11:57:50	1	what Bishop Norberto knew about the fitness of this guy
	2	to serve as ministry.
	3	MR. WOODS: I'm not sure that's true.
	4	MR. ANDERSON: He knew
11:57:59	5	MR. SELSBERG: Counsel, it's very clear in the
	6	deposition transcript
	7	MR. ANDERSON: I'm not going to argue with you.
	8	I'm going to address his objection. He gave me a legal
	9	objection. And if you have a legal objection, I'm going
11:58:07	10	to let you make it.
	11	MR. SELSBERG: You're not asking a question.
	12	MR. ANDERSON: Just a moment.
	13	MR. SELSBERG: You just mis
	14	MR. ANDERSON: Counsel
11:58:12	15	MR. SELSBERG: Okay. I'll let you finish.
	16	MR. ANDERSON: Make a legal objection.
	17	MR. SELSBERG: Go ahead.
	18	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	19	Q Okay. Don, I'm going to I'm going to
11:58:22	20	simply ask him to read it as he reads it, and and it
	21	is probative to this inquiry.
	22	MR. HABEL: To what end?
	23	MR. WOODS: Wait. I was going to ask the same
	24	question. I mean to what how can his translation of
11:58:39	25	this sentence have anything to do? A sentence never

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
11:59:46
               interpretations is that he's not competent to do that.
                      MR. WOODS: And I'm going to instruct him not to
               answer the question. So why don't you move on, and
               we'll take it up with the judge in due course.
· = 11:59:58
               BY MR. ANDERSON:
                      Q Cardinal, you do see that the word "chamaco"
               is referred to here in the police report?
                      A Yes.
                      Q Okay. And later on, looking at the English
    12:00:10 10
               version, four lines down, it states "this youngster had
               not had, that the maid of the priest Nicolas Aguilar
           11
               Rivera, who could also affirm under oath that they come
           12
           13
               from several areas. * Do you see the word *chamaco
           14
               appearing for "youngster" there also?
    12:00:36 15
                      MR. SELSBERG: I object. It assumes facts not in
           16
                evidence. This interpretation is not the interpretation
               that we have, so we do not agree that this is a correct
           17
                interpretation of the document from Spanish to English.
           19
                      MR. WOODS: This is just asking someone to
     12:00:52 20
               Interpret something. It's not the purpose of this
            21 deposition. The witness isn't qualified to make a
            22
                court-type interpretation, and it's got nothing to do
            23
                with jurisdiction. I'm going to instruct him not to
            24
                answer.
     12:01:05 25
               BY MR. ANDERSON:
```

```
11:58:43
      1
           communicated to him, never communicated to anvone in the
           church in Los Angeles, how can this have anything to do
           with jurisdiction over these defendants?
                 MR. ANDERSON: It has to do with Norberto
11:58:52
      6
           Rivera's knowledge of fitness and a misrepresentation
           concerning it to the Archdiocese of L.A.
                 MR. SELSBERG: And I'd like to state for the
           record --
                 MR. ANDERSON: And -- and why it is jurisdiction
11:59:04 1.0
          lies here, because they knowingly sent the priest here
       11
           knowing that he had abused chamacos, youngsters, and
       12
          kida
       13
                  MR. SELSBERG: And I'd like to state for the
       14
           record that that's a gross mischaracterization of
11:59:20 15
           Cardinal Rivera's testimony. It's crystal-clear in this
       16
           deposition transcript, at least two places, that he
       17
           testified unequivocally that he never --
       18
                  MR. ANDERSON: Give -- state your objection.
       19
                  MR. SELSBERG: There's no question.
11:59:31 20
                      -- that he never saw this police report.
       21
           Okay? So what you said is grossly unfair.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Okav
       22
       23
                  MR. SELSBERG: There's no question, so I can't
           give a legal objection. My legal objection to him -- to
       24
11:59:43 25
          the witness testifying about Spanish to English
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
12:01:05
                  O Cardinal look at the exhibit. And as you
           read further, it states, "the youngster arrived between
        2
        3
           11:00 a.m. and 1:00 in the afternoon and faced the
           priest and talked to him, ate with him, and gave him
12:01:22
       5
           shelter in his bedroom. He was asked about if in the
           past he had seen kids from other areas, and the reply
        6
           was yes.
                      It goes on to state at the third to the last
           sentence. *this youngster that was here vesterday told
12:01:40 10
           me his name, " and it goes on to refer at that same line
           that he had -- that Aguilar had hosted them in his
       11
       12
           bedroom.
       13
                      My question to you, Cardinal, is that if you
           had known or been told or signaled that Nicolas Aguilar
       14
12:02:01 15
           had youngsters in his bedroom, staying overnight, or as
       16
           is reflected in the English or Spanish version of this
       17
           document, this information, would you have received
           Nicolas Aguilar in the Archdiocese?
       18
       19
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation
12:02:22 20
           and assumes facts not in evidence.
                  MR. WOODS: I think he's answered the substance
       21
       22
           of this question a number of times. I'm going to object
           to the question. It starts out with a long preamble,
       23
       24
           reading bits and pieces from a document the witness
           never received. It reads them in English, not in the
12:02:37 25
```

12:02:41	1	original Spanish, requires the witness to make a
	2	translation, which he's really not qualified to do.
	3	It's argumentative, and it's repetitive of testimony
	4	he's already said.
12:02:51	5	If you want to ask him specifically if the
	6	question you want, which is already answered, you know,
	7	I would let him answer that. But I'm not going to let
	8	him answer this rambling argumentative-type question.
	9	BY MR. ANDERSON:
12:03:06	10	Q If you had known that Nicolas Aguilar
	11	MR. WOODS: That
	12	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	13	Q had been
	14	MR. WOODS: Would you have accepted a priest who,
12:03:13	15	in fact, did whatever you want to say?
	16	MR. ANDERSON: Counsel, I'm just trying to get
	17	through it. If that's what you prefer, I'll put it that
	18	way.
	19	MR. WOODS: Okay.
12:03:25	20	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	21	Q Would you have accepted a priest or this
	22	priest if you had been informed or had been signaled
	23	that he had had youngsters, youth, or kids in his
	24	bedroom in the rectory as was as is as is
12:03:44	25	reflected in this report?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

12:05:04	ı	English version or translation and
	2	MR. WOODS: I don't want to lean into the
	3	picture, so that's why it's helpful if I have one.
	4	MR. WATERS: I appreciate that.
12:05:24	5	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	6	Q Cardinal, what I placed before you and marked
	7	24 and 24A, the English translation, is a letter from
	8	Nicolas Aguilar to Bishop Rivera, stating that he met
	9	with Monsignor Curry, and Curry wants to know more about
12:05:47	10	why Nicolas Aguilar sought work in Los Angeles.
	11	Have you have you read or reviewed this
	12	letter before?
	13	A Yes.
	1.4	Q Did you know it was being sent and before
12:06:02	15	it was sent?
	16	A Give me the time frame.
	17	Q Did you have a hand in this letter?
	18	A No.
	19	Q Essentially, Nicolas Aguilar is communicating
12:06:18	20	to his bishop, is he not? He's writing to his bishop?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q And he's essentially saying that "I have now
	23	been in the chancellery of Los Angeles, and I was seen
	24	by Monsignor Thomas Curry, general vicar of the clergy,"
12:06:38	25	right?

12:03:45	1	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation
	2	and assumes facts not in evidence.
	3	MR. WOODS: I'm going to let him answer this for
	4	the last time because I think he's answered it under
12:03:54	5	numerous phraseologies already. But I'll let him answer
	6	it one more time.
	7	THE WITNESS: No.
	8	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	9	Q Okay. And if you had the benefit of this
12:04:01	10	police report or had been informed of this police report
	11	or its existence or the information contained in it,
	12	would that have influenced your decision and that of the
	13	Archdiocese to have accepted this priest?
	14	MR. SELSBERG: Objection. That calls for
12:04:16	15	speculation.
	16	MR. WOODS: Same objection. It's a hypothetical.
	17	It wasn't presented to him. It's not even relevant to
	18	the inquiry, and I instruct him not to answer that.
	19	MR. ANDERSON: Let's look at 24.
12:04:57	20	(Whereupon, Exhibit 24 was introduced and
	21	marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
	22	Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
	23	BY MR, ANDERSON:
	24	O Cardinal, I'm going to be showing you here in
12:05:00	25	a minute what we marked as 24, again, 24A being the

103

	12:06:39	1	A Yes.
.)		2	Q Did you know Monsignor Curry had met with
		3	Nicolas Aguilar at about this time?
		4	A I didn't know, but I suspect that he did.
	12:06:46	5	. Q Okay. It was kind of protocol for a
		6	priest an extern priest such as this coming from
		7	Mexico to meet with the vicar?
		8	λ Yes.
		9	Q Okay. And so this would have been kind of an
	12:06:57	10	ordinary meeting?
		11	A Yes.
		12	Q Okay. He goes on to state, "I delivered to
		13	him the letter that you, the bishop, sent to him. Now
		14	Monsignor Thomas Curry wants another letter that would
	12:07:13	15	include the following: Monsignor Curry himself dictated
		16	to me, and I will transcribe it as follows."
		17	It states, *A confidential letter from the
		18	Ordinary of your place, addressed to Archbishop Mahony,
		19	with a copy to Monsignor Curry."
	12:07:35	20	Why, if such a letter was being requested or
		21	more information was being requested, would it be
		22	confidential?
		23	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
		24	And again, this is a translation that differs from the
	12:07:49	25	translation that we have. It's clearly in error. It

		•
12:07:54	1	has "an recommending" when obviously the Spanish version
	2	says "y recommending." It's clearly it's a different
	3	translation. So to the extent it's not a correct
	4	translation, I object to questions about it.
12:08:10	5	THE WITNESS: Could you give me the question
	6	again?
	7	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, sure.
	8	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	9	Q I guess did you know that Monsignor Curry
12:08:18	10	was was requesting more information?
	11	A No, but that's not unusual.
	12	Q Okay. And that leads to the next question
	13	is is that would it be a confidential kind of request
	14	to be sent confidentially?
12:08:32	15	A Not necessarily.
	16	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
	17	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	18	Q If, in fact, it was to be a confidential
	19	request, in other words, *We want more information about
12:08:43	20	this guy, Nicolas Aguilar, but we want it to be
	21	confidential from the bishop to you," what does that
	22	mean that that it's a confidential request? Does it
	23	have significance?
	24	A Not particularly. And I and I don't know
12:09:05	25	whether Bishop Curry asked for that or not.

HABN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

12:10:02	1	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	2	Q The word "confidential" does mean some
	3	something particular as opposed to a letter sent in the
	4	ordinary course, correct?
12:10:15	5.	. A Yes.
	6	Q At this point in time, 1987, it's fair to say
	7	that having served as bishop and auxiliary and, you
	8	know, priest for many years, that that the problem of
	9	sexual abuse had become at least known to the clergy and
12:10:46	10	to the hierarchy in the U.S.?
	11	A At the time of this letter?
	12	Q 1987.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Yes. And you, for example, attended the
12:10:57	15	Conference the Catholic Conference of Bishops and the
	16	National Catholic Conference of Bishops at St.
	17	Whn's where the
	18	(Interruption by the reporter at 12:10 p.m.)
	19	MR. ANDERSON:
12:11:10	20	Q You were aware by reason of presentations
	21	de and discussions among the clergy that the problem
	22	with sexual abuse of minors, at least, had become known
	23	prior to 1987?
	24	A Yes.
12:11:23	25	Q Okay. Did Monsignor Curry ever tell you that

