FALED FIFTH DISTRICT COLD

2001 MAY 24 PM 1:54

WASSIELD OF LOUNTY

WALTER F. BUGDEN, JR. (480) TARA L. ISAACSON (7555) BUGDEN & ISAACSON, L.L.C. 445 East 200 South, Suite 150 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Telephone: (801) 467-1700

Facsimile: (801) 746-8600

RICHARD A. WRIGHT (Nevada Bar No. 886)
WRIGHT, JUDD & WINCKLER
Bank of America Plaza
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 701
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Telephone: (702) 382-4004 Facsimile: (702) 382-4800

Attorneys for Defendant

IN THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT WASHINGTON COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

STATE OF UTAH,

Plaintiff.

VS.

WARREN STEED JEFFS.

Defendant.

DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO MEDIA INTERVENERS' MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE STILL CAMERAS FROM COURTROOM

Case No. 061500526

Judge James L. Shumate

The Defendant, Warren Steed Jeffs, by and through his attorneys, hereby respond to the Media Interveners memorandum in opposition to Defendant's motion to exclude still cameras in courtroom.

ARGUMENT

The Media Interveners, in their memorandum in opposition, have acknowledged a responsibility, "That public observers of court proceedings should act responsibly and not snoop or eavesdrop on privileged communications between parties and their counsel." (Memorandum at 2.) The Media Interveners also assert, defiantly and unabashedly, that the *Morning News* acted properly when it published the contents of Mr. Jeffs's note because, *inter alia*, a portion of the content was read and corroborated "by multiple law enforcement sources." (Memorandum at 2, 3, and 4.)

The multiple law enforcement sources that the Media Interveners refer to could only be the Defendant's jailers or transportation officers. Those law enforcement sources had no right to read Mr. Jeffs private note, and they certainly had no right to breach the privacy of Mr. Jeffs and contact Ben Winslow with the *Moming News* to reveal the content of a private, privileged communication. The law enforcement officers who apparently gained access to the note did so in their official capacity to either protect the Defendant, transport the Defendant, or detain the Defendant at the Purgatory Correctional Facility. None of these law enforcement officers have any legal right to disclose, reveal or "leak" the content of this note to Mr. Winslow or any other media representative. In so doing, the law enforcement sources have jeopardized the Defendant's right to a fair trial.

As previously briefed in the opening memorandum, *The Deseret News* published the content of Mr. Jeffs's private note on the front page of the newspaper. The Media Interveners, as judged by their responsive pleading, apparently believe that *The*

ΒI

Deseret News acted "responsibly" by inducing multiple law enforcement sources to disclose private, confidential information to the media.

Neither the Defendant nor defense counsel have possession of the note. For all the defense team knows, the law enforcement sources may still have possession of the note. Alternatively, it is just as believable that the media has possession of the note. A law enforcement officer leaking information about a high-profile defendant's case to the media clearly jeopardizes the ability of this Defendant to receive a fair trial. It strains credulity to suggest otherwise. The Media Interveners, by their own responsive pleading which stresses that "[t]his case is a matter of significant public interest . . . ", have unequivocally demonstrated that they will not police themselves, nor will law enforcement police themselves.

Accordingly, the best way to get to the bottom of the law enforcement leak is to place Mr. Winslow under oath before arguments are presented on the Defendant's Motion to Exclude Still Cameras in the Courtroom. Mr. Winslow has been served with a Subpoena this morning.

CONCLUSION

The combined power of the administration of the oath and this Court's inherent powers of contempt will assist in ferreting out the identity of Ben Winslow's law enforcement sources that have compromised Mr. Jeffs's ability to receive a fair trial.

day of May, 2007.

BUGDEN & ISAACSON, L.L.C.

ΒI

WRIGHT, JUDD & WINCKLER RICHARD A. WRIGHT

Attorneys for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

ΒI

I hereby certify that, on the day of May, 2007, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing by the method indicated below, and addressed to the following:

Brock R. Belnap Washington County Attorney 178 North 200 East St. George, UT 84770

Craig L. Barlow Assistant Attorney General 5272 South College Drive, #200 Murray, UT 84123

Jeffrey J. Hunt Parr Waddoups Brown Gee & Loveless 185 South State Street, Suite 1300 Salt Lake City, UT 84111-1537 Attorneys for Media Intervenors

	HAND DELIVERY
	U.S. MAIL
,	OVERNIGHT MAIL
	OAFIGIAIQUE MAIT
	FACSIMILE:

 HAND DELIVERY
 U.S. MAIL
 OVERNIGHT MAIL
 FACSIMILE:



