. New Business:

10. Adjournment:
1. Concerning the Youth Apostolate. On Monday, March 15th, Ray Pavis submitted to the Cardinal, the following recommendations of priests to help him, part-time in the three divisions of the Youth Apostolate.

   For the CYO. 
   For the Big Brothers. 
   For the Boy Scouts. Norb Maday, 

2. 

3. 

4. 

5. 

6. 

7. 

-AOC 003698-
MINUTES

Meeting: #28 - Thirteenth Board

Date: March 6, 1992
Place: Priests' Personnel Board


Absent: Rev. Daniel P. Coughlin, Edward R. Fialkowski

I. Opening Prayer: Rev. Kenneth J. Velo 10:22 A.M.

II. Minutes: Accepted 5 - 0 - 2

III. Reports:
IV. **Acceptance of Agenda:** Accepted 7 - 0

V. **Business:**

A. 

---
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F. Patrick O'Malley [Vicar for Priests]:

1. Norbert Maday '64: Norb has been removed from St. Jude/So. Holland due to allegations brought to the attention of Pat O'Malley by the States Attorney. Pat will be meeting with parishioners to make a statement this weekend.
K. Appointments:

VI. Old Business: None Discussed

VII. New Business: None Discussed

VIII. Adjournment: 2:55 P.M.
MOTION: 7 - 0