```
Okay. Well, there is a history and a
          protocol for keeping certain matters confidential or
             mewhat secret, is there not?
                 A Yes.
12:09:17
                     Matters involving scandals such as sexual
                     Canon 489 requires matters involving scandal
           such as sexual abuse to be kept sub secreto, in secret
12:09:30 10
          files, does it not?
      11
                  MR. WOODS: I'm sorry. What was the number you
      12
      13
                  MR. ANDERSON: Canon 489.
      14
                  MR. HABEL: 489.
12:09:38 15
                  MR. ANDERSON: 489
       16
                  MR. HABEL: 489.
       17
                  THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
       18
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q So do you think that this may be -- if this
12:09:42 20
           were a confidential letter being requested, that this is
           a potential for some -- Monsignor Curry requesting some
           confidential information that might signal something
       23
           sensitive or perhaps scandalous?
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
12:10:00 25
                  THE WITNESS: I really don't know.
```

107

12:11:45	1	he was making the request identified in this letter for
	2	more information?
	3	a No.
	4	Q Okay. Do you know why Curry, if he was
12:11:58	5	making such a request, would go through Nicolas and not
	6	just go directly to the bishop in Tehuacan?
	7	A No. I don't know.
	8	Q Does that sound odd $ ho r$ does that sound
	9	ordinary?
12:12:13	10	A I I just don't know.
	11	Q Okay. Fair enough. When you have an extern
	12	priest such as this, wouldn't it have been ordinary for
	13	you, as the the Archbishop Cardinal, to have
	14	communicated directly with Bishop Rivera as opposed to
12:12:37	15	through Monsignor Curry or Nicolas Aguilar himself?
	16	MR. WOODS: Can I hear the question, please? I
	17	missed the first part.
	18	MR. ANDERSON: I can ask a better question, Don.
	19	MR. WOODS: Okay.
12:12:51	20	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	21	Q If there's something you want confidentially
	22	communicated, wouldn't the practice have been in 1987
	23	for you to have asked for confidential information
	24	directly from the bishop who who is in charge of the
12:13:07	25	priests that you're you're seeking more information

12:13:12	1	about?
	2	A I'm just not aware of the practice that
	3	then-Monsignor Curry was using.
	4	Q Okay. You had been Cardinal you had
12:13:22	5	actually been Archbishop at this time for a couple
	6	years, I guess?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Yeah. Okay. Let's go to is this the
	9	first time you've seen this letter, or have you reviewed
12:13:50	10	this before?
	ll	A I believe I've seem this before, although I
	12	can't remember where.
	13	Q Have you ever discussed it or with
	14	Monsignor Curry and asked him about it or why he sent it
12:14:01	15	or asked excuse me why he would have if he did,
	16	ask Nicolas Aguilar to get more information from his
	17	bishop?
	18	A No.
	19	Q Okay. So if Monsignor Curry asked for a
12:14:16	20	confidential letter from Bishop Rivera, you don't know
	21	why that would have been?
	22	A That's correct.
	23	Q And before I go to the next exhibit, is it
	24	fair, then, to say that you know nothing about the
12:15:00	25	meeting between Monsignor Curry and Nicolas Aguilar

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

12:16:21	1	to give you a continuing objection that any translation,
	2	you know okay. I gave it at the start. I'll repeat
	3	it.
	4	You don't have to continue objecting. If you
12:16:29	5	don't like the translations, you can have a continuing
	6	objection. I am not here to claim that they're perfect,
	7	but they are the best we have, they are official, and
	8	that's what we're going to use. Okay? And we can sort
	9	it out later, but don't take any more time objecting to
12:16:42	10	it. Okay? Do you agree
	11	MR. SELSBERG: I'm
	12	MR. ANDERSON: to the continued objection on
	13	translations?
	14	MR. SELSBERG: Yeah, I'm not I'm fine with
12:16:50	15	that, as long as it's okay under California practice. I
	16	just don't know if you can get a continuing objection.
	17,	MR. WOODS: So stipulated.
	18	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Don. I appreciate the
	19	mourtesy.
12:17:03	20	,BY MR. ANDERSON:
	21	Q Cardinal, when in time, then, would you I
	22	got distracted is the first you actually saw the
	23	letter?
	24	A My recollection was in the last two or three
12:17:22	25	years.

	ı	
12:15:03	1	that's been referred to in the last exhibit?
	2	A That's correct.
	3	Q Okay. Let's go to Exhibit 26, then, again, a
	4	Spanish version with English translation. This is the
- 12:15:19	5	March 23 letter.
	6	(Whereupon, Exhibit 26 was introduced and
	7	marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
	8	Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
	9	BY MR. ANDERSON:
12:15:37	10	Q This is a letter that ~ when did you first
	11	see this letter? Have you ever seen this letter
	12	well, let me start by saying asking you have you seen
	13	this letter before?
	14	A Yes.
12:15:49	15	Q And when did you first see it, Cardinal?
	16	A It seems to me it was in the last two or
	17	three years.
	18	MR. SELSBERG: Sir, are you asking about the
	19	Spanish or the English, Counsel?
12:16:08	20	MR. ANDERSON: The Spanish.
	21	MR. SELSBERG: Okay. Again, I just want to state
	22	that this English translation is different than the one
	23	we have. But to the extent that it's not right, it's
	24	not correct, we object to the questions.
12:16:19	25	MR. ANDERSON: Counsel, to save time, I'm going

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
12:17:28 1
                 O When did you first become aware that Norberto
           Rivera was claiming to have sent a letter to you and
           this letter, in particular?
                 A In early February, March of 1988.
                  Q And how did you learn that he had claimed to
12:17:49
           have sent this letter to you?
                  A In a response to my letter about Father
           Aguilar and the problems, he wrote back and made
           reference to this letter.
                  Q Okay. Why don't you tell us what led to you
12:18:09 10
           writing a letter to him about Aguilar?
       11
                  A Well, we informed him right away when these
       12
       13
           charges were made and he was taken out of ministry of
       14
           the serious problems he was having.
12:18:24 15
                  Q Okay. So as soon as you received information
           that Nicolas Aguilar posed a risk of harm to children
       16
           and had been suspected of abusing, did you inform
       17
       18
           Norberto Rivera?
       19
                  A Yes.
12:18:42 20
                  Q And did you call him?
                  A I don't recall if I called him before I sent
       21
       22
           the letter or not, but sometime in that short span, I
       23
           did.
                  Q Certainly, when you learned that Rivera had
       24
12:18:53 25
           abused kids or was suspected of abusing kids and you
```

112

12:18:57 1 knew Nicolas Aguilar Rivera was not your priest and he 2 was Norberto Rivera's priest, you called him right away because that was urgent information? A I'm not sure whether I called him that day or ... 12:19:11 5 when he disappeared. I just don't remember. It was in that area. I sent him a letter right away, but I don't -- I know I called him after he had disappeared from here. Q Okay. But you're not sure if you called him 12:19:24 10 before? That's what I can't recall. 12 Okay. It would have been, you know, your --13 wouldn't it have been your practice, though, as the --14 as the Archbishop, to, upon receiving any information 12:19:41 15 about an extern priest being a child molester, to call his superior immediately? Wouldn't you have done that, 16 17 18 A Normally, yes. But let me just say that some 19 of these more rural areas of Mexico or the Philippines, 12:19:58 20 you -- you can never get anybody. You can't get to these offices. It just simply doesn't work. It's 21 better now, obviously. But very often sending something 22 in writing by Special Delivery or Express Mail is a more 23 24 sure wav. 12:20:15 25 Q Okay. So do you remember trying to call him

114

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

12:21:23	1	his practice would have been, is probative to this.
	2	MR. WOODS: I don't believe that's accurate, so
	3	I'm going to instruct him not to answer.
	4	MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
12:21:39	5	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	6	Q You do remember having sent a letter?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q And I've got a letter from from Curry.
	9	I presume that would be the letter you're referring to.
12:22:38	30	It was a letter at your direction sent? I'll show it to
	11	you.
	12	MR. WOODS: Exhibit 20 of our package you might
	13	look at.
	14	MR. ANDERSON: I think it's I think it's
12:22:53	15	Exhibit 30.
	16	(Whereupon, Exhibit 30 was introduced and
	17	marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
	18	Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
	19	MR. WATERS: And it's in English. Any objections
12;23:11	20	to the translation?
	21	MR. HABEL: Theirs is different.
	22	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah.
	23	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	24	Q Cardinal, I'm showing you what
12:23:23	25	MR. WOODS: Now, we're going to have a problem

10.00.10		
12:20:18	1	right away upon receiving the information that you had a
	2	molester?
	3	A No. I don't recall whether I did or
	4	Monsignor Curry called the chancellery office there. I
12:20:30	5	just don't recall what happened right away.
	6	Q Do you remember directing that Curry try to
	7	get ahold of Norberto Rivera, his superior, and say
	8	"Hey, we got a molester here"?
	9	A I don't recall.
12:20:45	10	Q You were concerned, weren't you? I mean it
	11	was alarming information that this Aguilar had molested
	12	kids?
	13	MR. WOODS: I'm going to object. His concern has
	14	got nothing to do with jurisdiction over these
12:20:57	15	defendants. It's argumentative, and I'm going to
	16	instruct him not to answer.
	17	MR. ANDERSON: It does have to do with whether he
	18	would communicate it and the communications between them
	19	by interstate and otherwise
12:21:09	20	MR. WOODS: Well, questions about what
	21	MR. ANDERSON: Just Don, let me finish.
	22	It does have to do with jurisdiction because
	23	the Cardinal's concern about him having a molester from
	24	another jurisdiction goes to jurisdiction. And the
12:21:20	25	Cardinal's concern about that, both what he did and what

115

12:23:25	1	here. There's two letters that are identical except for
	2	a signature. One is RIV 30, and one is our CIVAGUIL 20.
	3	So
	4	MR. ANDERSON: Well, we haven't had a chance to
12:23:39	5	review your production. What's different about them?
	6	Why don't you tell us?
	7	MR. WOODS: Well, just by looking at them, one
	8	bears the signature of Monsignor Curry. Then the other
	9	looks like a file copy without his signature on it. One
12:23:51	10	obviously came from the file of Bishop Rivera. The
	11	other is from our files.
	12	So other than that, I don't think there's
	1.3	probably any difference. But I just because you keep
	14	referring to numbers, you're going to get confused here
12:24:06	15	real fast.
	16	MR. ANDERSON: I'm not confused. I think I
	17	understand what you're saying. The letter we're
	18	referring to that we marked RIV 30 is the one that was
	19	produced by the Diocese of Tehuacan, okay, and actually
12:24:26	20	bears the signature of Curry. The letter produced by
	21	you doesn't bear that signature because it's a file
	22	copy. Is that clear?
	23	MR. WOODS: Got it.
	24	BY MR. ANDERSON:
12:24:36	25	Q Okay. Cardinal, why don't you just tell us

12:24:39	1	what this letter is it's dated January 11, 1988
	2	and the circumstances under which you understood it was
	3	sent.
	4	MR. WOODS: Okay. He wants you to look at
12:24:49	5	RIV 30.
	6	THE WITNESS: And I'm sorry. The question is?
	7	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	8	Q Do you see the letter?
	9	A Yes.
12:25:10	10	Q You've seen it before?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q Did you direct that it be sent?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Okay. And your purpose in having it sent
17:25:21	15	was?
	16	A To notify Bishop Rivera of the problems with
	17	Father Aguilar.
	18	Q Okay. And you may have called him before
	19	this by phone, but you're not sure?
12:25:30	20	A I cannot recall.
	21	Q Okay. And this letter is dated January 11th.
	22	How long before this letter was directed to have been
	23	sent did you and Monsignor Curry learn that you had a
	24	molester in Aguilar Rivera?
12:25:54	25	A I don't recall, but it was within a couple of

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

12:27:26	1	MR. WOODS: I will object to the question as
	2	beyond the scope of the jurisdictional issues which are
	3	the purpose of this deposition and instruct the witness
	4	not to answer.
12:27:43	5	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	6	Q When you first and Monsignor Curry first
	7	received information that this priest was a child
	8	molester, was there a desire by you and/or Monsignor
	9	Curry to keep this secret and among you and his
12:28:12	10	superior?
	11	MR. WOODS: Object to the form of the question as
	12	argumentative, beyond the scope of jurisdiction, and I
	13	will instruct the witness not to answer.
	14	BY MR. ANDERSON:
12:28:25	1.5	Q The letter states at the second paragraph,
	16	"According to the civil law here, the accusations must
	17	be reported to the authorities. You told that to
	18	Norberto Rivera, correct?
	19	MR. WOODS: In this letter?
12:28:40	20	MR. ANDERSON: Yes. Through Monsignor Curry.
	21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	22	MY MR. ANDERSON:
	23	Q Okay. Who was to report this to civil
	24	authorities, Norberto Rivera or you?
12:28:49	25	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.

```
12:25:56
       1
                 Q This letter speaks for itself, but it is
          correct to say that this letter acknowledges that the
          Archdiocese of L.A. has now received a credible report
12:26:16 5
          of sexual abuse, in effect?
                 Q It acknowledges that you knew that this had
           to be reported, and you were reporting it to his
           superior, Bishop Rivera, correct?
12:26:40 10
                 MR. WOODS: Okay. So what's the question? Was
      11
          he reporting it to his superior?
       12
                 MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
                 MR. WOODS: Okay. Were you reporting it to
12:26:48 15
                 THE WITNESS: Yes.
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q And you're not sure if this was the first
           report to Bishop Rivera or not, correct?
12:26:58 20
                  Q Do you know if Monsignor Curry had actually
           spoken with -- or tried to speak with Cardinal, then
                  A No, I do not.
                  Q And at the time this letter was sent, what
12:27:23 25
           report had been made to civil authorities?
```

119

12:28:52	1	MR. WOODS: And also is a confusing question
	2	because there are numerous other possibilities. Those
	3	are not the only two
	4	MR. ANDERSON: Let him answer it.
12:29:04	5	MR. WOODS: Okay. But it's confusing, so I will
	6	instruct him not to answer. It's also irrelevant to
	7	jurisdictional issues.
	8	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	9	Q Cardinal, it is written "According to the
12:29:15	10	civil law here, the accusations must be reported to the
	11	authorities.
	12	My question to you, as this is written to
	13	Norberto Rivera, who is supposed to report this to civil
	14	authorities?
12:29:35	15	MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the question
	16	as calling for legal conclusion, irrelevant to the
	17	jurisdictional issue, and instruct him not to answer.
	18	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	19	Q What was then the procedure in 1988
12:29:58	20	pertaining to educators and the Archdiocese reporting
	21	suspected sexual abuse to civil authorities?
	22	MR. WOODS: Objection; calls for a legal opinion,
	23	it's beyond the scope of this jurisdiction, and I
	24	instruct the witness not to answer.
12:30:24	25	MR. ANDERSON: Counsel, he's the one who's
		1

12:30:25	1	writing to to the foreign defendant saying this must
	2	be reported.
	3	MR. WOODS: Okay.
	4	MR. HABEL: Actually, it's Curry.
12:30:33	-5	MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's him through Curry.
	6	MR. WOODS: It's the same issue.
	7	MR. ANDERSON: Does your instruction stand not to
	8	answer?
	9	MR. WOODS: Huh?
12:30:44	10	MR. ANDERSON: Does your instruction stand not to
	11	answer?
	12	MR. WOODS: Yes.
	13	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	14	Q The next paragraph states, "I spoke to Father
12:30:55	15	Aguilar Rivera on Saturday, January 9th." Did you know
	16	that Monsignor Curry was speaking to Aguilar before he
	17	went to do so?
	18	MR. WOODS: Object to the question as beyond the
	19	scope of the jurisdictional issues and instruct him not
12:31:19	20	to answer.
	21	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	22	Q What do you know about the conversation that
	23	Monsignor Curry had with Nicolas Aquilar referenced in
	24	this letter?
12:31:30	25	MR. WCODS: Same objection, same instruction.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

