December 18, 2000

Concerning:

Fr. Donald J. McGuire, S.J.

By:

Fr. Richard H. McGurn, S.J.

For:

Report on Conference of Dec. 15, 2000, to Canisius House Superior

Subject: McGurn - Frl. report
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2000 12:56:44 -0600
From: "Richard H. McGum, S.J." < hrancgum@chicagoprovincejesuits.org > To: "F. Michael Perko, S.J." < hrancgum@chicagoprovincejesuits.org >

To:

Michael Perko, S.J., Superior Canisius House

From: Rick McGurn, S.J., Socius

Michael,

Here's a basic view of recent events: Don met this past Fri. a.m. with Bau. Tim Toomey and I were present. It went as well as it could. Don was angry, not unexpectedly, but expressed willingness to abide by what the provincial will be asking. I told Don I'd be giving you a brief account of this conference, and that he should expect that you would want to talk with him about parameters for guests in your house (see below).

Bau will be sending him new directives in January. Bau did not go into detail about them, I will meet with Don Jan. 3 to hear from him his detailed reply to the recent complaints, since I don't yet have such a statement from him.

Bau did tell him that one step he wants taken immediately (starting Dec. 15) is that the young men currently working for Don should no longer be present in your residence at all. Don agreed to this. It will be up to you to set any expectations you have for this (e.g., when they pick something up or deliver something to him).

In Xt, Rick McG.

CANISIUS SUPERIOR REPLIES:

Subject: Re: McGum - Fri. report
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2000 11:35:47 -0600
From: "Michael Perko" <<u>mperko@luc.edu</u>>

To: "Richard H. McGurn, S.J."

<hhref="mailto:spoper-vincelesuits.org">cc: <hhref="mailto:spoper-vincelesuits.org">cc: <hhref="mailto:spoper-vincelesuits.org">spoper-vincelesuits.org</hhr
<hr/>
CC: <hr/>
<hr/>
| Sipau@aol.com</hr>

Rick,

Thanks for the pithy update. I just got back from watching eagles in Galena (I'll spare you the horror stories of the drive back), so I didn't get your message until now. I'm especially appreciative of the directives in the last paragraph. It's very clean, indeed, and makes my life hugely easier.



In light of it, do you think there's any need to have a direct conversation with Don at this juncture? My inclination is see how the rhythms of pick-up and delivery (of stuff and of him for local travel) go, and deal with what comes up on an ad hoc basis. But, if you or Bau think there's some value in having a specific conversation at this point, I'll be glad to do so.

Peace, and Merry Christmas, Michael

SOCIUS REPLIES:

Subject: Re: McGurn - Fri. report Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2000 11:40:17 -0600 From: "Richard H. McGurn, S.J."

<a href"><a href"><a href">mailto:ref"><a href"><a href"><a href">mailto:ref"><a href"><a href">mailto:ref"><a href"><a href">mailto:ref"><a href"><a href">mailto:ref"><a href">mailto:ref"><a href"><a href">mailto:ref"><a href">mailto:ref">mailto:ref"><a href">mailto:ref">mailto:ref"><a href">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">mailto:ref">

Michael,

My guess is that Don will be on his good behavior for the immediate future. Since Bau will be giving him new directives in January (probably before mid-month), that's when Don will be having to deal with whatever new demands they bring. Unless you think it necessary, you can probably hold off on talking with him til then.

In Xt, Rick McG.