SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE - CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

JANE C.R. DOE, an individual,

) Case No. 05CC00148

Plaintiff.

vs.

THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF ORANGE, a corporation sole; MATER DEI HIGH SCHOOL, a business entity of form unknown; et al.,

Defendants.

Full Caption on Page 3.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF BISHOP TOD D. BROWN

Santa Ana, California

Monday, September 10, 2007

(Pages 1 through 173)

Reported by: Janet M. Taylor, RMR, CSR No. 9463 Certified Realtime Reporter

```
Page 2
 1
              SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
              COUNTY OF ORANGE - CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
 3
       JANE C.R. DOE, an individual,
                                       ) Case No. 05CC00148
                            Plaintiff,
 6
                      vs.
 7
       THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF
 8
       ORANGE, a corporation sole;
       MATER DEI HIGH SCHOOL, a business
 9
       entity of form unknown; et al.,
10
                            Defendants.
11
       Full Caption on Page 3.
12
1.3
14
15
                  Videotaped deposition of BISHOP TOD D. BROWN,
16
       taken on behalf of plaintiff, at Judicate West, 1851
17
       East 1st Street, Suite 1450, Santa Ana, California,
18
       beginning at 10:42 a.m. and ending at 3:13 p.m., on
       Monday, September 10, 2007, before Janet M. Taylor,
19
20
       Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 9463.
21
22
23
24
25
```

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

```
Page 3
1
              SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
              COUNTY OF ORANGE - CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
 3
       JANE C.R. DOE, an individual,
                                          ) Case No. 05CC00148
 5
                            Plaintiff,
 6
                      vs.
 7
       THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF
       ORANGE, a corporation sole;
 8
       MATER DEI HIGH SCHOOL, a business
       entity of form unknown; JEFFREY
 9
       ANDRADE, an individual; and DOES
       1 through 100, inclusive,
10
                            Defendants.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 4
       APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 3
       For the Plaintiff:
                 MANLY, MCGUIRE & STEWART
                      JOHN C. MANLY, ESQ.
 5
                      VINCE WILLIAM FINALDI, ESQ.
                      M. RYAN DIMARIA, ESQ.
 6
                       PATRICK J. WALL, SENIOR CONSULTANT
                 4220 Von Karman Avenue
 7
                 Suite 200
                 Newport Beach, California 92660
 8
                  (949) 252-9990
                 (949) 252-9991 Fax
 9
10
       For the Defendants The Roman Catholic Bishop of Orange,
11
       a corporation sole, and Mater Dei High School, a
       business entity of form unknown:
12
                 CALLAHAN McCUNE & WILLIS
13
                 BY: PETER M. CALLAHAN, ESQ.
                 111 Fashion Lane
14
                 Tustin, California 92780
                 (714) 730-5700
15
                 (714) 730-1642 Fax
16
17
       The Referee:
18
                 HON. C. ROBERT JAMESON (Ret.)
                 Judicate West
19
                 1851 East 1st Street
                 Suite 1450
20
                 Santa Ana, California 92705
                 (714) 834-1340
21
22
       Also Present:
23
                 Julio Pena, Legal Videographer
24
                 Hahn & Bowersock Corporation
25
```

				Page 5
1		INDEX		
2				
3	WITNESS		EXAMINA	TION
4	BISHOP TOD	D. BROWN		
5			PAG	E
6		By Mr. Manly	7	
7				
8				
9				
10		EXHIBITS		
11				
12			PAGE	PAGE
13	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	INTRODUCED	MARKED
14	Exhibit 1	Documents re allegations	90	171
15		against Bishop Brown		
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Page 6
	1	Santa Ana, California; Monday, September 10, 2007
	2	10:42 a.m 3:13 p.m.
	3	
	4	PROCEEDINGS
	5	
	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The date is September 10th,
	7	2007. The time is 10:42. We're taking volume 1 of the
	8	deposition of Bishop Tod Brown in the matter of Jane
	9	C.R. Doe versus the Roman Catholic Bishop of Orange for
10:42:54	10	the Superior Court for the State of California, case
	11	number 05CC00148.
	12	My name is Julio Pena. I represent Hahn &
	13	Bowersock, which is located in Costa Mesa, California.
	14	This deposition is being taken at Judicate West, located
10:43:09	15	in Santa Ana, California.
	16	At this time, could all parties please
	17	introduce themselves, starting with the witness.
	18	MR. CALLAHAN: Give us your name.
	19	THE WITNESS: Tod Brown.
10:43:18	20	MR. CALLAHAN: My name is Peter Callahan. I
	21	represent the witness in this case.
	22	JUDGE JAMESON: I am Judge C. Robert Jameson,
	23	Retired, sitting as a court-appointed referee monitoring
	24	these depositions.
10:43:30	25	MR. FINALDI: Vince Finaldi for Manly, McGuire &

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

Page 7 10:43:30 1 Stewart for the plaintiff. 2 MR. MANLY: John Manly for the plaintiff. Also 3 appearing, Patrick J. Wall as a consultant. 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporter 10:43:38 5 please swear in the witness. 6 7 BISHOP TOD D. BROWN, 8 called as a witness, having been first duly administered 9 an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified 10:43:39 10 Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as 11 follows: 12 13 - EXAMINATION - 14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before? 10:44:06 25 A I was deposed many years ago for an accident			
2 MR. MANLY: John Manly for the plaintiff. Also 3 appearing, Patrick J. Wall as a consultant. 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporter 10:43:38 5 please swear in the witness. 6 7 BISHOP TOD D. BROWN, 8 called as a witness, having been first duly administered 9 an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified 10:43:39 10 Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as 11 follows: 12 13 - EXAMINATION - 14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?			Page 7
appearing, Patrick J. Wall as a consultant. 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporter 10:43:38 5 please swear in the witness. 6 7 BISHOP TOD D. BROWN, 8 called as a witness, having been first duly administered 9 an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified 10:43:39 10 Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as 11 follows: 12 13 - EXAMINATION - 14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?	10:43:30	1	Stewart for the plaintiff.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporter 10:43:38 5 please swear in the witness. 6 7 BISHOP TOD D. BROWN, 8 called as a witness, having been first duly administered 9 an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified 10:43:39 10 Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as 11 follows: 12 13 - EXAMINATION - 14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		2	MR. MANLY: John Manly for the plaintiff. Also
10:43:38 5 please swear in the witness. 6 7 BISHOP TOD D. BROWN, 8 called as a witness, having been first duly administered 9 an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified 10:43:39 10 Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as 11 follows: 12 13 - EXAMINATION - 14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		3	appearing, Patrick J. Wall as a consultant.
BISHOP TOD D. BROWN, 8 called as a witness, having been first duly administered 9 an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified 10:43:39 10 Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as 11 follows: 12 13 - EXAMINATION - 14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporter
BISHOP TOD D. BROWN, called as a witness, having been first duly administered an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as follows: - EXAMINATION - BY MR. MANLY: Q Good morning, Bishop. A Good morning, Mr. Manly. Q Before you we came on the record, you asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that okay with you? A That's correct. Thank you. Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed before?	10:43:38	5	please swear in the witness.
called as a witness, having been first duly administered an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified 10:43:39		6	
an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified 10:43:39 10 Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as 11 follows: 12 13 -EXAMINATION - 14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed before?		7	BISHOP TOD D. BROWN,
10:43:39 10 Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as 11 follows: 12		8	called as a witness, having been first duly administered
11 follows: 12 13 - EXAMINATION - 14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		9	an affirmation to tell the truth by the Certified
12 13 - EXAMINATION - 14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?	10:43:39	10	Shorthand Reporter, was examined and testified as
13 - EXAMINATION - 14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		11	follows:
14 10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		12	
10:43:50 15 BY MR. MANLY: 16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		13	- EXAMINATION -
16 Q Good morning, Bishop. 17 A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		14	
A Good morning, Mr. Manly. 18 Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?	10:43:50	15	BY MR. MANLY:
Q Before you we came on the record, you 19 asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		16	Q Good morning, Bishop.
asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said 10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		17	A Good morning, Mr. Manly.
10:43:59 20 you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that 21 okay with you? 22 A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		18	Q Before you we came on the record, you
okay with you? A That's correct. Thank you. Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed before?		19	asked me not to address you as Your Excellency and said
A That's correct. Thank you. 23 Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?	10:43:59	20	you would prefer to be addressed at Bishop. Is that
Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed 24 before?		21	okay with you?
24 before?		22	A That's correct. Thank you.
		23	Q Okay. Bishop, have you ever been deposed
10:44:06 25 A I was deposed many years ago for an accident		24	before?
	10:44:06	25	A I was deposed many years ago for an accident

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 8
10:44:08	1	case.
	2	Q Okay. When did that occur?
	3	A In the middle 1960s, I think.
	4	Q Okay. Where?
10:44:17	5	A It was in Bakersfield, California.
	6	Q Okay.
	7	MR. CALLAHAN: Bishop, try to talk slowly
	8	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
	9	MR. CALLAHAN: and wait until he finishes
10:44:24	10	because of the although it's being taped on video,
	11	you can see this other young lady is taking it down word
	12	for word. And she needs to
	13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: have a pause between. Thank
10:44:34	15	you.
	16	BY MR. MANLY:
	17	Q Okay. Were you living in Bakersfield at the
	18	time?
	19	A I was living in Bakersfield at the time, yes.
10:44:38	20	Q Okay. Now, it's probably the '60s is a
	21	long time ago, so you may not remember what they said
	22	and not even know what they did in the '60s in
	23	depositions, so I'll go through the rules again.
	24	Have you had an opportunity to speak with
10:44:54	25	your counsel about today?
		1000 0000000 00001.

		Page 9
10:44:55	1	A I was able to speak to my counsel
	2	yesterday
	3	Q Okay. And
	4	A and today.
10:45:01	5	Q I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you.
	6	You spoke to him yesterday and today?
	7	A That's correct.
	8	Q Okay. My guess is he probably explained to
	9	you what a deposition is about, but I want to go through
10:45:09	10	a few of the ground rules so we're clear. All right?
	11	A That will be fine. Thank you.
	12	Q All right. First of all, the anything you
	13	say while the court reporter is on the record will be
	14	taken down whether the video takes it down or not. Do
10:45:22	15	you understand that?
	16	A I do understand that. Thank you.
	17	Q Okay. And so it's very important that you
	18	give your best and most accurate testimony here today.
	19	Okay?
10:45:31	20	A Yes. I understand.
	21	Q All right. And further, in the event that
	22	you remember something or forget something later, you're
	23	going to be given an opportunity after the deposition
	24	well, let me rephrase that.
10:45:48	25	After the deposition is over, the court

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 10
10:45:49	1	reporter is going to transcribe her notes into the form
	2	of a booklet. And at that time, you're going to be
	3	given an opportunity to make changes to your deposition
	4	before you sign it. I want to caution you from the
10:46:03	5	outset of your deposition that if you make changes that
	6	are substantive in nature, that myself or the other
	7	lawyers in the case will be entitled to comment upon
	8	that at time of trial. Do you understand?
	9	A I do understand.
10:46:15	10	Q Okay. And do you also understand that you're
	11	under oath here today?
	12	A I do understand I'm under oath today.
	13	Q And by virtue of that, you understand you're
	14	bound to tell the truth?
10:46:27	15	A I'm bound to tell the truth, yes.
	16	Q Okay. Have you ever heard of something
	17	called mental reservation?
	18	A Yes, I have heard of mental reservation.
	19	Q Okay. What do you understand that to be,
10:46:35	20	Bishop?
	21	A My understanding of mental reservation is
	22	that if someone's asked a question, the response might
	23	be evasive.
	24	Q Okay. My understanding of it is, and it was
10:46:49	25	explained to me by a Jesuit, so maybe it's automatically

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	···	
		Page 11
10:46:52	1	suspect, is that is that there are times when for the
	2	greater moral good as perceived by that person it's okay
	3	to be untruthful. And the example that I was told about
	4	was
10:47:08	5	(Whereupon, Mr. DiMaria entered the
	6	proceedings.)
	7	BY MR. MANLY:
	8	Q when a in 1945 or '44, if an SS officer
	9	came up and asked somebody who was Jewish in Poland "Are
10:47:18	10	you Jewish" and they said "No" because they knew if they
	11	were they said "Yes," they'd be killed, that was not
	12	an immoral act, and that was a just use of mental
	13	reservation.
	14	Is that a good summary of something that
10:47:32	15	would apply?
	16	A Yes, that could be an example of mental
	17	reservation.
	18	Q Okay. Will you commit not to employ that
	19	doctrine today?
10:47:40	20	A I commit not to use any mental reservation in
	21	my testimony.
	22	Q Okay. Okay. Now, tell me what outside of
	23	what you learned from your lawyer, tell me what you know
	24	about the allegations in the case?
10:48:05	25	A I know nothing other than what my lawyers

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	····	
		Page 12
10:48:06	1	have told me.
	2	Q So you've done no independent investigation
	3	or made any inquiry of any employee who works for you
	4	about what they know about it?
10:48:15	5	A I have made no personal investigation of this
	6	particular case.
	7	Q So just so the record's clear, you do you
	8	understand just from reading a paper that this young
	9	girl is alleging that she was sexually abused while at
10:48:32	10	Mater Dei?
	11	A Yes. I understand that from a paper and also
	12	from what my the diocesan attorneys have told me.
	13	Q Well, I Bishop, I don't want to know what
	14	your lawyers have told you. It's not ethical for me to
10:48:43	15	ask, and I don't want I don't want you to divulge it
	16	accidentally. Okay?
	17	A Thank you.
	18	Q So what I want to know is have you have
	19	you heard whether or not the alleged perpetrator has
10:48:54	20	admitted or denied the allegation?
	21	MR. CALLAHAN: Other than from his lawyers.
	22	MR. MANLY: Right.
	23	THE WITNESS: No.
	24	BY MR. MANLY:
10:49:00	25	Q So as you sit here today as the head of the

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 13
10:49:03	1	Diocese of Orange, you don't have any information other
	2	than what your lawyers have told you as to whether or
	3	not Mr. Andrade has admitted sexually abusing
	4	A I know no other information about the case
10:49:14	5	other than what my the diocesan attorneys have told
	6	me.
	7	Q Okay. Do you read the papers?
	8	A Sometimes I read the papers.
	9	Q Is it have you ever come to the conclusion
10:49:29	10	that in the past, at least during the 1990s, there was a
	11	problem in the Diocese of Orange with sexual abuse?
	12	A I came to the Diocese of Orange in September
	13	of 1998, and I was not aware of problems that preceded
	14	me.
10:49:46	15	Q Okay.
	16	MR. CALLAHAN: I would draw that limited
	17	question, Your Honor, but I don't think that's one of
	18	the four areas that were allowed under your order, but I
	19	assume its preliminary to what it is.
10:49:57	20	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, it's on the border, and
	21	we'll assume that it's it will take us in the other
	22	direction.
	23	MR. CALLAHAN: Thank you. I just didn't want to
	24	waive anything.
10:50:03	25	JUDGE JAMESON: No. I understand.

		Page 14
10:50:03	1	BY MR. MANLY:
	2	Q Have you ever gained information that leads
	3	you to believe that from 1988 let me strike that.
	4	Have you gathered or obtained any information
10:50:11	5	from any source other than your lawyers that from 1988
	6	to 2002, the beginning of 2002, there was a significant
	7	problem with persons who were sexual abusers working in
	8	the Diocese of Orange?
	9	A I had no information of difficulties
10:50:36	10	regarding sexual abuse at Mater Dei other than what I've
	11	learned from my attorneys.
	12	Q Okay. Well, respectfully, that's not my
	13	question. It's broader than that. So let me ask it
	14	again.
10:50:46	15	Did you have you, Bishop Tod Brown, at any
	16	time come to any conclusions or learned from any source
	17	that there were significant problems with child
	18	molesters working in the Diocese of Orange from 1988 to
	19	2002?
10:51:00	20	MR. CALLAHAN: Other than your lawyers. I
	21	hate
	22	MR. MANLY: Right.
	23	MR. CALLAHAN: He doesn't want to repeat that
	24	each time, but
10:51:04	25	MR. MANLY: Right.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

·		
		Page 15
10:51:04	1	MR. CALLAHAN: but we have to have it
	2	understood that anything you learned from your lawyers
	3	you're not supposed to talk about. Other than your
	4	lawyers.
10:51:11	5	THE WITNESS: No. I'm not aware of a of a
	6	problem of that order at Mater Dei or anywhere else.
	7	BY MR. MANLY:
	8	Q I'm not asking about Mater Dei.
	9	A Oh, I'm sorry.
10:51:22	10	Q I'm asking my question includes Mater Dei,
	11	but it's broader about the Diocese of Orange. Would you
	12	like the court reporter to read it back, Bishop?
	13	A I would appreciate that, please.
	14	Q Sure.
10:51:32	15	And I should have said, Bishop, at any point
	16	if you need a break, you stop me, and we will stop.
	17	A Thank you.
	18	Q Okay. Just if I have a question pending,
	19	just answer it, and I want you to be as comfortable as
10:51:41	20	you possibly can today.
	21	A Thank you.
	22	Q Okay. And also sorry if I if you
	23	don't understand one of my questions, stop me, and I'll
	24	be happy to rephrase it. Okay?
10:51:50	25	A Thank you.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		
		Page 16
10:51:50	1	Q Okay.
	2	THE REPORTER: "Question: Have you, Bishop Tod
	3	Brown, at any time come to any conclusions or learned
	4	from any source that there were significant problems
10:51:51	5	with child molesters working in the Diocese of Orange
	6	from 1988 to 2002?"
	7	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
	8	BY MR. MANLY:
	9	Q So at no time has that come to your attention
10:52:27	10	outside of your lawyers; is that correct?
	11	A Not of the I have learned about the
	12	difficulties in the in the diocese almost exclusively
	13	by means of various suits that have been filed against
	14	the diocese by the alleged victims.
10:52:47	15	Q How many suits have been filed against the
	16	diocese?
	17	A I am aware at the moment of the 90 suits that
	18	we settled in December of this year last year.
	19	Q Okay. When did you first become aware of a
10:52:58	20	lawsuit filed against the Diocese of Orange alleging
	21	sexual abuse?
	22	A I first learned of a lawsuit when I first
	23	came to the Diocese of Orange in 1998.
	24	Q And what suit was that?
10:53:10	25	A I believe that was the Ryan DiMaria/Harris

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 17
10:53:15	1	suit.
	2	Q And you know Mr. DiMaria, sitting in the room
	3	today?
	4	A No, I do not.
10:53:22	5	Q Now, this is not the first diocese you have
	6	been a bishop of; is that correct?
	7	A The Diocese of Orange is the second diocese
	8	I've been the bishop of.
	9	Q Okay. Were you an auxiliary before you
10:53:35	10	became a bishop of a diocese?
	11	A No, I was not an auxiliary bishop.
	12	Q All right. So sometimes a in the Roman
	13	Catholic world, is a bishop also known as an Ordinary,
	14	with a capital O?
10:53:50	15	A In the Roman Catholic world, there are
	16	several Ordinaries. The bishop charge is referred to as
	17	the diocesan bishop.
	18	Q Okay.
	19	A There may be other Ordinaries in the diocese
10:54:01	20	besides him.
	21	Q Okay. The other Ordinaries would be for
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	22	provincials or other heads of religious orders, correct?
	23	A No. For the diocese, it would be like the
Name of the state	24	vicar general or auxiliary bishops.
10:54:12	25	Q I see. Who was the auxiliary bishop or

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 18
10:54:16	1	auxiliary bishops when you arrived in the Diocese of
	2	Orange?
	3	A When I came to the Diocese of Orange, the
	4	auxiliary bishop was Bishop Michael J. Driscoll.
10:54:26	5	Q Okay. And who was was Bishop Soto
	6	ordained by that time?
	7	A No. Bishop Soto was ordained later.
	8	Q Ordained as a bishop, not as a priest, right?
	9	A As a bishop, correct.
10:54:37	10	Q All right. And who was the vicar general
	11	when you arrived here?
	12	A When I first came to the Diocese of Orange
	13	right now I don't recall who the vicar general is. The
	14	vicar general, I think, was Bishop Driscoll.
10:54:56	15	Q Okay. At some point, did that change,
	16	Bishop?
	17	A Yes, that changed. Bishop Driscoll was
	18	transferred to Boise, Idaho, and they I then named
	19	Monsignor John Urell as vicar general of the diocese.
10:55:09	20	Q Okay. So what happened is you came from the
	21	Diocese of Boise where you had been the bishop. You
	22	succeed Bishop McFarland. And then sometime later,
	23	Bishop Driscoll went to Boise?
	24	A That's correct.
10:55:21	25	Q Okay. How much time elapsed between the time

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 19
10:55:24	1	you arrived in the diocese and the time Bishop Driscoll
	2	went to Boise?
	3	A I came to Orange in early September of 1998,
	4	and I believe Bishop Driscoll went to Boise March of the
10:55:37	5	following year.
	6	Q So you came in September of '98?
	7	A That's correct. I came in September of '98.
	8	Q And he left in March?
	9	A Of '99 he left.
10:55:52	10	Q Okay. Okay. So you had six or seven months
	11	where you worked together?
	12	A That is correct. We worked together during
	13	that time period.
	14	Q And who was the chancellor of the Diocese of
10:56:03	15	Orange when you arrived here?
	16	A When I arrived in the Diocese of Orange, the
	17	chancellor was Monsignor John Urell.
	18	Q And both of those men had been previously
-	19	named by Bishop McFarland?
10:56:16	20	A Both of those men were previously appointed
	21	by Bishop McFarland.
	22	Q And and where is Monsignor Urell today?
***************************************	23	A Monsignor Urell is the pastor of St. Nich
	24	St. Norbert's church in Orange.
10:56:30	25	Q Was there an e-mail sent out over the weekend
į		I.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 20
10:56:32	1	regarding Monsignor Urell's status by the diocese?
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	2	A I think there was an e-mail sent out. I did
	3	not see it.
	4	Q What did it say? Do you know?
10:56:40	5	MR. CALLAHAN: I think we're getting beyond the
	6	scope of the questions. If this is preliminary, fine.
	7	But it doesn't seem to fall within one of the four
	8	categories about which the witness is going to speak.
	9	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, I've given more recent
10:56:55	10	discussions about Monsignor Urell's situation, I'll
	11	allow it.
	12	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
	13	THE WITNESS: I believe the e-mail which was sent
	14	to the priests notified them that Monsignor Urell was on
10:57:09	15	a medical leave of absence.
	16	BY MR. MANLY:
	17	Q Did it say he was being evaluated?
	18	A I don't recall. I didn't see the e-mail.
	19	Q Where is Monsignor Urell today?
10:57:17	20	A I think you would have to talk to his
	21	physician for the answer to that question.
	22	Q Okay. Is he at St. Luke's?
	23	MR. CALLAHAN: You can answer if you know.
	24	THE WITNESS: I yes, he is not at St. Luke's.
10:57:33	25	BY MR. MANLY:

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 21
10:57:33	1	Q Is he at a Roman Catholic facility?
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: I think, Your Honor, we're beyond
	3	the scope of what this witness was called to
	4	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, we're making it more
10:57:46	5	complicated than it needs to be.
	6	Do you know where Monsignor Urell is is
	7	not where he is every second, but where he slept last
	8	night, where you think he'll sleep tonight, where
	9	he's
10:58:01	10	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
	11	JUDGE JAMESON: Would you tell us, please.
	12	THE WITNESS: He's at a facility called
	13	Southdown, which is outside Toronto.
	14	BY MR. MANLY:
10:58:08	15	Q Now, is Southdown a pedophile treatment
	16	facility?
	17	A Southdown is a treatment facility for clergy
	18	and religious who have emotional and psychological
	19	difficulties.
10:58:18	20	Q And it treats pedophile, does it not?
	21	A It treats many conditions.
	22	•
	23	Q And it has treated pedophiles over the years, has it not?
	24	
10:58:28		A It the treatment there has been varied,
TO:00128	25	depending upon a particular situation. Among others, I

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 22
10:58:30	1	believe they have it's possible, I don't know that
	2	they have, but it's possible they have dealt with
	3	pedophile priests. I think they I'm sure they have.
	4	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to object to this, Your
10:58:42	5	Honor. Because what we're going to see now is a
	6	newspaper article headline before the day is over,
	7	"Urell Being Treated for Pedophilia." And I think that
	8	is just so wrong and so improper.
	9	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, that would be, but I'm
10:58:55	10	hoping that that won't occur, and I'm also hoping that
	11	we'll move on back to
	12	MR. MANLY: Well, Judge
	13	JUDGE JAMESON: the bishop's knowledge of this
	14	case.
10:59:09	15	MR. MANLY: Can I can I make a record on this
	16	Urell thing? Because I want to do it thank you, Your
	17	Honor.
	18	For the first time yesterday after after
	19	two years of litigation, eight depositions of my client,
10:59:18	20	and numerous production requests, I had Bishop McFarland
	21	whip out a policy on sexual abuse that no one previously
	22	said existed with Mr. Callahan's fax number on it.
	23	There have been various misrepre
	24	representations made to us about Bishop about
10:59:35	25	Monsignor Urell, I believe none of which were true. And

