THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR JOHNSON COUNTY

MICHAEL KASPER, ROBERT KASPER,) and ARDEN KASPER,

FEB 0 3 195

Plaintiffs,

LAW NO. 53102

vs.

DAVENPORT,

DEPOSITION OF MONSIGNOR MICHAEL J.

MORRISSEY

FATHER JAMES ELMER LEU, Individually and as agent of Diocese of Davenport and Roman Catholic Bishop of Davenport; MOST REVEREND GERALD O'KEEFE, FATHER HARRY LINNENBRINK, and MONSIGNOR W. ROBERT SCHMIDT, a]] individually and as agents of the Diocese of Davenport; THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF

DAVENPORT; and THE DIOCESE OF

ORIGINAL

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

DEPOSITION OF MONSIGNOR MICHAEL J.

MORFISSEY, taken at the Law Offices of Lane &

Defendants.

Waterman, 600 Davenport Bank Building, Davenport,

Iowa, on January 13, 1992, commenting

22 before Alanna G. Jeffery, Certified

Reporter and Notary Public in and for DWARD F. STRICT HOURS F.

Mike St. CKD 24 Jowa. Also present:

25

EXHIBIT Green

Q.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MILLER: For clarificatio, When he became aware of was what?

(CONTINUING) When was thris claim 1992

When did somebody sav EDWARD PRINTING CONT CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT Q. asserted? problem?

1	A. The incident happened in 1987.
2	Q. What was the claim about?
3	A. That there had been sexual misconduct on
4	the part of one of our priests.
5	Q. Was that Father Leu or was it somebody
6	else?
7	A. It was somebody else.
8	Q. What was alleged to have been done?
9	A. This priest had made some sexual advance
10	on a young man.
11	Q. And how was that issue resolved?
12	MR. MILLER: I'm going to object.
1 3	It's vague and indefinite. Do you want to tell
1 4	me what you mean by "resolved"? Do you mean
1 :	internally in the church, or otherwise?
1	
1	7 satisfaction in one way or another?
1	A. I still don't know how to answer that.
1	Q. Was there any decision made by the
2	Diocese as to how to handle the claim?
2	A. Yes. The priest was removed from his
2	assignment. Aside from that, it's still heging.M.
2	- 1
7	23 24 25 Q. It's still pending: AUG 25 1992 AUG 25 1992
;	Q. Is there a lawsuit filed a EDWAFEFDISH of CLERK

that? 1 There has been. 2 And who filed the lawsuit? Q. 3 The parents of the young man involved. 4 And where was this filed? In Scott Q. 5 County or someplace else? 6 I'm sorry. I don't know today. I don't Α. 7 remember where it was filed. 8 Has it been filed in a court of law? 9 Yes. 10 And has the priest's name been disclosed 11 as part of that process? In other words, was he 12 named? 13 Yes. 14 What is that individual's name? Q. 15 Frank Martinez. 16 Where was he pastoring at or where was 17 he involved at at the time that this incident 18 occurred? 19 The incident did not occur in the parish, 20 but he was assigned to the parish at Melcle 21 AUG 25"1952"e You say the incident didn't occur in EDWARD F. STEINBRECH 22 Was it on property owned by the parish? Where did it occur? parish. 23 Α. 24

Q.

the owner of the motel contacted the police, which, 1 in turn, contacted you? 2 It was December 26th. That's an easy 3 date to remember. 4 1987, correct? Q. 5 Yes. Α. 6 Is that the only incident that you are 7 aware of between a priest and anybody of a sexual 8 nature such as the one you've described? 9 I'm going to object. MR. MILLER: 10 It's overly broad and burdensome. I will permit 11 him to answer if that is the only situation he is 12 aware of between a priest in the Davenport Diocese 13 and a minor; otherwise you are asking him for the 14 universe of anything he might have read anywhere. 15 I'm not asking for anything (CONTINUING) Q. 16 I'm asking you, Monsignor, for you read anywhere. 17 anything that you know of a claim that's been 18 asserted against a priest by anyone, whether a 19 minor or adult, involving any sexual misconduct of 20 any kind by a priest, other than Frank Martinez and 21 Do your understood the Father Leu. 22 MR. MILLER: I am not aware of any capy ARD F. STEING COURT 23 question? 24 25

been made.