12:32:44	7	© Or his delegate.
	2	So it's correct, then, to say that it was you
	3	or through your delegate that the faculties of Nicolas
	4	Aguilar were withdrawn?
12:32:57	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Did you ever tell Curry to withdraw Nicolas
	7	Aguilar's faculties on this date?
	8	A I don't recall, but I didn't have to.
	9	Q Why do you say that?
12:33:15	10	A Because that's his delegated responsibility.
	11	Q Okay. And so you don't recall Curry
	12	discussing this with you before he told Nicolas Aguilar
	13	that he had withdrawn his faculties?
	14	A No. I don't recall that discussion.
12:33:38	15	Q The next paragraph states, "I believe he
	16	plans to stay with some family members here and then
	17	geturn to Mexico.
	18	That means that as of January 11th, Nicolas
	19	aguilar was here in L.A., but you and Monsignor Curry
12:34:02	20	Kinew that he was intending to return to Mexico, correct?
	31	MR. WOODS: Okay. Asks for numerous facts to be
	22	certified all in one question. It's compound. But
	23	I'll I'll let him try to answer it.
	24	Every fact in his question requires a "yes"
12:34:23	25	or "no" certification.

```
12:31:36
                 Q The letter states, "I spoke to Pather Aguilar
          on Saturday, January 9th, and told him that until a full
          investigation has taken place, he may not serve in this
12:31:52
          Archdiocese and that his faculties have been withdrawn.*
                     It is correct to say that you are the one
           that is authorized to withdraw Nicolas Aguilar's
           faculties in this Archdiocese, and as of this date, you
12:32:12 10
                 MR. WOODS: You're saying he's the only one who
       11
          can do it?
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
       12
       13
                  MR. WOODS: As opposed to Curry?
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
       14
                  MR. WOODS: Okay.
12:32:18 15
                  THE NITNESS: I am not the only one.
       16
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       17
                  Q Isn't Curry's abilities delegated -- his
       18
           authority delegated by you?
       19
12:32:26 20
                  A Yes.
                  Q Yes. So it's under your delegation. So
       21
           under Canon Law and in practice, in fact, any revocation
          or suspension of faculties or withdrawal of them, in
       23
           effect, is -- is vested in the power of the Ordinary?
       24
                  A Or his delegate.
12:32:43 25
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
THE WITNESS: I'm lost. What is the question
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q Okay. I'm referring to the paragraph that
           states, "I believe he plans to stay with some family
           members here." "Here" refers to Los Angeles, does it
                     I presume.
                     Okay. And then it states, "and then return
12:34:48 1.0
      77
                 A That's what it says here.
       12
                     What do you know about Nicolas Aguilar's
       13
           intention, as -- as expressed here, to return to Mexico?
       14
                 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
12:35:10 15
                 MR. WOODS: It's a confusing question. I mean
       76
            that does he know other than what the letter says?
       17
                 MR. ANDERSON: Yes
       18
                 MR. WOODS: Do you know -- do you know something
       19
           other than what the letter says? That's what you want
12:35:21 20
       21
                 MR. ANDERSON: Yes
       22
       23
                 THE WITNESS: I know nothing more than what's
       24
12:35:25 25 BY MR. ANDERSON:
```

12:35:32	1	Q Did you or anybody, including Monsignor Curry
	2	from the Archdiocese, tell Aguilar to stay here until a
	3	full investigation can be done?
	4	MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the form of
12:35:46	5	the question as beyond the scope of the jurisdictional
	6	issues and instruct him not to answer. I think it can
	7	be rephrased, though, to get an answer.
	8	MR. ANDERSON: I'm open to suggestions, given
	9	your objection. You're the one objecting, and I can't
12:36:12	10	understand it. So help me understand or give me the
	11	question. I want to get the answer.
	12	MR. WOODS: I don't see
	13	MR. ANDERSON: Give me the question, Don. What
	14	is it?
12:36:23	15	MR. WOODS: Read you want the question read
	16	back?
	17	MR. ANDERSON: No. No. You said there's a way
	18	to ask the question, and you're not going to object.
	19	MR. WOODS: The question, as phrased, in terms of
12:36:31	20	a communication, perhaps, to Aguilar Rivera to
	21	then-Bishop Rivera requesting that or making
	22	something I I would allow that. But a mere
	23	discussion that's not going to be communicated to church
	24	officials in Mexico has got nothing to do with
12:36:55	25	jurisdiction. It wouldn't be a jurisdictional contact.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUTTE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

	ĺ	
12:38:01	1	Q And my question, then, is did you direct or
	2	have Monsignor direct that Nicolas Aguilar stay here so
	3	that you could do the full canonical investigation and
	4	the LAPD could do theirs?
12:38:18	5	MR. WOODS: Object to the form of the question.
	6	Or sorry. Let me start all over again. I object to the
	7	question as beyond the scope of the jurisdictional
	8	issues which are the subject of this deposition and
	э	instruct the witness not to answer.
12:38:33	10	What the Archdiocese did in terms of
	11	investigating, what the police did in terms of
	12	investigating are not relevant to jurisdiction over the
	13	Mexican nationals.
	14	BY MR. ANDERSON:
12:38:52	15	Q It is correct to say let me rephrase this.
	16	Bishop Rivera had the authority at this time
	17	to keep Nicolas Aguilar here in L.A. so that a full
	18	anvestigation could be done by the Archdiocese and the
	19	APD?
12:39:18	20	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
	21	evidence, calls for speculation.
	22	MR. WOODS: It's really calling for an expert
	23	opinion of the role of an Ordinary with a priest
	24	incardinated to him. I assume it's preliminary to some
12:39:33	25	other questions, and I'll allow him to answer it.

```
12:37:03
       1
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q Did you tell Cardinal Rivers or anybody from
          the Archdiocese tell Cardinal Rivera that you were going
           to conduct a full investigation?
                 A Did 12
12-37-12
       5
                 Q Well, through Monsignor Curry.
                 A I did not personally.
                 Q Well, this letter says that you're going to.
                     That says that ---
12:37:20 10
                 MR. WOODS: I'm going to object that it's
       11
          argumentative. It doesn't say who's going to do the
       12
           investigation.
       13
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       14
                 Q Well, okay. The letter says, "I spoke to
12:37:27 15
           Father Aguilar Rivera on Saturday, January 9th, and told
           him that until a full investigation has taken place, he
       17
           may not serve in this Archdiocese."
       18
                      Who is to conduct the full investigation,
       19
           Cardinal?
12:37:44 20
                  A Well, by this time, January 11th, the police
           department's involved, so they're doing theirs, and then
           our canonical investigation would begin.
                  Q So the Archdiocese of L.A. and the LAPD,
12:38:01 25
```

127

	12:39:37	1	THE WITNESS: Did Bishop Rivera do what?
)		2	BY MR. ANDERSON:
		3	Q Bishop Rivera had the authority over Nicolas
		4	Aguilar Rivera, correct?
	12:39:47	5	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; vague.
		6	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The ultimate authority.
		7	BY MR. ANDERSON:
		8	Q Yes, And he had the ultimate authority to
		9	have him stay in L.A. so that the Archdiocese of L.A.
	12:39:57	10	could do an investigation, correct?
		11	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls assumes facts
		12	not in evidence, calls for speculation.
		13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
		14	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	12:40:05	15	Q And he also had the authority to have him
		16	stay in L.A. so the L.A. Police Department could
		17	complete an investigation, correct?
		18	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
		19	evidence and calls for speculation.
	12:40:20	20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
		21	BY MR. ANDERSON:
		22	Q And in order to exercise that authority, all
		23	he would have had to have done, as the Ordinary, was
		24	call Nicolas Aguilar and say "Stay there. There's an
	12:40:42	25	investigation"?

12:40:44	1	MR. SELSBERG: Objection.
	2	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	3	Q Right?
	4	MR. SELSBERG: Calls for speculation.
12:40:49	5	THE WITNESS: I just don't know.
	6	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	7	Q Well, if it was one of your priests, you
	8	would have had the authority to do that?
	9	A I could have advised him to do that. I
12:40:57	10	couldn't guarantee he would do it.
	11	Q Well, they're operating under a promise of
	12	obedience. That carries some weight with a priest,
	13	doesn't it?
	14	A It should, yes.
12:41:09	15	Q Okay. Do you know if any official of the
	16	Archdiocese of L.A. or Tehuacan ordered Nicolas Aguilar
	17	Rivera to stay in L.A. so that a full investigation
	18	could be done by the police and the L.A. Archdiocese?
	19	MR. WOODS: Okay. Object to the question as
12:41:54	20	beyond the scope of jurisdiction over the defendants and
	21	instruct him not to answer.
	22	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	23	Q Did the Archdiocese ever do any any kind
	24	of full investigation canonically, as you referred?
12:42:15	25	MR. WOODS: Object. Beyond the scope of the

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

12:43:40	ı	BY ME. ANDERSON:
	2	Q In this letter, Exhibit 30, he states, *I
	3	spoke to Father Aguilar Rivera on Saturday,
	4	January 9th. The police records do you know whether
12:43:57	5	a police report was made?
	6	MR. WOODS: I'm going to hold on. I'm going
	7	to object to the question as beyond the scope of the
	8	jurisdictional issues and instruct the witness not to
	9	answer.
12:44:06	10	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	11	Q Are you aware, Cardinal, that Monsignor Curry
	12	went to Nicolas Aguilar and told him he was under
	13	investigation before the police received the report and
	14	could investigate?
12:44:26	15	MR. WOODS: Object to the question as beyond the
	16	scope of the jurisdictional issues and instruct the
	17	witness not to answer.
	18	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	19	Q Are you do you have any knowledge that
12:44:38	20	Monsignor Curry went and alerted Mon went and
	21	Herted Nicolas Aguilar to the fact that a police
	22	investigation was under way?
	23	MR. WOODS: Object to the question as beyond the
	24	scope of jurisdiction and instruct the witness not to
12:44:59	25	answer.
		L

```
1 jurisdictional issues and instruct the witness not to
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q Norberto Rivera's under the same canonical
          provisions of investigation that -- that you and the
           L.A. Archdiocese are, correct?
                 A Yes.
                 Q Do you know if Norberto Rivera did any full
           investigation?
12:42:37 10
       11
                 Q Do you know if they did or you don't -- do
          you have any knowledge of whether or not they did, or do
          you believe they did no investigation?
                 A I have no knowledge.
       14
12:42:51 1.5
                 Q Okay. Did you ever ask?
       16
       17
                     Why not?
                  MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the form of
           the question and to the question as beyond the scope of
       19
12:43:03 20 the jurisdictional issues. The contacts, what he did
       21 ask for or what he did say, relevant. What he could
       22 have done or might have done or should have done.
       23
           irrelevant.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Instruct not to answer?
12:43:21 25
                  MR. WOODS: Instruct him not to answer.
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
12:45:07
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Are there provisions in the Canon law
           protocols under which you operate as a bishop that
           requires you and others to keep matters that are
12:45:17
                  MR. WOODS: I object to the question as beyond
           the scope of jurisdiction and instruct the witness not
           to answer
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Is there a requirement of secrecy involving
           matters of scandal, such as sexual abuse, that would
       11
       12
           also apply to Norberto Rivera as them a bishop and now a
       13
       14
                  MR. WOODS: Same Objection, same instruction.
12:45:40 15
                  Q Is it correct to say that when you are
           installed as a cardinal, that you take -- are made to
            take an oath of secrecy to the Vatican or the Holy See?
       18
                  MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
                  MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to show you what we have
       21
            marked Exhibit 102.
                       (Whereupon, Exhibit 102 was introduced and
            marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
        23
            Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
 12:46:21 25
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
```

12:46:21	1	Q And is this an oath taken by cardinals during
	2	a ceremony at which they are installed or promoted to
	3	the position of cardinal?
	4	MR. WOODS: I object to the form. I object to
12:46:34	5	the question as beyond the scope of the jurisdictional
	6	issues and instruct the witness not to answer.
	7	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	8	Q And if this is the oath, in the middle of it,
	9	I'll direct your attention to the provision that says *I
12;46:51	10	am not to reveal to anyone what is confided to me in
	11	secret nor divulge what may bring harm or dishonor to
	12	the Holy Church."
	13	Is it correct to say, Cardinal, that a sexual
	14	abuse by a priest and public knowledge of it could and
12:47:13	15	would bring harm or dishonor to the Holy Church?
	16	MR. WOODS: Object to the question as beyond the
	17	scope of the jurisdictional issues and instruct the
	18	witness not to answer.
	19	BY MR. ANDERSON:
12:47:41	20	Q To your knowledge, have any agents of the
	21	Diocese of Tehuacan or any agents of the Archdiocese of
	22	L.A. ever gotten information from the Los Angeles Police
	23	Department about the activities of Nicolas Aguilar While
	24	he while he worked here?
12:48:13	25	MR. WOODS: Okay.

HAEN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
12:49:18
                  Q Are you aware, Cardinal, that the police
          received enough information on January 8th and
          immediately thereafter from more than one source enough
           to have -- excuse me.
12:49:42
                      When do you -- when do you believe the police
           were -- were notified?
                  MR. WOODS: Object to the --
                  MR. ANDERSON: -- of the information that
           Monsignor Curry or other employees of the Archdiocese
12:49:55 1.0
           had concerning this?
       11
                  MR. WOODS: Object to the question as beyond the
       1,2
           scope of jurisdiction and instruct the witness not to
       13
           answer.
       14
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
12:50:02 15
                  Q What do you know about who reported it to the
       16
           police?
       17
                  MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
       18
       19
                  Q What do you know about who reported it to his
           superior, Norberto Rivera?
12:50:10 20
       21
                  MR. WOODS: What do you know about -- I missed a
       22
            word right there. What do you know about something.
       23
                  MR. ANDERSON: "Who." "Who."
                  MR. WOODS: "Who"?
        24
12:50:23 25
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
```