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 23
10:59:40	70	he's being evaluated at a treatment facility for a
	2	condition unknown run by the bishops of of Canada.
	3	That's what Southdown is run by. And I can tell you
	4	from doing these cases for ten years, Southdown does
10:59:55	5	evaluate pedophiles.
	6	Now, I hope Monsignor Urell isn't being
	.7	evaluated for that. But if he is, I'm entitled to know
	8	it, and that gives me the absolute right, in my opinion,
	9	under the law to inquire as to what he is being
11:00:08	10	evaluated for. And if it's alcoholism or or stress
	11	or something else, fine. And I don't think anybody
	12	should draw any conclusion as to why he is there, and
	13	I'll say that on the record.
	14	But I have to tell you it is very suspicious
11:00:20	15	given the history of that place. There are four or five
	16	treatment facilities that people all over this country
	17	have been sent to. One is St. Luke's, one is the
	18	Servants of the Paraclete, both in New Mexico and in
	19	St. Louis. There is the there's Fall River and
11:00:36	20	there's Southdown and there's several others.
	21	What's that?
	22	MR. FINALDI: Vianney.
	23	MR. MANLY: Saint John Vianney in Philadelphia.
	24	And and on the eve of trial, Mr. Urell has
11:00:46	25	been sent to a facility outside the United States. And

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 24
11:00:49	1	if he had psychological problems, there are some of the
	2	best psychiatrists in the country within driving
	3	distance of here.
	4	So why he is sent to a facility a
11:01:00	5	lock-down facility run by the bishops of Canada is
	6	beyond me.
	7	MR. CALLAHAN: Well, I will address that to say
	8	this. I'm not his doctor. I'm not his lawyer. But
	9	his the decisions on his health were made by his
11:01:15	10	doctor and himself. And my understanding of Southdown
	11	is it's a very reputable institution that treats a lot
	12	of different problems, medical and psychological.
	13	And and this isn't about discovery. This
	14	is about sound bites. This is about newspaper
11:01:32	15	publicity. And I think it's it's not only wrong, it
	16	borders on immoral to use this vehicle to find out
	17	confidential information of what ordinarily would be
	18	revealed this might be funny to you, Mr. Finaldi.
	19	It's not funny to me.
11:01:47	20	MR. MANLY: You know what? If you want to talk
	21	about morality, I'll be happy to engage you.
	22	JUDGE JAMESON: Hey, we're not going to do that
	23	here.
	24	MR. FINALDI: For the record, I didn't make a
11:01:55	25	sound.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	····	
		Page 25
11:01:56	1	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, look, folks. Monsignor
	2	Urell's medical condition is put in play. We even had
	3	his attorney on the phone the other day. It was left
	4	open that it's Monsignor's burden to establish his
11:02:17	5	inability to attend deposition and ultimately attend
	6	trial. Conceivably, if it's important, there could even
	7	be an independent review of that.
	8	So what Bishop Brown knows generally about
	9	where he is, if he has some unique information about the
11:02:45	10	medical condition or why, fine. If he doesn't, we know
	11	where he is. We know that's a pending issue. But what
	12	contributions the bishop can make to that may be
	13	minimal. So let's let's go forward.
	14	BY MR. MANLY:
11:02:59	15	Q Okay. Bishop, is he being evaluated for
	16	childhood sexual abuse?
	17	A I do not know that.
	18	Q Do you know one way or the other?
	19	A I presume he I don't know for sure.
11:03:14	20	Anyone who goes to a facility like Southdown is
	21	evaluated to see exactly what their condition is.
	22	MR. MANLY: Let's take a break.
	23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:03, and we're
	24	going off the record.
11:03:27	25	(Recess taken from 11:03 a.m. until

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 26
11:03:33	1	11:10 a.m.)
	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:10, and we're
	3	back on the record.
	4	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, I just made a phone
11:10:30	5	call to my office to ask what the procedure was to have
	6	this portion of this transcript sealed and any
	7	references to John Urell not told to the press pending a
	8	decision by either yourself or Judge Andler.
	9	What we have come across here now is
11:10:48	10	information that ordinarily would be privileged
	11	information in a personnel file about somebody's medical
	12	condition. And the last time
	13	MR. MANLY: Where they are?
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: The last time
11:10:58	15	MR. MANLY: Where they are is privileged; is that
	16	correct?
	17	MR. CALLAHAN: The last time
***************************************	18	JUDGE JAMESON: Just let him finish, Mr. Manly.
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: The last time this came up in the
11:11:04	20	framework of this particular case, confidential
	21	information was mentioned from a personnel file of
	22	Andrade. We were challenged why don't we tell people
1	23	who are accused, et cetera. And then Andrade sued us,
	24	and it cost us a hundred thousand dollars.
11:11:18	25	JUDGE JAMESON: I don't use apples and oranges,

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 27
11:11:20	1	Mr. Callahan.
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. This is the same kind of
	3	same kind of a deal, Your Honor. We've got confidential
	4	information from a personnel file. That it is
11:11:27	5	confidential information, the inferences that can be
	6	spun or drawn on this would be very harmful medically
	7	for somebody who was under emotional stress, if that's,
	8	indeed, what the problem is. I don't know what the
	9	problem is. I don't have any information on that.
11:11:41	10	But I do know this, that this is not going to
	11	be something, in my opinion, that should immediately be
	12	leaked to the newspapers before we leave today.
	13	Now, there was a break. I don't know who
	14	called, but I think right now I believe no one except
11:11:52	15	the people in this room have been
	16	MR. MANLY: No. I called Ms. Soltan and told her
	17	she should tell her expert who's being deposed at the
	18	present time. I mean am I not allowed to call my
	19	co-counsel, either?
11:12:04	20	MR. CALLAHAN: Then, Your Honor, I am very, very
	21	suspicious that somehow
	22	MR. MANLY: Did you call your office?
	23	MR. CALLAHAN: I called my office and asked about
	24	sealing records.
11:12:14	25	MR. MANLY: I'll bet you did.
		L LL DOC YOU ULU.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	·····	
		Page 28
11:12:15	1	MR. CALLAHAN: Yeah. And I can virtually
	2	guarantee Your Honor that the person I spoke to is not
	3	going to call the newspapers and leak this information.
	4	MR. MANLY: Judge, nobody's calling the
11:12:23	5	newspapers.
	6	JUDGE JAMESON: John, the other day when I got a
	7	call and they got a call while we were sitting here, you
	8	said nobody's called the papers, and the papers quoted
	9	Venus.
11:12:32	10	MR. MANLY: Well, maybe Venus called maybe the
	11	"Register" called and said "What happened at the
	12	deposition?"
	13	There's no gag order. He's entitled to
	14	discuss it.
11:12:40	15	JUDGE JAMESON: I would like no. I'm I'm
	16	not
	17	MR. FINALDI: The press was at the hearing
	18	prior three days prior.
	19	MR. MANLY: But yeah. The press knew the
11:12:44	20	deposition was taking place. I mean in the Arch in
	21	the case of the deposition of Cardinal Mahoney, the
	22	Archdiocese released it. I mean so, you know, Judge,
	23	let me let me
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: That's apples and oranges.
11:12:54	25	MR. MANLY: Well, I

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 29
11:12:55	1	JUDGE JAMESON: Just a minute.
	2	MR. MANLY: Let me let me just say that here's
	3	what we have here. You have John Urell, who has been
	4	the person who's who's the right-hand man of the
11:13:07	5	bishop, who's been the person who's handled these cases
	6	for 20 years, including mr. DiMaria's case. And you
	7	have an undisclosed illness, and they've gone out and
	8	hired one of the largest law firms in the state to keep
	9	it unknown.
11:13:23	10	They've never disclosed it. They act like
	11	it's some kind of stress or something like that. And
	12	then I ask where he is, and he's at a pedophile
	13	treatment facility. And then I ask "Is he being treated
	14	for childhood sexual abuse?" I asked the chief
11:13:36	15	executive of the organization, and I get "I don't know."
	16	Now, you know, it seems to me that I'm
	17	entitled to put this in records, to put this in into
	18	evidence, and to deal with it. And the other thing is I
	19	believe that I there has been unethical conduct here,
11:13:52	20	and I'm not going to say by who. But for a bishop to
	21	pull out a document in the middle of a deposition on the
	22	eve of trial that absolutely sinks the defendant's case,
	23	in my opinion, that everyone either didn't know about or
	24	lied about, and I think it's the latter what's that?
11:14:09	25	And with the counsel's fax number on it, and I've never
		,

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
		Page 30
11:14:14	1	seen it.
	2	This does not look good. And you know what,
	3	Judge? I'm upset. I'm upset because I think the
	4	process has been perverted. And you know, and now we
11:14:23	5	have the key witness, the person who knows the most
	6	about this topic apparently in a pedophile treatment
	7	facility, so outside the United States, outside the
	8	jurisdiction of the federal courts, outside the
	9	jurisdiction of the state courts.
11:14:38	10	And with a with a clear and I don't
	11	think the Court can disagree with this with a clear
	12	record of the defendants trying to conceal it.
	13	Now, you know, I'm not going to call the
	14	press. Am I going to if the press calls me and asks
11:14:52	15	me, should I lie to them? What should I say? Maybe we
	16	should agree can we agree to release this together?
	17	MR. CALLAHAN: Here's what I'll agree to, Your
	18	Honor. I'll agree that we should immediately call
	19	Ms. Soltan and tell her this matter is now being
11:15:07	20	considered by the Court and ask her as an officer of the
	21	court not to tell anyone about it. If she's already
	22	told her expert, fine. Then call the expert and tell
	23	him "This is a matter that's currently being reviewed by
	24	the Court. You can talk about it in your deposition,"
11:15:21	25	but let's not play games about
		T 7 2

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 31
11:15:22	1	MR. MANLY: Then why don't we find out why
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Let me finish, please, John.
	3	Let's not let's not play games about the expert leaks
	4	it to the secretary, et cetera, et cetera.
11:15:29	5	MR. MANLY: What, the di
	6	MR. CALLAHAN: Let me finish, John.
	7	MR. MANLY: I apologize.
	8	MR. CALLAHAN: Let's at least try just this once
	9	to act in a professional capacity and and respect the
11:15:39	10	judicial process and not try to try this case in the
	11	newspaper.
	12	Now, on this wait a minute. I'm not done
	13	yet. I'll I'll tell you when I'm finished.
	14	When the spin now is he's at a pedophile
11:15:50	15	treatment facility. Well, if he were at UCLA, that
	16	would or USC, that would be described as a pedophile
	17	treatment facility because they treat pedophiles.
	18	He's at a facility that treats a lot of
	19	different people for a lot of different things. And
11:16:04	20	it's it's one which the church has an affiliation
	21	there, so they have confidence in it, the same as they
	22	do St. Luke's or same as they do any other facility.
	23	The inference is is being made here that
	24	this is some sort of a he's being treated for
11:16:22	25	pedophilia or he's at a pedophile treatment facility,

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 32
11:16:25	1	which is just improper.
	2	And this business about some policy statement
	3	coming out, Mr. Manly asked the bishop went to his
	4	his briefcase and pulled something out. I am confident
11:16:35	5	that if that document had been asked for in discovery,
	6	it was furnished in discovery. It certainly was
	7	was has been discussed in a number of other cases. I
<del>.</del> :	8	imagine there's probably 50 copies of it in different
-	9	files in Mr. Manly's office.
11:16:48	10	But that's beside the point. I don't want to
	11	argue about that. What I want to talk about right now
	12	is the pending matter that is is a potentially very
	13	damaging matter for John Urell's health if he does,
	14	indeed, have a mental health problem.
11:17:03	15	You saw him testify. He was very
	16	overwrought. If this is something that should come out,
	17	fine. Let's have it come out. Not leaked, not in the
	18	middle of a deposition, but through a proper proceeding.
	19	Now, I've asked my office how fast can we get
11:17:18	20	in front of Judge Andler or yourself, and I would ask
	21	you to enter a temporary restraining order subject to
	22	our ability to get in front of Judge Andler to rule on
	23	it. Will it have any teeth? No. No. Because people
	24	can do things that they know are wrong and play games.
11:17:37	25	MR. MANLY: You know what? Judge, that's just

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	······································	
		Page 33
11:17:39	1	wrong.
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: I agree it is.
	3	MR. MANLY: He gets to sit here and insult me and
	4	insult you know, if we want how come he can do
11:17:45	5	that and I can't?
	6	JUDGE JAMESON: You do very well at it, John.
	7	MR. MANLY: Thank you, Judge.
	8	MR. CALLAHAN: I think you got the issues
	9	before
11:17:53	10	MR. MANLY: Let me tell you what the diocese
	11	wants, Your Honor. The diocese wants a secret trial.
	12	And what's very disturbing, again, is nobody here,
***************************************	13	despite the fact I asked the bishop directly, will tell
	14	us why Monsignor Urell is at Southdown.
11:18:05	15	So Mr. Callahan can attack my integrity,
	16	attack the fact that, you know what, the press now knows
	17	what the diocese was up to, and they don't want people
	18	to know this.
	19	Let me let me just tell you Monsignor
11:18:16	20	Urell was not only a public figure in the diocese.
	21	Monsignor Urell was an official of the County of Orange.
	22	He was on the human relations committee in charge of
	23	funding children's programs. He is a public figure.
	24	And if Monsignor Urell is a let me just
11:18:33	25	get this straight. I think I just heard the counsel for

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 34
11:18:36	1	the Diocese of Orange compare my alma mater, USC, and
	2	UCLA to well-known pedophile treatment facilities. And
	3	if if they're so good, why didn't Monsignor Urell go
	4	there?
11:18:47	5	Any reasonable person who looks at this,
	6	including the Court, will conclude that this is suspect,
	7	and no one can tell us why. And what they want is they
	8	want to use the court to have a secret trial. They want
	9	to buy time to make up an excuse. Okay?
11:19:04	10	Now, I haven't called the press. When I
	11	talked to Ms. Soltan, I specifically told her not to
	12	call the press. Nobody's calling the press. Okay? But
	13	the diocese should not be able to conduct themselves in
	14	secret because that's what got us in trouble in the
11:19:18	15	first place.
	16	And, you know, I'm waiting to hear why he's
	17	there. I've asked. I've asked Mr. Callahan.
	18	Mr. Callahan refuses to tell us why he's there. The
	19	bishop apparently doesn't know why he's there. So
11:19:31	20	and, you know, they've hired Palmieri, Tyler, and
	21	Palmieri, Tyler specifically told Ms. Soltan, "Oh, he's
	22	sick. He's" you know.
	23	Well, you know what, Judge? I want to be
	24	able to find out if if he's been accused and you
11:19:44	25	enter a protective order the press can't disclose this,

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

**************************************		
		Page 35
11:19:47	1	his accuser will never know and never be able to come
	2	forward. Other people won't be able to come forward.
	3	If you look at these cases and the reason
	4	most people came forward and said "This person did this
11:19:56	5	to me" and the reason these men and these women who did
Water the state of	6	this were taken out of ministry and taken out of
	7	teaching and taken out of circulation and not able to
	8	hurt other kids is because the press disclosed it.
	9	And what the diocese wants to do is what
11:20:09	10	they've been doing for a hundred years a hundred
	11	years or more which is hide it, conceal it, and don't
	12	let anybody find out. And, Judge, that is not the
	13	purpose of the judiciary.
	14	Children's safety when you balance the
11:20:21	15	privacy interest, children's safety should prevail. And
	16	that's what Judge Litman found, and that's what we
	17	should do here.
	18	MR. DiMARIA: And if I may say one thing, I don't
	19	want to take up too much of the record here, but I think
11:20:35	20	John touched on this. This is a children a public
	21	safety issue. And by law, the diocese is required to
	22	report this to a whole slew of authorities, law
	23	enforcement, Child Protective Services, and potentially
	24	the district attorney's office.
11:20:50	25	And, you know, I think that's a huge huge

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

***************************************		
		Page 36
11:20:54	1	issue, given that this man was meaning Monsignor
	2	Urell was the individual who was basically intake
	3	person and who has been pushed off by the officials at
	4	the diocese as being the intake person, including Bishop
11:21:07	5	McFarland, and I think Bishop Tod Brown, as being the
	6	one that gathered all of that information.
	7	I think it is a huge public safety issue,
	8	and, you know, I don't know how you how we could
	9	realistically not that we're going to go to the
11:21:23	10	press, but how we realistically, with this
	11	information I think all of us, including the bishop
	12	and Mr. Callahan can refuse to do anything and even
	13	act in a manner that that furthers this public safety
	14	issue.
11:21:36	15	MR. CALLAHAN: Mr. DiMaria, you're saying you're
	16	not going to go to the press with this accusation?
	17	MR. DiMARIA: I said that's not necessarily what
	18	we're going to do. But if someone it's a public
	19	safety issue.
11:21:47	20	MR. CALLAHAN: What is?
	21	MR. DiMARIA: I think it needs
	22	MR. CALLAHAN: What's a public safety issue?
	23	MR. DiMARIA: The fact that we have the head of
	24	an organization now not knowing where or what what
11:21:57	25	his priest is being treated for when, in fact, we do

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 37
11:22:02	1	know that at least one of the major functions of St.
	2	Luke's and Southdown is to treat pedophile priests.
	3	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. Can I respond, Your Honor?
	4	JUDGE JAMESON: Sure.
11:22:12	5	MR. CALLAHAN: Now we've got the spin down. It's
	6	a public safety issue that the bishop is hiding an
	7	accusation against Urell, all untrue, because
	8	MR. DiMARIA: That's
	9	MR. CALLAHAN: Wait a minute. Wait a minute.
11:22:25	10	Let me finish.
	11	MR. DiMARIA: Please do not put words in my
	12	mouth. I don't want to cut you off, but that's unfair.
	13	MR. CALLAHAN: I let me finish, and then you
	14	can talk. We'll take turns.
11:22:33	15	I agree that it's terribly unfair to to do
	16	this to Urell and to try this case in the newspapers.
	17	There's been absolutely no indication of any accusations
	18	by Urell against Urell. There's been absolutely no
	19	indication that he's being treated for anything other
11:22:52	20	than a, quote, medical problem, which the Court saw, I
	21	think, when he was here for his deposition. The man was
	22	distraught.
	23	And the who should who should who
	24	should tell now some sort of a public announcement?
11:23:05	25	I've told been told several times here that nobody

		Page 38
11:23:08	1	these lawyers, nobody in this room I include
	2	myself is going to is going to run to the press.
	3	Well, we'll see. We'll just have to wait and see what
	4	the newspapers say.
11:23:16	5	But but what we do know is this. Where is
	6	he? Why is he there? Those are matters that that
	7	you might need to find out, Judge, to make some rulings
	8	on some depositions. But that information's going to
	9	come from Urell, Urell's lawyer, and Urell's doctor, not
11:23:34	10	inferences to be drawn or insinuations made. That's
	11	inappropriate. It's hot stuff for the papers, but it's
	12	inappropriate.
	13	MR. MANLY: Judge, I'm not saying that John Urell
	14	is a pedophile. I hope he's not. From the bottom of my
11:23:48	15	heart, I hope he's not. Because if he is, the
	16	devastation to the people he's now apologized to and
	17	dealt with who've been abused will be legion.
	18	What really disturbs me, again, is we
	19	don't these men will not tell us what they know.
11:24:08	20	These two men sitting here will not tell us what they
	21	know about what's wrong with Monsignor Urell. And
	22	you know, and now they're asking you to keep it a
	23	secret.
E .	24	And, Judge, you weren't involved in Clergy I,
11:24:23	25	but a lot of the information we were able to get and

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 39
11:24:25	1	able to use are from the press. I'm not going to call
	2	the press. And obviously, if the Court orders us not to
	3	talk to the press, we won't.
:	4	Mr. Callahan and the bishop have access to a
11:24:34	5	huge press machine. But one of the things that sticks
***************************************	6	in my mind that I think is appropriate for the court to
	7	consider is that thing on the bishop's lapel, Your
	8	Honor. The covenant with the faith. What the diocese
	9	said and the bishop specifically promised in 2004 when
11:24:48	10	he nailed those to the Holy Family door, that they will
	11	be open and transparent. And I got to tell you what's
	12	happening today is neither open nor transparent. It's
***************************************	13	just more of the same. And
	14	THE WITNESS: May I speak, Your Honor?
11:25:03	15	JUDGE JAMESON: No, please don't.
	16	MR. MANLY: And and and, you know, if they
	17	know why he's there, fine. But the fact that we're
	18	sitting here and no one, including the head of this
	19	organization, who is his direct supervisor, he's one of
11:25:16	20	his priests, who Catholics believe is a successor to the
	21	apostles of Jesus Christ, cannot sit here and tell me
	22	why his priest is at a facility that is most well-known
	23	for treating pedophiles. And that is, indeed, very
	24	disturbing. I'm done.
11:25:34	25	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm ready to to respond.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 40
11:25:35	<u>‡</u>	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, I I'm ready to resume
	2	this deposition. And first thing is there will be a
	3	temporary order that disclosures made up till now and
	4	what may be in the next few minutes concerning Monsignor
11:25:54	5	Urell will be for litigation purposes only, and there
	6	will be no public disbursement of that.
	7	I say "temporary" because, one, there may be
	8	reason to release that information later, and it may be
	9	subject to review by by Judge Andler. But it
11:26:16	10	wouldn't if it were appropriate not to reveal that
	11	information, the barn door barn door would
	12	have been wouldn't open, and that would moot that
	13	issue.
	14	So for now and in the next couple days, we
11:26:31	15	will not release any information about Monsignor Urell's
	16	location or medical condition.
	17	As I recall, I even took some information
	18	from his counsel out of the presence of everyone else
	19	the other day.
11:26:49	20	MR. MANLY: You did, sir.
	21	JUDGE JAMESON: But I also recall advising them
	22	that that we needed a declaration and a written
	23	correspondence from the doctor and and the like, and
	24	I don't think that has changed. So I assume that where
11:27:12	25	he is and what he's being treated for will soon come to
		The second secon

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 41
11:27:16	1	light anyway.
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Perhaps we should take thank
	3	you, Your Honor. May I suggest we take a brief recess?
	4	I'll call my office and speak to the person I said and
11:27:25	5	say tell him your order. I would ask that
	6	plaintiff's counsel do the same.
	7	MR. MANLY: Before we do that, Judge, I would
	8	like to make a request.
	9	JUDGE JAMESON: All right.
11:27:31	10	MR. MANLY: Given this development, it is
	11	manifestly unfair to throw us headlong into trial in
	12	eight days. I mean this is a huge development in the
	13	case. And and
	14	JUDGE JAMESON: John, Urell is very important to
11:27:43	15	the case. His production at the trial is important, the
	16	finishing of his deposition is important, and I would
	17	guess it's a slam-dunk continuance for you.
	18	MR. MANLY: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
	19	JUDGE JAMESON: But that's but that's not my
11:27:55	20	decision.
	21	MR. MANLY: Would that be your recom
	22	JUDGE JAMESON: But you don't need but you
	23	don't need to address me on that.
	24	MR. MANLY: Okay. Would you would that be
11:27:59	25	your recommendation, Your Honor, if asked?

		Page 42
11:28:01	1	JUDGE JAMESON: If asked.
	2	MR. MANLY: Okay.
	3	JUDGE JAMESON: But there's other issues in the
ļ	4	case, not just
11:28:07	5	MR. MANLY: No. I understand, Your Honor.
	6	JUDGE JAMESON: Urell, but
	7	MR. MANLY: That's fine, Judge. So let me let
	8	me get this straight because I want to be clear. If I
	9	intend to file a motion on this, do I have to do that
11:28:16	10	under seal?
	11	JUDGE JAMESON: If yes. If and I would
	12	assume that if you're going if you are applying for a
	13	continuance and you want to have a declaration directed
	14	at the unavailability of Monsignor Urell, if you're
11:28:38	15	going to include anything about South whatever and what
	16	Southdown Southdown, is it?
	17	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. Southdown.
	18	JUDGE JAMESON: Whatever and whatever
	19	Southdown might be known for, it initially should be
11:28:54	20	under seal. If the judge wants to release it, then
	21	then that's fine. And in the meantime, we may learn
	22	differently because we don't have all the details that
	23	we would want to know under seal or not about.
	24	MR. MANLY: Well, I mean the other thing I would
11:29:12	25	request is to be allowed to depose the person the

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 43
11:29:14	1	people at Southdown, if appropriate. I don't know that
	2	we're there yet, but I mean I'm just telling you.
	3	JUDGE JAMESON: Is Southdown in Toronto?
	4	MR. MANLY: Toronto, I believe. Is that correct?
11:29:25	5	THE WITNESS: It's outside Toronto.
	6	MR. MANLY: Yeah.
	7	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm at a loss, Your Honor, to know
	8	why Urell's medical condition is relevant other than for
	9	you to consider his availability to continue the
11:29:36	10	deposition. Now now we're going to somehow indict
	11	Southdown with depositions? I mean
	12	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, that's that's
	13	another issue. I'm not going there.
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: All right. Shall we each call our
11:29:47	15	offices and so at least we can say that we've called
	16	and told them that
	17	MR. MANLY: Can I ask one more thing? I need to
	18	be able to convey this matter to experts.
	19	JUDGE JAMESON: It can be it can be used in a
11:29:58	20	litigation sense, but it needs to be used with a caveat
	21	that like any other witness or related party, when
	22	the information is given, they're told that they're to
	23	use it for their own
	24	MR. MANLY: Purposes.
11:30:11	25	JUDGE JAMESON: purposes in pursuing their
REAL PROPERTY.		