Ŀ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Those are the only two. 0.
- Yes. Α.
- Just so that I'm clear as to your recollection, what has been alleged to have occurred at that motel? In more specifics than simply a sexual advance.
- My understanding is that there was simply some -- that nothing sexual, per se, happened. That there was some initial contact, and the young man went into a panic and ran out to the desk. That's how the motel owner -- I said owner; it's really the desk clerk that night -- called the police.
- So, if I understand correctly, there was Q. a young man -- by "young," I'm assuming you are Is that -talking about a minor.
 - I think he was 15 at the time.
- This 15-year-old boy comes out to the Q. desk clerk at a motel in Davenport can then the which contacts desk clerk contacts the police, AUG 25 1992 EDWARD F. SILILUKECH correct? you.
 - Α.
- That's correct. EDWARD F. SILINGURT

 EDWARD F. SILI time that the young man had come out to the desk

clerk, nothing of a sexual nature had yet occurred? 1 That's my understanding. 2 But is it your understanding that what Q. 3 the family is alleging is that there was some type 4 of sexual conduct to be expected in that motel 5 room? 6 MR. MILLER: Can I have that 7 question back, please? 8 (The reporter read the record as 9 requested.) 10 I don't know what the family is 11 alleging. It hasn't gotten any farther than the 12 filing of the suit, so I have no idea what more is 13 involved in that case than that. 14 So that we are clear, besides the claim 15 that's been made against Father Martinez and the 16 claim made here against Father Leu, you are not 17 aware of any other claims -- I use the word 18 "claims," by the way, Monsignor, so that I avoid 19 the use of the term lawsuit, because a claim can be 20 made by someone that does not necessar Dlead to a 21 Do you understand that # 1. lawsuit. ANG 52 1998 22

Q. So when I use the term "claim, Sithwood COURT asking you if you are aware of any CLEB mplaint or

23

24

claim made by anyone alleging sexual misconduct by a priest. Am I to understand that the only ones

that you are aware of are the one that's with Father Martinez, which you've related to me, and the one with Father Leu, that's the reason we are here for?

- That's the only claims that I am aware Α. of.
- Are you aware of any incidents in which Q. no claim or complaint has been lodged but which you have knowledge, between a priest and a minor of a sexual nature?
 - May I have the question back, please?
 - Let me rephrase it. Sure. Q.

I want to make sure that there is nothing that you are aware of that was not brought to your attention by somebody else. In other words, something that you yourself are aware of between a priest and a minor of a sexual nature.

Are you lasking had, MR. MILLER: and Magginez he aware of anything other than Leumand Correct DWARD F STEINGHECH CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT based upon personal knowledge?

MR. DIAZ:

No. Α. 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

interrogatories. 1 Answers to interroga-(CONTINUING) 2 I saw those last week. 3 Is there any of the testimony of Father 4 Linnenbrink that you disagree with? 5 The only thing that struck me is that he 6 says that I was at a meeting at the Ironmen with 7 I don't remember that. I can the four of them. 8 visualize the motel because we've had meetings 9 there frequently, and I've tried to picture the 10 four of us meeting together there, and I don't. 11 Was there anything in particular about 12 the conversation or the meeting that he discussed 13 in his deposition that you have no recollection of? 14 I didn't notice anything in reading that 15 that I strongly disagreed with, except the meeting 1.6 17 at the Ironmen Inn. What about Monsignor Schmidt's 18 Q. Is there anything in his that you 19 testimony? 20 disagree with? Nothern struck me Not that I remember. AUG 25 1992 21 EDWARD F. STEIN ORECH 22 What about the Bishop's? 23 There was nothing in that I 24 Α. remember objecting to or saying, No, that's not 25