

1           A.    Yes.  This was what we used to call in  
2 the seminary the summer letter.  You went home on  
3 vacation from the seminary for the summer, and  
4 your pastor of your home parish, which Monsignor  
5 Meinberg was for Father Leu, was then asked by the  
6 seminary to make some kind of report on you what he  
7 had observed of you during the summer.

8           Q.    And is this a routine or procedure  
9 dictated by the canon law?

10          A.    That's right, it was.

11          Q.    And is the procedure called the  
12 investigation of life morals, or what would  
13 you call it?

14          A.    I don't know.  What it was was a report  
15 of the man's conduct on his summer vacation.  
16 That's what we called it.  I don't know the  
17 official term for these.  I'm sorry.

18          Q.    Why don't you turn to 56, Bishop.  This  
19 is a letter from -- is that Monsignor Dingman? **FILED**

20          A.    Yes, at that time Monsignor Dingman

21          Q.    Is he alive?

22          A.    Yes.

23          Q.    Where is he now?

24          A.    He is in Des Moines.  He is in the Bishop  
25 Drumm home.  He has suffered a stroke.  He has

A. M. P. M.  
AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 resigned the Diocese of Des Moines. And he is a  
 2 retired bishop living in the retirement home for  
 3 the priests there.

4 Q. This was a letter addressed to Your  
 5 Excellency. I assume that would be you.

6 A. Yes, in '68, it would have been.

7 Q. What was Monsignor Dingman's role at that  
 8 time in connection with Father Leu?

9 A. Monsignor Dingman was a chancellor at the  
 10 Diocese, so he was advising me about the seminarian  
 11 that we had.

12 Q. Do you remember him discussing how  
 13 embarrassing it was for him to make these  
 14 inquiries?

15 A. I don't recall it, but he says so. I  
 16 presume it was.

17 Q. When, Bishop, is the first time that you  
 18 sought legal counsel or the advice of a lawyer in  
 19 any connection relating to Father Leu?

20 MR. MILLER: You may tell them the  
 21 date. You were instructed not to tell with  
 22 whom you consulted. You may tell them you  
 23 consulted, but that's all. The date and whom you  
 24 consulted, if anyone.

25 Q. (CONTINUING) So the question, just to

**FILED**

AUG 25 1992  
 EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
 CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 clarify, when is the first time you first sought  
2 legal counsel or advice relating to Father Leu?

3 A. I -- boy. I think that the first time  
4 was when I was served with papers from --

5 MR. MILLER: Mr. Horak.

6 A. (CONTINUING) -- Mr. Horak.

7 Q. Bishop, if you would maybe turn to  
8 Exhibit 126, this is the letter from you,  
9 August 23rd, 1978, to Father Leu?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. It seems -- now, maybe I'm not fair  
12 in representing this -- that this has a somewhat  
13 apologetic tone for making this assignment. Was  
14 there an apologetic --

15 A. The only thing was that -- my recollec-  
16 tion is that Father Leu had been assistant to  
17 Father Bass at Newton. Father Bass was appointed  
18 to St. Patrick's in Iowa City. Father Leu got  
19 along very well with Father Bass and would have  
20 liked to have been his assistant at St. Patrick's.  
21 However, Rudolph Berendt was in that capacity.  
22 So -- again, this is my recollection, and it may  
23 be faulty. I spoke to Father Berendt and asked whether  
24 he would want to, say, go to Newton in Father Leu's  
25 place and let Father Leu come to Iowa City, but it

**FILED**  
AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 may not have been exactly that. The point was that  
 2 he would like Father Berendt to go somewhere  
 3 else, so that he, Father Leu, could come to  
 4 St. Patrick's. Father Berendt decided that he  
 5 didn't want to do that, and that was his  
 6 privilege. So then I asked Father Leu to go to Our  
 7 Lady of Victory.

8 Q. That was simply for a year. How come one  
 9 year? I think the second sentence says, "I am  
 10 suggesting --"

11 A. To see whether he would find that Our  
 12 Lady of Victory was impossible for him to do. As  
 13 a matter of fact, he didn't, so --

14 Q. He didn't find it impossible?

15 A. No.

16 Q. The second-to-the-last paragraph states,  
 17 "I deeply regret that I cannot leave an associate  
 18 pastor at Newton, but our shortage of priests is  
 19 becoming more acute each year."