		Page 44
11:30:14	1	task within the litigation framework and not make it
	2	public.
	3	MR. CALLAHAN: So if we're called by some
	4	newspaper for who knows what reason and asked that
11:30:23	5	specific question, we would say "I'm sorry. I'm not
	6	allowed to"
	7	JUDGE JAMESON: Yeah. "No comment."
	8	MR. CALLAHAN: Well, then why don't we all call
	9	so that
11:30:32	10	JUDGE JAMESON: I'm going to go Google Southdown,
	11	anyway, just to see what
	12	MR. MANLY: All right. What's it called?
	13	MR. WALL: Southdown Institute.
	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:30, and we're
11:30:42	15	going off the record.
	16	(Recess taken from 11:30 a.m. until
	17	11:46 a.m.)
	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:46, and we're
	19	back on the record.
11:46:34	20	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, I called Judge
	21	Andler's court, or actually somebody in my office did,
	22	and we're giving notice of an ex parte for Thursday,
	23	September 13th, at 9:00 a.m.
	24	Judge Andler is not in today or tomorrow.
11:46:45	25	However, her clerk said this is double hearsay

		Page 45
11:46:49	1	"Isn't that something that Judge Jameson would rule on?"
	2	And I said, "I'll pass that on."
	3	But the ex parte is scheduled for
	4	September 13th at 9 o'clock.
11:47:02	5	MR. MANLY: For what?
	6	MR. CALLAHAN: On for an order to seal this
	7	transcript and to the exact same thing I just talked
	8	about. So
	9	MR. MANLY: You want to seal the entire
11:47:12	10	transcript?
	11	MR. CALLAHAN: No. I want to seal what
	12	exactly I want to follow Judge And Judge
	13	Jameson's exact words, and I'll quote those for you.
	14	MR. MANLY: You're just taking my time. Do you
11:47:21	15	want to seal the whole transcript or not?
	16	MR. CALLAHAN: I want to seal what has transpired
	17	up to this point regarding Urell and what
	18	MR. MANLY: I heard the judge's words. You don't
	19	have to requote it for me.
11:47:32	20	MR. CALLAHAN: Well, that's what I want to do.
	21	MR. MANLY: So you do not want to seal the whole
	22	transcript; is that right?
	23	MR. CALLAHAN: If you want to seal the whole
	24	transcript, that's fine.
11:47:35	25	MR. MANLY: No. I'm asking what you're going to

	***************************************	
		Page 46
11:47:37	1	move for. Are you going to move to seal the whole
	2	transcript or not?
	3	MR. CALLAHAN: Not at this point in time. I'm
	4	going to follow the the suggestions of Judge Jameson.
11:47:43	5	Now, whatever you're going to do next, I may make
	6	another motion.
	7	MR. MANLY: Anybody want to lay odds on what he's
	8	going to do? Okay.
	9	JUDGE JAMESON: No. Let's just go.
11:47:52	10	MR. MANLY: All right. Let's go back on the
• •	11	record.
	12	BY MR. MANLY:
	13	Q Who are we on?
	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah.
11:47:58	15	BY MR. MANLY:
	16	Q Who made the decision to transfer Monsignor
	17	Urell to Southdown?
	18	A Monsignor's doctor and his attorney and the
	19	vicar for priests for the Diocese of Orange recommended
11:48:12	20	that to me. Ultimately, I had to make the decision.
	21	Q When did you make that decision, Bishop?
	22	A I made that decision at the latter part of
	23	last week.
	24	Q When did he go there?
11:48:26	25	A He went there the same day that I believe

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	<del>*************************************</del>	
		Page 47
11:48:29	1	he left he left Thursday of last week.
	2	Q Why was his attorney involved his attorney
	3	involved in the decision?
	4	A I do not know why his attorney was involved
11:48:41	5	in the decision.
	6	Q Is the diocese paying his attorneys?
	7	A I do not know the answer to that that
	8	question.
	9	MR. CALLAHAN: I would think it would be
11:48:50	10	irrelevant, your Honor.
	11	BY MR. MANLY:
	12	Q Who would who would know the answer to
	13	that?
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to object on the grounds
11:48:55	15	of relevancy and not calculated to lead to the
	16	discoverable evidence and also may well involve
	17	attorney-client issues.
	18	JUDGE JAMESON: The issue for Monsignor Urell is
	19	his availability and whether or not his sufficiency of
11:49:14	20	his medical condition warrants his unavailability to us.
	21	Whether he's got an attorney or not and the fact that
	22	we've even talked to the attorney, I don't I don't
	23	see where that goes.
	24	MR. MANLY: Well, I'll tell you, Judge, because
11:49:26	25	the diocese previously hired Mr. McKnight an attorney to

		Page 48
11:49:30	1	threaten to sue a newspaper, and we didn't know that,
	2	either, and now that came out.
	3	So, you know, and I think there is a it is
	4	our contention, Your Honor, that there is an intentional
11:49:41	5	effort by the diocese to prevent Monsignor Urell from
	6	giving further deposition testimony or at trial. And if
	7	they're paying a lawyer to achieve that goal, I think
	8	I'm entitled to it.
	9	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, I would
11:49:52	10	JUDGE JAMESON: Let's assume he's getting so
	11	what? So he's getting paid. The point is he shouldn't
	12	have Mr. Callahan's firm. Otherwise, you'd be jumping
	13	up and down about that. He's got somebody independent.
	14	I don't even remember who they are now.
11:50:10	15	MR. MANLY: It's Palmieri, Tyler, Your Honor.
	16	JUDGE JAMESON: And that's it's appropriate if
	17	his attendance is being sought at court and he thinks he
	18	has a reason or that he would be made unavailable, it's
	19	appropriate for him to have counsel.
11:50:27	20	MR. MANLY: Well, that may be, Your Honor, but
	21	the diocese has no obligation to pay for it. And if
	22	they are paying for it, effectively, I should be able to
	23	argue to the jury that not only did they want him not to
	24	testify, they paid for a lawyer to prevent him to
11:50:40	25	testify.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 49
11:50:42	1	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, that's if that becomes
	2	relevant, you'll you'll learn that down the road.
	3	MR. MANLY: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
	4	BY MR. MANLY:
11:50:47	5	Q Now, Bishop, do you know I'm sorry. Who
	6	is the vicar for priests for the diocese?
	7	A The vicar of priests is Christopher Smith,
	8	Father Christopher Smith.
	9	Q And does he have a parish assignment?
11:51:02	10	A No. His full-time work is providing pastoral
	11	care to the priests of the diocese.
	12	Q Do you know why Southdown was selected?
	13	A I don't know specifically why Southdown was
	14	selected. I just know it's a very good facility.
11:51:16	15	Q And how do you know that?
	16	A I have had dealings with Southdown over
	17	some in the past past years.
	18	Q Did anybody interview Monsignor Urell as to
	19	whether or not he had abused a child prior to his
11:51:31	20	leaving?
	21	A Not to my knowledge.
	22	Q Do you know whether Southdown has an
	23	obligation to report as a mandated reporter to the state
	24	of California if they found that a priest was abusing?
11:51:50	25	A I do not know the answer to that question
	<del>.</del>	a wo hoo mion the answer to that question

		Page 50
11:51:53	1	regarding reporting from Southdown as a possibility.
	2	Q Was there a meeting on this between you and
	3	the vicar for priests and/or others?
	4	A No. There was simply telephone
11:52:08	5	conversations.
	6	Q Was there a conference call?
	7	A No, there was not a conference call. Father
	8	Smith coordinated the matter and reported to me.
	9	Q When was this set in motion, you say?
11:52:21	10	A Last Thursday, I believe.
	11	Q Okay. And was there an event that
	12	precipitated this?
	13	A Monsignor Urell was obviously not well. He
	14	was very emotionally and psychologically distraught by
11:52:39	15	those who worked with him and knew him, and that's what
	16	I think brought this about.
	17	Q So how was this matter brought to your
	18	attention?
	19	A It was brought to my attention primarily
11:52:49	20	through Father Smith.
	21	Q Did Monsignor Urell contact Father Smith?
	22	A I do not know whether he did or whether
	23	Father Smith contacted him.
	24	Q Was the diocesan attorney in any way, shape,
11:53:06	25	or form involved in this or Mr. Callahan's firm?
WIGHT STATE OF THE		

		Page 51
11:53:10	1	A Not that not that I'm aware of.
	2	Q So your sworn testimony is Ms. Schinderle had
	3	no involvement in this; is that correct?
	4	A To my as I sit here today, I'm not aware
11:53:19	5	of any involvement.
	6	Q Bishop, did you know when you sent him to
	7	Canada that my client was trying to depose him?
	8	A Yes. I know that Monsignor Urell was going
	9	to be had given a deposition and was going to be
11:53:33	10	called back for a further deposition.
	11	Q Did you know that he was going to be asked to
	12	testify at trial in this matter on the 18th of
	13	September?
	14	A No, I did not know that, that he was going to
11:53:46	15	be asked to testify at trial on the 18th.
	16	Q Who suggested Southdown to you?
	17	A Father Christopher Smith suggested Southdown
	18	to me.
	19	Q Did you ask him why?
11:54:03	20	A No, I did not ask him why other than the fact
	21	that I knew it was a very good facility, and it was
	22	apparent that Monsignor Urell needed some kind of
	23	residential care.
	24	Q Now, do you know Sister Donna Markham?
11:54:20	25	A Yes, I know Sister Donna Markham.

		Page 52
11:54:23	1	Q And does Sister Donna Markham have any
	2	affiliation with Southdown?
	3	A She was the director of Southdown. She's no
	4	longer there.
11:54:30	5	Q And have you ever read anything Sister Donna
T .	6	Markham has written on the treatment of pedophile
	7	offenders?
	8	A No, I have not read anything that Sister
	9	Donna Markham may have written.
11:54:40	10	Q When do you expect Monsignor Urell back from
	11	Canada?
	12	A I do not know when he'll come back. I
	13	presume it will be after his evaluation is complete and
	14	they know what his problem is, and then they will
11:54:50	15	suggest a treatment plan.
	16	Q Okay. Do you expect him back in two weeks?
  - 	17	A I have no idea when he will get back.
	18	Q Two months?
	19	A I don't know the answer to that question.
11:55:00	20	Q A year?
	21	A I'm sorry. I cannot answer that question.
***	22	Q What did Chris Smith tell you was wrong with
	23	Monsignor Urell?
	24	A Father Smith
11:55:12	25	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, again, I'm concerned

		Page 53
11:55:14	1	here that we're we're getting into materials that
	2	are are, I think, privileged and and shouldn't
	3	come out of a personnel file and then shouldn't come out
	4	of a the mouth of a supervisor for somebody who's not
11:55:29	5	here and his lawyer's not here.
	6	Now, maybe I'm wrong on that. I'm not an
	7	employment lawyer. But it doesn't sound right to me
	8	that this kind of information should come out.
	9	Now, if you say it should, then fine. But I
11:55:41	10	think this information should be coming from the
	11	employee and the employee's doctor and
	12	MR. MANLY: Well, let's make him available.
	13	MR. CALLAHAN: and the employee's lawyer.
	14	MR. MANLY: Let's go to Canada tomorrow and take
11:55:51	15	his deposition. I'll go. Let's suspend the let's
	16	move the depositions, and let's go to Canada tomorrow.
	17	JUDGE JAMESON: Come on, guys. If that was an
	18	objection, Mr. Callahan, it's overruled.
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
11:56:01	20	JUDGE JAMESON: Just answer the question, Bishop.
	21	MR. MANLY: All right.
	22	THE WITNESS: Would you please repeat the
	23	question for me?
	24	THE REPORTER: "Question: What did Chris Smith
11:56:06	25	tell you was wrong with Monsignor Urell?"

		Page 54
11:56:19	1	THE WITNESS: Father Smith told me Monsenior
**************************************	2	Urell was very upset, was not really able to continue on
	3	with his normal pastor duties, that he was not well, in
	4	Father Smith's opinion, and that there was a
11:56:32	5	consultation after that with Father Monsignor Urell's
	6	doctor and with his attorney.
	7	BY MR. MANLY:
	8	Q Who's his doctor?
	9	A I'm not sure who his doctor is. He has I
11:56:42	10	think he has more than one doctor.
	11	Q Was it a psychiatrist?
	12	A I think one of his doctors is a psychiatrist.
	13	Q Is was Dr. Fineman consulted?
	14	A Not to my knowledge.
11:56:58	15	Q Now, you announced to the entire priesthood
	16	in the Diocese of Orange, you sent an e-mail or somebody
	17	sent an e-mail saying that he's gone to be evaluated,
	18	correct?
	19	A I didn't see the e-mail. To my knowledge,
11:57:11	20	the e-mail was that he was on medical leave.
	21	Q Okay. Well, have you ever seen e-mails or
	22	communications from the Diocese of Orange about priests
	23	when they're going to be evaluated?
	24	A No, I have not seen that pardon me. I'm
11:57:26	25	not quite sure what the question is.
1		

		Page 55
11:57:29	1	Q Okay. Let me it's a poor question. Let
	2	me rephrase it.
***	3	Have you ever is there a process where
A Commission of the Commission	4	priests are customarily evaluated at places like
11:57:36	5	Southdown, to your knowledge?
	6	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to object to the form of
	7	"places like Southdown."
	8	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	9	BY MR. MANLY:
11:57:44	10	Q Well, are you what are what pedophile
	11	treatment facilities run by the Roman Catholic church
	12	are you aware of, Bishop Brown, in the United States or
	13	Canada?
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to object to that
11:57:56	15	question unless it is only pedophile treatment because,
	16	as I said before, UCLA
	17	JUDGE JAMESON: Just a minute. Let him finish
	18	the question.
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. I'm sorry. I thought
11:58:03	20	MR. MANLY: Last time I looked, UCLA wasn't run
	21	by the Roman Catholic church, but maybe I missed
	22	something.
	23	MR. CALLAHAN: Let's take let's take
	24	JUDGE JAMESON: Hey.
11:58:10	25	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
	tur we	riit. Califatian. Ordy.
Ť.		

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 56
11:58:11	1	JUDGE JAMESON: Just wait for the question. If
	2	you have an objection, make it, and we've got to move on
	3	here.
	4	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. Shall we have the question
11:58:22	5	read back?
	6	JUDGE JAMESON: He hasn't finished it yet. I'd
	7	like to hear it in its entirety.
	8	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
	9	BY MR. MANLY:
11:58:27	10	Q What pedophile treatment Roman Catholic
	11	well, actually, what facilities are you aware run by
	12	and/or affiliated with the Roman Catholic church that
	13	treat pedophilia either of children and/or adolescents
***	14	in the United States and/or Canada, Bishop Brown?
11:58:44	15	A To my knowledge, there are no facilities
i.	16	under church auspices that treat people afflicted with
***	17	pedophilia or phedophelia exclusively. There are
Accession to the control of the cont	18	several treatment centers in this country and the one in
	19	Canada that was mentioned earlier that treatment a
11:59:00	20	variety of illnesses.
	21	Q Okay. I didn't ask exclusively, so let me
* -	22	ask it again. What facilities run by and/or affiliated
	23	with the Roman Catholic church are you aware of, Bishop
	24	Brown, that treat pedophiles, people who molest either
11:59:18	25	children or adolescents, that are located in the United