```
THE WITNESS: I'm not certain about the Diocese
12:48:16
           of Tehuacan, but we did have conversation and
           collaborate with the Los Angeles Police Department in
12:48-26
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q Who is "we"?
                  MR. WOODS: No, no. He said during the time he
           was working here --
                  THE WITNESS: Oh
12:48:31 10
                  MR. WOODS: -- which would have been --
                  THE WITNESS: Prior.
                  MR. WOODS: -- before January 9.
                  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I
           misunderstood. No. I had no -- no knowledge of that
12:48:39 15
       16
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Are you aware that the law enforcement
       17
       18
           detectives that were investigating Nicolas Aguilar on
       19
           the report made of sexual abuse would have arrested him
           immediately based on the information given them?
12:49:03 20
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
       21
                  MR. WOODS: I agree it calls for speculation. I
           also object as beyond the issues of jurisdiction and
       23
           instruct the witness not to answer.
       24
12:49:16 25 BY MR. ANDERSON:
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
12:50:25
                  MR. SELSBERG: What do you know about who
        2
           reported the information to his superior, Norberto
        3
           Rivera, was the question.
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. Do you know anything other
12:50:31
       5
          than what you've already testified to?
                  THE WITNESS: No.
           BY MR. ANDERSON
                  Q So as far as you know, this letter before
           you, Exhibit 30, is the only communication, that you're
        9
12:50:46 3.0
          aware of, that was sent to Norberto Rivera concerning
          the -- the sexual abuse?
       11
                  MR. WOODS: I'm not sure that's what he said, but
       12
       13
           go ahead. You can answer that.
                  THE WITNESS: I know about the letter. I'm not
       14
12:50:59 15
           sure what other communication took place.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       16
                  O What -- what other communication took place
       17
       18
           or do you have reason to believe took place?
       19
                  A No. I just said I don't know what other
12:51:10 20
           communication took place.
       21
                  Q Were you trying to keep this information or
       22
           under your direction was Monsignor Curry trying to keep
       23
           this information from Aquilar's superior?
       24
                  A Not that I'm aware of.
12:51:33 25
                  MR. WOODS: There's some confusion because I
```

10.61.05		and the same and t
12:51:35	1	think your questions are understood by the witness as
	2	relating to this immediate report. If you look at the
	3	documents we've produced, you'll see quite a few reports
	4	to Bishop Rivera subsequent.
12:51:55	5	MR. ANDERSON: After he's left the country.
	6	MR. WOODS: Subsequent to this date here.
	7	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	8	Q When did Nicolas Aguilar leave the country,
	9	Cardinal?
12:52:04	10	MR, WOODS: I don't know
	11	MR. WATERS: You're sworn. I don't think that
	12	MR. WOODS: Yeah. Okay. What's the question?
	23	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	14	Q Cardinal, when did Nicolas Aguilar leave the
12:52:12	15	Archdiocese of L.A.?
	16	MR. WOODS: I object to the question as beyond
	17	the scope of jurisdiction and instruct the witness not
	18	to answer.
	19	BY MR. ANDERSON:
12:52:18	20	Q When did Nicolas Aguilar return to Mexico?
	21	MR. WOODS: Same obstruction, same answer.
	22	Same same objection, same instruction. Why don't you
	23	ask him if he knows when he left.
	24	BY MR. ANDERSON:
12:52:32	25	Q Who facilitated or sided him in his return
		I

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
12:53:53
           BY MR. ANDERSON
                  Q was he involved in this in any way?
                  MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
12:54:04
                  Q Do you know if Stephen Blaire or -- or any
            other official had any other conversations with -- or
            made any attempts to contact the Mexican authorities?
                  MR. WOODS: Mexican police authorities or Mexican
12:54:22 10
                  MR. ANDERSON: Mexican church authorities.
       11
                  MR. WOODS: I will let him answer that.
       12
                  THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of, but I don't
       13
           know
       14
12:54:29 15
                  Q Was there any attempt to -- by Archdiocesan
       16
            officials to contact Nexican police authorities?
       17
                  MR. WOODS: Object to the question as beyond the
            scope of this deposition and instruct the witness not to
       18
       19
12:54:42 20
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       21
                  Q Was there any attempt by you or anybody at
       22
           Your request to keep Aguilar in the country so he would
           not go back to Mexico?
                   MR. WOODS: Argumentative, beyond the scope of
12:54:52 25
           jurisdiction, instruct the witness not to answer.
```

```
12:52:35
             1
                from L.A. to Mexico?
                        MR. WOODS: I object to the question as beyond
                 the scope of the jurisdictional issues and instruct the
                 witness not to answer.
12:52:45
                        MR. HABEL: It's argumentative.
                        MR. SELSBERG: And it assumes facts not in
                 evidence.
                 BY MR. ANDERSON:
                        Q What was Monsignor Curry's role in -- beyond
      12:52:56 10
                 advising him that he was under suspicion and a full
             11
                investigation was to be taking place, role in Aquilar's
                 departure from L.A.?
             12
                        MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
             13
             14
                 BY MR. ANDERSON:
     12:53:13 15
                        Q Was Auxiliary Bishop or Father Stephen Blaire
                 involved in Nicolas Aquilar's departure in any way?
             16
                        MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
             17
                 BY MR. ANDERSON:
             18
             19
                        O Did you ever discuss with Stephen Blaire the
      12:53:28 20
                 suspicions of sexual abuse by Nicolas Aguilar?
                        MK. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
             21
                 BY MR. ANDERSON:
             22
             23
                        O Did Stephen Blaire -- was Stephen Blaire at
             24
                 this time in residence at St. Bernadette's?
                        MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
      12:53:49 25
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
12:54:54
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Did the Archdiocesan officials advise the
            police that he was staying with -- that Nicolas Aguilar
            was staying with members -- with members of his family
12:55:08
           and had an intention to return to Mexico?
                  MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Did Archdiocesan officials of L.A. advise
            Norberto Rivera or his people that Nicolas Aguilar was
 12:55:23 10
           here and intending to return to Mexico and seek their
           help in keeping him here?
        11
        12
                   MR. WOODS: Other than this letter,
        13
           Exhibit RIV 30?
        14
                   MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
12:55:35 15
                   THE WITNESS: I just don't know.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
        16
        17
                   Q On January 11th, police records indicate that
        18
            Sister Renee reported to police. Are you aware of that?
                   MR. WOODS: Object to the question as beyond the
 12:55:51 20
            scope of jurisdiction and instruct the witness not to
        21
            answer.
            BY MR. ANDERSON:
        22
        23
                   Q I'm going to hand you Exhibit 101. This is a
        24
            compilation of police investigative records and files
 12:56:14 25
           and -- in connection with Nicolas Aguilar and ask you a
```

12:56:21	1	few questions about it.
	2	(Whereupon, Exhibit 101 was introduced and
	3	marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
	4	Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
12:56:23	5	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	6	Q First, have you ever reviewed any police
	7	records pertaining to this matter?
	8	A No.
	9	Q Okay. So if I show you amy of these, it will
12:56:31	10	have been the first time you saw any of them?
	11	A That's correct.
	12	Q Okay. Why don't I direct you to the last
	13	page of this one.
	14	MR. WOODS: It's Exhibit 101.
12:56:42	15	MR. ANDERSON: Page 61, last page.
	16	MR. WOODS: Last page is a Hotmail message, which
	17	bears the number 82 on it.
	18	MR. ANDERSON: No. Page 61.
	19	MR. WOODS: Oh, I'm sorry. Page 61. Okay.
12:57:08	20	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	21	Q This is from the police reports. And there
	22	was some complaints appended to it, so this is from the
	23	police reports, page 61 in the police reports.
	24	MR. WOODS: You're representing this is from the
12:57:20	25	a LAPD police report?

HABN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

12:58:29	1	MR. WOODS: On what date, again?
	2	MR. ANDERSON: January 8th.
	3	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
	4	BY MR. ANDERSON:
12:58:36	5	. Q Then it goes on to state, "On January 9th,
	6	1988, Monsignor Curry confronted Father Aguilar with the
	7	allegations.
	8	On January 9th, did anybody from the L.A.
	9	Archdiocese contact Norberto Rivera or attempt to
12:59:02	10	contact Norberto Rivera or anybody in his diocese to
	11	advise them of these allegations before meeting with
	12	Father Nicolas Aguilar?
	13	A I'm not aware.
	14	Q It goes on to state, "and he relieved him of
12:59:25	15	priestly duties pending an investigation."
	16	It then goes on to state, "Later that day,
	17	January 9th, 1988, the suspect told a cousin that he had
	18	ro go to Mexico immediately due to a sick family member
	19	and asked "blank" to drive him to the Tijuana airport."
12:59:56	20	Do you know how Father Aguilar got to the
	21,	arport and out of the country?
	22	A No.
	23	Q Who in the L.A. Archdiocese may know that?
	24	MR. WOODS: I'm going to hold on. I'm going
Q1:00:12	25	to object to the question as beyond the scope of the

```
12:57:28
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes
                  MR. WOODS: Okav.
                  Q Do you have page 61 before you, Cardinal?
12:57:32
                  Q It states, "On January 8th, 1988, Mrs.
           "blank" contacted Our Lady of Guadelupe Church and
           revealed the allegations of molestation. The pastor,
           Father McClean, immediately notified Monsignor Curry.
12:57:51 10
                      Did you know that to have been the case?
       11
                  MR. WOODS: Object to the question as beyond the
           scope of jurisdiction or with Mexican nationals who are
           defendants and instruct the witness not to answer.
       13
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       14
12:58:03 15
                  Q To your knowledge, did anybody --
                  MR. WOODS: You know, to save time, I'll
       16
           stipulate that all questions as to what happened --
       17
                  MR. ANDERSON: No. I don't want you to
       16
       19
           stipulate. I want to get the answer.
12:58:10 20
                  MR. WOODS: -- are beyond the scope of this
           deposition.
       21
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       22
                  Q On the same date, Cardinal, did anybody from
       23
           the L.A. Archdiocese contact or attempt to contact
       24
12:58:22 25 Norberto Rivera Aguilar, Nicolas Aguilar's superior?
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
jurisdictional issues and instruct the witness not to
01:00:14
           answer. It also calls for speculation.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q I'm going to direct your attention to page 24
           of this document. Page 24 is handwritten.
01:00:34
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. I'm just trying to see where
           this report starts.
                  Q Okay. Directing your attention to the top of
01:01:26 10
           it, in handwriting, it says "Los Angeles Archdiocese.
           Curry said Aguilar stated he was going to return to
       11
       12
           Mexico at the first of the week, " period.
       13
                      Did you or Monsignor Curry inform anybody in
       14
           Mexico, Norberto Rivera, or in Tehuacan of Aguilar's
           expressed intention to return to Mexico?
01:01:56 15
                  MR. WOODS: Other than RIV 30?
       16
                  THE WITNESS: No. Just the letter.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Did you or anybody at your direction request
       19
01:02:24 20
           Norberto Rivera, the bishop, to intervene here so that a
           full investigation could be done?
       22
                   MR. WOODS: I'm sorry. I was reading this thing.
           I wasn't paying attention.
       23
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       24
01:02:35 25
                   Q Did you or anybody at your request contact
```

01:02:39 1 Norberto Rivera so that a full investigation could be done before Nicolas Aguilar leaves the country? 3 MR. HABEL: Asked and answered, but --MR. WOODS: It's -- the question assumes that he 01:02:57 5 knows when he did leave the country, and so it's nearly impossible to answer. MR. ANDERSON: Well, let's let the witness answer, Don. Okay? MR. WOODS: Okay. So --01:03:13 10 BY MR. ANDERSON: 1.1 Q Do you understand the question, Cardinal? 12 A I don't. 13 Q Okay. It says here, "Curry said Aguilar 14 stated he was going to return to Mexico at the first of 01:03:20 1.5 the week.* Do you see that? 16 A I see that. 17 Q Okay. Aguilar says to Curry "I'm going to 18 leave for Mexico the first of the week." We know he's 19 under investigation. 01:03:31 20 My question to you is did anybody from the 21 Archdiocese notify Tehuacan that they should keep him 22 here so that a full investigation could be done by L.A. 23 Archdiocese and the police? 24 MR. WOODS: Okay. I will object to all the 01:03:45 25 argumentative parts of that question.

146

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
01:05:24 1
                 MR. WOODS: Object to the question as beyond the
          scope of jurisdiction and instruct the witness not to
          answer.
        4 BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q At this time on January 8th through
01:05:34
        5
          January 11th, 1988, were you laboring under the belief
           that Norberto Rivera was not accessible to you and your
           designees by telephone?
                 MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the question
           as beyond the scope of jurisdiction and instruct the
01:05:54 10
           witness not to answer.
       11
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       12
                  Q Was there something, Cardinal, that kept you
       13
       14 from making the call that Ann Curry made as reflected in
01:06:07 15 this report to Norberto Rivera?
       16
                 MR. WOODS: I object to the question as beyond
       17 (the scope of jurisdiction and instruct the witness not
       18 to answer.
       19 KBY MR. ANDERSON:
01:06:15 20
                  Q Was there something that kept Monsignor Curry
       21 From making the call?
                  MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
        22
        23
                  MR. ANDERSON: Let's take a break.
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. The time is
        24
01:06:39 25
           1:06.
```

```
01:03:47
                      Okay. I will let you answer the question did
           anyone from the Archdiocese, to your knowledge, contact
           anyone from the Diocese of Tehuacan to ask them to
        3
           instruct this priest to stay until there was an
01:04:03 - 5
           investigation?
                 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.
        6
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q Go back to page 61 of the police
           investigation. At the second paragraph, it says.
01-04-23 10 During this investigation, several reporters of the
       11 news media reported the allegations against Father
       12 Aquilar to the public. One of the reporters, Ann Curry,
       13 of KCBS-TV contacted detectives and stated she called
       14 Father Aquilar's diocese in Mexico and spoke with a
01:04:47 15
           Bishop Norberto Rivera.
       16
                      "Bishop Rivera reportedly confronted Father
          Aquilar about the allegations, and father Aquilar
       17
       18
           reportedly resigned from the priesthood."
       29
                      My question to you is are you aware that Ann
01:05:09 20
           Curry called up Tehuacan and actually talked to Norberto
       21
           Rivera as reported by the police?
       22
                  MR. WOODS: Objection. Object to the
       23
           question as --
       24
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
01:05:23 25
            evidence.
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUTTE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
01:06:39
                      (Recess taken from 1:06 p.m. until
           1:17 p.m.)
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record. The time is
01:17:15
       5
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Let's go back to the declaration of Norberto
           Rivera, 100A. Do you have it there?
                  Q Okay. At page 4, number 14, line 25, he
           states, "Never did I, quote, transfer, unquote, Father
01:17:50 10
           Aguilar to the Los Angeles Archdiocese."
       11
                      How would you describe, using your words and
       12
       13
           under your understanding of Canon Law and procedure,
           what was -- if it wasn't a transfer, what was the
       14
01:18:15 15
           movement of Nicolas Aguilar from Tehuacan to Archdiocese
       16
           of L.A. if it wasn't a transfer?
       17
                  A He was recommending him for temporary service
       18
       19
                  Q So you wouldn't use the word "transfer"?
                      No, I would not.
01:18:37 20
                  Q Okay. You would use the term what to
       21
        22
           describe the movement and reassignment of him from
        23
           Tehuacan to L.A? A reassignment?
        24
                  A No. I think it would be a release from
01:18:58 25
           Tehuacan to serve temporarily for a year in Los Angeles.
```

-	- 1	
01:19:03	1	Q Okay. He then states, *As bishop of the
	2	diocese, under the Code of Canonical Law, I did not have
	3	the authority to transfer Father Aguilar or any priest
	4	outside of Tehuacan.*
01:19:18	5	He did have authority, did he not, to
	6	authorize his assignment in this diocese with your
	7	permission?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And Without Bishop Rivera Rivera
01:19:44	10	specifically requesting and authorizing it, he could not
	11	legitimately serve in your Archdiocese, correct?
	12	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
	13	evidence, calls for speculation.
	14	MR. WOODS: Okay. There's two parts there.
01:20:00	15	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. I think you're looking at
	16	that and not listening to the question.
	17	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	18	Q Is that correct?
	19	A No. You had two two pieces of
01:20:07	20	Q Okay. Let me just is it it's correct
	21	that Nicolas Aguilar could not serve in a parish in L.A.
	22	and you would not allow him to serve in a parish in L.A.
	23	unless Cardinal Rivera specifically requested and
	24	authorized it?
01:20:28	25	MR. SELSEERG: Objection; assumes facts not in

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
01:21:39
                      At any time, did he warn you that Aquilar
           suffered from homosexual problems before he was
          permitted to serve here?
                  A No.
01:21:49
                  Q If he had warned you of homosexual problems.
           would you have permitted him to serve?
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
                  THE WITNESS: No.
           BY MR. ANDERSON
01:21:59 10
                  Q Why not?
                  A Because, first of all, we don't accept
          priests with -- who are not our own, from outside, with
           any kind of blemish or problems as a matter of course.
                  MR. WOODS: At that time?
01:22:17 15
                  THE WITNESS: At that time, yes.
                  Q At paragraph 17 of the declaration, it states
       18
              -- Cardinal Rivera states, "I did not attempt to
            acilitate Father Aguilar's return to Mexico. Even if I
01:23:15 20
          had desired Father Aguilar's return, I did not have the
       21 authority to force him to return.
       22
                     In your experience and -- both Canon Law and
           the authority given an Ordinary, it is correct that the
           Ordinary can, under the Canons, direct that a priest go
01:23:42 25
          to any given location, be it a parish, a school, or a
```

```
01:20:29
                 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not sure requested and
           asked. I think it's recommended --
           BY MR. ANDERSON-
01:20:38
                      Recommended. Okay. Recommended.
                      Yes.
                 Q
                      Unless Bishop Rivera recommended it?
                      Okay.
                            And is that another word for
01:20:48
      10
           "authorize." "recommend"?
       11
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection.
       12
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q I mean it's under his authority that the
           priest is serving. Bishop Rivera is the one that has
01:20:57 15
           authority to make the recommendation, correct?
                  A Yes.
       17
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; vague, misleading.
       18
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Is that correct, Cardinal?
01:21:05 20
       21
                      He goes on to state, "Rather, I granted
           Father Aguilar permission to serve in Los Angeles on the
           condition that Cardinal Mahony first accept him for said
           service, and I warned Cardinal Mahony of my suspicion
01:21:27 25
           that Father Aguilar Suffered from homosexual problems."
```