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. When did you as Bishop first become aware  
 22 of or have to deal with the acute shortage of  
 23 priests?

24 A. That's hard to answer. It's kind of a  
 25 creeping thing. But I suppose within two or three

**FILED**

..... P. M.

AUG 25 1992

EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
 CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 years of my appointment.

2 Q. So around 1970?

3 A. Something like that.

4 Q. At the time that you were installed as  
5 Bishop, then, the shortage of priests, so to speak,  
6 had not really become a significant issue, at least  
7 as far as you were aware?

8 A. As far as I was aware.

9 Q. What, if you know, accounted for a  
10 growing shortage of priests beginning in the  
11 early '70s?

12 A. I suppose there were two reasons. One  
13 reason would be that we had fewer seminarians,  
14 fewer men coming forward. And the second one  
15 was that during that period of time, a number of  
16 priests decided to leave the ministry. So we had  
17 those two factors. And, you know, of course,  
18 always there was death. As a matter of fact, in  
19 the first months I was here, two or three very  
20 active pastors, leaders in the Diocese, died  
21 suddenly.

22 Q. Has the shortage of priests in the Diocese become  
23 of a shortage of priests, grown in in the last  
24 the years?

25 A. Yes, I think so.

FILED

A. M. .... P. M.

AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 Q. So is it fair to say that that is a worse  
2 problem in 1991 than it was in 1971?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Would you turn, Bishop, please, to 132.  
5 This is actually a two-page letter from Father Leu  
6 direct to you. Is that correct?

7 A. That is right.

8 Q. And is this the letter of resignation  
9 that you had been referring to earlier?

10 A. Yes. He begins with his resignation.

11 Q. In the third paragraph it says, "I am  
12 sorry to have once again caused you problems."

13 A. I'm sorry. I have no idea what he means.

14 Q. You have no memory of him having caused  
15 you problems before this time?

16 A. That's right.

17 Q. Would it be fair to say that at the  
18 time that he sent you this letter of resignation,  
19 November 28th, 1988, that at that time he was  
20 causing you a problem?

21 A. Yes, I suppose you could say that.

22 Q. When is the first time you  
23 learned that Father Leu had been using  
24 disciplinary tactics had been called into question?

25 A. That was after -- I don't know. I better

**FILED**

A. M. .... P. M.

AUG 25 1992

EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 not -- I think I don't quite understand what that  
2 means.

3 Q. Well, did it ever come to your attention  
4 that Father Leu had improperly disciplined students  
5 in a school by spanking them on the bottom or  
6 taking down their pants, things like that?

7 A. That rumor, which I was never able to  
8 verify, came to my attention after he had been --  
9 had pleaded guilty to this charge.

10 Q. And how did that come to your attention?  
11 From whom?

12 A. That's what I don't know. I have no  
13 recollection of how I heard it.

14 Q. I would ask, Bishop, that you turn to  
15 134. This is a memorandum to you from Monsignor  
16 Schmidt. Is that correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. At that point in time, you will see it's  
19 copied to Monsignor Morrissey and Monsignor  
20 Feeney.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Monsignor Feeney was Edward Feeney, was  
23 he not?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And Monsignor Morrissey, of course, was

**FILED**

..... A. M. .... P. M.

AUG 25 1992

EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 the vicar-general at that time. Is that correct?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Was this customarily the type of thing  
4 that would be copied to both of them if it went to  
5 you?

6 A. Yes. I think the reason specifically it  
7 was, he wanted -- Monsignor Feeney takes care of  
8 supply for vacant parishes or if someone is sick.  
9 And I think he wanted Monsignor Feeney to know the  
10 situation.

11 MR. MILLER: We have been at it for  
12 an hour.

13 MR. ANDERSON: Do you want to take a  
14 break?

15 (A five-minute recess was taken.)

16 Q. (BY MR. ANDERSON) I'm just about done  
17 here, Bishop.

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. If you would be so kind as to look at  
20 Exhibit 146.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Bishop, this is a letter from you to  
23 Judge Sladek?

24 A. That's right.

25 Q. And why did you write this letter?

**FILED**

..... A. M. .... P. M.

AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT



1           A.    I wrote it because Father Leu's attorney  
2 asked me to write a letter to the judge. He was  
3 collecting letters from people to ask the judge not  
4 to sentence him to prison. We felt that was not  
5 productive.

6           Q.    Did you give any thought to or  
7 consideration of how your writing a letter to the  
8 Court and it would become a public document -- how  
9 that would be perceived by the victims and their  
10 family?

11          A.    No, I did not.

12          Q.    You are aware -- are you aware that a  
13 number of other priests sent similar letters of  
14 support to Judge Sladek for Father Leu?

15          A.    I wasn't aware of that. I presume so,  
16 but I --

17          Q.    Bishop, if you could turn to 156. This  
18 looks like a poor photocopy of a note to you. Is  
19 this from Father Leu?

20          A.    Yes, I think so.

21          Q.    It's dated December 18th, 1989.

22          A.    Yes.

23          Q.    At this point he is saying he is  
24 appreciative of the effort that you did on his  
25 behalf. Do you know what he is referring to? What

**FILED**  
A. M. ..... P. M.

AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 effort had you made on his behalf at this time?

2 A. I don't know for sure. I don't know. I  
3 don't know what he means by that, unless he means  
4 the letter to Judge Sladek, which had been written  
5 months before.

6 Q. You will see in the handwritten portion  
7 it says, "Thank you for letting me hear from you,  
8 Bishop."

9 A. Yes. I sent him a note.

10 Q. What was that note about?

11 A. Just simply that he had my prayers in a  
12 difficult time in his life. I wanted him to know  
13 that I was praying for him, that kind of thing.

14 Q. The pastor that succeeded Father Leu at  
15 the Lone Tree parish was Father Peter, wasn't he?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. There is a letter in here from Father Leu  
18 to you. I will locate it in a moment. And it  
19 refers to an invitation -- let me get the letter.

20 Would you turn to 135. This is  
21 different letter, but I want to ask you a question  
22 about this. This is the letter from ~~August 1992~~ Father  
23 Leu, dated November 30th, 1988?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And this is your letter accepting his

**FILED**  
AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 resignation. Is that correct?

2 A. That's right. Yes.

3 Q. In the third-to-the-last paragraph, it  
4 states, "I would like very much to do things this  
5 way. There will be no suspicions aroused."

6 What are you referring to there?

7 A. Mrs. Kasper had not wanted to have any  
8 further involvement. She wanted him, it was my  
9 understanding, removed from the parish and no  
10 further involvement of her family. And so it was  
11 my thought that we would simply give him another  
12 assignment and then we wouldn't have -- nobody  
13 would say, Why did he leave? He has received  
14 another assignment. That's why he has left.

15 Q. And when you say "suspicions aroused,"  
16 you are talking about other parishioners about  
17 Father Leu's conduct?

18 A. I suppose that's exactly what I was  
19 saying, yes.

20 Q. Bishop, if you would turn to 138, this is  
21 a letter dated December 10th, 1988, from Father Leu  
22 to you?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. At this time, do you know  
25 Leu was?

**FILED**  
..... A. M. .... P. M.

AUG 25 1992

EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1           A.     To the best of my knowledge, he was at  
2 his mother's house in Iowa City.

3           Q.     What leads you to that belief?

4           A.     I don't know. It's just that we were  
5 told that he was going to go to his mother's  
6 house. Monsignor Schmidt advises me of this. It's  
7 in that interim between the time he left Lone Tree  
8 and the time that he came to the Kahl Home. And  
9 presumably there was an envelope with this that was  
10 probably postmarked Iowa City, but, I'm sorry, I  
11 don't have the envelope. We didn't keep it.

12          Q.     Fifth paragraph says, "I have had an  
13 opportunity to meet with Father Peter."

14                   Obviously, Father Peter has now been  
15 appointed to that parish. Is that right?

16          A.     Temporarily.

17          Q.     The second-to-the-last paragraph states,  
18 "Of course, there are other thoughts that  
19 I have about the two parishes. Sometime in the  
20 future you may wish to talk with me about the needs  
21 of the people in Lone Tree and Nichols

22                   Did you ever talk to Father...  
23 needs of the people in Lone Tree or Nichols?

24          A.     No.

25          Q.     Bishop, earlier when we met and I had an

**FILED**  
P.M.  
AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 opportunity to ask you some questions, I had asked  
2 some questions about the National Conference of  
3 Bishops meeting in 1985. You will recall that  
4 you had indicated that, indeed, you have some  
5 recollection of having received a report at that  
6 time around a growing crisis of pedophilia in the  
7 Catholic clergy. Is that correct?