	The second secon	Page 57
11:59:21	1	States and/or Canada?
	2	A I think there are four or five.
	3	Q Would you name them for me, please?
	4	A There is Southdown and outside of Toronto,
11:59:32	5	Canada. There is Saint John Vianney, which I think is
	6	in Pennsylvania. There is a facility in St. Louis, a
	7	facility in Maryland. That's all I can recall at the
	8	moment.
	9	Q Okay. So there is Southdown, Saint John
11:59:51	10	Vianney. Is St. Lewis run by the Servants of the
	11	Paraclete?
	12	A I believe so.
	13	Q And is Maryland run by is the Maryland
	14	facility called St. Luke's?
12:00:00	15	A Maryland facility is called St. Luke's.
	16	Q Have you personally ever been to any of these
	17	facilities?
	18	A The only facility I've been to is the one in
	19	Toronto, outside Toronto.
12:00:10	20	Q Why were you there?
	21	A I was there because there was a priest from
	22	Idaho receiving treatment there.
	23	Q Which one?
	24	A Pardon me?
12:00:17	25	Q Which one?

	~~~~~	
		Page 58
12:00:19	1	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, I would object.
	2	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	3	BY MR. MANLY:
	4	Q Was he being treated for sexual abuse?
12:00:25	5	A No, he's not being treated for sexual abuse.
	6	Q Was he being treated for child pornography?
	7	A He was not being treated for child
	8	pornography.
	9	Q Have you ever
12:00:33	10	A Pardon me. No. Southdown, no. That's not
	11	to my knowledge.
	12	Q Did you have some other priest from Idaho
	13	treated for child pornography?
	14	A I'm not aware of any priest I am aware of
12:00:54	15	a priest who was from vistise, working in Idaho, who
	16	was, I think, accused of child watching child
	17	pornography.
and the source of the source o	18	Q Now, have you ever are you familiar with
	19	other priests are you familiar with priests from any
12:01:16	20	diocese you have served in that have been sent to
	21	Southdown to be treated for sexual misconduct with
***	22	minors of any type or sort, including child pornography?
	23	A I'm not aware of any priests that I know in
	24	Boise or Idaho or Orange County who went to Southdown
12:01:32	25	for any sexual disorder regarding minors.

		Page 59
12:01:42	1	Q Do you know George Gonzales?
	2	A Yes, I know I knew George Gonzales.
	3	Q Is he still a priest?
	4	A George Gonzales passed away some years ago.
12:01:52	5	Q Okay. Was George Gonzales ever accused of
	6	sexual misconduct with a minor?
Marie 1	7	A I'm not aware of any accusation against
	8	George Gonzales.
	9	Q How about with child pornography?
12:02:02	10	A I'm not aware of any charge against him for
	11	child pornography.
	12	Q Did you transfer Father Gonzales to Boise,
	13	Idaho?
	14	A No. I was the bishop of Boise, Idaho. And
12:02:11	15	George Gonzales applied to work there, and Bishop
	16	McFarland released him to do so.
	17	Q And why did he do that?
	18	A My understanding was that Father Gonzales was
	19	not happy in Orange and was looking for some kind of a
12:02:27	20	new start.
	21	Q Now
	22	MR. CALLAHAN: I don't believe this is relevant,
	23	Your Honor, and
	24	MR. MANLY: Well, I'm moving on to a different
12:02:35	25	topic, so

r		
		Page 60
12:02:36	1	BY MR. MANLY:
	2	Q Now, when you were appointed by the Holy See
	3	or the Holy Father to be bishop of Orange, was there a
	4	period of time where you were coadjutor?
12:02:51	5	A No. I was never the coadjutor bishop.
	6	Q Okay. So basically, you came to Orange, and
	7	you were already appointed the bishop; is that accurate?
	8	A That's correct.
	9	Q Okay. And, now, I take it when you got here,
12:03:04	10	there was a period of time where you transitioned?
	11	A When I first got here, there was certainly a
***	12	transition period, yes.
	13	Q Okay. Had Bishop McFarland already moved out
	14	of his office when you arrived?
12:03:15	15	A When I arrived, Bishop McFarland had left his
	16	office.
	17	Q And did you sit down prior to your arrival or
	18	concurrent with your arrival and meet with Bishop
	19	McFarland to have an orderly transition?
12:03:29	20	A Yes. I did meet with Bishop McFarland both
	21	before and after my arrival.
	22	Q Okay. Now, had you by the time you
***	23	arrived here in 1998, did you consider childhood sexual
	24	abuse in any way a problem in the Roman Catholic church?
12:03:48	25	A I knew that there were members of the Roman

		Page 61
12:03:51	1	Catholic church, clergy and lay, who had were guilty
	2	of or alleged to be guilty of child molestation.
	3	Q When did you first become aware, Bishop, that
	4	some priests molested kids?
12:04:08	5	A When I was the bishop of Boise, Idaho, was
	6	the first time I became aware of that.
	7	Q And how did you become aware of that?
	8	A I became aware through a a case that came
	9	to my attention.
12:04:19	10	Q Okay. And I take it you called the police
	11	when that came to your attention?
	12	A The I did not call the police because
	13	the it came to my attention by an adult male who had
	14	been allegedly abused when he was an adolescent.
12:04:38	15	Q How many times did you have allegations of
	16	sexual misconduct by priests while you were the bishop
	17	of Boise, Idaho?
	18	A I can think of two.
	19	Q Okay. Were the priests alive?
12:04:52	20	A The priests were alive.
	21	Q Now, in either instance when that came to
	22	your attention, did you pick up the phone and call the
	23	police?
	24	A No, I did not do that because in all both
12:05:01	25	of those cases, the alleged victims were adults at the

		Page 62
12:05:06	1	time I found out about the cases.
	2	Q Now, when is the first time while you were
	3	attending a National Conference of Catholic Bishops
	4	meeting, either an executive session or regular session,
12:05:16	5	somebody mentioned the issue of childhood sexual abuse?
	6	A I think that that issue of child sexual abuse
	7	was mentioned at one of my first meetings.
	8	Q When was that?
	9	A When I became a bishop in 1989.
12:05:37	10	Q Okay. So the first bishops one of the
	11	first bishops meeting you attended, the bishops were
	12	already talking about the problem of priests having sex
	13	with kids, right?
	14	A That is correct.
12:05:47	15	Q Okay. So did you come to an understanding at
	16	some point that child molesters are frequently
	17	recidivist? Do you know what that means?
	18	A I do know what that means. My understanding
	19	is that that is true sometimes, but not always true.
12:06:03	20	Q Okay. And so did you make a determination in
	21	either instance of the priests you dealt with in Idaho
***	22	as to whether or not there was a risk that they had
	23	molested other kids?
WWW.	24	A Well, the priests in Idaho in the one case
12:06:15	25	was removed from ministry, and in the second case the

		Page 63
12:06:19	1.	priest retired.
	2	Q With due respect, Bishop, that's not what I
	3	asked you.
	4	A Oh.
12:06:24	5	Q Did you make an independent determination or
	6	did you direct anybody to determine whether the priest
	7	that molested kids in Idaho that you came to that
	8	came to your attention had recidivist tendencies?
ē	9	A Would you please repeat the question?
12:06:41	10	MR. MANLY: Ms. Reporter, would you read it back,
	11	please?
	12	THE REPORTER: "Question: Did you make an
	13	independent determination or did you direct anybody to
	14	determine whether the priest that molested kids in Idaho
12:06:43	15	that you came to that came to your attention had
	16	recidivist tendencies?"
	17	THE WITNESS: In learning about the cases brought
	18	to my attention in Idaho, in one case there was one
	19	victim, in the other case there were there was more
12:07:06	20	than one victim. I don't recall how many.
	21	BY MR. MANLY:
	22	Q Bishop, with all due respect, what I'm asking
	23	you is did you make a determination or did you tell
	24	somebody to make a determination whether the perpetrator
12:07:18	25	priests that came across your desk in Idaho were likely

30 03 00	•	Page 64
12:07:22	1	to offend or had offended more than once?
	2	A I made the determination.
	3	Q And what training did you have regarding
	4	recidivism to make that determination?
12:07:31	5	A I have no training in recidivism. I was
	6	consulting experts in the field.
	7	Q What experts did you consult with to
	8	determine whether or not they were recidivist?
	9	A People in the medical mental health area.
12:07:46	10	Q What people?
	11	A Doctors.
	12	Q What doctor?
	13	A Well, in the case of one, it was at the
	14	facility where he was receiving treatment.
12:07:59	15	Q What was that?
	16	A That was in Hartford, Connecticut.
	17	Q Institute for Living?
	18	A Yes. That's correct.
	19	Q Is that a pedophile treatment facility you
12:08:08	20	forgot to mention?
	21	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to object to the
	22	characterization of it as a pedophile treatment facility
	23	unless that's
	24	MR. MANLY: Well, let me rephrase that.
12:08:14	25	BY MR. MANLY:

		Page 65
12:08:15	1	Q Is that a well, I don't I'm not going
	2	to agree with that objection, but I'll I'll rephrase
	3	it just to make it easy.
	4	Do you have an understanding, as you sit here
12:08:23	5	today, that the Institute for Living is an institution
	6	run by the Catholic church?
	7	A The Institute for Living is not an
	8	institution run by the Catholic church, to my knowledge.
	9	Q Is it affiliated in any manner with the
12:08:35	10	Catholic church?
	11	A I think that at one point in their history
	12	and when I dealt with them, they had a Catholic chaplain
	13	on the staff.
	14	Q Okay. And is the Institute for Living a
12:08:46	15	place that you are aware of that frequently has treated
**************************************	16	pedophile religious and/or priests?
	17	A I'm not sure what they have treated people
	18	for, but they have treated people with that those
	19	difficulties.
12:08:57	20	Q Did did you forget about the Institute for
	21	Living or
	22	A I did forget about it. Thank you for
	23	reminding me.
	24	Q Okay. Oh, my pleasure.
12:09:05	25	And so was Father Michael Peterson the person

		Page 66
12:09:09	1	you consulted there?
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor.
	3	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	4	MR. MANLY: I didn't ask if that was the priest.
12:09:14	5	I asked if it was the doctor he consulted. I'm not
	6	asking about the priest, Your Honor.
	7	JUDGE JAMESON: The objection is sustained.
	8	MR. MANLY: Okay. I I'm not sure what was
	9	the objection just so
12:09:26	10	MR. CALLAHAN: I didn't even bother to get it out
	11	because it was so obvious. It's not calculated to lead
	12	to discovery of admissible evidence in this particular
	13	case, it's irrelevant, it's beyond the Court's order
	14	regarding the things that this judge can talk about.
12:09:39	15	Excuse me.
	16	BY MR. MANLY:
	17	Q Has Father Michael Peterson ever addressed
	18	the National Conference of Catholic Bishops on childhood
	19	sexual abuse?
12:09:47	20	A I do not recall that name.
	21	Q Is he well-known among the bishops as
	22	somebody who has expertise on treating priest offenders?
	23	A I am not aware of that.
	24	Q Okay. So the your testimony is you talked
12:10:02	25	to the person at the Institute for Living, and they told
1		

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	······································	
		Page 67
12:10:06	1	you that in the one instance, the man was not a
	2	recidivist risk?
	3	A That is correct.
	4	Q Did you put him back in ministry?
12:10:12	5	A No, I did not.
	6	Q Where is he now?
	7	A He left the ministry. I think he's working
	8	in Oregon someplace.
	9	Q And what was the age of his alleged victim?
12:10:23	10	A I think his alleged victim was
	11	MR. CALLAHAN: At the time or when he spoke to
	12	the bishop? Pardon me?
	13	MR. MANLY: At the time he molested him.
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: At the time he allegedly molested
12:10:37	15	him, how old was he?
	16	THE WITNESS: I think he was I'm not quite
	17	sure about that. The molestation continued for a period
	18	of years, and I think it started when he was about
	19	I'm guessing now 10 or 12.
12:10:47	20	BY MR. MANLY:
	21	Q Okay. And where in Idaho did that take
	22	place? Do you recall?
	23	A I think that I think that molestation took
	24	place in Moscow, Idaho.
12:11:03	25	Q Okay. And was there a decision did

		Page 68
12:11:08	1	anybody at the Diocese of Boise and/or you ever consider
	2	calling the police?
	3	A No, I didn't get to consider calling the
	4	police because, again, the victim was an adult when I
12:11:20	5	knew him.
	6	Q Was there a public announcement made?
	7	A Yes, there was.
	8	Q You told the newspapers?
	9	A No, I did not tell the newspapers. The
12:11:27	10	alleged victim did.
	11	Q After after the abuse?
	12	A Oh, yes.
	13	Q So how much time elapsed between the time the
	14	victim made the allegation public and the time the
12:11:46	15	allegation was brought to the diocese' attention?
	16	A No. The allegation the allegation was
	17	made known to the diocese before the victim alleged
	18	victim made it public.
	19	Q How much time between the two?
12:11:59	20	A There were I can't quite can't quite be
	21	sure. There were several months. The alleged victim
	22	wanted a cash settlement, which the diocese was not
	23	willing to agree to. He threatened to go to the press
	24	if we didn't accept his offer, and he went to the press.
12:12:22	25	Q Now, and did you you never considered
1		

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 69
12:12:25	1	calling the police when he first reported it?
	2	A No. Because he was an adult when he reported
	3	it.
	4	Q But the priest was still had still had
12:12:34	5	contact with kids, right?
	6	A At the time it was reported, no. As soon as
	7	it was reported, as soon as we understood who the priest
	8	was, he was called into the office, confronted with the
	9	accusation, and he at that point went immediately to the
12:12:52	10	Institute for the Living.
	11	Q Did he admit it?
	12	A Yes, he did.
	13	Q So he admitted he had molested kids, and you
	14	didn't
12:13:00	15	A He admitted he molested one person.
	16	Q He admitted he molested a child, and you
	17	didn't call the police, right?
	18	A No, I did not call the police.
	19	Q All right. Now, the second instance in Boise
12:13:09	20	you told us about, did you call the police in that
	21	instance?
	22	A I did not call the police in that instance.
	23	Q Why?
	24	A Because, again, the victims were adults at
12:13:18	25	the time that the accusations were made.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 70
12:13:21	1	Q Well, but they allege that they had been
	2	abused as kids, right?
	3	A That's correct.
	4	Q Okay. Well, so did you think it was a good
12:13:27	5	idea to pick up the phone and call the police?
	6	A I didn't think that the man was not in a
	7	situation where where he was going to be of at the
	8	time, I didn't think he was in a situation where he
	9	would be a risk.
12:13:51	10	MR. MANLY: Can I have the bishop's answer read
	11	back, please?
	12	THE REPORTER: "Answer: I didn't think that
	13	the man was not in a situation where he was going to be
	14	of at the time, I didn't think he was in a situation
12:13:54	15	where he would be a risk."
	16	BY MR. MANLY:
	17	Q You mean the victim or the perpetrator?
	18	A The perpetrator.
	19	Q And so you made a situ you made a
12:14:13	20	determination that he was not a risk to kids?
	21	A At that time.
	22	Q And were you aware at that time, Bishop,
	23	that as to whether or not either men accused of
	24	pedophilia could have been charged criminally?
12:14:28	25	A No, I was not aware of that.

		Page 71
12:14:30	ı	Q Did that even enter your mind?
	2	A It may not have entered my mind because I
	3	wasn't advised of that.
	4	Q When did you learn that it was wrong to
12:14:45	5	molest a child?
	6	A When I first learned about molestation.
	7	Q When was that?
	8	A Probably in college.
	9	Q So you went to the seminary?
12:14:56	10	A I did go to the seminary.
	11	Q So you learned that it was wrong to molest a
	12	child when you were at college at Saint John's Seminary;
	13	is that right?
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: You're talking about formal
12:15:05	15	training or just general awareness that something like
	16	that's wrong?
	17	BY MR. MANLY:
	18	Q Bishop, you can answer.
	19	A Well, I think that mol molestation of any
12:15:17	20	kind, but child molestation was a serious moral moral
	21	failure and also a crime. That was makes a lot of
	22	common sense. I found out very early on.
	23	Q Okay. Now, you knew it was a crime when you
	24	were the bishop of Boise, Idaho; is that correct?
12:15:33	25	A Yes.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 72
12:15:34	1	Q Is it a crime if a priest does it?
	2	A If anyone does it.
	3	Q Okay. So if you knew it was a crime and you
	4	knew it was morally wrong, why didn't you call the
12:15:46	5	police?
	6	A This was a matter to take these are things
	7	that happened many years before. And people the
	8	alleged victims were now adults, and it was my thought
	9	that they should call the police if they wished to do
12:16:01	10	that.
	11	Also, I'm not quite sure what the the
	12	criminal law in that regard is in Idaho. It's not the
	13	same as it is here in California.
	14	Q Bishop, have you ever called the police on a
12:16:14	15	priest?
	1.6	A No, I've never called to my knowledge,
	17	I've never called the police about a priest.
	18	Q How do you know it's different the law is
	19	different in Idaho than California?
12:16:27	20	A I just know the statutes are different there.
	21	They were different and because of the issue of suits
	22	we were involved in.
	23	Q Statutes of what?
	24	A Limation.
12:16:36	25	Q What does that matter whether you call the
		•

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Page 73
12:16:40	1	police or not?
	2	A I didn't see a need to call the police since
	3	this was not an ongoing activity with a minor at the
	4	time.
12:16:49	5	Q But I think you just told me that it's
	6	well-known and common sense, to use your words, that
	7	pedestrians molest repeatedly and repeatedly, right?
	8	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. He did not say that.
	9	He said sometimes they do, and sometimes they don't.
12:17:02	10	You're putting words in his mouth. I object to that
	11	question.
	12	BY MR. MANLY:
	13	Q Is it not your understanding and common sense
	14	that if you do you think it's common sense if
12:17:08	15	somebody's a pedophile, whether their victim's 20 or 40
	16	and they're still around kids, is it common sense to
	17	call the police, Bishop?
	18	A I don't think it's common sense if you have
	19	restricted the individual in a situation where they're
12:17:20	20	not able to fail in that regard.
	21	Q So did you put him in clerical prison?
	22	A No, I did not put them in clerical prison.
	23	Q What did you do?
	24	A Well, in one case, the priest was had gone
12:17:35	25	to a facility for treatment and then subsequently left

		Page 74
12:17:38	1	the ministry, and the other case the person retired.
	2	Q So if he retired from ministry, your view is
	3	he would never molest again?
	4	A Knowing the person that who retired and
12:17:49	5	knowing his history and having had conversations with
	6	him, I was confident that there was not going to be a
	7	matter of recidivism.
	8	Q So effectively, you were did you think, in
	9	effect, you were doing the police's job for them with
12:18:03	10	regard to
	11	A No, I did not.
	12	Q Now, how how many priest perpetrators have
:	13	you come across in your career?
	14	A I am aware of two in Idaho, and in Orange
12:18:18	15	County I can think of three right now. There may be
	16	others. I'm not sure.
	17	Q So you only know of two in Idaho and three in
estratular de la constanta de	18	Orange County; is that accurate?
**************************************	19	A As I sit here with my at the moment. I
12:18:33	20	would have to research that issue.
	21	Q So was it your expectation as a bishop, as a
	22	new bishop, that if Bishop McFarland knew that he had
nedector .	23	priests who had raped children or molested children or
	24	adolescents in parishes, that he would tell you that?
12:18:54	25	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; calls for speculation

		٠, ٦٠
		Page 75
12:18:55	1	as to expectations.
	2	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	3	BY MR. MANLY:
	4	Q Did Bishop McFarland well, I take it as
12:19:11	5	bishop of Orange, you did not want to have people who
	6	had molested children in ministry; is that accurate?
	7	A I certainly would not want to have people who
	8	molested children in ministry. And as I speak now, I
	9	realize I can think of four priests in Orange County who
12:19:25	10	have been accused of that here in Orange County.
	11	Q Okay. All right. Now, do you know how many
	12	priests were in ministry of any type or sort in well,
	13	actually, let me ask it a different way.
	14	How many priests, whether on inactive leave,
12:19:44	15	sick leave, or on active duty, in the Diocese of Orange
	16	when you arrived had been accused of molestation?
	17	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to object to that, Your
	18	Honor, based on your previous order that says on page 14
	19	we're not going to have any questions about since he
12:20:00	20	arrived after the facts here, meaning the Andrade
	21	matter, there will be no questions of Bishop Brown
	22	regarding abuse by clerics or priests in the diocese or
	23	anywhere else.
	24	I've tried to not object and allow this some
12:20:12	25	reasonable latitude, but we now see that we're going to

		Page 76
12:20:16	1	repeat the Bishop McFarland deposition, and I don't
	2	think that's appropriate.
	3	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, at least you acknowledge
	4	that we're going into people in Idaho and their
12:20:27	5	circumstances which I had ruled was out of bounds. It
	6	was incredible to me that you let this go on for an
	7	hour.
	8	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
	9	JUDGE JAMESON: But the objection's sustained.
12:20:38	10	MR. MANLY: Judge, if if we talked about
	1.1.	the transition period and that Bishop Blair Bishop
	12	Blair excuse me Bishop Brown is aware of people
	13	that were molesters in 1998 when he arrived. That is
	14	squarely within the ambit of the Andrade period as ruled
12:20:55	15	by Judge Andler, which is 1987 to 2001. So I think I'm
AAAA	16	entitled to ask that question.
	17	MR. CALLAHAN: I don't.
E	18	JUDGE JAMESON: The objection's sustained.
	19	BY MR. MANLY:
12:21:08	20	Q Okay. Did Bishop McFarland tell you that
# 	21	there were numerous people working at the diocese, both
	22	as priests, laypeople, and otherwise, during the
	23	transition period that were molesters?
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to object to that. The
12:21:24	25	court's order is that

		Page 77
12:21:25	1	JUDGE JAMESON: No. I the transition and what
	2	Bishop McFarland advised Bishop Brown
	3	MR. MANLY: Okay.
	4	JUDGE JAMESON: is one of the I think I
12:21:40	5	even commented that that would be appropriate. So
	6	what what was communicated regarding the status of
	7	allegations and cases at the time Bishop Brown arrived
	8	is relevant.
	9	So you may if you have the question in
12:22:00	10	mind, you may answer.
	11	MR. CALLAHAN: Do you have the question in mind,
	12	Bishop, or do you want it read back?
	13	THE WITNESS: I have the question in mind, I
	14	think.
12:22:07	15	Bishop McFarland spoke to me about two
	16	priests who had been accused of sexual molestation
	17	and during our transition period.
	18	BY MR. MANLY:
	19	Q Which ones?
12:22:18	20	A Monsignor Harris and Father John Lenahan were
	21	the two priests he spoke to me about.
	22	Q Okay. Now, I take it he told you that Father
	23	Harris and Father Lenahan had been accused of of
	24	abuse?
12:22:36	25	A Bishop McFarland told me that both of those

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 78
12:22:38	1	had no. Bishop McFarland told me that Monsignor
	2	Harris had been accused by various people of abuse and
	3	that there was a pending lawsuit. In the case of Father
	4	Lenahan, he told me about one one event.
12:22:54	5	Q Did he tell you that Father Lenahan had
	6	admitted abusing the girl in question?
	7	A I think he Bishop McFarland told me that
	8	he had I'm not quite positive of
	9	(Interruption in proceedings at 12:23 p.m.)
12:23:11	10	THE WITNESS: I'm not positive as I sit here now.
	11	I think he told me about involvement of Father Lenahan
	12	with a a teenage girl, and I don't recall whether
	13	what the admission question was. I do know there was
	14	some kind of legal settlement in that case, though.
12:23:30	15	BY MR. MANLY:
	16	Q He said "involvement." What does that mean?
	17	A I'm not quite sure. I presume it was some
	18	kind of sexual involvement.
	19	Q Now, I take it once you learned that Father
12:23:41	20	Lenahan had been sexually involved with a young girl,
	21	you kicked him out of the diocese.
	22	A I did not kick Father Lenahan out of the
	23	diocese because the matter had been dealt with by my
	24	predecessor some years before, and I was not going to
12:23:58	25	return to the past, but rather move on into the future.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

F		
		Page 79
12:24:01	1	Q Well, I certainly, you would think it
	2	would be inappropriate for him to be in any sort of
	3	position of leadership, given that he had engaged in sex
	4	with a minor. Agree?
12:24:12	5	A I simply accepted the decisions that Bishop
	6	McFarland had made in regard to Father Lenahan.
	7	Q Did you ever come to did it ever come to
	8	your attention at any time that Father Lenahan was
	9	holding positions of leadership in a diocese when it was
12:24:27	10	known to you and Bishop McFarland that he was a
	11	molester?
	12	A Bishop McFarland had appointed him to a
	13	certain position of the diocese. He was in those
	14	positions when I arrived here, and I did not change the
12:24:39	15	status.
	16	Q So you never appointed Father Lenahan to any
	17	position of leadership; is that accurate?
	18	A I'm not quite sure. He was a consultor
	19	and appointed by Bishop McFarland. And I think when
12:24:54	20	his term was I think when his term was finished, that
	21	I reappointed him.
	22	Q Okay. Now, I take it as a part of the
	23	transition, you wanted to become familiar with the
	24	priests of the diocese?
12:25:15	25	A I'm very intent on becoming aware of the

		Page 80
12:25:18	1	priests of the diocese as quickly as I could.
	2	Q So I take it one of the things did you was
	3	review their files?
	4	A No. I did not review their files.
12:25:25	5	Q Did you speak during the transition with
	6	Bishop McFarland I'm sorry.
	7	During the transition, did you speak with
	8	Bishop Driscoll and/or Monsignor Urell about the
	9	priests?
12:25:36	10	A I did not speak to Monsignor Urell or to
	11	Bishop Driscoll about priests during my transition.
	12	Q Bishop, is it you've been a bishop a long
	13	time, right?
	14	A Since 1989 '88, actually.
12:25:52	15	Q If your vicar general and/or chancellor are
	16	possessed of information that some priests might pose a
	17	threat to children, was it your is it your
	18	expectation at any time that they would make you, as the
	19	bishop, aware of that?
12:26:07	20	A That would be my expectation, yes.
	21	Q So it would be your expectation that if
	22	Bishop Driscoll and/or Monsignor Urell were possessed of
	23	information that there were molesters working in the
	24	diocese, that they would have told you that; is that
12:26:21	25	accurate?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 81
12:26:22	1	A I would have expected they would have told me
	2	that if the occasion arose.
	3	Q What do you mean "if the occasion arose"?
	4	A Well, I don't know. I usually we didn't
12:26:33	5	discuss priests unless there was some particular reason
	6	to speak about a priest.
	7	Q Okay. Have you ever spoken with them
	8	subsequently about their failure to tell you well,
**************************************	9	let me ask you this.
12:26:45	10	Did either of them during the transition
	11	period ever tell you that there were multiple priests
	12	working in the diocese who had been accused?
	13	A No. Neither priest spoke to neither of
	14	them spoke to me about that during the transition.
12:26:56	15	Q Did they speak to you about it at any time?
	16	A No, except that I think that after the
	17	after the Dallas meeting of the bishops and the
	18	bishops Catholic bishops of our country adopted the
	19	charter for the protection of young people, at that time
12:27:15	20	Monsignor Urell had spoke to me about one of the priests
	21	in terms of a certain had had some accusations
	22	against him, which I did not know up until that point.
	23	Q Did Monsignor Urell tell you that during
