

REV. MICHAEL WEMPE

November 19, 1987

I met with REDACTED Mike provided everyone with a paper, and that was the basis of our discussion.

We spent a good deal of time talking about confidentiality. I did not say I had read the reports, but mentioned the Archbishop as sharing items from them. I did say I would have to share the paper at hand with the Archbishop, and that destroying the reports would be something he, Mike, would have to take up with the Archbishop. I explained that these files would be available only to the Personnel _____ and the Archbishop, that they would not even be available to the Regional Bishops. We spent much time discussing whether the information could be obtained by way of subpoena.

It is absolutely clear that Mike needs continuing therapy, and if need be should go to an out-of-state diocese to receive it. Mike is agreeable to this and indeed suggested it.

We agreed he would go to a criminal lawyer and get an opinion on how he stands vis a vis the law. It is also possible the lawyer might refer him to a psychiatrist for evaluation, and the material could be kept under privilege. We thought he could find a lawyer-psychiatrist who would be protected.

It would be necessary that he not be in ministry to minors and that he have no physical contact with minors apart from shaking hands, and that he not be alone with minors.

At the beginning of the conference, I had stated in connection with his possible legal danger that the instances in Mike's case were relatively minor. Both REDACTED and Mike corrected me to state that such was not the case and that the matter was not minor at all. He did state later in the conversation that of all the boys involved, only one was under twenty-one. We agreed that the counselor, REDACTED _____ in California, should have reported him, and that Mike should not return to him. They have not been in contact since Mike came to Jemez Springs.

We discussed possible appointments. REDACTED was quite open to hospital work for Mike, but REDACTED was quite negative on it.

Both REDACTED and Mike agreed that campus ministry would not be suitable in that it involved young adults or sometimes minors.

All agreed that Engaged Encounter would be suitable. Mike was very taken with the idea of fundraising. I was negative on Propagation of the Faith. We did not discuss other options. However, we did agree to think in terms of having him do some :

LAARCH 021282

the things a priest did in the past, but which, because of the shortage, we were not able to have them do now.

The remainder of the items dealt with Mike's living situation. Apart from the places mentioned, we came to no determination in this matter.