8 A. If your statement is correct, then I  
9 misstated, because my recollection was, we  
10 discussed this, but I did not recall a report.

11 Q. So it was brought up in the conference  
12 itself?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Was that in open session?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Tell me --

17 A. It was an executive session.

18 Q. At that time, who would be in attendance  
19 of the executive session?

20 A. Just the bishops.

21 Q. And are minutes recorded of those  
22 sessions?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Do you have access to those minutes?

25 A. Yes.

**FILED**  
A. M. P. M.  
AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 Q. Do you have them?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Have you reviewed them in connection with  
4 this subject?

5 A. Yes, I did.

6 Q. What did the minutes indicate was said?

7 A. There was nothing reported.

8 Q. Even though you know -- or, you recall it  
9 was discussed?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What, if any, is the explanation for  
12 that?

13 A. I don't know. I went back to the file.  
14 I got out the minutes of the executive session, and  
15 there is no reference.

16 Q. Do you think it's because it was such a  
17 sensitive nature that they didn't want to record  
18 it?

19 A. That would be my presumption. I did not  
20 ask the general secretary why they didn't do it.

21 Q. At that time, in '85, there were how many  
22 bishops in the country?

23 A. Roughly 289.

24 Q. Most of them usually attended the District Court  
25 they? It's kind of a --

**FILED**  
A. M. P. M.

AUG 25 1992

EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1           A.    We have an attendance of about two  
2 hundred and some at every meeting, yes.

3           Q.    If I represent to you that there was a  
4 report submitted to the National Conference in '85,  
5 you, to this day, have never seen that report. Is  
6 that correct?

7           A.    To be perfectly frank, I would have to  
8 say, I have no recollection of receiving such a  
9 report.

10           MR. MILLER:  If you want to show him  
11 the report and ask him if he has seen it.

12           MR. ANDERSON:  I don't have it with  
13 me.  It's about that thick.  It's huge.  I didn't  
14 bring it.

15           Q.    (BY MR. ANDERSON)  As best you can  
16 recall, what was discussed in that executive  
17 session?

18           A.    As best as I recall, the subject was --  
19 boy, you are stretching my poor memory.  The  
20 subject was pedophilia.  It was addressed from  
21 legal point of view, from a psychological point of  
22 view, from a moralist point of view.  I think, is  
23 one, I think, is right.  There were three different  
24 speakers.

25           Q.    So do you remember Ray Mouton addressing

**FILED**  
AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 it from the legal point of view, a lawyer?

2 A. There was a lawyer. It may have been  
3 he. It may have been someone else. I'm sorry.

4 Q. Do you remember Reverend Ray Peterson  
5 addressing it from the psychological point of view?

6 A. Ray.

7 Q. He is a priest/psychologist.

8 A. Ray Peterson. I don't recall Ray  
9 Peterson. Michael?

10 Q. Michael Peterson. Excuse me.

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Do you remember that?

13 A. I remember -- I think it was Michael  
14 Peterson.

15 Q. Do you remember Father Doyle addressing  
16 it from a moral or theological point of view?

17 A. If the names -- yes, there was a  
18 moralist. It could have been Doyle. It well could  
19 have been Doyle, but I --

20 Q. What was said by the speaker who  
21 addressed it from a legal point of view?

22 A. Well, something like -- what do  
23 that? -- respondent speaker. It was his  
24 that that did not apply. Then he went  
25 I think -- now, something like what you would do.

FILED  
AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD P. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT



1 You have to distinguish between pedophilia and  
2 then that adolescent thing, which isn't quite  
3 pedophilia, then, of course, homosexuality, which  
4 is an entirely different thing. And the legal  
5 implications of those. That's my recollection.

6 Q. At the time that this was being addressed  
7 by the lawyer from the legal point of view or by  
8 the other speakers at that time, in your own mind,  
9 you had not ever had at that point to deal with any  
10 of the priests of the Diocese relating to  
11 pedophilia or hebophilia.

