SPECIAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY INTO MATTERS RELATING TO THE POLICE INVESTIGATION OF CERTAIN CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE ALLEGATIONS IN THE CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF MAITLAND-NEWCASTLE

FORMAL OPENING OF THE INQUIRY

At John Maddison Tower, Court 8B 88 Goulburn Street, Sydney, New South Wales

On Wednesday, 13 February 2013 at 10.00am

Commissioner: Margaret Cunneen SC

Counsel Assisting: Ms Julia Lonergan SC

Mr David Kell Mr Warwick Hunt THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the first mention and the formal opening of the Special Commission of Inquiry into matters relating to the Police investigation of certain child sexual abuse allegations in the Catholic Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle.

The purpose of this morning's hearing is to make some general remarks to indicate the terms of the Inquiry and formally to open the Inquiry.

Also, it provides an opportunity for any party who may be substantially and directly interested in any subject matter of the Inquiry to indicate an interest in assisting the Inquiry by seeking authorisation to appear at future public hearings of the Inquiry. I will return to this aspect shortly.

I begin by making some general remarks about the genesis of this Inquiry.

This Inquiry arose following the broadcast of an ABC television report on the Lateline program on 8 November 2012.

During that broadcast, a senior NSW Police officer, Detective Chief Inspector Peter Fox, made certain statements regarding alleged child sexual abuse by Catholic priests, including Father Denis McAlinden and Father James Fletcher, who are both now deceased, who had been associated with the Maitland-Newcastle Diocese. Detective Chief Inspector Fox referred to what he believed to be the covering up of such conduct by the Catholic Church, including the relocation of impugned priests and, in what he suggested was an attempt to protect the good name of the Church, the apparent hindering of associated Police investigations into such alleged child sexual abuse.

During that same broadcast, Detective Chief Inspector Fox also made certain statements to the effect that he had been ordered by senior Police to cease investigating such matters and had been directed to hand over his files in relation to those matters.

Following the Lateline program, pursuant to Letters Patent dated 21 November 2012 and 25 January 2013, issued in the name of the Governor of New South Wales and in accordance with the Special Commissions of Inquiry Act

1983, I have been commissioned to inquire into and report on certain matters arising.

In particular, the Terms of Reference of the Inquiry require me to inquire into and report upon the following matters:

- (1) the circumstances in which Detective Chief Inspector Peter Fox was asked to cease investigating relevant matters and whether it was appropriate to do so. That can be conveniently described as the "First Term of Reference"; and
- (2) whether, and the extent to which, officials of the Catholic Church facilitated, assisted or cooperated with Police investigations of relevant matters, including whether any investigation has been hindered or obstructed by, amongst other things, the failure to report alleged criminal offences, the discouraging of witnesses to come forward, the alerting of alleged offenders to possible Police actions or the destruction of evidence. That is the "Second Term of Reference".

The following terms are defined in the Terms of Reference:

"relevant matters" means any matter relating directly or indirectly to alleged child sexual abuse involving Father Denis McAlinden or Father James Fletcher, including the responses to such allegations by officials of the Catholic Church (and whether or not the matter involved, or is alleged to have involved, criminal conduct).

The expression "Catholic Church" includes (without limitation) the Church, a diocese of the Church, or an organisation operated under the auspices of the Church or a diocese of the Church.

The expression "official of the Catholic Church" includes (without limitation):

- (a) any person who acts as a representative of the Catholic Church;
- (b) any officer, staff member, lay assistant or volunteer of the Catholic Church; and
- (c) a member of the clergy or any religious order of the Catholic Church.

It is convenient, at this point, to note some matters

 of significance.

First, children are inherently vulnerable and innocent. The sexual abuse of children is abhorrent. It exploits their vulnerability, irreparably damages their innocence and casts a shadow over their whole lives. It can be very difficult for children to break their silence about sexual abuse and, when they do, the collective responsibility to take action weighs heavily on all.

Further, the perpetrators of such child sexual abuse, including in a clerical context, will often hold positions of trust in relation to the child. When sexual abuse is committed by those persons in trust and authority, it is even more abhorrent. The commission of such acts of sexual abuse always involves a reprehensible betrayal of the faith and trust placed in that person by the child and the child's family.

Secondly, the Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle has had a very troubled history regarding issues of child protection and the sexual abuse of children perpetrated by persons associated with the Diocese, including certain priests.

Two of those priests were Father Denis McAlinden and Father James Fletcher. Both of those persons are named in the Commission's Terms of Reference. Each has been recognised, including by the Diocese, as having committed sexual abuse against children whilst serving in, or incardinated to the Maitland-Newcastle Diocese.

 Father Denis McAlinden is regarded by many as having a history of sexual offending against children for over four decades. Many persons identifying themselves as victims of Father McAlinden have come forward over time. Father McAlinden died in 2005. In June 2010, Father McAlinden was publicly described by the then Bishop of the Maitland-Newcastle Diocese as having been "a predator" who should have been dealt with earlier.