151

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

01:23:47	1	country, correct?
	2	A Yes, he can direct.
	3	Q It is his authority?
	4	A Yes.
01:23:53	5	Q And the priest, under Canon Law and as a part
	6	of his obligations to his bishop, is required to follow
	7	that instruction, is he not?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And if he doesn't follow such an instruction,
01:24:11	10	the priest can and would under Canon Law be subject to
	11	sanctions, including complete removal of all faculties
	12	to minister?
	13	MR. WOODS: I'm going to object. It's compound.
	14	"Could" and "would" are two different very different
01:24:28	15	things.
	16	THE WITNESS: The bishop could sanction the
	17	priest.
	18	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	19	Q And for noncompliance with an order?
01:24:41	20	A Yes.
	21	Q And if at the time of the discovery of the
	22	sexual molestation by Nicolas Aguilar, did the L.A.
	23	Archdiocese have the authority to order him back to
	24	Mexico?
61:25:22	25	A No.

		•
01:25:26	1	Q Did Norberto Rivera?
	2	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
	3	evidence.
	4	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:25:31	5	Q As as his Ordinary?
	6	A He could order him to be returned.
	7	Q Did Norberto Rivera also have authority to
	Ð	order him to stay pending investigation?
	9	A Yes.
01:25:51	10	Q And if he refused to stay and comply with
	11	such an order, is it also correct that Norberto Rivera
	12	had the authority to revoke all of his faculties to
	13	minister as a priest anywhere?
	14	A He would have authority to take away his
01:26:14	15	faculties from Tehuacan.
	16	Q As a priest of Tehuacan?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q And the only faculties that that Aguilar
	19	Rivera has are faculties given to him by his home
01:26:29	20	diocese Tehuacan?
	21	A Plus some generic ones from Code of Canon
	22	Law.
	23	Q Yeah. But in effect, the bishop has the
	24	authority to revoke the faculties of any priest under
01:26:43	25	his his control?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 22626

01:28:28	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And the responsibility of his bishop to
	3	continue his faculties if he so choose?
	4]	A Yes.
01:28:50	5	Q When Nicolas Aguilar first served, it was at
	6	Our Lady of Guadelupe? He went to Our Lady of Guadelupe
	7	and then was moved to St. Agatha's. What do you know
	8	about that?
	9	MR. WOODS: Object. The question is beyond the
01:29:05	10	scope of jurisdiction over Mexican nationals and
	11	instruct the witness not to answer.
	12	MR. SELSBERG: I object. It's vague.
	13	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	14	Q Why don't we look at I'm going to show you
01:29:50	15	Exhibit 38.
	16	MR. WATERS: Thirty-six, thirty-seven,
	17	mirty-eight.
	18	MR. ANDERSON: And it's actually appended, 36,
	19	35, and 38. Thirty-eight would be the English
01:30:01	20	franslation received from Tehuacan lawyers, I presume.
	21	(Whereupon, Exhibit 36 was introduced and
	22	marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
	23	Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
	24	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:30:14	25	Q And this is a letter from you, is it not, to

	1	
01:26:44	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And short of removal from the clerical state,
	3	it is the bishop that has the ability to tell him what
	4	to do and when to do it, where to go and how how to
01:26:55	5	get there?
	6	λ Yes.
	7	Q Okay. At line okay. At line 24, it
	8	states, "To my knowledge, Father Aguilar remains in
	9	Mexico.* And there is evidence that Father Aguilar, on
01:27:25	10	his departure from L.A., continues to this day in
	11	ministry and continued at least for years in ministry
	12	in in Mexico.
	13	If Norberto Rivera, based on the information
	14	you gave him in your letter, didn't want him to continue
01:27:52	15	in ministry, it was Norberto Rivera's obligation to
	16	revoke his faculties to minister, correct?
	17	MR. WOODS: Okay. That was quite a question.
	18	There's a long preamble, and the question wanders a bit.
	19	Do you want to rephrase it, make it nice and concise?
01:28:12	20	MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
	21	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	22	Q If if if Nicolas Aguilar continued in
	23	ministry after his departure from L.A., it was the
	24	responsibility of his bishop to revoke his faculties,
01:28:27	25	was it not?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (300) 660-3137 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
01:30:19
                    And this is sent by you in your official
           capacity as the Ordinary --
01:30:30
                  A Yes.
                  Q
                      -- to him in his official capacity as the
           Ordinary?
                  Q And why did you write the letter, Cardinal?
01:30:50 10
                  MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the form --
       11
       12
                      I'm going to object to the question as beyond
       13
           the scope of the jurisdictional issues and instruct the
       14
           witness not to answer. His state of mind has nothing to
01:31:05 15
           do with jurisdiction.
       16
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       17
                  Q At this point in time, do you know what
       18
           Cardinal Rivera did in response to this letter?
       19
                  A No.
01:31:29 20
                  \ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}} . At the time that you wrote this letter,
       21
           Nicolas Aguilar was under the exclusive control of
       22
           Bishop Rivera as his Ordinary, was he not?
       23
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
        24
01:31:45 25
                   MR. WOODS: I'm going to object that it's beyond
```

154

01:31:47	1	the scope of the jurisdictional issues and instruct the
	2	witness not to answer.
	3	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	4	Q Did you write this letter knowing that Bishop
01:31:59	5	Rivera had the power to order Nicolas Aguilar back to
	6	the U.S. for investigation?
	7	λ Yes.
	8	Q And you're aware that you wrote this letter
	9	to get him to get Nicolas Aguilar back here so the
01:32:19	10	investigation could continue, correct?
	11	A As well as contacting his relatives, yes.
	12	Q Yes. And at this point in time that you
	13	wrote the letter or at any time before this, was there
	14	anything that prevented from Nicolas excuse me
01:32;41	15	that prevented Bishop Rivera from sending such a letter
	16	to the other bishops in Mexico warning them that this
	17	guy was a molester?
	18	MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the question
	19	as beyond the scope of the jurisdictional issues and
01:32:56	50	instruct the witness not to answer.
	21	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	22	Q Is there anything under Canon Law that
	23	prevented Bishop Rivers from cooperating with the
	24	extradition of Nicolas Aguilar back to the U.S. for
01:33:17	25	prosecution?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

	. }	
01:34:45	1	MR. WOODS: Object. Beyond the scope of the
	2	jurisdictional issues and instruct the witness not to
	3	answer.
	4	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:34:55	5	Q Bishop, as as an Ordinary, you have the
	6	ability to locate priests under your control, do you
	7	not?
	8	MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
	9	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:35:07	10	Q And to investigate both their whereabouts and
	11	their activities, at least the priests under your
	12	control, correct?
	13	MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
	14	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:35:17	15	Q At the time you wrote this letter, you were
	16	urging Norberto Rivera to take actions to prevent other
	17	kids from being harmed, were you not?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And at this time, besides the civil
01:35:35	20	authorities, Bishop Rivera was the one who had the most
	21	control over Nicolas Aguilar because he was a priest of
	22	Tehuacan?
	23	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
	24	MR. WOODS: I agree. Calls for speculation.
01:35:54	25	It's also beyond the scope of jurisdictional issues and
		1

```
01:33:18
                 MR. WOODS: Same objection, same instruction.
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q In the letter, you state at the third
           paragraph, second sentence, "This priest must be
       5
01:33:39
          arrested and returned to Los Angeles to suffer the
           consequence of his immoral actions, * correct?
                 A I'm not -- the word -- I didn't use
           "arrested." I used "detained and returned."
                 Q My copy says "arrested."
                 MR. WATERS: No. He wrote in Spanish.
01:34:04 10
       11
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       12
                 Q Oh, you wrote in Spanish?
       13
                 A I wrote the letter in Spanish.
                 Q I'm sorry. Oh, yeah.
       14
                     I'm looking at the Spanish version.
01:34:09 15
                 Q I got you. Okay. "Detained" instead of
       16
           "arrested."
       17
       18
                 A Yeah.
       19
                 Q Okay. And, in fact, there's a number of
81:34:21 23
          things that Bishop Rivera could do that you didn't have
       21
           the power to do to both get this guy back here and to
       22
           prevent other kids from being harmed in Mexico?
       23
                 MR. WOODS: Objection.
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation
       24
01:34:43 25
           and assumes facts not in evidence.
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
01:35:57 1
           instruct the witness not to answer.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q Prior to this letter, had you had any
           telephone conversations with Norberto Rivera?
                 A I recall talking to him once about this
01:36:19
       5
           matter.
                 Q Okay. Tell us about that. Did you call him?
                    I called him. I can't remember. It was
           sometime during when all this was becoming public.
01:36:33 10
                    It was 1988?
                  Q
       11
                     Yes.
                    And you reached Amedes (phonetic) chancellery
       12
                  0
       13
           in Tehuacan?
                     Whatever the number was given to us, yes.
       14
01:36:46 15
                  Q In the Catholic directory, if I want to call
       16
           the bishop, it's right -- the number of the chancellery
           is listed there in the Official Catholic Directory,
       17
       18
       19
                  A Well, this is -- yes. The worldwide one.
01:36:56 20
                  Q And the -- that's where I was going. There's
           a worldwide directory. If you want to call a
       21
       22
           chancellery or a bishop or his secretary, there's always
       23
           a number right there. You can just look it up, right?
       24
                  A Yes.
01:37:06 25
                  Q And I presume that's what you did when you
```

		•
01:37:08	1	contacted him?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q You looked it up or had somebody look it up
	4	for you?
01:37:11	5	A Yes.
	6	Q And you reached him on telephone, right?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q And you talked to him in Spanish?
	9	λ Yes.
01:37:17	10	Q And what did you say to him?
	11	A Basically, our concern for the harm that he
	12	has caused here, our great desire to find him and to get
	13	him returned to los Angeles to face the charges.
	14	Q And did you basically express to him the same
01:37:43	15	sentiments and information contained in the letter of
	16	March 4th, 1988, here?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q And was this conversation before March 4th of
	19	'88 or after, Cardinal?
01:37:59	20	A It's my recollection that it was before.
	21	Q Okay. And is that what prompted you to write
	22	the letter, because you didn't get a satisfactory
	23	response from him?
	24	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; mischaracterizes his
01:38:11	25	testimony.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (809) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

01-20-24	٠, }	using in hims no the higher of Mahurana he could have
01:39:34	- 1	point in time as the bishop of Tehuacan, he could have
	2	written to other bishops in Mexico and said *I've got a
	3	priest who I'm trying to locate" and seek information
	4	from the other the bishops in Mexico the same way you
-: 01:39:48	5	wrote this letter to him, right?
	6	MR. WOODS: Object that it goes beyond the scope
	7	of the jurisdictional issues and instruct the witness
	8	not to answer.
	9	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:40:06	10	Q In fact, on March 4th, 1988, the same date,
	11	you Exhibit 53 that you produced here
	12	MR. WATERS: That's B-53, for the record.
	13	MR. ANDERSON: B-53. Let's go to that. Do you
	14	have a copy okay. This is B this would be B-53,
01:40:36	15	We didn't have a copy of this, so this would be the
	16	first time we got this. But do you have a clean copy,
	17	Don, that we can use for and mark as an exhibit?
	16	MR. WATERS: In English.
	19	MR. WOODS: What?
01:40:50	20	MR. ANDERSON: In English.
	21	MR. WOODS: What? I have I probably have
	22	another set. Let's see.
	23	MR. HABEL: No. He wants an English version of
	24	53.
01:40:57	25	MR. WOODS: Oh, English version. No.