	24	the transition period that Father Ramos was accused of
12:27:30	25	taking boys to donkey shows in Tijuana?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

T	***************************************	
		Page 82
12:27:33	1	A No. Monsignor Urell did not tell me that. I
	2	don't believe Father Ramos was on active duty when I
	3	came here.
	4	Q Okay. Well, if you learned that any priest,
12:27:44	5	whether on active duty or not, during the transition had
	6	been taking children to donkey shows with prostitutes in
	7	Tijuana, would you have taken action to laicize that
	8	priest?
	9	A I certainly would have taken some action.
12:28:00	10	Q Well, that's fine. My question is would you
	11	have taken action to defrock that priest?
	12	A I would certainly have taken such a priest
	13	out of active ministry.
	14	Q Did you ever come to learn that another
12:28:16	15	priest in your diocese, Father Peturich, who was on duty
	16	when you were in let me ask let me ask a different
	17	question.
	18	Did you ever come to learn during the
	19	transition that Father Ramos had been to had served
12:28:30	20	in Tijuana after he was a notorious known to be a
	21	notorious pedophile by Bishop Driscoll?
	22	A As I sit here at this moment, I don't recall
	23	that conversation.
	24	Q So Bishop Driscoll never told you that he had
12:28:43	25	sent, along with Bishop McFarland, Father well, did

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	·····	
		Page 83
12:28:47	1	Bishop McFarland, Bishop Driscoll, or John Urell ever
	2	tell you, Bishop Brown, that they had sent a notorious
	3	pedophile, who used sex toys and took boys to donkey
	4	shows, and put him in Tijuana with access to children?
12:29:01	5	A Not to my
**************************************	6	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor. That
	7	well, all right. It certainly misstates the evidence,
	8	but I can't object to the question if it misstates
	9	evidence.
12:29:09	10	MR. MANLY: What evidence did I misstate?
	11	MR. CALLAHAN: That they they they sent him
	12	down to Mexico with a bunch of donkeys and sex toys
	13	and and applied them to a bunch of kids.
	14	MR. MANLY: Did they send him to Tijuana?
12:29:19	15	MR. CALLAHAN: They sent him I don't really
	16	know if they sent him
	17	MR. MANLY: Is there an allegation that he had
	18	he took boys to donkey shows and used sex toys on them,
	19	Mr. Callahan?
12:29:28	20	MR. CALLAHAN: I don't know about the
	21	allegations. What I do
	22	MR. MANLY: You want me to say the victims' names
	23	who will say it?
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: I I'm sure save it for the
12:29:33	25	newspapers, John. Let's go on to the next question.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 84
12:29:36	1	MR. MANLY: Well, you said I made a mistake.
	2	I'd like to know what I did, Your Honor.
	3	JUDGE JAMESON: Lunch is here. Let's take a
	4	break.
12:29:42	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:29, and we're
	6	going off the record.
	7	(Recess taken from 12:29 p.m. until
	8	1:10 p.m.)
	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:10, and we're
01:10:57	10	back on the record.
	11	BY MR. MANLY:
	12	Q Bishop, who made the decision to pay
	13	Mr. Andrade a hundred thousand dollars to settle his
	14	lawsuit? Forgive me.
01:11:11	15	A As far as I know, I believe that was a
	16	decision made by the insurance company.
	17	Q Okay. So you didn't make that decision?
	18	A No, I did not make the decision.
	19	Q Who was working on that matter for the
01:11:21	20	diocese?
	21	A To my knowledge, I believe that our general
	22	counsel, Maria Schinderle, was working on it, together
	23	with others.
	24	Q Bishop Brown, do you know who Father Morris
01:11:33	25	is?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 85
01:11:36	1	A Pardon me?
	2	Q Father Morris. Have you ever heard of a
	3	Father Morris?
	4	A I'm not aware of a Father Morris.
01:11:42	5	Q Okay. How about Father Rubin Garcia? Have
	6	you ever heard of him?
	7	A As I sit here, I don't recall that name right
	8	now.
	9	Q How about a Father James Wersly? Do you know
01:11:54	10	him?
	11	A Yes, I do know Father Wersly. He was one of
	12	the priests I mentioned in Idaho.
	13	Q Father
	14	A Pardon me. Rubin Garcia, I think I can
01:12:02	15	recall that now. He was I think Father Garcia was
	16	a
	1.7	MR. CALLAHAN: We've got a court order that
	18	indicates we're not to reveal the names of victims or
	19	accused.
01:12:17	20	MR. MANLY: I'm just asking if he knows who they
T 170	21	are,
	22	MR. CALLAHAN: Well, I'm just telling him he
	23	doesn't know about the court order. So probably the
	24	best way to answer this is yeses or nos so no reference
01:12:26	25	can be implied.
		-

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 86
01:12:27	1	MR. MANLY: Well, I don't know that that's still
	2	the court order. I mean so
	3	BY MR. MANLY:
	4	Q Do you know who Father Rubin Garcia is or
01:12:34	5	not, Bishop?
	6	A I think I do.
	7	Q Who is he?
T AND THE STATE OF	8	A I think he was a priest who at one time was
	9	ministering in Idaho, and if it was the one I'm thinking
01:12:47	10	about, he
	11	MR. CALLAHAN: That's good enough.
	12	MR. MANLY: Don't interrupt him. I asked who he
i	13	is. He was ministering in Idaho and what?
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: I would suggest that he answer the
01:12:56	15	question and we proceed on an orderly basis to avoid
	16	violating the court order.
	17	BY MR. MANLY:
	18	Q Well, what was he doing?
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: That's the question. What was he
01:13:04	20	doing? What was his assignment?
	21	THE WITNESS: Father Garcia was not an active
	22	priest in Idaho when I went there.
	23	BY MR. MANLY:
	24	Q Was he in Idaho?
01:13:11	25	A I don't I don't believe he was in Idaho,

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 87
01:13:12	1	no.
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to object because I
	3	think this also is far beyond the scope of the
	4	permissible inquiry according to this particular
01:13:20	5	judge order of Judge Jameson last week.
	6	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	7	BY MR. MANLY:
	8	Q Do you know who Father William Gould is?
	9	A Yes, I know who Father William Gould is.
01:13:28	10	Q Who is Father Gould?
	11	A Father Gould is a priest of the Diocese of
	12	Boise.
	13	MR. CALLAHAN: I would object, then, and move to
	14	strike as beyond the legitimate inquiry of this
01:13:37	15	particular deposition.
	16	MR. MANLY: I just asked if he knew who he was.
	17	BY MR. MANLY:
	18	Q Do you know who Father
	19	JUDGE JAMESON: You need to object to the
01:13:43	20	question, Mr. Callahan. We don't object to answers.
	21	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. I'm sorry, Your Honor.
	22	BY MR. MANLY:
	23	Q Do you know who Father Joel Stuber is?
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection.
01:13:51	25	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 88
01:13:52	1	MR. MANLY: Well, how is that? I mean we don't
	2	even know who he is. Judge, I can't ask him who a
	3	priest is?
	4	JUDGE JAMESON: If you want to get into an area,
01:14:04	5	you need to take it step by step and lay a foundation.
	6	Out of the blue, that question is objectionable.
	7	Sustained.
	8	BY MR. MANLY:
	9	Q Do you know who let me ask you this,
01:14:21	10	Bishop. Do you know who Father William Gould is? Did I
	11	ask you that?
	12	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes, you did.
	13	MR. MANLY: Okay.
	14	MR. DiMARIA: Look at the bottom portion.
01:14:55	15	BY MR. MANLY:
*****	16	Q Now, when you were being considered for
	17	appointment to be bishop of Orange, was there an
	18	investigation by the Holy See?
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor. And if I
01:15:09	20	may state my objection in detail here. It's my
marassassass	21	understanding that today's proceeding is subject to four
	22	areas of inquiry. These are not areas that are
	23	prohibited, but rather, everything is prohibited except
	24	these areas.
01:15:23	25	And these areas are, number 1,
######################################		

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 89
01:15:26	1	number 2, the Andrade return to campus on the Varsity
	2	Gold; number 3, the policies and practice of lay
	3	employees regarding childhood sexual abuse; and number
	4	4, what Bishop McFarland related to him regarding the
01:15:41	5	events of this case and related to it.
	6	And I'm going to object to any questions that
	7	aren't clearly within the parameters of those four
	8	areas. This question I object to because it appears not
	9	to be connected to one of those four. It may well be,
01:15:55	10	but it does not appear to be connected to one of those
	11	four areas of permissible inquiry.
	12	MR. MANLY: It goes to the transition, Your
	13	Honor.
	14	JUDGE JAMESON: What's the question?
01:16:02	15	MR. MANLY: When you were before you were
	16	appointed bishop of Orange, was there an investigation
	17	by the Holy See?
	18	MR. CALLAHAN: Wait, wait. Objection. I
	19	don't think that has to do with, quote, the reference to
01:16:14	20	Bishop
	21	JUDGE JAMESON: The objection's sustained.
	22	MR. CALLAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
	23	BY MR. MANLY:
	24	Q Let me show you I'll give the Court a copy
01:16:25	25	of these documents. Give me all of them. Oh, okay.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 90
01:16:35	1	Your Honor, you didn't have these documents
	2	when we talked about this, and I want you to look at
	3	them, and I'll show counsel. And it's my intention to
	4	question the bishop about these. So
01:16:49	5	MR. CALLAHAN: Should we number these?
	6	MR. MANLY: These are well, we can number them
	7	by Exhibit 1 and then A through whatever. These are
	8	documents from the Diocese of Fresno and and relating
	9	to allegations against Bishop Brown. And there's also
01:17:08	10	documents from the Archdiocese of Boston and the
	11	Archdiocese of Los Angeles, and I and the Diocese of
	12	Fresno. And they should be marked Exhibit 1A through I.
	13	(Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was introduced for the
	14	record, a copy of which is attached hereto.)
01:17:38	15	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, I would object to
	16	these being marked and attached and, hence, perhaps
	17	inadvertently, released to the press as a part of this
	18	transaction. If if
	19	MR. MANLY: They've already been released to the
01:17:49	20	press. The "OC Weekly's" published them.
	21	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to mostly address my
	22	question my comments to you, Your Honor. I think it
	23	would probably be smoother that way.
	24	I don't want this these documents to be
01:17:59	25	attached to the sound bites and the videotape that may
		may may

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 91
01:18:03	1	inadvertently, who knows what, as as a part of this,
	2	and then, you know, the diocesan counsel is objecting
	3	and trying to hide, et cetera. I don't want I don't
	4	want to get into that.
01:18:14	5	If you think these documents are related to
	6	one of those four areas, fine. Then we'll address it.
	7	But if you don't think these four these documents are
	8	related to one of those four areas of permissible
	9	inquiry, I don't think they should be attached, and I
01:18:27	10	don't think we should have any colloquy on the record on
	11	them and we should move on to something that is
	12	permissible inquiry. That's my position.
	13	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, we need to we can take a
	14	break or you can sit here, but I it's several pages
01:18:39	15	that I have not seen before, and given concerns
	16	expressed, I will review them.
	17	MR. MANLY: We'll take a break, Your Honor.
	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:18, and we're
	19	going off the record.
01:18:52	20	(Recess taken from 1:18 p.m. until
	21	1:29 p.m.)
	22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:29, and we're
	23	back on the record.
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, have you had a chance
01:30:06	25	to review these documents?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 92
01:30:08	1.	JUDGE JAMESON: I have.
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: These documents were discussed at
	3	the the time of your initial ruling. And, in fact, I
	4	have a transcript of that in which Mr. Manly makes the
01:30:19	5	point that Bishop Brown is himself an accused abuser,
	6	quote, end quote. That was not disclosed.
	7	He goes into the diocesan policy and cites
	8	the "Orange County Weekly." The court may recall that.
	9	And he offered to produce all these numerous documents,
01:30:36	10	and he described them in some detail. And he explained
	11	why that his theory, that if Brown had been an abuser
	12	and was head of the diocese when Andrade was retained,
	13	et cetera, and so these documents have been referred to
	14	at some length and argued extensively.
01:30:56	15	And I mention this only that the Court is
	16	aware that these this deposition has a four-hour time
	17	limit on it. I'm not going to interrupt, obviously, in
	18	the middle of a question or anything like that. But I
	19	would not want to hear the claim "Well, Judge, we had to
01:31:10	20	use up a lot of time to go over these documents" because
	21	that was counsel's choice, and I would submit that these
	22	documents do not address the four areas about which the
	23	Court indicated the questions could be asked. That's
	24	all I have to say.
01:31:25	25	JUDGE JAMESON: They are in the area of

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 93
01:31:26	1	impeachment of the witness, so some limited inquiry
	2	would be permitted.
T-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-10	3	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
***************************************	4	MR. MANLY: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
01:31:34	5	BY MR. MANLY:
	6	Q Bishop Brown, have you ever seen any of these
	7	documents before?
	8	A I saw these documents, most of them,
	9	yesterday.
01:31:43	10	Q Have did you abuse
	11	A I've never abused any person sexually or any
	12	other way.
	13	Q In 1997 have you ever been accused of
	14	abusing anybody?
01:31:55	15	A Yes. I was accused of sexually molesting
	16	and the state of t
	17	Q Have you been accused of molesting anybody
	18	else?
	19	A I've never been accused of molesting anybody
01:32:07	20	except for that one accusation.
	21	Q Have you ever been accused of sexual
	22	misconduct in your official capacity of either a priest
	23	or a bishop?
	24	A I've never been accused of sexual misconduct
01:32:18	25	at any time during my life.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 94
01:32:19	1	Q Okay. Have you ever engaged in sexual
	2	misconduct with a seminarian at Saint John's Seminary?
	3	A I've never engaged in sexual misconduct with
	4	any seminarian.
01:32:30	5	Q Okay. In July of 1997, were you contacted by
	6	Bishop Steinbock?
	7	A Bishop Steinbock called me in Boise, Idaho.
	8	Q And what did he say?
	9	A Bishop Steinbock told me that he had a
01:32:42	10	complaint about a priest in Idaho who had molested a
	11	young man in the Diocese of Fresno. And when he said
	12	that, I began to wonder who could that possibly be. And
	13	then he told me that I was the one who was accused.
	14	Q Okay. And what happened?
01:33:03	15	A I went I was shocked by the accusation.
	16	Q Did he tell you who the accuser was?
	17	A Yes, he did.
	18	Q Do you know the boy? Did you know that boy?
	19	A I have a vague recollection of
01:33:19	20	Q Okay. Okay. Did they put you on
	21	administrative leave during the investigation?
	22	A No, they did not put me on leave.
	23	Q What was so how long was the how many
	24	times were you interviewed about allegation?
01:33:37	25	A I was never interviewed about

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 95
01:33:40	1	allegation.
	2	Q Okay. So, basically, what happened is Bishop
	3	Steinbock called you and asked you if it was true?
	4	A He told me about the accusation, and I told
01:33:50	5	him immediately that I was not that had never
	6	happened.
	7	Q Okay. And that was it?
	8	A That was it. And then periodically, we would
	9	have phone contact in terms of the ongoing investigation
01:34:05	10	being conducted by the Diocese of Fresno.
	11	Q Okay. What did anybody from the Diocese
	12	of Fresno interview you?
	13	A Not to my recollection.
	14	Q Okay. And was a parishioner when
01:34:16	15	you were a priest in Bakersfield?
	16	A He was a parishioner, yes. I believe so.
	17	Yes, he was.
	18	Q Now, in reviewing these documents, do you see
	19	anywhere where they tell
01:34:30	20	believe this event occurred?
	21	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. It calls for a
	22	conclusion. The documents may speak for themselves if
	23	it's addressed to anyone.
	24	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
01:34:38	25	BY MR. MANLY:

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 96
01:34:42	1	Q Did you ask to speak with
	2	A No, I did not ask to speak with
	3	Q Okay. Do you know who from the Diocese of
	4	Fresno investigated the allegations?
01:35:00	5	A I don't know who the I'm not sure who
	6	the who Bishop Steinbock who what what panel
	7	or what group of people he dealt with in terms of
	8	investigation. I believe that the H.R. person was
	9	involved in that.
01:35:17	10	Q How do you sorry. Go ahead, Bishop.
	11	A I'm not sure what his name is. I think it's
	12	here someplace.
	13	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. Wait. Just tell him what
	14	you remember.
01:35:26	15	THE WITNESS: I think the the H.R. person was
	16	involved, and I think there were two or three priests
	17	who were involved in that investigation also.
	18	BY MR. MANLY:
	19	Q Okay. Now, did did Craig Cox or anybody
01:35:38	20	from Cardinal Mahoney's office ever call you and advise
	21	you they had received an allegation?
	22	A No one called me from Los Angeles about the
	23	allegation.
	24	Q When the allegation occurred, did you alert
01:35:49	25	the papal nuncios office?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 97
01:35:51	1	A No, I did not.
	2	Q In a previous deposition, Bishop Braum told
	3	us that when he received an allegation, that's what he
	4	did because that was protocol.
01:36:01	5	MR. CALLAHAN: I would object to that. It states
	6	facts not in evidence.
	7	BY MR. MANLY:
	8	Q Well, have you ever heard anything like that
	9	before, that there's a protocol when a bishop is
01:36:08	10	accused?
	11	A I'm not aware of a protocol, but I would have
	12	expected that if there was a report to the papal
	13	nuncios, it would have been done by one of the other
	14	bishops.
01:36:19	15	Q Did you call Cardinal Mahoney as the as
	16	the metropolitan and advise him of the accusation?
	17	A I called Cardinal Mahoney and spoke to him
	18	about the accusation.
	19	Q When did you do that?
01:36:29	20	A The night that I received the phone call from
	21	Bishop Steinbock.
	22	Q And what did you tell him?
	23	A I told him about the telephone call and
	24	obviously told him this never happened.
01:36:37	25	Q Obviously, this must have been very

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

Page 98 01:36:39 1 distressing to you. 2 A Extremely distressing. 3 Q And did you ever ask to speak to the young 4 man? 01:36:45 5 A No. I didn't want to speak to 6 wanted to let the investigation continue without any 7 interference from myself. 8 Q Why didn't you make the allegation public? 9 A At that time, I didn't make the allegation 01:36:59 10 it wasn't up to me to make the allegation public. 11 Q Well, have you heard have you seen where
2 A Extremely distressing. 3 Q And did you ever ask to speak to the young 4 man? 01:36:45 5 A No. I didn't want to speak to 6 wanted to let the investigation continue without any 7 interference from myself. 8 Q Why didn't you make the allegation public? 9 A At that time, I didn't make the allegation 01:36:59 10 it wasn't up to me to make the allegation public.
Q And did you ever ask to speak to the young 4 man? 01:36:45 5 A No. I didn't want to speak to 6 wanted to let the investigation continue without any 7 interference from myself. 8 Q Why didn't you make the allegation public? 9 A At that time, I didn't make the allegation 01:36:59 10 it wasn't up to me to make the allegation public.
4 man? 01:36:45 5 A No. I didn't want to speak to 6 wanted to let the investigation continue without any 7 interference from myself. 8 Q Why didn't you make the allegation public? 9 A At that time, I didn't make the allegation 01:36:59 10 it wasn't up to me to make the allegation public.
01:36:45 A No. I didn't want to speak to 6 wanted to let the investigation continue without any 7 interference from myself. 8 Q Why didn't you make the allegation public? 9 A At that time, I didn't make the allegation 01:36:59 10 it wasn't up to me to make the allegation public.
wanted to let the investigation continue without any interference from myself. Q Why didn't you make the allegation public? A At that time, I didn't make the allegation 01:36:59 10 it wasn't up to me to make the allegation public.
7 interference from myself. 8 Q Why didn't you make the allegation public? 9 A At that time, I didn't make the allegation 01:36:59 10 it wasn't up to me to make the allegation public.
Q Why didn't you make the allegation public? A At that time, I didn't make the allegation 01:36:59 10 it wasn't up to me to make the allegation public.
9 A At that time, I didn't make the allegation 01:36:59 10 it wasn't up to me to make the allegation public.
01:36:59 10 it wasn't up to me to make the allegation public.
to make the arregation public.
11 0 Well, have you heard have you seen where
Cardinal Mahoney was accused, he says falsely, and on
two occasions, and he immediately made them public?
14 A I know about those occasions.
01:37:15 15 Q So why didn't you make this allegation
l6 public?
17 A I didn't make the allegation public because I
18 knew it was not true.
Q Well, do you have any reason to believe that
01:37:28 20 Cardinal Mahoney knew his allegations were true or
21 untrue?
MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; calls for speculation.
JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
24 BY MR. MANLY:
01:37:33 25 Q Now, you promised to be open and transparent

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	····	
		Page 99
01:37:36	1	in your communications with people of this diocese,
	2	correct, about sexual abuse?
	3	A That is correct.
	4	Q Have you ever disclosed to the public that
01:37:43	5	you were accused?
	6	A No, because the accusation about me was
	7	judged not to be credible.
	8	Q By who?
1	9	A By the investigation conducted by the Diocese
01:37:54	10	of Fresno.
	11	Q Do you think the Diocese of Fresno has a good
- - -	12	record on disclosing sexual abuse?
	13	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; calls for speculation.
	14	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
01:38:02	15	BY MR. MANLY:
	16	Q Well, the are you familiar that the are
	1.7	you aware that the Diocese of Fresno has investigated
	18	numerous accusations of sexual abuse?
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; irrelevant and not
01:38:13	20	calculated to lead to
1 1	21	JUDGE JAMESON: Yeah. I'll allow it since it has
	22	some bearing on the allegation made against the bishop.
	23	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
**************************************	24	THE WITNESS: May I have the question again,
01:38:27	25	please?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 100
01:38:27	1	THE REPORTER: "Question: Are you aware that the
PROFILE STATE OF THE STATE OF T	2	Diocese of Fresno has investigated numerous accusations
	3	of sexual abuse?"
	4	THE WITNESS: I know the Diocese of Fresno's been
01:38:49	5	involved in some suits, and I would presume those
	6	involved recitation of sexual abuse suits. I presume
	7	that involved investigations.
	8	BY MR. MANLY:
	9	Q Okay. And do you have any information one
01:38:58	10	way or the other whether they're a credible agency to
	11	investigate sexual abuse?
	12	A I presume they are a credible agency to
	13	conduct investigations.
	14	Q Did anybody from the Diocese of Fresno notify
01:39:12	15	the police?
	16	A Not to my knowledge.
	17	Q Did anybody from the Diocese of Fresno, to
	18	your knowledge, ask
	19	Did anybody, to your knowledge, from the
01:39:29	20	Diocese of Fresno advise the alleged victim in the case
	21	that you were not in a position with access to children?
	22	A According to the correspondence I looked at,
	23	someone did.
	24	Q According to what?
01:39:42	25	A The correspondence I looked at, someone did.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 101
01:39:44	1	Q Okay. Was that an accurate statement?
	2	A I presume that had to do with the fact that I
	3	was in in administration.
	4	Q Did anybody from the Diocese of Fresno tell
01:39:55	5	you were a bishop?
	6	A I believe that they did tell that
	7	I eventually told him I was a bishop, yes.
	8	Q Can you look at letter or Exhibit D in
	9	Exhibit 1, Bishop?
01:40:07	10	MR. CALLAHAN: My concern about these is that
	11	we've been given different packets that might be in a
	12	different order and so
	13	MR. MANLY: No. Actually, let me let me go
	14	through and and I'll go through and tell you what is
01:40:22	15	what.
	16	JUDGE JAMESON: If you identify it by address,
	17	author, and date, it won't make any difference what
	18	order we're in.
	19	MR. MANLY: Yeah. Just for the record, I can
01:40:33	20	hear the witness talking to you.
	21	JUDGE JAMESON: Good.
	22	MR. MANLY: And he said, "Should I mention the
	23	D.A.?" And you just said, "Get it in somewhere."
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: Get it in.
01:40:39	25	MR. MANLY: I don't want to hear that kind of

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 102
01:40:40	1	advice on the record, so I would ask you to please tone
	2	it down.
	3	MR. CALLAHAN: I'll try to keep my voice down,
	4	but I think we should, in fairness to the witness,
01:40:48	5	expand that previous answer. But
	6	MR. MANLY: Well, that's fine.
	7	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm sure we'll get it in
	8	somewhere.
	9	MR. MANLY: Okay. So I have Exhibit the only
01:40:58	10	thing I think that is incorrect in this packet what's
	11	that? Yeah. I know. I took that one out. So I took
	12	out okay. Exhibit F is a or page F is a duplicate
	13	of page B. So if you just pull F out of your packet.
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: I don't know which F is.
01:41:35	15	What's I guess can you give us the date, maybe, or
	16	MR. MANLY: Sure. It's July 11, 1997.
	17	MR. CALLAHAN: Now, I haven't ABC'd these things
	18	yet because I think we're going to do that when you
	19	identify them.
01:41:58	20	BY MR. MANLY:
	21	Q Okay. So, Bishop, can you tell me where you
	22	saw actually, let me show you a document that
	23	that's labeled D, and it's a September 29th, 1997,
	24	letter, from R. Patrick Gordon to
01:42:22	25	A What was the date again, please?
		~

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 103
01:42:24	1	Q September 29th, 1997.
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q And it says, "Dear Mr. The I have
	4	completed my investigation as part of our pastoral
01:42:31	5	response to your July 3rd, 1997, letter.
	6	"In regards to Father Brown, no records of
	7	inappropriate behavior have been found from the time of
	8	his ordination to the present day with the exceptions of
	9	your statements in your July 1997 letter.
01:42:47	10	"His life, as we know it, has been an
	11	extremely public one and subject to scrutiny and
	12	investigation. We are confident that should there have
:	13	been any other complaints similar in nature to your
	14	memory, they would have been discovered and addressed.
01:43:02	15	"As far as as far as part of our
	16	concern for your well-being and peace of mind, I would
	17	like to extend an invitation for you to come in and
	18	visit with me so I may review the results of my research
	19	with you." And then he says "Please call me" and gives
01:43:16	20	his phone number.
	21	Do you note he says "Father Brown"? Do you
	22	note that in the document? Did you look at it, sir?
	23	A Yes, I did. At the time that the alleged
	24	allegation occurred, I was Father Brown.
01:43:30	25	Q Well, I understand that. But at the time

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

	······································	
		Page 104
01:43:32	1	this letter was written, you were Bishop Brown, correct?
	2	A I was Bishop Brown when the letter was