12 A. That's right.

13 Q. Is that right?

14 A. That's right.

15 Q. Had you ever discussed up until that time  
16 that topic or how to deal with it with any of the  
17 other bishops or colleagues?

18 A. I have no recollection of that.

19 Q. What do you recall, Bishop, was said by  
20 the psychologist or the speaker that addressed it  
21 from the psychological point of view?

22 A. Now, I'm reconstructing

23 Q. I understand.

24 A. My memory is not -- but I  
25 something like this: He made a very careful

**FILED**  
A.M. .... P.M.

AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 distinction between pedophilia and other forms of  
2 homosexual behavior. And he -- my recollection is  
3 that he felt pedophilia was something that was very  
4 difficult to control. The person who did this was  
5 somehow doing aberrant behavior without having any  
6 strict psychological control, and that it was a  
7 very serious thing and did a great deal of damage.

8 The other forms were with people who were  
9 not children, and so they -- and that kind of  
10 thing. But I don't know. I'm reconstructing  
11 something that happened a long time ago. And I  
12 may, you know, be inserting something in there that  
13 I heard someplace else that I don't know.

14 Q. What do you understand pedophilia to be?

15 A. That would be sexual involvement of some  
16 kind, touching or whatever, with a child that would  
17 be pre-adolescent.

18 Q. And what do you understand hebophilia to  
19 be? That's spelled with an H.

20 A. That would -- is that the next -- is that  
21 the name for the pre-adolescent or adolescent?

22 Q. Yes. What do you understand that to be?

23 A. I presume it would be with someone  
24 in upper years of adolescence or  
25 Q. Have you ever received any information or

FILED

AUG 25 1992

EDWARD F. STEINBRUCH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 do you have an opinion whether or not Father Leu is  
2 a pedophile or an hebophile?

3 A. I've never received any information on  
4 that.

5 Q. Are you aware of him ever having been  
6 diagnosed as a pedophile?

7 A. No, I have not.

8 Q. Based on your understanding of pedophilia  
9 and hebophilia -- strike that.

10 What, Bishop, do you recall the speaker  
11 at the National Conference of Bishops who addressed  
12 the moral point of view -- what do you recall he  
13 talked about?

14 A. I'm a little less clear there. I presume  
15 that he was addressing himself, first of all, of  
16 course, to the fact that this was objectively a  
17 very serious thing. But then he may have spoken to  
18 what might have -- might be an excusing cause. I  
19 don't know.

20 Q. Did any of these speakers address the  
21 liability of the church, and specifically the  
22 liability of the Bishop or the Archbishop  
23 priest engages youth in sexual conduct?

24 A. Very likely, he did. I don't know.

25 Q. Did you, when you heard this discussion,

**FILED**  
AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 say to yourself, Boy, I'm glad I haven't had to  
2 deal with that?

3 A. I don't recall.

4 Q. Did you take any action or initiate  
5 any changes in the operations of the Diocese in  
6 response to the information you received of that  
7 conference in 1985?

8 A. I don't know. I don't think so. But we  
9 developed our --

10 Q. Policy?

11 A. -- policy later on.

12 Q. That was in 1990, wasn't it?

13 A. Yeah. We started the work on that in  
14 1989 or late 1988.

15 Q. What prompted you to start the work on a  
16 policy in late 1988 or '89?

17 A. Well, I think because these matters were  
18 becoming, you know, talked about, known, and we  
19 thought we should have a policy to address that so  
20 that the priests would be aware of what we were  
21 doing. In fact, we published it in the Catholic  
22 Messenger so all the people were made aware of it.

23 Q. Did the Father Leu situation  
24 anything to do with the formulation of the policy?

25 A. Not entirely. It might have had some

**FILED**

A. M. ..... P. M.

AUG 23 1982

EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 effect.

2 Q. Had the policy began to be formulated  
3 before it was disclosed that Father Leu had been  
4 engaged in sexual conduct with children?

5 A. I don't know. I'm not clear on my dates.

6 Q. The other priest of the Diocese of  
7 Davenport that you had mentioned had been accused  
8 of sexual misconduct that has resulted in some sort  
9 of civil lawsuit, has your deposition been taken in  
10 that matter?

11 A. No. No, it has not.

12 Q. Has that matter been concluded, do you  
13 know?

14 A. No.

15 Q. It is pending?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Other than that priest and Father Leu,  
18 to this day, have any other priests, to your  
19 knowledge, been accused of sexual misconduct with  
20 youths since you've been Bishop?