```
01:38:15
                 THE WITNESS: No. I just wanted to make sure it
          was in writing, that he knew exactly what I was asking
          for.
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:38:21
       5
                 Q Okay: When you explained to him what you
          just did, that there was a serious problem and the
          information that's contained in this letter by
          telephone, what was his response to you, Cardinal?
                 A He seemed quite disturbed and upset by it.
01:38:41 1.0
                 Q Did you ask him to take action?
       11
                     He -- before he asked -- before I could ask
       12
          that, he told me he didn't know where he was.
       13
                 Q Did you tell him that you can find him?
                     No.
01:39:01 15
                 Q And when he told you that he didn't know
       16
           where he was, did you consider that satisfactory
       17
          information under the circumstances?
       18
                 A I explained to him -- because I did it in the
       19
          letter -- "Then if you don't know where he is, can you
           get us names and addresses of relatives of his?"
01:39:17 20
       21
                 Q And did he do that?
       22
                 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
       23
                 THE WITNESS: No.
       24
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:39:32 25
                 Q And if he didn't know where he was at this
```

163

	_	
01:41:00	1	MR. ANDERSON: No.
	2	MR. WOODS: I don't.
	3	MR. ANDERSON: Don't have it. Okay.
	4	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:41:02	5	Q Well, let's look at what we called 8-53,
01111.02	6	Cardinal. This is actually a letter from you to the
	7	bishop in Cuernavaca, is it not?
	8	A Correct.
	9	O And that's another diocese in Mexico.
01:41:29	10	A Yes.
01.41.23	11	O Is it not?
	12	And, in fact, in March of 1988, you took it
	13	upon yourself to write another bishop, warning him that
	14	this priest was a molester. And what is your why did
01:41:53	15	you write to this bishop?
01.41.33	16	MR. WOODS: Okay. All right. There's a long
	17	preamble, some conclusions, some arguments, then the
	18	question. So the question, you should understand it and
	19	answer only why did you write
01:42:07	20	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:42:07	21	
	22	
	-	That's the question.
	23	MR. WOODS: Okay. Why did you write to the
04 40 10	24	bishop of Cuernavaca?
01:42:13	25	THE WITNESS: Because we had heard that he had

01:42:15	1	relatives there and, therefore, might go there.
	2	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	3	Q Okay. And you did not cc this to Norberto
	4	Rivera. Is that because you already told him that?
01:42:35	5	A I I don't recall why I didn't cc him.
	6	Q And and then Exhibic 54
	7	A Some of these are duplicates.
	8	Q Okay.
	9	MR. WATERS: That was our confusion, as well,
01:42:55	10	Cardinal. Thanks.
	11	MR. ANDERSON: It looks like on March 4th, 1988,
	12	Exhibit 55 and S6B is the one that we were already
	13	talking about, Okay.
	14	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:44:07	15	Q Cardinal, I'm putting before you what was
	16	marked under the production documents Exhibit 42?
	17	MR. WATERS: Actually, it's 40 through 43.
	18	MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
	19	(Whereupon, Exhibit 40 was introduced and
01:44:21	20	marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
	21	Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
	22	BY ME. ANDERSON:
	23	Q And I direct your attention to the one that
	24	is marked 42 and 43, which would be an English
01:44:29	25	translation, I believe, provided by Tehuacan.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

01:45:33	1	apparently the same is the case for Exhibit 36 through
	2	39, Counsel?
	3	MR. WOOTEN: Correct.
	4	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.
01:45:42	5	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	6	Q Okay. Cardinal, this is dated March 17th,
	7	1988. This came from the file of Tehuacan, obviously.
	8	And it's marked "Confidential" right under the address
	9	to you, correct?
01:46:02	10	A Yes.
	11	Q And you did receive this letter?
	12	A I did.
	13	Q Are there any letters that other than the
	14	one that you referred to that you did you you
01:46:17	15	claim not to have received from Norberto Rivera? Are
	16	you aware of any correspondence other than one letter
	17	that you didn't get from Norberto Rivera that he claims
	18	to have sent?
	19	A No.
01:46:37	20	Q Okay. Looking at this one, it says, *Upon
	21	Deceiving your letter today, I'm responding immediately
	22	to thank you for the information regarding Father
	23	Nicolas Aguilar Rivera. It's been very painful for me
	24	to receive this information from the Curia." What is a
01:46:58	25	Curia?

```
Is that correct, Counsel?
                 MR. SELSBERG: I don't know what you're talking
                 MR. ANDERSON: Is this the translation?
                 MR. SELSBERG: What are you talking about, what
                 MR. WATERS: The documents produced with Bates
           numbers RIV numbers, are those the documents that were
           produced by either Tehuacan or Cardinal Rivers in
01:44:52 10
           response to discovery requests?
                 MR. SELSBERG: Yeah. If they were marked RIV,
          it's one of the two. But I don't know what -- what do
          You mean by "the English translation."
                 MR. WATERS: This document is a letter dated
01:45:05 15
                 MR. SELSBERG: We didn't -- we didn't have those
           translations done. They were in a file.
                 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. So the English -- the
           English version, Exhibit 42 and 43, were in the file
01:45:19 20
           intact. This is not a translation done by you?
       21
                 MR. SELSBERG: It's not the one that we did.
       22
                  MR. ANDERSON: Okav.
       23
                  MR. WOOTEN: And that would hold for the last
       24
           one, as well, 36 through 39.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Okay. For the record,
01:45:31 25
```

167

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
01:47:00	2	A Curia. They use that word for in Mexico
	2	particularly for chancellery office.
	3	Q Okay.
	4	A Bishop's Office.
01:47:08	5	Q That doesn't refer to the office of the Holy
	6	See, then?
	7	A No.
	8	Q Okay. "And from U.S. and Mexican press."
	9	At the last paragraph on this on this full
01:47:28	10	paragraph on this page beginning with "The priest's
	11	parents," I'd like you to go down and address the
	12	sentence I'll read it to you, then ask you a
	13	question.
	14	It states, "You will understand that I'm not
01:47:44	15	in a position to find him, much less force him to return
	16	and appear in court.*
	17	Cardinal, is it correct to say that as a
	18	bishop, he is in a position to attempt to locate them
	19	through his resources, and if he does, order him to
01:48:18	20	return to the U.S. and appear in court?
	21	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; compound, calls for
	22	speculation.
	23	MR. WOODS: I am going to object to the question
	24	as beyond the scope of the jurisdictional facts and
01:48:34	25	instruct the witness not to answer. Plus, we've plowed
)

01:48:38	1	this territory about a hundred times already.
	2	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	3	Q Do you have any knowledge of Norberto Rivera
	4	making any effort to either locate him or cause him to
01:49:05	5	return to the U.S. and answer for his crimes?
	6	MR. WOODS: Any effort other than reflected in
	7	these letters?
	8	MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
	9	THE WITNESS: No.
01:49:13	10	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	11	Q The next one is that we'll be showing you
	12	here, Cardinal, is Exhibit 44 and the second page, 45,
	13	being the English version, and 46 and 47, the Spanish.
	14	(Whereupon, Exhibit 44 was introduced and
01:50:17	15	marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
	16	Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
	17	MR. ANDERSON: And this English version would be
	18	in the file of Tehuacan?
	19	MR. SELSBERG: Correct.
01:50:27	20	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.
	21	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	22	Q And referring to the English version because
	23	it's sent you you sent it did you send it in
	24	Spanish or English?
01:50:39	25	A I sent it in Spanish.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626 170

01:52:28	1	1987, nor any other information concerning, quote, the
	2	homosexual problems of the past, unquote.*
	3	A Of the priest.
	4	Q Of the priest. Excuse me.
01:52:42	5	A Correct.
	6	Q When had you learned that a March 23rd, 1987,
	7	letter had been claimed to have been sent?
	8	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; asked and answered.
	ا و	MR. WOODS: Do you understand the question?
01:53:19	10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	11	MR. WOODS: Okay.
	12	THE WITNESS: It was that letter of March 17,
	13	1988.
	1,4	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:53:25	15	Q That was your first knowledge of such a
	16	letter by Norberto?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q Okay. You state here, "I would like to tell
	19	gou I have not received any letter nor any other
01:53:37	20	information.* The next actually, the last full
	21	raragraph beginning with "I'm very confused," I'm going
	22	to read that and ask you a question.
	23	"I'm very confused because in your letter of
	24	January 27, '87, you did not mention any other personal
01:54:00	25	problem concerning Father Aguilar." It must be "If you

```
Q Okay. Did you need help to send it in
01:50:40
       2 Spanish, or are you fluent enough to have communicated
          this fully without help in Spanish?
                 A No. I did this myself.
                 Q What's your purpose in sending this letter to
01:51:09
           Norberto Rivera in March of '887
                 A This is my response to the letter you just
           went through from him, dated March 17th, 1988, and that
           very last -- next to last paragraph.
01:51:36 10
                 Q What do you mean "the next to last
       11
          paragraph*?
                 A "En la carta de presentacion" -- let's see
       12
          the English version here. "In the letter of
       13
       14 presentation, * that sentence.
01:51:54 15
                 Q Okay. Yeah. And so you're responding to
          his -- to his letter?
       16
       17
                 A Yes.
                 Q Okay. And in the first paragraph here at the
       18
       19
           last sentence, you state --
                 MR. WOODS: It's not going to be the first
01:52:14 20
       21 paragraph, I don't think.
       22 BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 Q well, the second paragraph, the last
       23
           sentence, you state, "I would like to tell you that I
       24
01:52:23 25 have not received any letter from you dated March 23rd,
```

171

		l	
1	01:54:06	1	had written me that Father Aguilar had some homosexual
·		2	problem, I assure you that we haven't received that in
		3	the Archdiocese. We have here in the Archdiocese of
		4	Los Angeles quite a clear plan of action: We do not
	01:54:25	5	admit priests with any homosexual problems.*
		6	At this point in time, where had it been
		7	written that there was a plan of action in the
		8	Archdiocese that you do not admit any priests with any
		9	homosexual problem?
	01:54:47	10	MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the question
		11	as beyond the scope of jurisdiction and instruct the
		12	witness not to answer.
		13	BY MR. ANDERSON:
		14	Q The next page or before that let's go
	Q1:55;13	15	to the next page. The first full paragraph of the next
		16	page begins by stating "I must stress that we now have a
		17	more serious situation."
		18	When you say "we," who are you referring to?
		19	A I'm presuming all of us here in the
	01:55:38	20	Archdiocese of Los Angeles.
		21	Q And when you say "now have a more serious
		22	situation, * it's more serious than what? What do you
		23	mean by that?
		24	A Basically, that we thought we were receiving
	01:56:09	25	a priest who was well recommended, and we discovered we
			The state of the s

01:56:14	1	have a very bad priest.
	2	Q Is it fair to say that it had become more
	3	serious because it now had become public and, thus, a
	4	scandal?
01:56:28	5	A No.
	6	Q Is it fair to say, Cardinal, that as an
	7	Ordinary, as a Cardinal Archbishop, you are required to
	8	avoid scandal under the Canons and the protocols you
	9	operate?
01:56:45	10	MR. WOODS: I'm going to object I'm going to
	11	object to the question as beyond the scope of the
	12	jurisdictional issues and instruct the witness not to
	13	answer.
	14	BY MR. ANDERSON:
01:56:51	15	Q Is it fair to say that the sexual molestation
	16	by a priest is considered under the church protocols to
•	17	be scandalous and kept to be dealt with by church
	18	authorities alone?
	19	MR. WOODS: Object to the question as beyond the
01:57:15	20	scope of the deposition and instruct the witness not to
	21	answer.
	22	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	23	Q In 1987 and 1988, was there a protocol in
	24	place that required priests and, in particular,
01:57:29	25	Ordinaries to avoid scandal and keep accusations of

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 668-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
01:58:58
       1
           we're done with the tape. We got to change tapes.
       2
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. The time is
        3
           1:59.
                      (Recess taken from 1:59 p.m. until
01:59:40
       5
           2:06 p.m.)
        6
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the time
        7
           is 2:06.
        8
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Cardinal, we're handing you now what's marked
02:07:02 1.0
           27.
       11
                  MR. WOODS: Thank you.
       12
                      (Whereupon, Exhibit 77 was introduced and
       13
           marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
       14
           Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
02:07:26 15
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       16
                  O This is a letter dated May 20th, 2004, is it
       17
       18
                  Ά
                      Yes.
       19
                  ø
                     And this is sent by you to the Diocese of
02:07:44 20 Tehuacan, is it not?
       21
                  A Correct.
       22
                  Q And you're asking them to send you the letter
       23
           that Norberto Rivera claimed to have sent you at the
       24
           time you received Nicolas Aguilar into this diocese,
02:08:10 25
           correct?
```

```
01:57:35
           sexual molestation among themselves?
                  MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the question
           as beyond the scope of jurisdiction and instruct the
           witness not to answer.
01:57:48
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Are you familiar with the 1962 document
           solicitation and a confessional promulgated by the
           Vatican that establishes a protocol for Keeping matters
           of sexual abuse secret and among church authorities?
01:58:10 10
                  MR. WOODS: I object to the question as beyond
           the scope of the jurisdictional issues and instruct the
           witness not to answer.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q If such a Vatican -- document had been issued
01:58:20 15
           by the papal office and the congregation of the doctrine
       16
           in 1962 and issued to all of the Ordinaries across the
           world, it would have applied to you, as an Ordinary, as
            well as Norberto Rivera?
                  MR. WOODS: Objection.
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation,
01:58:41 20
            assumes facts not in evidence.
                  MR. WOODS: Object to the question as compound,
            confusing, and beyond the scope of the jurisdictional
            issues and instruct the witness not to answer.
01:58:57 25
                   MR. ANDERSON: Okay. It looks like we got --
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
02:08:10
                      Yes.
                  Q
                      Why did you wait till 2004 to make this
        3
                  MR. WOODS: I'm going to object that the
02:08:20
           question's argumentative and assumes facts not in
           evidence.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Actually, on March 30th, 1988, you -- you
           asked him. Norberto Rivera, and you stated "It is urgent
02:08:44 10
           that you send me a copy of the letter dated March 23rd,
           1987. correct?
       11
       12
                  A Yes.
       13
                      And you never got a response from him, did
       14
02:08:52 1.5
                      No.
       16
                  Q
                      Do you know why?
       17
                  А
                      No.
        18
                  Q In that same letter, you said, "We have not
       19
            received it, and it's a grave situation because you knew
           on the 27th of January '87 that Father Aquilar had
02-09-07 20
        21
            homosexual problems, and you did not inform me or any
            officials of this Archdiocese in your first letter."
        22
        23
            That's what you wrote, isn't it?
        24
                  A Yes.
 02:09:26 25
                  O And you wrote to -- that in Spanish to him,
```