	3	written, yes. But I think my guess is for
	4	I was Father Brown.
01:43:43	5	Q Okay. Do you know if anybody ever advised
	6	that you were the sitting bishop of Boise,
	7	Idaho?
	8	A My understanding is that someone from the
	9	Diocese of Fresno told him that.
01:43:56	10	Q In or around 1997, as I understand it, the
	11	procedure in most diocese in that country was to remove
	12	a priest from ministry who was accused and have him
	13	evaluated by a psychologist. Is that accurate?
	14	A I don't think that was universally the case.
01:44:13	15	Q You've heard of that practice before?
	16	A I've heard of the practice in some places.
	17	Each again, I don't really know the context. That
	18	would have to be addressed to a specific case, I
	19	believe.
01:44:22	20	Q Okay. Well, have you ever heard of a
	21	situation in your time as bishop where the victim was
	22	evaluated by a psychologist besides this one upon the
	23	accusation?
	24	A I can't answer that question. I don't know.
01:44:38	25	Q Well, you can only tell me what you know,
4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 105
01:44:39	1	Bishop, and that's all I'm asking.
	2	A I'm not aware of a case where that was done.
	3	Q Are you aware that that was done in this
	4	case?
01:44:46	5	A I understand that from reading the
	6	correspondence.
	7	Q Okay. Did anybody show you
	8	psychological report?
	9	A No, I've not seen psychological
01:44:55	10	report.
	11	Q Do you have any correspondence regarding this
	12	matter?
	13	A I have no correspondence regarding the
	14	matter.
01:45:00	15	Q So did you want to work in what Mr. Callahan
	16	discussed with you about the D.A., Bishop, now?
**************************************	17	MR. CALLAHAN: Did you want to complete an answer
	18	you gave previously now?
	19	THE WITNESS: I'll be happy to, yes. This is
01:45:11	20	regard to law enforcement, that Bishop Steinbock told me
	21	sometime later that the district attorney from the Kern
	22	County had asked the bishop, Bishop Steinbock, to send
	23	him files of any priests who were accused of sexual
	24	molestation of minors in Kern County. And so the bishop
01:45:31	25	told me he had sent my case down to the district

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

•		Page 106
01:45:34	1	attorney.
	2	BY MR. MANLY:
	3	Q So you've spoken to Bishop Steinbock about
	4	this matter since?
01:45:42	5	A About the case going to the district
	6	attorney?
	7	Q No. About this case, in general, about the
	8	accusation against you.
	9	A I spoke to Bishop Steinbock when the he
01:45:52	10	knew about the matter of the publication in the "Orange
	11	County Weekly," and that was my last conversation with
	12	Bishop Steinbock.
	13	Q What did you talk to him about?
	14	A Well, simply, that I told him that this
01:46:06	15	had been published and or was going to be published
	16	and that I believe the Diocese of Fresno prepared a
	17	press release.
	18	Q You believe they published a press release?
	19	A No. They prepared a press release.
01:46:20	20	Q What did the press release say?
	21	A I don't I can provide a copy of that
	22	later. But basically, the press release said that after
	23	a thorough investigation, that they had found that the
	24	allegation was not credible.
01:46:34	25	Q What was not credible about it, as far as you

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

01:46:36	
A That I had never molested Q No, I'm sorry. I understand. And respectfully, I recognize this must be difficul you. A Yes. Q But what I'm asking is what what find not to be credible about the allegation? know? Ol:46:54 10 A Well, I think what they said was the found out that the allegation against me had no Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that conclus A They just said after a thorough inve using their procedures. I don't think they gav details. Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that A Yes, I did. Ol:47:19 20 Q Okay. And you knew his family? A I only remember his mother.	Page 10
Q No, I'm sorry. I understand. And respectfully, I recognize this must be difficult you. A Yes. Q But what I'm asking is what what find not to be credible about the allegation? know? Ol:46:54 10 A Well, I think what they said was the found out that the allegation against me had no Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that conclus A They just said after a thorough inve using their procedures. I don't think they gav details. Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that A Yes, I did. Ol:47:19 20 Q Okay. And you knew his family? A I only remember his mother.	
respectfully, I recognize this must be difficulty you. A Yes. Q But what I'm asking is what what find not to be credible about the allegation? know? Ol:46:54 10 A Well, I think what they said was the found out that the allegation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not a details. Ol:47:10 15 using their procedures. I don't think they gave details. Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that A Yes, I did. Ol:47:19 20 Q Okay. And you knew his family? A I only remember his mother.	
Ol:46:44 5 you. 6 A Yes. 7 Q But what I'm asking is what what find not to be credible about the allegation? 9 know? Ol:46:54 10 A Well, I think what they said was the found out that the allegation against me had not 2 Q Well, I mean there must have been so 13 factual basis upon which they made that concluse 14 A They just said after a thorough inverse 15 using their procedures. I don't think they gave 16 details. 17 Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that 18 19 A Yes, I did. Ol:47:19 O Okay. And you knew his family? 21 A I only remember his mother.	
6 A Yes. 7 Q But what I'm asking is what what 8 find not to be credible about the allegation? 9 know? 01:46:54 10 A Well, I think what they said was the 11 found out that the allegation against me had not 12 Q Well, I mean there must have been so 13 factual basis upon which they made that conclus 14 A They just said after a thorough inve 16 details. 17 Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that 18 19 A Yes, I did. 01:47:19 20 Q Okay. And you knew his family? 21 A I only remember his mother.	lt for
Q But what I'm asking is what what find not to be credible about the allegation? head find not to be credible about the allegation? know? Ol:46:54 10 A Well, I think what they said was the found out that the allegation against me had not a good well, I mean there must have been so a factual basis upon which they made that concluse the factua	
find not to be credible about the allegation? know? 10 A Well, I think what they said was the found out that the allegation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that concluse A They just said after a thorough investigation against me had not Q Well, I mean there must have been so fa	
know? 10 A Well, I think what they said was the found out that the allegation against me had not 2 Q Well, I mean there must have been so 13 factual basis upon which they made that conclus 14 A They just said after a thorough inverse 15 using their procedures. I don't think they gave 16 details. 17 Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that 18 19 A Yes, I did. Ol:47:19 20 Q Okay. And you knew his family? 21 A I only remember his mother.	did they
Ol:46:54 10 A Well, I think what they said was the found out that the allegation against me had not a provide the found out that the allegation against me had not a provide the found out that the allegation against me had not a provide the found out that the allegation against me had not a provide the found out that they dead that conclusions are factural basis upon which they made that c	Do you
found out that the allegation against me had not 2 Q Well, I mean there must have been so 13 factual basis upon which they made that conclus 14 A They just said after a thorough inversion of 15 using their procedures. I don't think they gave 16 details. 17 Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that 18 19 A Yes, I did. Ol:47:19 20 Q Okay. And you knew his family? 21 A I only remember his mother.	
Q Well, I mean there must have been so factual basis upon which they made that conclus A They just said after a thorough inve using their procedures. I don't think they gav details. Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that R Yes, I did. Q Okay. And you knew his family? A I only remember his mother.	at they
factual basis upon which they made that conclus A They just said after a thorough invent using their procedures. I don't think they gave details. Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that A Yes, I did. Q Okay. And you knew his family? A I only remember his mother.	o basis.
14 A They just said after a thorough invention of the sai	ome
01:47:10 15 using their procedures. I don't think they gave details. 17 Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that 18 19 A Yes, I did. 01:47:19 Q Okay. And you knew his family? A I only remember his mother.	sion?
details. 17 Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that 18 19 A Yes, I did. 01:47:19 20 Q Okay. And you knew his family? 21 A I only remember his mother.	estigation
Q Okay. Did you tell the bishop that 18 19 A Yes, I did. Ol:47:19 Q Okay. And you knew his family? A I only remember his mother.	ve
18 19 A Yes, I did. 01:47:19 20 Q Okay. And you knew his family? A I only remember his mother.	
19 A Yes, I did. 01:47:19 20 Q Okay. And you knew his family? 21 A I only remember his mother.	you knew
01:47:19 20 Q Okay. And you knew his family? 21 A I only remember his mother.	
21 A I only remember his mother.	
in a construction modifier.	
Q Okay. And do you know where he alle	
	eges
you do you know where he says you allegedly	abused
24 him?	
01:47:32 25 A It was my understanding was on th	ne church

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

***************************************	***************************************	
		Page 108
01:47:36	1	grounds.
	2	Q How do you know that?
	3	A Because in the letter I just looked at, he
	4	said he was abused in during confession. And earlier
01:47:46	5	I had been told that he alleged that he was abused by me
	6	during the time he was coming to catechism classes.
	7	Q Okay. Did you teach catechism?
	8	A No, I did not. I might have taught a class
	9	off and on.
01:48:05	10	Q Okay.
	11	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm sorry. I couldn't understand
	12	the last
	13	THE WITNESS: I may have taught a class off and
	14	on, but I was not a regular teacher.
01:48:11	1.5	BY MR. MANLY:
	16	Q Okay. Did you hear his confession well,
	17	you can't answer that. Never mind.
	18	Did did Bishop Steinbock at the time of
	19	the allegation and I recognize it may be difficult to
01:48:22	20	separate this out. But did Bishop Steinbock ever tell
	21	you at the time what he specifically alleged you did?
	22	A No, not the details.
	23	MR. CALLAHAN: And I would ask you, Bishop, to
	24	pause briefly because somewhere along the line here I'm
01:48:46	25	going to put in an objection that we've been beating

		Page 109
01:48:48	1	this to death. But pause slightly, if you can, before
	2	answering so that if I want to object, I have a second
	3	to get in there.
	4	BY MR. MANLY:
01:48:58	5	Q So did the L.A. Archdiocese did Cardinal
	6	Mahoney do any investigation?
	7	A I do not know.
	8	Q Okay. And Bishop Steinbock was for a period
	9	of time the Ordinary of the Diocese of Orange, correct?
01:49:12	10	A Bishop Steinbock was the administrator for a
	11	period of time between Bishop Johnson's death and Bishop
	12	McFarland's arrival.
	13	Q Okay. He functioned as the effective
	14	effectively, the bishop, correct?
01:49:24	15	A That is correct.
	16	Q Do you have any idea why
	17	up such a thing?
	18	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; that misstates
	19	that's an argumentative question regarding "make up."
01:49:42	20	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	21	BY MR. MANLY:
	22	Q Do you have any information or has anybody
	23	provided you information as to what might motivate
	24	somebody to make up an allegation such as this?
01:49:49	25	MR. CALLAHAN: Calls for speculation.
		Carrs for speculation.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 110
01:49:50	1	JUDGE JAMESON: Overruled.
	2	THE WITNESS: I answer the question?
	3	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. If he says "overruled," then
	4	answer it.
01:50:00	5	THE WITNESS: I consulted a clinical psychologist
	6	explaining the situation, asking him how could this
	7	happen, and he explained to me what oftentimes does
	8	happen. And so at least it gave me some understanding
	9	of what may have happened.
01:50:16	10	BY MR. MANLY:
	11	Q Who was that?
	12	MR. CALLAHAN: Wait.
	13	JUDGE JAMESON: Excuse me. I think the question
	14	pertains to the motive of the individual here. We can
01:50:25	15	get into esoteric psychological reasons why somebody
	16	would do that.
	17	But could the boy have mistaken another
	18	priest for you, did the family did you have contact
	19	with the family and there would be some inducement,
01:50:40	20	anything about the logistics and environment in
	21	Bakersfield that you can find a motive for?
	22	MR. CALLAHAN: Answer the judge's question.
	23	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. I never visited the
	24	family. My only contact with the family was
01:50:59	25	when he came for catechism, and his mother would as I

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 111
01:51:03	1	recall, oftentimes pick him up.
	2	BY MR. MANLY:
	3	Q Okay. Did you ever contact the NCCB about
	4	this allegation?
01:51:13	5	A No, I did not.
	6	Q So other than what you told the judge, you
	7	just don't have any information as to why he would make
	8	this up, if he made well, let me let me be fair.
	9	I have no idea, Bishop, whether you did this
01:51:33	10	or not, and I'm not accusing you of it. I just want to
	11	know why it wasn't disclosed. That's the purpose of my
	12	questions.
	13	So my question is is that you were alone
	14	with him or well, you had contact with him, anyway,
01:51:46	15	you know the family, and he made this allegation.
	16	Did you ever consider at any point, given
	17	those facts, making a public disclosure so if it came
	18	out, it wouldn't look like you were hiding something?
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. That question, as
01:52:02	20	asked, contains a number of elements, including contact,
	21	being alone. Some of those things were dropped and the
	22	question was changed, and I'd like to have a nice clean
	23	question so I can decide whether I need to object or
-	24	not.
01:52:15	25	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

·		
		Page 112
01:52:15	1	BY MR. MANLY:
	2	Q Okay. Bishop, did you ever consider
	3	making you know, given that you've asserted your
	4	innocence and given that you say that Baker or
01:52:24	5	Fresno did an investigation, did you ever consider
	6	simply making this allegation public a la Cardinal
	7	Mahoney so if it ever came out, you wouldn't look like
	8	you were trying to hide something?
	9	A No, I never made a decision to divulge the
01:52:43	10	allegation.
	11	Q Why?
	12	A Because it was very embarrassing, and very
	13	painful. And to be very honest, I think that kind of an
	14	allegation is difficult to deal with regardless of how
01:52:59	15	innocent a person may be.
	16	Q Can you see where in 2004 you settled a bunch
	17	of cases and met with 90 victims, at least many of them,
	18	and you wrote letters of apology to them. Were you at
	19	all concerned when you did that that if this allegation
01:53:17	20	came out, it might do tremendous harm to them?
	21	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor. It calls
	22	for speculation.
	23	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	24	BY MR. MANLY:
01:53:33	25	Q Did you ever discuss with your closest
		_

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 113
01:53:36	1	advisers in the diocese, nonlawyer advisers, the fact
	2	that you had been accused?
	3	A Yes, I did.
	4	Q When?
01:53:42	5	A When I came to the diocese when I I
	6	don't recall exactly when.
	7	Q Well, can you give me your best estimate?
	8	A I think let me think for a second about
	9	that. Some years ago.
01:54:11	10	Q When you arrived at the Diocese of Orange,
	11	was it your expectation that if the human resources
	12	director was aware of of laypeople who were working
	13	for the diocese that were abusers, that you would be
	14	told?
01:54:25	15	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. It calls for
	16	speculation and recreation of assumes there is some
	17	sort of expectation.
	18	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, overruled.
	19	Do you have the question in mind?
01:54:42	20	THE WITNESS: I think so, yes.
	21	I I think I would expect to know about any
	22	persons who were actively employed in the diocese who
	23	had a history of that kind of proven history of that
	24	kind of problem.
01:54:57	25	BY MR. MANLY:

		Page 114
01:54:57	1	Q Was there any policy in existence when you
	2	arrived here that prevented molesters from working in
	3	the Diocese of Orange, priest, religious, or layperson?
	4	A I can't speak about laypeople. But
01:55:11	5	obviously, with regard to clergy, they would not be
	6	allowed to enter the diocese.
	7	Q Well, I didn't ask you about entering the
	8	diocese.
	9	A Oh.
01:55:19	10	Q I said was there any policy in effect in the
	11	Diocese of Orange that prevented people who had molested
	12	children from working in the Diocese of Orange, whether
	13	that person be a priest, religious, or layperson.
	14	A I know that priests would not be allowed to
01:55:37	15	minister in the Diocese of Orange if they were had a
	16	history of child molestation. I'm not sure what the
	17	policy was with regard to laypeople. I presume that
	18	they would not be allowed to work in the diocese.
	19	Q Did you ever adopt a policy against well,
01:55:57	20	let me ask you this.
	21	Was there a policy in the diocese that
	22	allowed persons who had been previously asked to
	23	resign let me ask it a different way.
	24	Was there any policy or procedure in effect
01:56:13	25	in when you came to the diocese that prevented
		The series of th

	····	
-		Page 115
01:56:15	1	persons who had been asked to resign for molesting
	2	children to come back and work as a vendor?
	3	MR. CALLAHAN: I would object unless there's some
	4	specificity of what's meant by a "vendor."
01:56:34	5	MR. MANLY: Somebody who worked with children.
	6	MR. CALLAHAN: So if somebody works with children
	7	is a vendor? Is that the definition we're going to use,
	8	Your Honor?
	9	JUDGE JAMESON: Repeated doing business within
01:56:47	10	the diocese.
	11	BY MR. MANLY:
	12	Q You can answer, Bishop.
	13	A I'm not aware of a policy. I didn't come
	14	across that kind of a case.
01:56:53	15	MR. MANLY: All right. Let's take a break.
	16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:56, and we're
	17	going off the record.
	18	(Recess taken from 1:56 p.m. until
	19	2:03 p.m.)
02:03:22	20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:03, and we're
	21	back on the record.
	22	BY MR. MANLY:
	23	Q Bishop, let me read something to you and ask
	24	if you agree with it.
02:03:29	25	"Connected to incest is any sexual abuse

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

<u> </u>	·····	
		Page 116
02:03:32	1	perpetrated by adults on children or adolescents
	2	adolescents entrusted to their care. The offense is
	3	compounded by the scandalous harm done to the physical
	4	and moral integrity of the young, who will remain
02:03:44	5	scarred by it all of their lives, and the violation of
	6	responsibility for their upbringing." Do you agree with
	7	that?
	8	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor. I don't
	9	think it's appropriate to read long technical matters
02:03:54	10	and then ask the witness to agree. He doesn't have it
	11	in front of him. It's
	12	MR. MANLY: I'd be happy to show it to him.
	13	MR. CALLAHAN: That's up that's up to the
	14	judge.
02:04:02	15	JUDGE JAMESON: Tell me where we're going,
	16	Mr. Manly.
	17	MR. MANLY: This is from the catechism of the
	18	Catholic church, Your Honor.
	19	JUDGE JAMESON: Objection sustained.
02:04:10	20	BY MR. MANLY:
	21	Q Do you agree, Bishop, that adolescents and
	22	children who are molested are scarred by it for the rest
	23	of their lives?
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; assumes
02:04:23	25	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.

		Page 117
02:04:25	1	BY MR. MANLY:
	2	Q Bishop, during the transition between the
	3	McFarland administration and yours, was there any policy
	4	or procedure in effect that would have allowed speakers
02:04:45	5	to come speak to Catholic educators?
	6	A I think that speakers who came to
	7	see Catholic educa or speak to Catholic educators, I
	8	think they were all vetted.
	9	Q Okay. In other words, they made sure they
02:05:00	10	didn't say anything outlandish, inappropriate, or
	11	inconsistent with the way the diocese did business,
	12	right?
	13	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. Argumentative as to
	14	what "vetted" means. We don't most of the people, we
02:05:09	15	can't control what they might say.
	1.6	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	17	MR. MANLY: I didn't say "vetted." He did.
	18	What's objectionable about my question, Your Honor?
	19	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, somebody needs to define it
02:05:19	20	for me so I can
	21	MR. MANLY: Well, I didn't say "vetted." The
	22	bishop did.
	23	MR. CALLAHAN: The objection is not the word
	24	"vetted." The he says, "Did you check with
02:05:25	25	speakers?"

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 118
02:05:26	1	Bishop says they're vetted.
	2	He says "And so that means you they're not
	3	going to say anything outlandish."
	4	We cannot control what somebody's going to
02:05:32	5	say. If there's a specific question about it, that's
	6	one thing. But I think the question, as posed, was
	7	objectionable.
	8	BY MR. MANLY:
	9	Q Okay. Well, how did you vet the speakers?
02:05:44	10	A Well, ordinarily, someone would check on
	11	where the person has spoken, other locations, and
	12	seen if they came from a particular diocese, you
	13	check with the authorities of that diocese and make sure
	14	that the speaker was somebody who was acceptable to
02:06:03	15	them.
	16	Q Based upon what you know about that time
	17	period, would the diocese have allowed a speaker to come
	18	and speak who was going to opine that children or
	19	adolescents can contribute to their own injury by having
02:06:25	20	sex with a teacher?
	21	MR. CALLAHAN: The question could I have the
	22	question read back?
	23	THE REPORTER: "Question: Based upon what you
	24	know about that time period, would the diocese have
02:06:30	25	allowed a speaker to come and speak who was going to

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 119
02:06:30	1	opine that children or adolescents can contribute to
	2	their own injury by having sex with a teacher?"
	3	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. Do you know the answer,
	4	if if that was something that was looked into?
02:06:57	5	THE WITNESS: Well, I think that that I can't
	6	imagine someone saying that, but
	7	MR. CALLAHAN: That's not the question. The
	8	question is
	9	MR. MANLY: Well, he I think he's answered it.
02:07:06	10	MR. CALLAHAN: All right. Try to answer the
	11	question he asks and not give your own opinion about the
	12	subject matter.
	13	BY MR. MANLY:
	14	Q And you can't imagine someone saying that
02:07:14	15	because that view is repugnant, correct?
	16	A Correct.
	17	Q Have you received any information outside of
	18	your lawyers that that is the position of the diocese in
	19	this case?
02:07:28	20	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. That question assumes
	21	that the diocese has asserted a position. In fact, the
	22	diocese has retained experts, psychologists, and
	23	psychiatrists who have reached their own conclusions,
	24	school experts. And these are matters of litigation,
02:07:43	25	not adoption of any particular policy.
		moe adoption or any partitudal policy.

		Page 120
02:07:46	1	There are experts who have testified about
	2	the facts in this particular case, and they're also
	3	prepared to testify at the time of trial regarding the
-	4	facts of this particular case.
02:07:55	5	Go ahead.
	6	JUDGE JAMESON: May I have the question back,
	7	please?
	8	THE REPORTER: "Question: Have you received any
	9	information outside of your lawyers that that is the
02:07:58	10	position of the diocese in this case?"
	11	JUDGE JAMESON: Do you have the question in mind,
	12	Bishop?
	13	THE WITNESS: I'm still not clear about the
	14	question. I'm sorry.
02:08:24	15	BY MR. MANLY:
	16	Q Have
	17	JUDGE JAMESON: I think the problem is we had
	18	we had an objection, and the question incorporates the
	19	previous information which may have gotten lost in
02:08:36	20	the in the transition here.
	21	So if you can, Mr. Manly, why don't we start
	22	over.
	23	MR. MANLY: Sure.
	24	BY MR. MANLY:
02:08:45	25	Q Bishop, have you received any information,

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Page 121
02:08:49	1	other than from your lawyers, that the diocese is going
	2	to assert that somehow caused or
	3	contributed to her own abuse by not refusing to have sex
	4	with Mr. Andrade?
02:09:03	5	A No.
	6	Q And does the Diocese of Orange as far as you
	7	know, have a policy on whether 14-, 15-, 16-,
	8	17-year-old girls or boys can can somehow are
	9	somehow at fault if they're being sexually abused by a
02:09:28	10	teacher?
	11	A There's no diocesan policy that addresses
	12	that.
	13	Q What is your personal view, please?
	14	A I think
02:09:34	15	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; calls for expertise.
	16	He's not a psychologist, psychiatrist, school expert, or
	17	anything else that would have an expertise in that area.
	18	JUDGE JAMESON: His personal view is irrelevant
	19	unless it's somehow published. So
02:09:47	20	MR. FINALDI: Well, he's got his personal
	21	opinion. He's the one that's in charge of setting the
	22	practices, policies, procedures, and protocol for
	23	dealing with lay employees regarding sexual abuse.
	24	JUDGE JAMESON: Objection's sustained.
02:09:58	25	BY MR. MANLY:

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 122
02:09:58	1	Q Okay. Would you permit a diocesan agency to
	2	espouse such a view?
	3	A No, I would not.
	4	Q Why?
02:10:10	5	A Because I think adults are the primary
	6	responsible agent in any kind of misconduct with
	7	vulnerable people.
	8	Q And you would agree that adolescents, 15-,
	9	16-, 17-year-olds, fall in the vulnerable category?
02:10:32	10	A Yes.
	11	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. That's a very large
	12	class, 15-, 16-, 17-year-old adolescents. It's going to
	13	vary from individual to individual. And we haven't seen
	14	a foundation, so it's lacking foundation
02:10:41	15	MR. MANLY: He just answered the question. He
	16	said "yes."
	17	MR. CALLAHAN: Let me just finish.
	18	that all all people are going to fit
	19	into a particular category.
02:10:50	20	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, we got an answer. Let's go
	21	forward.
	22	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
	23	BY MR. MANLY:
	24	Q Bishop, when is the first time you are aware
02:11:05	25	of the diocese adopted a zero tolerance policy on

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 123
02:11:10	1	allowing people to work in the Diocese of Orange who
	2	were known to be sex abusers?
	3	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; no foundation of any
	4	zero tolerance policy that's been adopted on anything.
02:11:22	5	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
Average and the second	6	BY MR. MANLY:
	7	Q Bishop, is there a zero tolerance policy in
	8	the diocese preventing credibly accused abusers from
	9	working?
02:11:31	10	A Yes, there is.
	11	Q Okay. And when was that adopted?
	12	A That was adopted, I believe, in the early
	13	early part of this decade.
	14	Q And was that as a result of the DiMaria
02:11:37	15	settlement?
	16	A I think that was one of the factors involved
	17	in that policy.
	18	Q So prior to that time, there was no zero
	19	tolerance policy on that; is that correct?
02:11:46	20	A That I believe that's correct.
	21	Q Bishop, did you ever get the opportunity to
	22	look at the secret archive files on employees in the
	23	chancery office during the transition period?
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; assumes that there are
02:12:06	25	secret archive files regarding employees, period.

		Page 124