21 A. Not to my knowledge, no.

22 Q. And so the only times you had to  
23 with that situation would be this involving  
24 Leu and that other priest. Is that correct?

25 A. That is right.

**FILED**

A. M. .... P. M.

AUG 25 1992

Father  
EDWARD P. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 Q. And the situation involving the other  
2 priest, did that come up -- did that surface, at  
3 least from your standpoint, before Father Leu or  
4 after?

5 A. Before.

6 Q. When?

7 A. I would say about not quite a year  
8 before. It would be, like, December 26th of the  
9 year before this incident in Lone Tree.

10 Q. Was that also a priest in a parish?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Accused of sexual conduct with a boy in  
13 the parish?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. More than one boy?

16 A. No.

17 Q. In that case, was that priest removed  
18 from the parish when it was learned that he had  
19 been accused?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And where did that priest go after that  
22 parish?

23 A. Well, several places. He came to  
24 Davenport. He was in Milwaukee after that. He  
25 was in Los Angeles after that, receiving treatment

**FILED**  
A. M. P. M.

AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 at various places.

2 Q. Did he have any assignments after that?

3 A. No.

4 Q. No assignments at all?

5 A. No. I beg your pardon. Yes. I did  
6 assign him to an old folks' home in Burlington for  
7 a brief time.

8 Q. Is that a chaplaincy in Burlington?

9 A. Yes. It was a chaplaincy at St. Francis  
10 Continuation Care Center.

11 Q. Is that in the Diocese of Davenport?

12 A. It is.

13 Q. In the case of Father Leu, you directed  
14 and, it would appear, utilized Monsignor Schmidt,  
15 Monsignor Morrissey, and to some extent Father  
16 Linnenbrink in dealing with this situation. Is  
17 that right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. In the situation involving the other  
20 priest roughly a year before the ~~the~~ **FILED**  
21 misconduct surfaced, was Monsignor ~~Morrissey~~ **A.M.** P.M.  
22 involved in that also?

23 A. Yes, he was.

24 Q. What was he directed to do or what was  
25 his involvement?

**EDWARD F. STEINBRECH**  
**CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT**

1           A.     His involvement was that I was away. I  
2 was in Minnesota at the time of the incident. So  
3 Monsignor Morrissey, as vicar-general, took action,  
4 suspended the priest, removed him from the parish,  
5 and placed him at my disposition on my return.

6           Q.     What about Monsignor Schmidt? Was he  
7 involved in any way?

8           A.     He was not involved with that one.

9           Q.     Was Father Linnenbrink involved?

10          A.     No.

11          Q.     Anybody else? Any other official of the  
12 chancellor involved in this situation?

13          A.     No. Just Monsignor Morrissey.

14          Q.     Was Dr. McEchron involved in that  
15 situation?

16          A.     Yes. I sent him to Dr. McEchron. They  
17 did not get along. McEchron made some kind of  
18 referral to a doctor in St. Louis, and that didn't  
19 work, so we had to go another route.

20          Q.     In that case, Dr. McEchron did not  
21 feel obliged to report that, evidence by Co the M.  
22 authorities?  
A. M.

23          A.     See, that case, the authorities were BRECH  
24 already in on it. The matter was EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT  
25 motel clerk to the Davenport police. It happened



1 here in Davenport. The Davenport police brought  
2 both the boy and the priest into the police  
3 station. But the county attorney did not take  
4 action.

5 Q. So there was no criminal proceeding  
6 brought there.

7 A. Never. No.

8 Q. Were there any other prior acts of  
9 misconduct by that priest that became known?

10 A. Not to my recollection.

11 Q. So the only information you have in  
12 connection with the misconduct of that priest  
13 involved one boy?

14 A. One boy.

15 Q. In connection with Father Leu, Bishop,  
16 did you ever ask any of the people that treated  
17 Father Leu that you knew were treating him for  
18 treatment or evaluation whether or not they were of  
19 the opinion that Father Leu was either a pedophile  
20 or an hebophile?

21 MR. MILLER: You mean at the  
22 event?

23 MR. ANDERSON: Yes.

24 A. I don't think I asked any

25 Q. When this policy was ultimately

**FILED**  
A.M. .... P.M.

AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 formulated and published in the Messenger, who put  
2 the policy together?