176

02:09:31	2	and I read from the English version, correct?
	2	, A Yes.
	3	Q And what response did you get from him?
	4	A To the best of my knowledge, I never received
02:09:47	5	any response.
	6	Q And in the absence of a response in 1988,
	7	what caused you, then, to write Exhibit 77 on May 20th,
	8	2004?
	9	A I believe this was a time when we discovered
02:10:09	10	there were some lawsuits involving this matter and that
	11	we had still never seen the letter of March 23rd, 1987.
	12	Q Did you think that that letter was lost or
	13	not sent by him?
	14	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
92:10:26	15	MR. WOODS: Calls for speculation and beyond the
	16	scope of the jurisdictional issues. Instruct the
	17	witness not to answer.
	18	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	19	Q Is it correct to say that Norberto Rivera
02:11:43	20	never sent you the March 23rd, 1987, letter?
	21	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
	22	MR. WOODS: That personally? He personally
	23	didn't send it?
	24	BY MR. ANDERSON:
02:11:58	25	Q What's your response to that question?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626 178

```
02:13:26 1
          that, you know --
       2
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
                 O You know, it's incomplete, but for our
          purposes, what is this, Cardinal?
                . A .. This is the response of my letter -- fax
02:13:40
      5
           letter of May 20th, 2004, to Bishop Espinosa, the
           then-bishop of Tehuacan.
                 Q And he attached the letter that you had now
           requested again in 2004, correct?
02:14:01 10
                 A Yes.
                     Okay. I'm showing you what has been marked
       11
       12
           48 now.
                      (Whereupon, Exhibit 48 was introduced and
       13
           marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
       14
           Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
02:15:14 15
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       16
                  Q This one is dated December 17th, 1993. This
       17
           has been produced to us by Tehuacan and -- from the
       18
           Archdiocese -- excuse me -- from Monsignor Rico, Vicar
       19
02:15:45 20 General, to Nicolas Aguilar Rivera, on Archdiocese of
       21 Mexico stationery, as I read it.
        22
                      And have you seen it before?
        23
                  A No.
                  O Okay. I read this to be an Archdiocese of
        24
02:16:10 25 Mexico document stating that Nicolas Aguilar is going to
```

```
02-12-00
                  Δ
                     The question, again, is --
                  ۵
                     Is it your belief that --
                  MR. WOODS: No.
           BY MR ANDERSON.
02:12:06
                  Q -- the March 23rd, 1987, letter, was never
                  MR. WOODS: Object to the question as calls for
           speculation and beyond the scope of the deposition and
           instruct the witness not to answer.
02:12:53 1.0
                  MR. ANDERSON: This is Exhibit 78.
       11
                      (Whereupon, Exhibit 78 was introduced and
       12
           marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
       13
          Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. It's not a complete document
02:13:02 1.5
          because it refers to an attachment, but I'll show it to
       16
          bim
       17
                  MR. ANDERSON: In the production that you gave
       18
           us, did you give us the complete one?
       19
                  MR, WOODS: Yes.
02:13:13 20
                  MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Well, this is -- this is
       21
          the copy we got from Tehuacan, so that's why we have it
       22
          this way.
                  MR. WOODS: Okay.
                  MR. ANDERSON: So -- and again, we haven't
02:13:23 25
           reviewed the production you gave today, but I'll trust
```

177

	ſ	
02:16:14	1	be at a parish, and it also notes that he will need
	2	permission from his bishop to serve in such a parish.
	3	Do you read this letter that way?
	4	MR. WOODS: I'm going to object to the question
02:16:32	5	as beyond the scope of the jurisdictional issues and
	6	instruct the witness not to answer. It also calls for
	7	speculation, the document speaks for itself.
	8	BY MR. AMDERSON:
	9	Q In terms of Nicolas Aguilar's immigration
02:17:26	10	status, when he arrived and began to work in L.A. in
	11	1987, as far as you know, was he legal or illegal when
	12	working here in L.A.?
	13	A As far as I know, he was here legally.
	14	Q And what leads you to that belief?
02:18:00	15	A I'm not sure, but but most likely
	16	Monsignor Curry sent a notice to Immigration about him,
	17	and he would have had some evidence of some kind of a
	18	temporary residency, at least.
	19	Q In Mexico, there is a registration of some
02:18:29	20	kind for priests in which they are required to be
	21	registered and/or to work. Are you aware of that
	22	and/or first, are you aware of that?
	23	A No.
	24	Q Okay.
02:18:45	25	MR. SELSBERG: Assumes facts not in evidence.

```
BY MR. ANDERSON:
02:19:06
                  O Cardinal Rivera, in his declaration, asserts
           at page 3 --
                  MR. WATERS: This is Exhibit 100A, for the
02-19-38
           record.
                      (Whereupon, Exhibit 100 was introduced and
           marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
           Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
                  MR. ANDERSON: I'll let you get that, Cardinal.
                  THE WITNESS: 100A. All right, I have it.
02:19:42 10
                  MR. ANDERSON: I'm just looking for something.
       11
       72
           Give me a moment.
       13
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  O Okay. I'll direct your attention to the
       14
           bottom of page 3. And I have a couple more questions.
02:20:26 15
           then I think I'm done. At line 26, I'll read from it.
       16
       17
           then ask you some questions.
       18
                       *However, because I suspected that Pather
       19
           Aquilar might be homosexual, I cautioned that the
02:20:57 2.0
           motivation for Father Aquilar's trip to Los Angeles was.
       21
           quote, family and health reasons, unquote. The phrase
       22
            'family and health reasons' was used within the church
       23
           to warn that a priest suffers from some sort of
            problem.
       24
02:21:21 25
                       Cardinal, in your experience as a bishop, as
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
02-23:17
       7
          in the course and custom of the hierarchy of the church
          in '87 signal that it is more than homosexual problems,
           but rather, problems relating to sexual abuse?
                  MR. WOODS: Sexual abuse of --
                  MR. ANDERSON: Minors.
02:23:36
                  THE WITNESS: I can't answer for all the
           hierarchy as your question asks.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q In your experience, though, Cardinal, is that
02:23:45 10
           right?
                  A In my experience in those years, the
       11
           expression "homosexual," "homosexual activity" would
       12
       23
           have referred to adults.
                  O And is your testimony, in any case, that if
       14
02:24:07 15
           Cardinal Rivera had signaled to you that Nicolas Aguilar
           had homosexual problems with adults alone, that would
       16
          have been enough for you to have not allowed him to --
       17
            to work here?
       3.8
       19
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; asked and answered.
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
02:24:25 20
       21 BR MR. ANDERSON:
        22
                  Q And it's your testimony at no time you ever
        23
           received warning from the Diocese of Tehuacan, any
        24
           official there, including the bishop there, that this
02:24:39 25 priest was in any way unfit to serve here?
```

```
a vicar general, as chancellor, as Archbishop, have you
02:21:23
           ever seen "family and health reasons" used or problems
           revolving -- involving family or health reasons to be
           used as -- as code or signal to another bishop that
02:21:48
           there is a problem with a priest?
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
           evidence. And the counsel's using a document -- reading
           from a document and then inserting words that are not
02:22:01 10
                  MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Let me -- let me use it,
       11
           then.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       12
       13
                  Q When we -- when the phrase "family and health
           reasons" is being used by Norberto Rivera and asserted
       14
02:22:17 15
           that that is a warning that he suffers from some sort of
           problem, my question to you, Cardinal, is are you aware
       16
           of that language ever being used by church officials to
       17
           signal a warning of a problem with a priest?
       18
                  MR. SELSBERG: Same objection to the word
       19
02:22:47 20
           "signal."
                  MR. HABEL: Asked and answered.
       21
       22
                  THE WITNESS: No.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
       23
                  O When and if he wrote that he had some
       24
           homosexual problems, does the term "homosexual problems"
02:23:11 25
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
02:24:43
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; asked and answered.
                  MR. WOODS: Before the allegations came forward
           here.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
02:24:54
                  THE WITNESS: Now I forgot whether you asked -- a
          question answered in "yes" or "no," but --
                  Q That's okay. It's correct to say that at no
           time the Diocese of Tehuacan or any official in it gave
02:25:05 10
          you a warning that this priest was unfit before you
           allowed him to serve?
       11
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection; asked and answered.
                  MR. WOODS: That's kind of like a double
           negative.
02:25:13 15
                  THE WITNESS: Yeah.
       16
                  MR. WOODS: Did you get any warning before the
           allegations came forward?
       18
                  THE WITNESS: No.
       19
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
02:25:17 20
                  Q Of any kind.
                  A No.
       21
                  Q And if Monsignor Curry or any one of your
       23
           subordinates had received such a warning from the
       24 Diocese of Tehuacan, it was their responsibility to
02:25:31 25 bring that to you?
```

02:25:33	1	MR. WOODS: A warning about child abuse or
	2	homosexuality?
	3	MR. ANDERSON: Any warning about about Nicolas
	4	Aguilar's unfitness.
02:25:42	. 5	THE WITNESS: Yes. They would have brought it to
	6	my attention.
	7	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	8	Q And it was their responsibility in their
	9	practice, protocol, and law to bring it to your
02:25:48	10	attention so you could do something about it?
	11	MR. WOODS: What were those three things?
	12	Protocol could
	13	MR. ANDERSON: Practice and law.
	14	MR. WOODS: Law?
02:26:00	15	MR. ANDERSON: Canon Law.
	16	MR. WOODS: If you can answer those three.
	17	Consider them individually, would you?
	18	THE WITNESS: If I could answer it this way, over
	19	the years in my experience here, a lot of priests around
02:26:18	20	the world write and ask to come here. And a lot of
	21	times those are screened, and I never hear about them
	22	because they're told right off no.
	23	So I I don't come into contact with all of
	24	those. Most of them or many of them are just simply
02:26:34	25	screened out.

HABN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

	- 1	
02:28:28	2	period of time to remain in the same and specifically
	2	the Archdiocese of Los Angeles."
	3	This writing by Nicolas Aguilar to his
	4	bishop, in effect, is is the formal protocol and
02:28:46	s	request that, if granted, would allow him to stay,
	6	correct?
	7	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation.
	8	MR. WOODS: Okay. I'm going to object that it
	9	calls for an expert opinion, it's an incomplete
02:29:06	10	hypothetical, it has nothing to do with jurisdiction in
	77	this case.
	12	Do you understand the question? I'm going to
	13	instruct him not to answer.
	14	BY MR. ANDERSON:
02:29:19	15	Q Okay. Well okay. Let me let me read
	16	from the letter.
	17	It states, "As my permission to provide
	18	services to the Archdiocese of L.A. is about to end, I
	19	pleading with his Excellency to grant me an extension
02:29:32	20	for an indefinite period of time to remain in the same
	21	and specifically the Archdiocese of Los Angeles.
	22	Did I read that correctly, at least from the
	23	English version?
	24	A Yes, except it should be "pleading with your
02:29:50	25	Excellency" because that's the one he's pleading with.

```
02:26:35
       1
          BY MR. ANDERSON-
                 Q And in the case of Nicolas Aguilar, the
          bishop's assertion that he was fit was sufficient
           screening for you to accept and place him?
                 MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
02:26:51
           evidence, mischaracterizes testimony,
                 THE WITNESS: Yes. For this one-year term.
                  MR. ANDERSON: I've got two more I didn't cover,
           I'll cover them quickly.
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
02:27:19 1.0
                  Q Cardinal, this is 29 and 29A.
       11
       12
                      (Whereupon, Exhibit 29 was introduced and
           marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
       13
           Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
     - 14
02:27:31 15
          BY MR. ANDERSON:
       16
                 Q And December 20th, 1987, from Nicolas Aguilar
       17
           to St. Agatha's -- excuse me -- to Norberto Rivera on
       18
           the stationery of St. Agatha's. And he is, in effect,
       19
           requesting to stay beyond the year time frame that he
02:27:59 20
           had been given by his bishop and you, correct?
       21
                  A Yes.
       22
                  Q At the second paragraph, be says "As my
       23.
           permission to provide services to the Archdiocese of
       24
           Los Angeles is about to end. I am pleading with his
02:28:23 25
           Excellency to grant me an extension for an indefinite
```

187

02:29:53	,	Q So he's pleading to his bishop?
	2	A Yes,
	3	Q Who is now an Archbishop as it says
	4	*Dearest Archbishop.* That's wrong.
02:29:59	5	A That's a bad translation.
	6	Q Yeah. It's supposed to be "bishop,"
	7	A Yeah.
	8	Q I got it.
	9	Next paragraph says, "His Right
02:30:09	10	Excellency" that might be a bad translation.
	11	It says, "We implore that no mention be made
	12	of the previous one-year permit or about the renewal of
	13	the permit because this permit was not brought up to the
	14	Embassy *
02:30:33	15	MR. WOODS: Okay. Wait for a question.
	16	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	17	Q Did I read that correctly?
	18	A You read the translation correctly.
	19	Q Okay. And does the Spanish version read that
02:30:44	20	way?
	21	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; competence.
	22	MR. WOODS: Yeah. Again, I object that you're
	23	asking him to do a translation. I mean I'll, you
	24	know
02:30:58	25	MR. ANDERSON: To save he's been corresponding

02:31:01 with Norberto 2 MR. WOODS: I'm going to let him. Okav? I'm 2 going to let him do it, but I'm objecting that it's whatever translation he comes up with is irrelevant and 02:31:09 meaningless. This also has nothing to do with 6 jurisdiction. It's a letter from a Mexican national to 7 a Mexican national. But I'm going to let him answer. Maybe --BY MR ANDERSON: 02:31:24 10 O This is a letter sent from the U.S. and his 11 parish here in the U.S., is it not? St. Agatha's is the 12 Archdiocese of L.A.? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Okay. And it's sent to Norberto Rivera, then 02:31:36 15 the bishop, by his priest Nicolas Aquilar Rivera, 16 17 18 Q I'm just going to ask you to read, looking at 19 the Spanish version, the third paragraph and ask you to 02:31:46 20 do so slowly with the understanding that, you know, you're not a translator. 22 MR. WOODS: Okay. Same objection about translating and irrelevance, but I'm going to let him answer it because it is a correspondence to Mexico. BY MR. ANDERSON: 02:32:09 25