02:12:11	1	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	2	BY MR. MANLY:
	3	Q Do you have files that are sometimes called
	4	the secret archives of the Diocese of Orange?
02:12:16	5	A No. We don't have any files called secret
	6	archives.
	7	Q Does Canon Law make reference to something
	8	called a secret archive?
	9	A I believe it does.
02:12:25	10	Q Okay. Do you have something like that there?
	11	A We have files that are kept under lock and
	12	key.
	13	Q Okay. And are is that where the abuse
	14	records are kept?
02:12:38	15	A I presume so.
	16	Q Haven't you looked?
	17	A I have had no reason to look at those files.
	18	Q So your sworn testimony here today is at no
	19	point have you ever looked at the abuse files in the
02:12:53	20	Diocese of Orange; is that correct?
	21	A I I can't say I've never looked at abuse
	22	files, but I haven't if a file was brought to me
	23	regarding that issue, yeah, of course I saw it.
	24	Q Okay. So I'm sorry. Were you done, Your
02:13:08	25	Excellency? Forgive me. Were you done, Bishop?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 125
02:13:11	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Okay. Have you have you ever of your own
	3	volition gone to the files and reviewed them yourself?
	4	A No, I have not.
02:13:22	5	Q Why not?
	6	A I would not do that unless I had a reason to
	7	do it.
	8	Q Well, would how about 90 lawsuits? Would
	9	that be a good reason?
02:13:30	10	A The the files have been examined by our
	11	diocesan attorneys, as well as other diocesan personnel.
	12	So I did not have to look at them.
	13	Q So you left it to your lawyers to look at the
	14	files?
02:13:48	15	A I left it to my staff and my attorneys, the
	16	diocesan attorneys.
	17	Q So you left it to your staff and to your
	18	lawyers to look at the files regarding abuse; is that
	19	accurate?
02:14:00	20	A I think that's accurate, yes.
	21	Q Where is your personnel file, Excellency
	22	I'm sorry Bishop?
	23	A I presume my personnel file is with all the
	24	other personnel files in the pastoral center.
02:14:15	25	Q Okay. Were you aware in 2001, during the

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 126
02:14:20	1	pendency of the DiMaria action, that Bishop Ziemann had
	2	been accused of abusing a boy in Orange?
West of the second seco	3	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; beyond the four areas
	4	of inquiry.
02:14:30	5	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	6	BY MR. MANLY:
	7	Q Was there a policy was there ever a policy
	8	during the transition period from your administration to
	9	the to your administration from the McFarland
02:14:43	10	administration of keeping these matters regarding abuse
	11	secret?
	12	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection to the form of the
	13	question, "these matters." And simply by referencing
	14	the transition period does not make it subject to
02:14:58	15	inquiry.
	16	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, why don't you rephrase it.
	17	BY MR. MANLY:
	18	Q Was there a policy that you became aware of
	19	during that transition period between Bishop McFarland
02:15:08	20	and yourself that there was a policy in existence at the
	21	Diocese of Orange to keep the identity of abusers secret
	22	from law enforcement?
	23	A No. I am not aware of any policy.
	24	Q Bishop, did you conspire with Monsignor Urell
02:15:25	25	and Bishops McFarland and Bishop Driscoll to keep these

·		
		Page 127
02:15:32	1	identities of abusers secret from law enforcement?
	2	A I conspired with nobody.
	3	Q Okay. When did you first become aware that
	4	there were people working for you who had credible
02:15:46	5	allegations of child molestation against them?
	6	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, that's a classic "When
	7	did you first" "Are you still beating your wife?"
	8	The question assumes a loaded
	9	MR. MANLY: Well, let me I'll ask the
02:15:57	10	prefatory question.
	11	BY MR. MANLY:
	12	Q Were have there ever been people working
	13	for you in the Diocese of Orange who are credibly
	14	accused of sexual abuse?
02:16:05	15	A Yes, there have been.
	16	Q Okay. And when did you first become aware
	17	that there were people working for you in the Diocese of
	18	Orange who were child molesters?
	19	A I would become I did become aware on
02:16:19	20	single cases and when they were brought to my
	21	attention.
	22	Q My question, Bishop, is regards timing. My
	23	question is when did you first become aware that there
	24	were people working for you in the Diocese of Orange who
02:16:33	25	were child molesters?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 128
02:16:34	1	A I think when Bishop McFarland spoke of it
	2	during the transition.
	3	Q And you took no action at that time to remove
	4	them, did you?
02:16:42	5	A One person had already been removed, and the
	6	other person I simply a decision made by Bishop
	7	McFarland to continue on.
	8	Q So my statement is correct. You took no
	9	action against the first person you found out about who
02:16:59	10	was a molester to remove him, did you?
	11	A No. The first person I found out about had
	12	already been removed.
	13	Q All right. But the other person we're
	14	talking about is Father Lenahan, is it not?
02:17:10	15	A That's correct.
	16	Q Okay. You let Father Lenahan stay in a
	17	parish for, what, four or five years?
	18	A I don't recall the exact time element.
	19	Q Did you know the parish he was working in had
02:17:22	20	a school?
	21	A Yes, I did.
	22	Q Did you know that he was involved in the
	23	founding of a high school in South Orange County?
	24	A No, I was not.
02:17:32	25	Q You never learned that Father Lenahan was

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

00-17-01		Page 129
02:17:34	1	involved in founding Junipero Serra High School; is that
	2	correct?
	3	A Oh, I think that he might have been
	4	consulted. I'm just not quite sure. I think there was
02:17:43	5	some some support from him for that project.
	6	Q Were you ever photographed with Father
	7	Lenahan in connection with the founding of Junipero
	8	Serra High School?
	9	A I don't recall.
02:17:59	10	Q Did it ever occur to you, Bishop, during that
	11	transition period it might not be a good idea to let
	12	somebody who had allegedly raped a 15-year-old girl to
	13	be in charge of a parish that had an elementary school?
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; assumes
02:18:13	1.5	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	16	BY MR. MANLY:
	17	Q Did it ever occur to you, Bishop, that
	18	well, did you know how old the girl was that he had
	19	molested?
02:18:21	20	A I thought she was in her I believe I was
	21	told she was in her maybe 15.
	22	Q Okay. Did you think it was a good idea,
	23	Bishop, to let somebody who had molested a 15-year-old
	24	girl to remain in a parish that had a school?
02:18:36	25	
	-	A I relied on the judgment of Bishop McFarland,

		Page 130
02:18:39	1	who knew all the particulars of the case and the
	2	circumstances, which I did not.
	3	Q Can you think of a circumstance where it
:	4	would be appropriate, whether in 1998 or whenever, in
02:18:51	5	your lifetime, to allow someone who had molested a
	6	15-year-old girl to remain in that in a position in
-	7	charge of a school?
	8	A I think earlier on with regard to molestation
	9	cases, that a lot of bishops, including myself, were not
02:19:10	10	fully aware of the seriousness of the problem in terms
ļ	11	of putting other people at risk.
	12	This, of course, all changed during these
	13	past few years, and we've become much better educated
	14	and aware of the situation. So I think that I relied,
02:19:27	15	as I said, on my predecessor's judgment.
	16	Q Did Brother William Carriere or anyone else
	17	at the Superintendent of Schools office ever tell you or
OGAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	18	discuss with you the Andrade matter?
	19	A I'm not aware of that.
02:19:42	20	Q How many molesters are you aware of that have
	21	molested at Mater Dei High School since you became
	22	bishop?
	23	A I'm only aware of the Andrade case.
1.000	24	Q Would you expect, given that you are the
02:19:59	25	bishop of Orange that if there was a molestation

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Page 131
02:20:01	1	incident, that you would be contacted?
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. It's too vague as
	3	asked.
	4	MR. MANLY: Let me let me rephrase it.
02:20:08	5	BY MR. MANLY:
	6	Q Would you expect that if a teacher had
	7	molested a child at Mater Dei during your tenure as
	8	bishop, that you would be called?
	9	MR. CALLAHAN: That Your Honor, that assumes
02:20:21	10	that are talking about an allegation? Are we talking
	11	about the teacher admits it? There's too many
	12	variations there.
	13	MR. MANLY: Any
	14	JUDGE JAMESON: Just an accusation, even if it
02:20:32	15	were false, would it would it go up the food chain to
	16	reach Bishop Brown?
	17	THE WITNESS: I would expect that if there was an
	18	accusation made against one of the teachers, that
	19	information would be shared with me.
02:20:45	20	BY MR. MANLY:
	21	Q And you were the only accusation that you
	22	are aware of, as you sit here today, at Mater Dei from
	23	199 well, are you aware of any allegations between
	24	the time you became bishop and present that occurred at
02:21:01	25	Mater Dei?

		Page 132
02:21:01	1	A No, I'm not. Not that I can think of as I
	2	sit here, no.
	3	Q Have you ever spoken with Patrick Murphy
	4	outside the presence of your lawyers regarding the
02:21:29	5	matter?
	6	A No, I have not.
	7	Q How many allegations of sexual misconduct
	8	with minors are you aware of that occurred at other
	9	Catholic high schools in the diocese besides Mater Dei
02:22:02	10	since you became bishop?
	11	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
	12	I believe that's beyond the scope of the line of
	13	questions.
	14	MR. MANLY: Judge, I think I'm well within my
02:22:13	15	rights to ask that one. It's calculated to lead to the
	16	discovery of admissible evidence.
***************************************	17	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, what could it lead to?
	18	MR. MANLY: It could lead to other alleg
	19	JUDGE JAMESON: He came here after
02:22:22	20	MR. MANLY: Came after
	21	JUDGE JAMESON: the case was
STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	22	MR. MANLY: No. He came here
¥.	23	JUDGE JAMESON: Established.
	24	MR. MANLY: Sorry, Your Honor.
02:22:30	25	No. He came here in '98, and the judge has

		Page 133
02:22:32	1	ruled that the time period we're allowed to get into is
	2	all the way up to January 1, 2002. So I'd be happy to
	3	limit it to that, if you'd like.
	4	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, and you've explored that
02:22:44	5	with a lot of people. And with respect to the bishop, I
	6	ruled otherwise. So the objection's sustained.
	7	BY MR. MANLY:
	8	Q If Ms. Schinderle, as human resources
	9	director, became aware of an allegation of abuse by a
02:23:00	10	layperson, would you have anticipated that she would
	11	report that to you?
	12	A I would think so.
	13	Q Bishop, have you ever met have you ever
	14	spoken to Bishop McFarland and expressed disappointment
02:23:55	15	that he did not share the identities well, I don't
	16	want to put words in your mouth.
17 de 18	17	Have you ever spoken with Mr. McFarland and
**************************************	18	expressed disappointment of his handling over abuse
**************************************	19	cases?
02:24:07	20	A No, I have not.
	21	Q Have you ever discussed the matter with him?
	22	A We've talked about particular cases.
	23	Q Which ones?
<u>:</u> :	24	A I can't tell you all, but I think the ones
02:24:15	25	that the the ones that I already mentioned to you.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

······		
		Page 134
02:24:20	1	And I think that subsequent ones that have come to light
	2	I've made a point of mentioning the matter to the
	3	bishop.
	4	Q Which ones?
02:24:30	5	A Well, for example, I can't think of them all.
	6	I think all of the ones that any anything that's
	7	public in terms of priests being accused of misconduct
	8	in the area and being put on leave or whatever the case
	9	may be. These things is information, out of a courtesy,
02:24:51	10	I share with Bishop McFarland.
	11	Q Okay. Well, have you ever been critical of
	12	him at all with regard to that to his face?
	13	A No, I have not.
	14	Q Did you know Monsignor Richard Loomis?
02:25:08	15	A I've met Monsignor Loomis.
	16	Q And did Monsignor Loomis have the same job in
	17	the Archdiocese of Los Angeles that Monsignor Urell had
	18	in Orange with regard
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection.
02:25:21	20	MR. MANLY: Could I finish?
	21	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm sorry.
	22	BY MR. MANLY:
	23	Q with regard to handling abuse cases?
	24	A I don't
02:25:24	25	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. I think that's been

		Page 135
02:25:24	1	wait. Remember? You're supposed to take a breath.
	2	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
	3	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm supposed to speak up if I want
	4	to.
02:25:32	5	And I don't think Loomis and Los Angeles are
	6	relevant to the scope of the questioning for this
	7	witness.
	8	JUDGE JAMESON: I tend to agree, Mr. Manly.
	9	Where are we going?
02:25:41	10	MR. MANLY: Monsignor Loomis was in the same
	11	position as Monsignor Urell, Your Honor, and Monsignor
	12	Loomis has now been removed for credible allegations of
	13	abuse.
	14	And the the significance is that Monsignor
02:25:53	15	Loomis and Monsignor Urell worked together in the time
	16	frame precise time frame at issue in this case on not
	17	only the Harris case, but others, as well. Because
	18	there was an overlap between the Archdiocese of L.A. and
	19	the Diocese of Orange.
02:26:08	20	MR. CALLAHAN: Absolutely no connection, although
	21	I can see
	22	MR. MANLY: What Pete, he was at the
	23	settlement conference.
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to talk only to the
02:26:16	25	judge.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 136
02:26:17	1	JUDGE JAMESON: You don't need to talk to the
	2	judge because I just don't see the how that relates
	3	to this case here so the objection's sustained.
	4	BY MR. MANLY:
02:26:36	5	Q Do you have you ever spoken to Monsignor
	6	Urell about his handling of abuse allegations?
	7	A No, I don't think so. Except issues that
	8	came up during my time, we've always discussed those, of
	9	course.
02:26:53	10	Q You've never talked to Monsignor Urell about
	11	how he handled
	12	A Past cases?
	13	Q Right. That's okay. That's what I was going
	14	to ask.
02:27:01	15	A No, I have not.
	16	Q Why not?
	17	A I had no need to.
	18	Q Do you think he did a good job?
	19	A As far as I know, he did a very wond an
02:27:09	20	excellent job.
	21	MR. CALLAHAN: Bishop, I want to caution you try
	22	to draw a breath between answer
	23	THE WITNESS: Okay.
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: the question and answer because
02:27:18	25	that one I would have objected to. I think it's

And the state of t		Page 137
02:27:21	1	irrelevant.
	2	MR. MANLY: Judge, I would ask Mr. Callahan to
	3	stop saying that. It's really it's really obvious at
	4	this point he's trying to coach the witness. Every time
02:27:28	5	he gets an answer he doesn't like, he says that.
	6	JUDGE JAMESON: I don't think it didn't sound
	7	like he's coaching the witness.
	8	Mr. Callahan, either object or not. You said
	9	this several times. If you have a problem with what you
02:27:39	10	perceive to be the bishop's quick answer, at the next
	11	break, you can take him by the ear to the wood shed.
	12	But in the meantime, please, let's go forward.
	13	MR. CALLAHAN: I promise not to do that, Your
<u>;</u>	14	Honor. But I've been also accused of interrupting the
02:27:54	15	question when Mr. Manly wasn't finished. So I don't
	16	want to step on his questions, but I do want to get an
	17	objection when appropriate.
	18	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, be as artful as you can.
	19	Let's go.
02:28:06	20	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
	21	BY MR. MANLY:
	22	Q All right. So I take it because you think he
	23	did an excellent job, you've never taken him aside and
	24	said "You should have been honest with victims. You
02:28:16	25	should have done things differently," anything like

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 138
02:28:17	1	that?
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection.
1	3	JUDGE JAMESON: Stained.
	4	BY MR. MANLY:
02:28:19	5	Q Do you have any information that Monsignor
	6	Urell misled victims as a matter of custom and practice?
	7	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection.
	8	JUDGE JAMESON: Overruled.
	9	THE WITNESS: No, I have no such knowledge.
02:28:27	10	BY MR. MANLY:
	11	Q Have you ever heard that accusation made?
	12	A No, I have not.
	13	Q Did entertainment ever tell you personally that
	14	he felt he was misled by Monsignor Urell and others
02:28:41	15	regarding the handling of the
	16	A I do not recall that.
The second secon	17	Q Do you know why and and and
	18	resigned from the sexual misconduct committee?
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; assumes facts not in
02:28:57	20	evidence that they were on something called the sexual
	21	misconduct committee.
nanowenawy.	22	MR. MANLY: You know what I mean. I don't know
	23	your bureaucratic names.
i.	24	MR. CALLAHAN: If you're talking to me, don't.
02:29:05	25	Talk to the judge.

02:29:07 1 MR. MANLY: I don't want to talk to you. 2 JUDGE JAMESON: Hey.	
JUDGE JAMESON: Hey. MR. MANLY: Let me talk to you, Your Honor. don't know the bureaucratic names.	ple
MR. MANLY: Let me talk to you, Your Honor. don't know the bureaucratic names.	ple
don't know the bureaucratic names.	ple
02:29:12 5 JUDGE JAMESON: Well, why don't you ask a cou	
	ue,
6 foundational questions, then. Because out of the bl	
7 that	
MR. MANLY: How many sorry, Your Honor.	
9 BY MR. MANLY:	
02:29:20 10 Q How many sexual misconduct committees doe	s
11 the diocese have?	
12 A There was a sensitive claims committee wh	en I
first came, and that later was replaced by the sexua	
14 misconduct oversight review board.	
02:29:35	
MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; assumes she served	Ωn
17 either one.	<i>711</i>
JUDGE JAMESON: Ask if she served on one.	
19 BY MR. MANLY:	
02:29:44 20 Q Did she serve on one?	
A I believe she served on the first on the	
sensitive claims committee.	16
Q Okay. And was she a victim of abuse?	
24 A I understand she was.	
Thirders that was.	
02:29:54 25 Q Okay. And you appointed her?	

		Page 140
02:29:56	1	A Yes, I did.
	2	Q And did she resign?
	3	A She did resign.
	4	Q Why did she resign?
02:30:04	5	A I'm not quite sure why she resigned. She was
	6	unhappy with something with regard to the board, and she
	7	resigned. But I can't give you the particular reason.
	8	Q Have you ever accused her publicly of making
	9	false and misleading claims?
02:30:19	10	A I don't recall doing that.
	11	Q And how about Which of the two
	12	committees was he on?
	13	A was also on that same committee.
	14	I believe that's correct.
02:30:35	15	Q And why did he resign?
	16	A My recollection is when he came to see me,
	17	that he just felt that being on the committee was a
	18	great emotional strain for him, that he was it was
	19	just making everything making him relive his whole
02:30:54	20	make him relive his abuse experience.
	21	Q Did he ever tell you that one of the reasons
	22	he was resigning is because Monsignor McKiernan would
	23	show or Father McKiernan would show at the meetings
	24	drunk?
02:31:08	25	A No, he did not.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 141
02:31:09	1	Q Your sworn testimony is that never occurred?
	2	A He never told me that, and I was never aware
	3	of that.
	4	Q I don't mean whether or not Monsignor
02:31:18	5	McKiernan was drunk. I mean is it Father or
	6	Monsignor, Bishop?
	7	A Father. Father.
	8	Q Okay. My question, and I apologize because
	9	it was confusing.
02:31:27	10	My question I want to be very clear about
	11	this. Did Mr. ever tell you that he felt that
	12	Monsignor sorry that Father McKiernan was coming
	13	to the sexual review meetings in a in an intoxicated
	14	state?
02:31:40	15	A I do not recall that.
	16	Q Does that mean it didn't happen or you just
	17	forgot?
	18	A I don't I don't think it happened.
****	19	Q Was Father McKiernan living with you at that
02:31:52	20	time?
	21	A Yes, he was.
	22	Q Did you ever discuss the sexual abuse cases
	23	with him?
	24	A Yes, we did discuss them sometimes.
02:32:01	25	Q And do you recall anything you said?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 142
02:32:03	1	A No, I do not.
	2	Q How long did you live with Father McKiernan?
	3	A We were together about about roughly seven
	4	years.
02:32:24	5	MR. MANLY: Okay. Can we take a short break?
	6	I'm going to try to wrap up.
	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:32, and we're
	8	going off the record.
	9	(Recess taken from 2:32 p.m. until
02:32:37	10	2:34 p.m.)
	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:34, and we're
	12	back on the record.
	13	BY MR. MANLY:
	14	Q Bishop, when you got to the diocese, did you
02:34:52	15	learn that the diocese had something that is called
	16	particular law, also known as local statutes?
	17	A I I don't recall.
	18	Q When you arrived at the diocese, did you
	19	learn or hear from any source that there was any policy
02:35:11	20	against teachers spending the night alone with children?
	21	A I'm not I'm not aware of a policy, but
	22	I
	23	Q At any point, have you changed the local
	24	statutes of the diocese?
02:35:27	25	A Yes, I have.
		·

***************************************	······	
		Page 143
02:35:28	1	Q When did that occur?
	2	A That occurred, I think, around the time
	3	around 2000 at the time of the charter. Possibly
	4	before that.
02:35:41	5	Q Okay. In or around June of 2002?
	6	A I think so. Maybe might have been earlier
	7	than that. I think, actually, the policy I'm thinking
	8	of was put into effect shortly after the DiMaria suit.
	9	Q What policy was that?
02:35:55	10	A Well, it was with regard to the the policy
	11	dealt only with the clergy that I'm thinking of right
	12	now and that the clergy were not to be in the company of
	13	any person under 18 alone.
	14	Q Bishop, when you arrived at the diocese
02:36:13	15	and/or later, did you learn there was a custom and
	16	practice in the Diocese of Orange to use lawyers to
	17	shield members of the hierarchy from information or
	18	liability regarding sexual abuse?
	19	A No.
02:36:28	20	Q So that's never happened?
	21	A I'm not aware of that.
	22	Q Did the policy you put in place in 2001 apply
	23	to nonclergy personnel or all diocesan employees?
	24	A The policy I put into effect I think dealt
02:36:54	25	only with the clergy.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 144
02:36:58	1	Q Has the diocese disclosed all allegations of
	2	abuse against its employees?
	3	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor. I think
	4	that goes beyond the four areas that this witness has
02:37:11	5	been
	6	JUDGE JAMESON: Yeah. And I it's a loaded
	7	kind of like "Do you still beat your wife" question.
	8	You can ask about if it has been disclosed.
	9	BY MR. MANLY:
02:37:21	10	Q All right. All right. has there are
	11	there are there allegations of sexual misconduct
	12	against people who work for you at the Diocese of Orange
	13	that you have not disclosed?
	14	A I'm not aware of any, credible accusations.
02:37:32	15	Q Well, that's not what I'm asking.
	16	A Oh.
	17	Q Thank you for making the distinction. I
	18	appreciate it, Bishop.
	19	I'm asking are you aware of any allegations
02:37:41	20	against people who work for you at the Diocese of Orange
	21	who have been accused of who have let me rephrase
	22	it.
	23	Are you aware of allegations against persons
	24	who have been accused of sexual abuse that have not been
02:37:54	25	made public?

		Page 145
02:37:55	1	A Oh.
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. I don't find that in
	3	the four areas of legitimate inquiry of this witness.
	4	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, I'm going to allow the
02:38:07	5	question. But answer it very directly, Bishop, because
	6	subsequent questions may well go outside the parameters
	7	that I've set forth. So I'm going to allow the answer,
	8	but we'll deal with it question by question from this
	9	point on.
02:38:28	10	MR. CALLAHAN: Could we have the question read
	11	back, then, to make sure that the witness has it in
	12	mind?
	13	THE REPORTER: "Question: I'm asking are you
	14	aware of any allegations against people who work for you
02:37:43	15	at the Diocese of Orange who have been accused of who
	16	have let me rephrase it.
	17	"Are you aware of allegations against persons
	18	who have been accused of sexual abuse that have not been
	19	made public?"
02:38:59	20	THE WITNESS: I'm only aware of any credible
	21	accusations being made that have not been disclosed.
	22	MR. MANLY: Can I have the answer read back,
	23	please?
	24	(Whereupon, the record was read.)
02:39:21	25	BY MR. MANLY:
		DI 1370 FAUIATIT.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 146
02:39:21	1	Q Okay. Let me let me be clear. I want to
	2	know are there are there people that have come
	3	forward and said "This person molested me" that the
	4	Diocese of Orange is aware of that you have not
02:39:33	5	disclosed publicly, whether you believe they're credible
	6	or not?
	7	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor. This calls
	8	now for rumor, you know, speculation, everything else.
	9	And I don't think that rumors and speculation should
02:39:49	10	wind up in a transcript and then wind up in public.
	11	MR. FINALDI: Rumors are the exact allegations in
	12	the complaint. There were rumors all over the school
	13	about this person, and that's what we've been dealing
	14	with.
02:40:00	15	MR. MANLY: I asked about accusations, not
	16	rumors. So let's just have the if I could, just get
	17	the answer to the question.
	18	MR. CALLAHAN: Well, there's I think there's a
	19	danger here, and that's why I raised the question.
02:40:10	20	JUDGE JAMESON: There is a danger here, and I'm
	21	concerned about it. But let me have the question back.
	22	THE REPORTER: "Question: I want to know are
	23	there people that have come forward and said 'This
	24	person molested me' that the Diocese of Orange is aware
02:40:14	25	of that you have not disclosed publicly, whether you

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 147
02:40:14	1	believe they're credible or not?"
	2	JUDGE JAMESON: Let's answer that "yes" or "no,"
	3	please.
	4	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware.
02:40:48	5	BY MR. MANLY:
	6	Q You don't know one way or the other?
	7	A I know about credible accusations. I don't
	8	know about the others.
	9	Q Okay. Are you aware whether there are other
02:41:00	10	allegations well, wait a minute.
	11	Who determines at the Diocese of Orange
	12	whether allegations are credible or not?
	13	A Usually it's the sexual misconduct oversight
	14	review board.
02:41:13	15	Q Okay. So is what you're I want to be
T MANAGE TO THE TANK	16	clear. Are you telling me, Bishop, that there may be 20
	17	other allegations that the board deems not credible that
	18	you may not know about?
	19	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor. The
02:41:30	20	question "Do you know about things that you don't know
	21	about" is argumentative.
	22	MR. MANLY: Well, I'm not trying to ask that.
	23	I'm just trying to figure out are are there is
MANAGEMENT AND ASSESSMENT ASSESSM	24	it are there other allegations I mean do let me
02:41:42	25	ask it a different way.

		Page 148
02:41:42	1	BY MR. MANLY:
	2	Q Is it your directive to the board only to
	3	send allegations they believe are credible to you?
	4	A No. All accusations that come to the diocese
02:41:54	5	are given to the board. And the board makes that
	6	further determination, and I receive their
	7	recommendation.
	8	Q Okay. Do you get the accusations, as well,
	9	or only the board's recommendations?
02:42:08	10	A No. I get the I'm told about the
	11	accusation.
	12	Q Okay. So are you aware, as you sit here
	13	today, of allegations of sexual abuse against a diocesan
	14	personnel, priest, religious, or layperson, that have
02:42:22	15	not been disclosed publicly?
	16	A As I sit here right now, there may be some
	17	accusations. I can't think of any specifically which
	18	were determined not to be credible, but I can't think of