3 A. The committee. A committee of priests.

4 Q. Of how many?

5 A. Five or six, I think.

6 Q. Did they model it after any other  
7 policies that had been formulated?

8 A. Yes. The committee used a policy from  
9 Des Moines and I think the policy from St. Paul,  
10 Minnesota. And we had a local attorney,  
11 Mr. Michael Driscoll, I think, to review it for the  
12 Iowa law.

13 Q. When was the policy, then, put into  
14 effect, do you know?

15 A. It has got a date on it.

16 Q. This one isn't marked, but there is a  
17 copy of the policy that I have.

18 MR. MILLER: We will obtain it.

19 Q. (CONTINUING) Was the policy put in  
20 effect at the time it was put in the Messenger?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. That would be of record.

23 A. Yes.

24 MR. MILLER: So we are clear, are

25 you asking, was the first date of effectiveness the

**FILED**  
A.M. .... P.M.

AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 date it was published in the Messenger, or do you  
2 want the date the Bishop actually promulgated it?  
3 It may be different, is what I'm saying.

4 Q. (BY MR. ANDERSON) When was it put into  
5 effect?

6 A. I sent a policy to the clergy, but I  
7 can't -- without my files, I can't tell you the  
8 date. But then we published it in the Messenger,  
9 so --

10 Q. How long was it published in the  
11 Messenger after you sent it to the clergy?

12 A. Very quickly.

13 Q. Like a week or so?

14 A. A week or so.

15 Q. Have you ever discussed the matter of  
16 this policy or the subject of sexual abuse by  
17 clergy or litigation around it or anything of that  
18 kind with Archbishop Roach?

19 A. No.

20 Q. With former Bishop Loras Walters?

21 A. No.

22 Q. With any other presiding bishop or  
23 archbishop?

24 A. I don't think so.

25 Q. Do you know when the policy was enacted

**FILED**  
A. M. .... P. M.

AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

1 or put in effect in Des Moines?

2 A. No. It was before ours, but, I'm sorry,  
3 I just couldn't give you a date.

4 Q. Do you know if that was done in response  
5 to a situation that had surfaced in Des Moines?

6 A. I don't know. I don't know.

7 Q. You never discussed it with --

8 A. Bishop Bullock.

9 Q. -- Bishop Bullock?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Actually, Bishop Bullock is a fairly new  
12 bishop down there. Wasn't he just installed a year  
13 ago?

14 A. No. He has been there -- oh, dear, don't  
15 tie me down to dates, but three or four he has been  
16 there.

17 MR. ANDERSON: I have nothing  
18 further.

19 MR. MILLER: No questions.

20 (The deposition was concluded at  
21 12:00 noon on October 22, 1991.)

**FILED**  
A. M. .... P. M.

AUG 25 1992  
EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

25

1 STATE OF IOWA )  
 ) ss.  
 2 SCOTT COUNTY )

3 I, Alanna G. Jeffery, a Certified  
 4 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the  
 State of Iowa, do hereby certify that the facts as  
 5 stated in the caption hereto are true; that the  
 witness named on the face sheet was by me sworn to  
 6 testify to the truth and nothing but the truth  
 concerning the matters in controversy in this  
 7 cause; that said witness was thereupon examined on  
 oath and the examination reduced to writing under  
 my supervision, consisting of the foregoing pages,  
 8 and the computer-aided transcript is a true record  
 of the testimony given by said witness and all  
 9 objections made.

10 I further certify that I am neither  
 attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed  
 11 by, any of the parties to the action in which this  
 deposition is taken; and, further, that I am not a  
 12 relative or employee of any attorney or counsel  
 employed by the parties hereto or financially  
 13 interested in the action.

14 In witness whereof I have hereunto  
 set my hand this 29th day of October, 1991.  
 15

16  
 17 *Alanna G. Jeffery, CSR*  
 18 Alanna G. Jeffery, CSR, RPR, CM  
 P.O. Box 520  
 19 Bettendorf, Iowa 52722  
 (319) 355-3338  
 20

21 **FILED**  
 A. M. .... P. M.

22 AUG 25 1992  
 23 EDWARD F. STEINBRECH  
 24 CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT  
 25