190

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

02:33:44	1	have a permit when he came here the first time, it's
V2:33:44	2	clear that his bishop knew he didn't have it, correct?
	}	MR. WOODS: No. Hold on. I'm going to object to
	3	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	4	Con advicement Abstract in the American In-
. 02:34:02	5	assumes a speculated situation. It has nothing to do
	6	with jurisdiction, and I instruct the witness not to
	7	answer.
	8	MR. ANDERSON: I'll take that one.
	9	There's there's a full line of questions
02:34:23	10	about this and his but I'm going to have the judge
	11	decide it.
	12	MR. WOODS: Okay.
	13	MR. ANDERSON: I'm not going to
	14	MR. WATERS: Just so the just so the record's
02:34:35	15	clear, continual inquiry regarding the immigration
	16	status of Aguilar Rivera will be blocked and instruction
	17	not to answer based upon the relevance objection?
	18	MR. WOODS: Do you know anything about his
	19	immigration status?
02:34:50	20	THE WITNESS: No.
	21	MR. WOODS: I mean I'll let him answer some
	22	questions, but I'm not going to let him just sit here
	23	and speculate.
	24	BY MR. ANDERSON:
02:35:01	25	Q To your knowledge, did the L.A. Archdiocese

```
02-32-00
                  o
                     Would you read it, please?
                     Read the second sentence.
                  o
                     Third paragraph in its entirety.
                     Where "His Right Excellency"?
02-22-22
                  O Yes
                     "We implore that no mention be made of the
          previous one-year permit or about the renewal of the
           permit because this permit was not brought up to the
           Embassy. The next permit will be requested as the first
02:32:41 10
          request and as a recommendation for parochial work in
       11
          the Archdiocese. Address to Reverend Monsignor
          Thomas J. Curry, Vicar of the Clergy."
                  Q Before you read this, Cardinal, did you know
           or had you heard from any source that the first permit
02:33:04 15
          granted him had not been brought up to the Embassy?
       16
                  A No.
                  Q So does this now lead you to believe that he
           was here illegally or not in full compliance with the
       19
          immigration laws?
02:33:30 20
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. I'm going to object to the
           question as beyond the scope of jurisdictional issues
           and instruct the witness not to answer, calls for
           speculation, calls for expert opinion.
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
02:33:42 25
                  Q Well, in any case, the fact that he didn't
```

191

02:35:03	1	ever do any checking on whether he had a permit to work
	2	in L.A.?
	3	MR. WOODS: You're assuming such is required? I
	4	mean is there some permit you're talking about as
02:35:19	5	opposed to what this letter is referring to? I mean
	6	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	7	Q The question stands. Do you know anything
	В	about it?
	9	A I'm not familiar with anything to do with
02:35:32	10	that,
	11	Q Now, "permit" could be interchanged with
	12	"visa." As you read the Spanish version, would you
	13	interpret that as "permit" or "visa"? What how does
	14	that read?
02:35:54	15	MR. SELSBERG: Objection. That's misleading.
	16	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	17	Q I don't know. I'm asking. This is a this
	18	is a discovery deposition. I'm trying to find out what
	19	you know. How do you read that in Spanish?
02:36:09	20	A Unfortunately, the word "permiso" could mean
	21	a lot of things.
	22	Q So it could mean "permission"?
	23	A It could mean "permission." It could mean
	24	"document." It could mean a lot of things. So I don't
02:36:18	25	know what he's referring to here.
		<u> </u>

02:36:21 O Okav. So let's use the word "permission." 2 and let's read it again to see what this, on its face, 3 may mean and, in turn, what you may or may not know. *His Excellency, we implore that no mention 02:36:43 5 be made of the previous one-year permission or about the 6 renewal of the permission because this permission was not brought up to the Embassy." Reading it that way, what embassy is being referred to here? Do you know? 02-37:06 1.0 A I don't. 11 Q I read that to be the U.S. Embassy, don't 12 13 MR. WOODS: I object. That calls for 14 speculation 02:37:14 15 BY MR. ANDERSON: Q When a priest came from Mexico in 1987, did the Archdiocese do any effort to -- to make sure that 17 they were in immigration compliance, or did you and the Archdiocese rely upon the bishop sending him to make 02:37:33 20 sure he was in compliance? MR. WOODS: Or any other possible situation. 21 22 THE WITNESS: My recollection is that the 23 immigration office and Catholic Charities work closely 24 with the vicar for the clergy to deal with all the 02:37:53 25 immigration status of foreign priests. I'm just not

19

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DŘÍVĚ, SUTTE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

02:39:43	1	Archdiocese conducted to find out how many kids were
	2	actually abused by Nicolas Aguilar
	3	MR. WOODS: Okay.
	4	BY MR. ANDERSON:
02:39:52	5	Q while he worked in the Archdiocese of L.A.
	6	or before he came here.
	7	MR. WOODS: Okay. We object to the question as
	8	beyond the scape of this deposition and instruct the
	9	withess not to answer. Also, it's compound and
02:40:07	10	confusing.
	11	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	12	Q Okay. I'm going to refer you to B-13 in one
	13	of the documents produced here today by you, Mr. Woods.
	14	And we've already incorporated this as part
02:40:40	15	of the record, so this would be B-13, Cardinal.
	16	This was given at Los Angeles this 22nd day
	17	of October, 1987, and it appears to be signed by the
	18	wicar for clergy, and I don't have a signed copy. That
	19	hight have been Curry. He was the vicar for clergy,
02:41:16	20	Forrect?
	21	MR. WOODS: He was the vicar for clergy, so
	22	Stipulated.
	23	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	24	Q Do you know if he signed it? Okay.
02:41:22	25	MR, WCODS: We don't have a signed copy.

```
02:37:56
           aware of the particulars.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Okay. One final document, and
           this one is 31. It's dated February 23, 1988, a letter
           to Norberto Rivera as hishop from Thomas Curry,
       5
02:38:40
           Monsignor.
                      (Whereupon, Exhibit 31 was introduced and
           marked for identification by the Certified Shorthand
           Reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
           BY MR. ANDERSON:
02:38:42 10
                  Q Did you instruct him to send this letter and
       11
           enclose the articles attached?
       12
                  A I don't recall, actually.
       13
                  Q In any case, this letter was intended to
       14
           convey to Norberto Rivera that there's a huge problem
02:39:11 15
           here, and 19 out of some 30 kids have been confirmed as
       16
           having been abused by Father Nicolas Aguilar Rivera.
       17
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. I'm going to object to all the
       2.8
           facts that are thrown into that as asking him to confirm
       19
           all those facts. If you want to have him break -- if
02:39:31 20 you want to break those down into specific questions,
       21 | were there 19 kids, were there this, whatever you want
       22 to do, I'll let him --
       23 BY MR. ANDERSON:
                  Q Well, let me put it -- let me put it this
02:39:39 25 way. Tell me what you know about what investigations
```

195

```
02:41:24
           BY MR. ANDERSON
                  Q Okay. It states, "We verify that Reverend
           Nicolas Aguilar Rivera, a priest of the Archdiocese of
           Tehuacan, is in possession of the ordinary faculties and
02:41:34 ... : 5
           has permission to celebrate Mass in any church or chapel
           of this Archdiocese with the consent of the pastor or
           chaplain until October 1st, 1988.
                  MR. WOODS: Thirty-first.
                  MR. ANDERSON: Excuse me. Thirty-first.
02:41:47 10
                      "However, it is recognized that this same
           Reverend Father is not a permanent resident of this
           Archdiocese. Therefore, he remains incardinated in his
       13
           own diocese or order and is obliged to return to it at
           the wish of his own diocesan bishop or superior or the
       14
02:42:08 15
           Ordinary of his Archdiocese.
       16
                  MR. WOODS: "Of this Archdiocese."
       17
                  MR. ANDERSON: "Of this Archdiocese."
       18
       19
                  Q What is the purpose of this?
                  A The faculties of all extern priests expire in
      20
       21
           October automatically every year, and they must reapply
       22
           to have their faculties extended. And if they are, it's
       23
           for a maximum until the next October.
                  Q And he was intending to extend his faculties.
       24
02:42:45 25
           Do you have information about whether or not he -- that
```

02:42:50 you knew that he was intending to extend his faculties? A Well, they would have expired October 31st, 187. And he was going to be here a year, which would have been in the first part of '88. So this would have taken his faculties to that point, Q And this is a one-year extension? A This is a form for everybody who is renewing Q And so this is a form for him to renew and extend the faculties he had already been given, correct? Q Okay. The document that is marked 156 in 12 Exhibit B, and my quick review of this is that you wrote 13 this letter on July 8th, 2006, to the bishop or 14 02:44:17 15 Archbishop of Xalapa. A Xalapa. 16 Q Xalapa 17 18 19 Q And -- and your purpose in writing this was? A The paragraph "Today" -- beginning "Today, " I 02:44:35 20 was having one of my regular meetings with victims, and 21 22 this was with Judge McCov. And the victim stated that 23 he had heard somewhere that Father Aguilar was working 24 as a priest somewhere in the greater Veracruz area of 02:44:59 25 Mexico.

198

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

02:46:03	· ,	for an ecclesiastical expert opinion. I'm going to
	- 2	instruct him not to answer. It's irrelevant.
	3	MR. ANDERSON: Well, that's not what I'm asking.
	ا	BY MR. ANDERSON:
02:46:14	5	O I'm asking the fact is you sent this
	6	letter because you were concerned, right?
	7	A I sent the letter because that very day, I
	- 1	had received this, although second hand, information
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	9	that possibly he was in that area. So I sent the
32:46:28		letter.
	11	Q And and and Archbishop Cardinal
	12	Norberto Rivers is the metropolitan in Mexico City, is
	13	he not?
	14	A Yes,
02:46:40	15	Q And he is able to send the same letter, if he
	16	so chooses, to all the other diocese in Mexico or
	17	wherever Nicolas Aguilar Rivera is known to be, correct?
	78	MR. WOODS: Calls
	19	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; assumes facts not in
02:46:57	20	Pvidence.
	21	MR. WOODS: And not relating to jurisdiction.
	22	instruct the witness not to answer.
	23	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	24	Q If you were Cardinal Rivera and this was your
02:47:11	25	priest that was sent to another jurisdiction and sexual

-	- 1	
02:44:59	1	Q And so you sent this letter to warm this
	2	pishop?
	3	A And so I told the victim that I was going to
	4	send the letter. I sent the letter to the Archbishop of
02:45:10	5	Xalapa to include the whole province. Veracruz is one
	6	diocese in the province. So as the Metropolitan
	7	Archbishop, I asked him to then send the letter to all
	8	the suffragan diocese, which is the next paragraph.
	9	Q And at the time you sent this letter,
02:45:28	10	Norberto Rivera is the cardinal of the metropolitan in
	11	Mexico, the Archdiocese, is he not?
	12	A That's correct.
	13	Q And he could have sent the same letter to all
	14	the suffragas dioceses in Mexico that you sent to this
02:45:40	1.5	guy.
	16	MR. SELSBERG: Objection; calls for speculation,
	17	BY MR. ANDERSON:
	18	Q Couldn't he have?
	19	MR. WOODS: I'm going to I mean obviously
02:45:47	20	anything is possible. You could send a letter to
	21	anybody you want to. I don't think that's what you're
	22	trying to ask.
	23	So what you're asking is whether the
	24	Archbishop of Mexico City has jurisdiction to send a
02:45:59	25	letter to those in the Xalapa province, which is calling

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

```
02:47:14
       1
           abuse was discovered as it was here, tell me all the
           things you could have done to protect children to get
        2
        3
           him back to the U.S. after you learned he left.
                  MR. WOODS: Okay.
02:47:29
       5
                  MR. SELSBERG: Objection. That calls for:
           speculation.
                  MR. WOODS: I object to the question as beyond
           the scope of jurisdiction over these two particular
           Mexican nationals and instruct the witness not to
02:47:41 10
       11
                  MR. ANDERSON: That's all I have.
       12
                  MR. WOODS: Okay. Let's eat lunch.
                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record --
       13
       14
                  MR. WATERS: That's subject to the instructions
02:47:50 15 not to answer being resolved with the court upon proper
       16
           law-and-motion practice.
       17
                  MR. WOODS: Sure.
       18
                  MR. WATERS: So pending the resolution of those
       19
           issues.
02:48:02 20
                  MR. ANDERSON: Yes. I'm keeping the deposition
       21
           open. Obviously, I advised counsel that I'm not
        22
            satisfied, that the objections and the instructions
        23
            given the witness not to answer and the withholding of
        24
            certain documents in the priest's file that have not
 02:48:35 25 been produced are deficient. And so it's our ten- --
```

it's our contention to keep the deposition open, seek 02:48:19 1 immediate court relief, and we will. Thank you, Thank you, Cardinal. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. The time is 02:48:27 6 2:48. THE REPORTER: As far as the original, who do I send the original to? MR. HABEL: Tom Woods. 02:48:41 10 THE REPORTER: Is that agreeable to everyone? 21 MR, WOODS: We didn't ask these quys if they have 12 any questions. Do you have any questions to ask? 13 MR. SELSBERG: Oh. no questions. 14 (Discussion held off the record from 02:48:53 15 2:48 p.m. until 2:49 p.m.) 16 MR. WOODS: I would stipulate that the original 17 be sent to me. I will present it to the Cardinal, He 18 will review it and make any changes he feels are necessary, which we will send in a letter to the 02:49:08 20 parties. And if the original isn't signed by the time 21 of trial, a copy may be used. 22 MR. WATERS: At the time of trial or any law and 23 MR. WOODS: Or any law-and-motion practice. 02:49:19 25 MR. WATERS: So stipulated.

202

HABN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUTTE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

*** I do solemnly declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is my deposition under oath; that 8 these are the questions asked of me and my answers thereto; that I have read same and have made the 1,0 necessary corrections, additions, or changes to my 11 answers that I deem necessary. 12 In witness thereof, I hereby subscribe my name 13 this ____ day of ___ 14 15 16 17 WITHESS STONATION 20 21 22 23 24 25

ANDERSON: Thank you, MR. HABEL: And relieve the court reporter of her other duties under the code. Now we're off the record. (End of videotaped deposition at 2:49 p.m. Declaration under penalty of perjury attached hereto.) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 27 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

> HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE LI COSTA MESA, CA 92626

203

205

Certificate 2 of 3 Certified Shorthand Reporter The undersigned certified shorthand reporter of the state of California does hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings was taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the ditness was duly sworn by me;

That the testimony of the witness and all objections made at the lime as the proceedings were recorded stenographically by me and thereafter transcribed, said transcribe being a true copy of my shorthand notes thereof.

I further certificate I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney of any of the parties. e undersigned certified shorthand reporter 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 employee of any attorney of any of the parties. 18 In witness whereof, I have subscribed my name 19 this date, September 16, 2007. 20 21 22 23 Janer M. Taylor V Certified Shorthand Reporter 24 25