	19	anything right now myself.
02:42:37	20	Q Is every allegation that comes to the diocese
	21	reported to the police?
	22	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor. Because
	23	that calls for speculation as to what would constitute
	24	an allegation that so I'm going to object to the form
02:42:58	25	of the question. It's a loaded question.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

F		
		Page 149
02:43:00	1	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, it doesn't help much. I
	2	mean some things aren't may be inappropriate but not
	3	something you report. There may be other reasons that
	4	they have been reported or would be reported. You need
02:43:15	5	to narrow it down for us, Mr. Manly.
	6	BY MR. MANLY:
	7	Q Is it your policy as the bishop of Orange
	8	that every allegation of sexual abuse must be reported
	9	to the police immediately?
02:43:24	10	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor. The
	11	the that calls for speculation as to what would be
	12	considered sexual abuse. There's a law, the reporting
	13	law, that talks about reasonable suspicion of child
	14	endangerment, but
02:43:40	15	MR. MANLY: You know what?
	16	MR. CALLAHAN: But if somebody says "I'm
	17	concerned these priests hug people too much, too much of
	18	this abrazo," well, now, no person, no particular
	19	priest, but is this an allegation of sexual misconduct?
02:43:51	20	Some guy that stops the bishop and says "I think priests
	21	hug people too much," is he supposed to report this to
	22	the police?
	23	MR. FINALDI: He's just asking if it's a policy.
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: Yeah. Is there a policy that he
02:44:03	25	should report something like that to the police?

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 150
02:44:06	1	MR. MANLY: Maybe there should be.
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Maybe there should be, maybe there
	3	shouldn't. But I think it's too vague the way it's
	4	asked, so we'll never know. It makes a great sound
02:44:10	5	bite, but it doesn't necessarily shed light on the
	6	issues of this case.
	7	JUDGE JAMESON: Is there a protocol excuse me.
	8	Was my attempt at the question
	9	MR. MANLY: No. I was laughing at Mr. Callahan,
02:44:23	10	Your Honor.
	11	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, okay. I'm trying to help
	12	out here.
	13	And I think that the relevant question is,
	14	Bishop, is there a protocol or policy as to when or when
02:44:38	15	not in other words, is there a policy upon which a
	16	judgment would be made to contact the police or not?
	17	THE WITNESS: Yes, there is.
	18	JUDGE JAMESON: All right.
	19	BY MR. MANLY:
02:44:49	20	Q And what's that policy?
	21	A Well, I think that that policy deals with the
	22	same policy that's incorporated into the mandated
	23	reporting law.
	24	Q What law is that?
02:45:10	25	A There are mandated reporters who for
		v inere are mandated reborrers who for

		Page 151
02:45:15	1	example, clergy, who if they learn about have a
	2	reasonable concern about the sexual abuse of a minor,
	3	then that needs to be reported to Child Protection
	4	Services and/or the police.
02:45:34	5	Q So is the Diocese of Orange policy that if
**************************************	6	you have a reasonable concern, you must report?
	7	A Oh, yes. I believe that's correct.
	8	Q And when was that policy first adopted?
	9	A I presume it was adopted at the same time
02:45:56	10	that the state legislation regarding mandated reporters
	11	came into law.
	12	Q Okay. And has the diocese on your watch
	13	always followed that?
	14	A To my knowledge, they have.
02:46:28	15	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, it's I think this
	16	was a four-hour deposition. We're into four hours and
	17	20 minutes. Are we about to light up
	18	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, we've had lunch and several
	19	breaks. We're in Mr. Manly said he was about to wind
02:46:42	20	up, so
	21	MR. MANLY: Yeah. I'm getting there.
	22	JUDGE JAMESON: So we'll we'll go for a few
	23	more minutes before we get the stopwatch out.
	24	BY MR. MANLY:
02:46:55	25	Q Bishop, was there ever a policy have you

		Page 152
02:47:01	1	ever had a discussion with your superintendent of
	2	schools as to when parents should be called and when
	3	not?
	4	A No, I have not.
02:47:13	5	Q Do you believe if a school under your
	6	jurisdiction is investigating a student is
	7	investigating whether or not a teacher is molesting a
	8	student, it would at all times be proper for the school
	9	to contact the parents?
02:47:27	10	A Are we talking about an elementary school or
	11	a high school?
	12	Q Does it matter?
	13	A It could matter in terms of how it's dealt
:	14	with at the local level. The
02:47:38	15	Q Can you
	16	A I would leave that decision about contacting
	17	the parents to the principal because he or she would be
	18	familiar with the context and the circumstances, which I
	19	am not.
02:47:53	20	Q You would expect that if a school was
	21	investigating an allegation of sexual abuse by a teacher
	22	against a student, that the first person that would be
	23	notified was the school's president and/or principal,
	24	correct?
02:48:05	25	A Would you

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 153
02:48:06	1	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; calls for speculation,
	2	which would vary on the specific facts of any particular
	3	case.
	4	JUDGE JAMESON: May I have the question back,
02:48:15	5	please? Maybe the one before that, also.
	6	THE REPORTER: "Question: Do you believe if a
	7	school under your jurisdiction is investigating a
	8	student is investigating whether or not a teacher is
	9	molesting a student, it would at all times be proper for
02:47:25	10	the school to contact the parents?
And the state of t	11	Answer: Are we talking about an elementary
	12	school or a high school?
	13	"Question: Does it matter?
	14	"Answer: It could matter in terms of how
02:47:35	15	it's dealt with at the local level. The
	16	"Question: Can you
	17	"Answer: I would leave that decision about
	18	contacting the parents to the principal because he or
	19	she would be familiar with the context and the
02:47:51	20	circumstances, which I am not.
	21	"Question: You would expect that if a school
	22	was investigating an allegation of sexual abuse by a
	23	teacher against a student, that the first person that
	24	would be notified was the school's president and/or
02:48:03	25	principal, correct?"

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 154
02:49:17	1	MR. CALLAHAN: And my objection is that doesn't
	2	fit any of the four categories. We're into school
	3	affairs now and school procedures. I've allowed him to
	4	give preliminary
02:49:26	5	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, we've left what was a
	6	pivotable question in the middle there. Was is there
	7	a difference between grammar school and high school. I
	8	heard something about the circumstances being left to
	9	the principal, but I didn't hear that there was a
02:49:44	10	difference.
	11	So I guess, going back to the center of that
	12	question, is there a different protocol for grammar
	13	school and high school, or or your answer dealt with
	14	the discretion of the principal?
02:50:01	15	THE WITNESS: Well, I think, Your Honor, the
	16	answer left the discretion of the principal. I don't
	17	think it would be a difference in the sense of what
	18	should be done. But but how it's done and what
	19	process is used can be different at the school, whether
02:50:17	20	it's at an elementary school or high school.
	21	BY MR. MANLY:
	22	Q Okay. And my next question is do you you
	23	would think it appropriate that at all times when a
	24	school is investigating whether a teacher had sex with a
02:50:31	25	child, that the principal and/or president of the school

		Page 155
02:50:35	1	would be notified?
	2	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. It's argumentative, it
	3	calls for speculation, and most importantly, it has way
	4	too many variables. It's it lacks foundation and
02:50:46	5	most and it doesn't fit into one of the four
	6	categories that this witness was called to address.
	7	JUDGE JAMESON: May I have the question back
	8	again? I'm sorry.
	9	THE REPORTER: "Question: And my next question
02:50:55	10	is do you you would think it appropriate that at all
	11	times when a school is investigating whether a teacher
	12	had sex with a child, that the principal and/or
	13	president of the school would be notified?"
	14	JUDGE JAMESON: You may answer that.
02:51:19	15	THE WITNESS: Yes. I would expect the principal
	16	and/or president would be notified.
	17	BY MR. MANLY:
	18	Q Bishop, can you tell me the current corporate
	19	entities that you are affiliated with in your capacity
02:51:33	20	as bishop of Orange?
	21	A The Roman Catholic Bishop of Orange.
	22	Q Are they a corporation sole?
	23	A A corporation sole.
	24	The Education and Welfare Corporation, the
02:51:54	25	Orange Catholic Foundation, and the Christ Our Savior

		Page 156
02:52:00	1	Cathedral.
	2	Q And what type of entity is that?
	3	A Of which entity?
	4	Q I'm sorry. Christ Our Savior Cathedral?
02:52:10	5	A It's a parish which is incorporated.
	6	Q Okay. And what about Catholic Charities?
	7	A Pardon me. I I forgot Catholic Charities.
	8	Q Okay. Are you the sole officer of the Roman
	9	Catholic Bishop of Orange, a corporation sole?
02:52:25	10	A Yes, I am.
	11	Q What portions do you hold in the Education
	12	and Welfare Corporation?
	13	MR. CALLAHAN: Your Honor, I think we're beyond
	14	the scope of the permissible inquiry.
02:52:33	15	JUDGE JAMESON: No.
	16	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
	17	JUDGE JAMESON: No. That if that wasn't
	18	clear, the that was one of the bases for the
	19	inquiry
02:52:42	20	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
	21	JUDGE JAMESON: of the bishop was because we
	22	have multiple defendants, and the delineation of the
	23	boundaries and structure of those corporations is
	24	relevant.
02:52:55	25	So go ahead. Is there a question on the
		<u>.</u>

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

***************************************	***************************************	
		Page 157
02:52:58	1	floor, or do you have it in mind?
	2	BY MR. MANLY:
	3	Q Do you want me to repeat it, Bishop?
	4	A I think I think I'm the chairman.
02:53:03	5	Q Okay. Who else is on it?
	6	A I'm not quite sure of the membership. I'd
	7	have to look that up.
	8	Q Okay. And the Orange Catholic Foundation,
	9	what is your relationship with that entity?
02:53:17	10	A I'm simply a member.
	11	Q Okay. When was that entity founded?
	12	A I believe that was founded three or four
	13	years ago.
	14	Q After you became bishop?
02:53:27	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Okay. And was that founded in response to
	17	the cases filed against the diocese?
	18	A No. It was respond it was filed
	19	rather, it was incorporated to set up a separate entity
02:53:41	20	to fund various activities in the diocese. I pardon
	21	me.
	22	MR. MANLY: Okay. And
	23	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. Move to strike. I
	24	couldn't get a word in there fast enough. His answer
02:53:51	25	came too fast. But that's not a defendant in this case,

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 158
02:53:53	1	and it's not relevant to any
	2	MR. MANLY: Well, there are alter ego
	3	allegations, Judge, and they very well may be a doe.
	4	So
02:54:01	5	JUDGE JAMESON: It also helps distinguish what is
	6	and what isn't. So let's go forward.
	7	BY MR. MANLY:
	8	Q Okay. And does the Diocese of Orange own
	9	Mater Dei High School?
02:54:12	10	A Yes, it does.
	11	Q Okay. And does the Diocese of Orange own its
	12	parishes?
	13	A Yes, it does.
	14	Q And it owns the schools not owned by
02:54:25	15	religious orders, correct?
	16	A Yes. In civil laws, yes.
	17	Q Correct? Sorry, Judge. Sorry, Bishop.
	18	A All of that is in civil law.
	19	Q Okay. And except it does not it does not
02:54:36	20	own Junipero Serra; is that correct?
	21	A Junipero Serra is a private Catholic high
	22	school.
	23	Q Okay. Not owned by the diocese, correct?
	24	A That is correct.
02:54:44	25	Q Okay. And it owns Christ Our Savior

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

······································		
		Page 159
02:54:50	1	Cathedral, the land and improvements?
	2	A There is no improvements, but it owns the
	3	land.
	4	Q Well, I haven't been to mass in a while. I'm
02:54:57	5	sorry.
	6	MR. CALLAHAN: You are forgiven.
	7	BY MR. MANLY:
	8	Q Okay. Who is the most knowledgeable person
	9	at the diocese regarding its financial affairs besides
02:55:12	10	yourself?
	11	A Mr. Phil Ries.
	12	Q And does Randy Redwitz have any relationship
	13	to the diocese?
	14	A Randy Redwitz, I think, is on our school
02:55:24	15	board, and I think his GDR company provides us with
	16	computer services.
	17	Q How so he's a vendor for the diocese?
	18	A Could be a vendor for the diocese.
	19	Q Now, has it come to your attention, Bishop,
02:55:44	20	that he remains in business do you know whether or
	21	not he remains in business with Michael Harris?
	22	A I do not know.
	23	Q During the transition period, did Bishop
	24	McFarland give you his personal files?
02:56:05	25	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; lack of foundation,

		Page 160
02:56:06	1	indicates that there are such things.
	2	MR. MANLY: You know what? That's fair.
	3	BY MR. MANLY:
	4	Q Did Bishop McFarland leave his personal
02:56:12	5	did Bishop McFarland have personal papers like every
	6	other bishop?
	7	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection; assumes a fact not in
	8	evidence, lacks foundation.
	9	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
02:56:21	10	BY MR. MANLY:
	11	Q Did Bishop McFarland have personal papers?
	12	A I don't know. I presume so.
	13	Q Okay. It's normal for bishops to keep
	14	personal papers, correct?
02:56:31	15	A I would think it's normal for bishops to keep
	16	personal papers, but I wouldn't know what those papers
	17	are.
	18	Q Okay. Do you have any information from any
	19	source that Bishop McFarland took documents with him
02:56:42	20	from the diocese when he left?
	21	A No, I do not.
	22	Q As far as you know, Bishop, he left them
	23	left what he had there?
	24	A I don't know what he took with him when he
02:56:53	25	left.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 161
02:56:54	1	Q Okay. Have you heard from any source that
	2	Patrick Murphy says he was not informed let me strike
	3	that.
	4	Have you heard from any source that Patrick
02:57:10	5	Murphy actually, let me who is Patrick Murphy?
	6	A Patrick Murphy is the president of Mater Dei
	7	High School.
	8	Q Okay. Have you heard from any source other
	9	than your lawyers that Patrick Murphy claims that when
02:57:24	10	the allegations involving Mr. Andrade first came to the
	11	attention of Mater Dei and then there was an
	12	investigation of March in March 1996, that he was not
	13	informed of such an investigation?
	14	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection, Your Honor. That
02:57:41	15	assumes facts without foundation that there was March
	16	'96 involving an investigation as opposed to looking
	17	into an analysis of these allegations, this rumor.
	18	JUDGE JAMESON: Overruled.
	19	You may answer, sir.
02:57:57	20	THE WITNESS: No, I do not.
	21	BY MR. MANLY:
	22	
	23	
	24	allegation involving sexual misconduct that they were
02:58:11	25	investigating involving a teacher, that Mr. Murphy would
02.00:11	23	have been informed?

		Page 162
02:58:12	1	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection.
	2	JUDGE JAMESON: Sustained.
	3	BY MR. MANLY:
	4	Q Did the Diocese of Orange under your has
02:58:33	5	the Diocese of Orange under your direction ever prepared
	6	a report about the sexual abuse of priests and laypeople
	7	that includes the period 199 I'm sorry 1988 to
	8	January 1st, 2001?
	9	A Would you repeat that question, please?
02:59:00	10	Q I'm sorry. 2002.
	11	THE REPORTER: "Question: Did the Diocese of
	12	Orange under your has the Diocese of Orange under
	13	your direction ever prepared a report about the sexual
	14	abuse of priests and laypeople that includes the period
02:59:01	15	199 I'm sorry 1988 to January 1st, 2001?"
	16	MR. CALLAHAN: Objection. This the your
	17	order is that this witness can be inquired of with
	18	respect to lay employees. But since he arrived after
	19	the fact, there's no questions of Bishop Brown regarding
02:59:38	20	clerics or priests in this diocese or anywhere else. I
	21	think we're mixing
	22	JUDGE JAMESON: We'll deal with that after we get
	23	the answer.
	24	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
02:59:45	25	JUDGE JAMESON: Please answer, and then we'll

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 163
02:59:46	1	break it down, if necessary.
	2	THE WITNESS: What kind of report?
	3	BY MR. MANLY:
	4	Q Any report,
02:59:50	5	A Well, I think the diocese released the names
	6	of clergy against whom there were credible accusations.
	7	Q And did it release the names of laypeople, as
	8	well?
	9	A I don't recall.
03:00:06	10	Q So you have no knowledge whether the diocese
	11	has released the names of laypersons allegedly accused
	12	of sexual abuse during the time period specified; is
	13	that correct?
	14	A That is correct. I don't recall anything.
03:00:20	15	Q Have you prepared has the diocese prepared
	16	any report to the John Jay School of Criminal Law
	17	regarding this matter?
	18	A We provided the John Jay Criminal Law entity
	19	with all of the information that we were asked to
03:00:39	20	provide by them.
	21	JUDGE JAMESON: What was the nature of that?
	22	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, they the Bishops
	23	Catholic Bishops Conference contracted with the John Jay
	24	College to study, first of all, how did this whole thing
03:00:59	25	of sexual abuse happen in our country, our Catholic

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

~		
		Page 164
03:01:04	1	priests. Then there's been a second study about the
	2	causes of the of the abuse. And I think now there's
	3	a third study under way, which the results of the first
	4	study, I think, are a matter of public record.
03:01:26	5	It's interesting to me I think it was a
	6	surprise to a lot of bishops that the John Jay study
	7	indicated that most of the priests who offended minors
	8	with sexual by sexual abuse, they were just one
	9	person.
03:01:47	10	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, let me the thrust of
	11	this question, and I think what is possibly relevant
	12	here, is what was the nature of the information given
	13	over? Was it lawsuit depositions and declarations? Was
	14	it a summary from someone in the front office of of
03:02:13	15	your office? What what did John Jay have to review
	16	from Orange County to help with their study?
	17	THE WITNESS: Well, first, I know that they
	18	they had information in terms of numbers of alleged
	19	perpetrators, the age of I think ages of victims,
03:02:37	20	ages of the perpetrators. That was information
	21	provided. There may be some others, but that's what
	22	comes to mind to me now.
	23	JUDGE JAMESON: And when would this have been
	24	provided?
03:02:49	25	THE WITNESS: Oh, I would think in I'm not

		Page 165
03:02:53	1	sure. Maybe around 2003, 2004, somewhere in there.
	2	JUDGE JAMESON: You can have the floor back,
	3	Mr. Manly.
	4	MR. MANLY: Thank you, Judge. I was just ready
03:03:06	5	to sit back and listen. Okay.
	6	BY MR. MANLY:
	7	Q Now, who was in charge of providing that
	8	gathering that data for the John Jay school?
****	9	A I believe that was handled by our chancellor,
03:03:23	10	Cheryl Giacomi.
	11	MR. CALLAHAN: G-i-a-c-o-m-i.
	12	BY MR. MANLY:
	13	Q Did you review during the transition period
	14	the report prepared by Bishop McFarland and sent to the
03:03:35	15	Holy See?
	16	A I believe I did.
	17	Q Do you have a copy of that?
	18	A There is would be a copy in the file.
-	19	Q Do you remember anything about sexual abuse
03:03:46	20	in that report?
	21	A No, I do not.
	22	Q If Bishop McFarland had knowledge of abusers
	23	working in the diocese based on your knowledge of the
	24	workings of transition from bishop to bishop, should
03:04:00	25	that have been included in the report?

······································	***************************************	
		Page 166
03:04:05	1	A I don't know. It depends on the questions
	2	that were asked by the Holy See, and I don't I don't
	3	know. I would I don't know that it would.
	4	Q Do you know where that document is today?
03:04:21	5	A I presume I think the document you're
	6	referring to is the Quinquennial Report given every five
	7	years. Is that what you're talking about?
	8	Q No, sir. I appreciate you mentioning that.
	9	Bishop McFarland said when he left the diocese, he
03:04:37	10	told us this Saturday he prepared a detailed report and
	11	sent it to the Holy See. And I believe he said,
	12	although I can't swear to it, he gave you a copy.
	13	MR. CALLAHAN: Just to clarify, he was talking
	14	about the Quin whatever it is, the five-year report.
03:04:50	15	That's what he was talking about.
	16	MR. MANLY: Well, that's not what he that's
	17	not what he
	18	JUDGE JAMESON: At least I remember that
	19	discussion, and I don't remember any there was
03:05:01	20	something left behind as a reference for the bishop
	21	MR. MANLY: Maybe all right. Maybe I've got
	22	it wrong. So if I do, my apologies.
	23	BY MR. MANLY:
	24	Q Did you ever see anything in the Quinquennial
03:05:15	25	Report I'm sorry. How many Quinquennial Reports have

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 167
03:05:17	1	you prepared, Bishop?
	2	A In the Diocese of Orange, I've prepared, I
	3	believe, one.
	4	Q Have you read the prior did you read the
03:05:26	5	prior one?
	6	A I think I looked at it. I'm not sure. I
	7	don't think I studied it.
	8	Q Did the Quin does the Quinquennial Report
	9	you prepared touch on the issue of sexual abuse and
03:05:37	10	claims of sexual abuse in this diocese?
	11	A No, it did not.
	12	Q Never mentioned it?
	13	A I don't believe it did.
	14	MR. MANLY: Anything else?
03:05:48	15	Okay. I'm done. Thank you, Bishop.
	16	MR. CALLAHAN: Why don't we enter into a
	17	stipulation that we have been saying that
	18	MR. MANLY: Oh, you know, there's one thing I
	19	want to say.
03:05:59	20	MR. CALLAHAN: What?
	21	MR. MANLY: I've mentioned a number of victims'
	22	names in this deposition and the others, and I'd like a
	23	protective order some of them are public, some of
	24	them are not to keep those names private.
03:06:10	25	MR. CALLAHAN: I would join in that and suggest

		Page 168
03:06:11	1	that this entire you asked me before. You want the
	2	entire transcript and I said we'll have to wait to
	3	the end to see. And I think now you've raised a very
	4	good point that there is a lot of stuff in here that
03:06:23	5	would be and should be kept confidential.
	6	MR. MANLY: Judge
	7	MR. CALLAHAN: That's all.
	8	JUDGE JAMESON: Well, I think the simplest thing
	9	is since I've already made a preliminary ruling as to
03:06:33	10	some of it, that it it be temporarily sealed,
	11	especially since its use might be just days from now,
	12	except for litigation, in the context of the court
	13	proceedings.
	14	MR. MANLY: Judge, I have no problem with that
03:06:55	15	order. I just want to tell you that in all the cases
	16	I've been involved with, this is entering the
	17	confidentiality of victims is standard procedure. And
	18	it's very simple. The victims' names is simply redacted
	19	before portions of deposition are on file. I have no
03:07:11	20	problem with the short stay so we can work this out,
	21	but
	22	JUDGE JAMESON: Yeah. Because I guess my
	23	there have been a lot of names, and many of them were
	24	familiar to me because I've heard them before
03:07:26	25	MR. MANLY: Yeah.

		Page 169
03:07:26	1.	JUDGE JAMESON: through other depositions in
	2	this case. So it's hard for me to separate in my mind
	3	which might be confidential and which shouldn't.
	4	So to be on the safe side, I'd say they all
03:07:41	5	are until there's reason that you either agree or
	6	there's a reason for them to be become public.
	7	MR. MANLY: Could I have go off the record for a
	8	minute?
	9	MR. CALLAHAN: No. Let me state this for this
03:07:50	10	and I think the easiest way to avoid this whole problem
	11	is to seal the whole record. We're talking about a
	12	matter of days. And that way, it's not "Oh, I didn't
	13	know I couldn't leak that" or "I didn't know that was
	14	supposed to be privileged" or wasn't.
03:08:01	15	MR. MANLY: As far as I'm concerned, I can't
	16	discuss the deposition. I think that's his order. I
	17	that he
	18	JUDGE JAMESON: Yeah. And I
	19	MR. MANLY: you know, for the next few days.
03:08:06	20	JUDGE JAMESON: Yeah. That was the earlier
	21	the earlier order, so
	22	MR. MANLY: If anybody asks me, I'm going to tell
	23	them the Court's entered an order at your request.
	24	So
03:08:17	25	
	J	MR. CALLAHAN: Do we want to do a stipulation on

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 170
03:08:18	1	the record or not?
	2	MR. MANLY: Well, I want to say something off the
	3	record before I do a stipulation. I don't want to put
	4	this on the record.
03:08:24	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:08, and we're
	6	off the record.
	7	(Discussion held off the record from
	8	3:08 p.m. until 3:12 p.m.)
	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:12, and we're
03:12:23	10	back on the record.
	11	MR. CALLAHAN: I'm going to suggest that we agree
	12	to the basic same stipulation we've agreed on the
	13	others, and that is that the transcript will be prepared
	14	as soon as possible, the witness will read it as soon as
03:12:33	15	possible. If he makes any changes, he will Xerox the
	16	page of the change and then get it to me by either fax
	17	or e-mail or some some method. And then as soon as I
	18	get it, I'll pass it on to you.
	19	But in the event that we are not notified of
03:12:48	20	any changes in the event that you are not notified of
	21	any changes, within 24 hours or 48 hours of when he goes
	22	on the stand, we tell you whether what the status is.
	23	MR. MANLY: Okay. And that a certified can be
	24	used as an original if its lost, stolen, or otherwise
03:13:03	25	misplaced.

HAHN & BOWERSOCK (800) 660-3187 FAX: (714) 662-1398 151 KALMUS DRIVE, SUITE L1, COSTA MESA CA 92626

		Page 171
03:13:05	1	MR. CALLAHAN: Right.
	2	MR. MANLY: Okay. Fine.
	3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- TANAMANANA	4	THE REPORTER: And where do I send the original?
03:13:12	5	MR. CALLAHAN: Probably me, and that's probably
	6	the easiest way to do it. And we're going to need an
	7	expedite. That's different about this one.
	8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:13, and this is
	9	the end of today's deposition.
03:13:25	10	(Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for
	11	identification by the Certified Shorthand Reporter, a
	12	copy of which is attached hereto.)
	13	
	14	(End of videotaped deposition at 3:13 p.m.
	15	Declaration under penalty of perjury attached hereto.)
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

		Page 172
	1	***
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	I do solemnly declare under penalty of perjury
	7	that the foregoing is my deposition under oath; that
	8	these are the questions asked of me and my answers
	9	thereto; that I have read same and have made the
	10	necessary corrections, additions, or changes to my
	11	answers that I deem necessary.
	12	In witness thereof, I hereby subscribe my name
	13	this day of, 20
	14	
	15	
I	16	
	17	
	18	WITNESS SIGNATURE
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
İ		

	Page 173
1	Certificate
2	of
3	Certified Shorthand Reporter
4	
5	
6	The undersigned certified shorthand reporter
7	of the State of California does hereby certify:
8	That the foregoing proceedings was taken
9	before me at the time and place therein set forth, at
10	which time the witness was duly sworn by me;
11	That the testimony of the witness and all
12	objections made at the time of the proceedings were
13	recorded stenographically by me and thereafter
14	transcribed, said transcript being a true copy of my
15	shorthand notes thereof.
16	I further certify that I am neither
17	financially interested in the action nor a relative or
18	employee of any attorney of any of the parties.
19	In witness whereof, I have subscribed my name
20	this date, September 12, 2007.
21	
22	
23	
24	Janet M. Taylor Certified Shorthand Reporter
25	Certificate Number 9463