CONFIDENTIAL FILE COPY

POOR ORIGINAL

NOTES ON FATHER MICHAEL CODY

The following is an abridged chronology of the correspondence found in the file on Father Michael Cody. He was ordained 24 May 1958.

19	Mar 62	Dr. Hurley/TAC	Stating MC's sexual deviation
26	Mar 62	MC/TAC	Requesting a vacation in June
29	Mar 62	Fr. McGrath (AMc)/TAC	Requesting MC's removal
14	May 62	AMC/TAC	Urgent request for MC's removal
16	May 62	Dr. Edgren/TAC	Announcing room for MC to undergo treatment at Institute of Living
17	May 62	ТАС/АИс	Indicating that MC will undergo treatment
17	May 62	Dr. Edgren/TAC	Details of the treatment program
18	May 62	TAC/Dr. Hurley	Announcing room for MC to undergo treatment on 28 May
18	May 62	TAC/Dr. Edgren	Confirming that MC will go to the Institute on 28 May
24	Мау б2	TAC/Dr. Edgren	Details of MC's arrival
24	May 62	TAC/MC	Details for leaving for treatment
24	May 62	TAC/AMc	Details for MC's departure
02	Jun 62	Dr. Lynch/TAC	Authorization for testing
15	Jun 62	MC/TAC	Progress report
02	Jul 62	TAC/MC	Response letter
20	Jul 62	MC/TAC	Request for funding
08 /	Aug 62	TAC/MC	Response letter
14 /	Aug 62	Dr. Lynch/TAC	Progress report

[The previous entries all speak to the period during which Fr. Cody entered treatment for sexual deviancy. There is no other entry for the following seventeen years. In my opinion the file should contain at least an occasional update, especially a change of residence. This lack of

JH-ARCH000438

"Sensitive Information' Per Protective Order

POOR . ORIGINAL

evidence raises the question, Did someone tamper with this file? Are sections missing?]

31 Jan 80	MGR/MC	Increase in financial support enclosed
08 Feb 80	MC/MGR	Stating that he hopes to receive the benefits provided one who is <u>retired</u>
29 Feb 80	MGR/MC	Response to request for disability/pension support

[Again, there is no other entry between these dates. Has someone selectively purged this file?]

23 Sep 85 MGR/FILE

¥. c*.

Statement that MC is on <u>disability</u> retirement at given monthly rate

[There is no other entry between these dates.]

01 Sep 88 Fr. Bendixen/DRE

Requesting reference letter for MC

latently present

Informing him of MC's history

Reporting that he consulted an expert and MC's disorder could be

- 19 Sep 88 RGH/Bishop Grady
- 22 Sep 88 DRE/Fr. Bendixen

03 Oct 88 RGH/MC

Stating that he can not recommend MC for ministry in Orlando; advising that he contact Dr. Laws for an assessment; reminding him that he does not have faculties

[There is no indication earlier in the file that Father Cody's faculties were removed. One has to presume this from the text of Archbishop Hunthausen's letter.]

13 Oct 88

04 Dec 88

MC/RGH

MC/GLT

Assuring him that he will do what the Church requires and will, therefore engage in therapy; believes his problem is under control

Announcing change of address to NC; thinking that laicization would be an option; wants full pension benefits; wants to know treatment

JH-ARCH000439

"Sensitive Information" Per Protective Order

POOR ORIGINAL

				facilities in NC area
20	Jan	89	MC/RGH	Wishes to seek laicization; wants pension benefits like the other priests; is happy to be reducing stress in his life
04	Feb	89	DRE/GLT	Stating unique situation of MC who is permanently retired; thinks that special provision should be made for him
22	Feb	89	DRE/FILE	
28	Apr	89	File Memos	On this date and several subsequent ones contraction called talking about being molested by MC
			file contains no action es of phone calls.]	statements about this
15	May	89	Ms. Osborn/GLT	Evaluation of MC stating that he should not have unsupervised contact with children
		Ther any	re is no other entry betw e is no indication that Fi therapy or counseling apan d above: no bills, no let	ather Cody underwent rt from the evaluation
08 1	fay	93	PMc/GLT	Announcing that Pension Plan rejected petition for MC's early retirement
21 3	Jul :	93	AEB/MC	Re-establishing contact for Archdiocese with MC; asking if he still wants to be laicized
31 J	Jul 9	93	MC/AEB	Not interested in laicization; better for his health to keep stress down
13 A	ug s	93		Asking for an opinion about not actively promoting the laicization of MC
13 A	ug 9	3		Recommend that simple response be sent to MC acknowledging his stability, etc.

۴.

JH-ARCH000440

"Sensitive Information' Per Protective Order

POOR

13 Aug	93	AEB/FILE	Questions raised by Pat Crowley concerning Archdiocesan liability
16 Aug	93	Crowley/AEB	Legal opinion about diocesan Tiability over MC
17 Aug	93	TJM/AEB	Questions he has regarding next steps with MC
20 Aug	93	AEB/TJM	Restating the work of RGH on MC; responding to how to share information with the Bishop of Reno-Las Vegas.
26 Aug	93	AEB/TJM	Canonical opinion on MC
30 Aug	93		Concerned about fulfilling responsibilities to the community regarding MC
1 3 Sep	93		Asking that Pension Plan Board of Trustees consider continuing benefits to MC at the rate agreed upon earlier
13 Sep 9	93	•	Stating that he contacted the Bishop of Reno-Las Vegas informing him that MC is living in the area and has no faculties

[There is no communication with Father Cody on file either acknowledging his situation or speaking to his retirement benefits.]

Based on what appears to be an incomplete file, I believe the most important documents at present are

- the letter of Archbishop Hunthausen to Father Cody of 3 October 1988 stating that he could not recommend him for ministry to the Bishop of Orlando; and
- 2. the opinion of Pat Crowley of 16 August 1983 speaking to the pertinent legal issues: the paying of financial support, prohibition to minister, undergoing therapy and notification of local ordinary.

It is my understanding that (at least) Archbishop Hunthausen removed Father Cody's faculties sometime before the letter of 3 October 1988. By not allowing Father Cody to minister as a priest for these many years, by reaffirming this some twenty years after being first made aware of his problems, by insisting that he undergo therapy, and by notifying the diocesan bishop of the place where he resides,

JH-ARCH000441

"Sensitive Information' Per Protective Order

POOR

the Archdiocese has given good evidence of trying to protect the community. Canon law requires that the Archdiocese provide Father Cody just support; therefore, it can never be shown that the Archdiocese has severed all ties with him.

Canonically speaking, it appears that a satisfactory effort has been made to protect the rights of Father Cody. Although he raised concerns about the level of financial support he felt he should receive, Father Cody has not challenged the decisions of the Archbishop in any area as far as I can tell. The Archbishop was sensitive to Father Cody's earlier request to petition for laicization. Now Father Cody prefers not to be bothered by this.

Anthony E. Bawyn 7 December 1994

> "Sensitive Information" Per Protective Order

> > JH-ARCH000442