Father James Fletcher was ultimately convicted and sentenced in New South Wales in 2004 of having committed nine offences relating to the sexual abuse of a minor who had been an altar boy. The sentencing judge described these offences as involving a "gross and inexcusable breach of trust". Over time, a number of other victims of Father

.13/02/2013

Fletcher have come forward.

Following the conviction of Father Fletcher in December 2004, the then bishop of the Maitland-Newcastle Diocese issued an apology to the victims and the victims' families for the pain and suffering caused by the criminal actions of Father Fletcher. Father Fletcher died in gaol in January 2006.

 A third matter of importance should be noted. There may be a number of persons identifying themselves as victims of Father McAlinden or Father Fletcher, who may have information that is relevant to the Inquiry and who may now desire to come forward. This process has already started to occur. I strongly encourage these people to contact the Inquiry so that their voices may now be heard so that steps can be taken to consider the information that may be available. It has been rightly said that child sexual abuse is no longer a crime in which the conspiracy of silence continues to the grave.

I note also that the Commission's website, about which I will comment further shortly, includes some contact details for support services for victims of sexual assault and their families.

Fourthly, this Inquiry will principally look at matters that occurred in the past. In doing so, while there are some important aspects that are regarded as immutable, some care may need to be taken about necessarily judging events of the past solely by today's knowledge and standards.

Fifthly, this Inquiry is required to consider whether church officials have, among other things, failed to cooperate with or have hindered relevant Police investigations. Such non-cooperation or hindrance, if it occurred, need not have amounted to a criminal offence to be of relevant interest to this Inquiry.

Sixthly, and flowing on from what I have just said, this Inquiry provides an important opportunity for persons who held relevant positions within the Catholic Church to come forward and provide information to the Inquiry about relevant matters that occurred in the past. Conceivably, this may include information both as to the good and the bad that occurred in the past, including, if it be so, an

acknowledgment that things could well have been handled differently and better. I encourage any such persons who may have relevant information also to come forward and provide it to the Inquiry.

It is necessary also to mention the National Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse established by Letters Patent issued by the Governor-General on 11 January 2013 under the Commonwealth Royal Commissions Act 1902 and the relationship of that National Royal Commission to the present Inquiry.

The Terms of Reference of the National Royal Commission are broadly stated and that body is not required to report until the end of 2015. Consistent with its Terms of Reference the Royal Commission can look at, among other things, any private or non-governmental organisation, including a religious organisation such as a diocese, that is or was in the past involved with children and to consider the institutional responses to allegations and incidents of child sexual abuse and related matters.

The present Inquiry is authorised by the further Letters Patent issued on 25 January 2013 to establish arrangements in relation to the National Royal Commission. This will include arrangements for the referral and sharing of evidence, information and matters coming to the attention of the Inquiry which may fall outside the scope of Terms of Reference which may be of relevance to the National Royal Commission.

The Inquiry has set up a website which can be accessed via www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au from which the precise Terms of Reference for this Inquiry and other relevant information can be obtained.

Since the establishment of the Inquiry on 21 November 2012, extensive investigative work has been undertaken by this Commission to date, including the compulsory acquisition of documentary evidence and the identification and interviewing of potential witnesses. The Commission has already issued a large number of summonses compelling the production of documents from relevant persons and organisations.

The Inquiry has commenced reviewing that material. Further summonses for production are likely to be issued.

Further, the Commission is empowered to conduct private hearings with relevant persons, including prior to the holding of any public hearings. The Commission will continue to use its powers in this regard as appropriate.

I turn now to say a few words about the processes expected to be followed in relation to the Inquiry as we proceed. The Inquiry, including its Amended Terms of Reference, has been widely advertised in major state and regional newspapers including during the past fortnight. The Inquiry has invited written submissions from any interested persons in relation to matters arising under its Terms of Reference. The date for the provision of such written submissions has been extended until 4pm on 1 March 2013.

I have already referred to the Terms of Reference governing the present Inquiry. The Terms of Reference deal with two enumerated matters that are substantially, if not wholly, distinct. As I have said, what I have described as the First Term of Reference relates to the circumstances in which Detective Chief Inspector Fox was asked to cease investigating relevant matters and whether it was appropriate to do so.

The Second Term of Reference relates to whether and the extent to which officials of the Catholic Church facilitated, assisted or cooperated with Police investigations of relevant matters, including whether any investigation may have been hindered or obstructed by such officials.

The Commission will hold separate public hearings in relation to the First Term of Reference and the Second Term of Reference. Dates have been fixed for the public hearings in May and June of this year.

Ms Lonergan?

 MS LONERGAN: Thank you, Commissioner. I appear with Mr Kell and Mr Hunt to assist you in this Inquiry. Ms Sullivan, Special Counsel of the Crown Solicitor's Office, is the principal solicitor to assist you, Commissioner, and she is a key contact point for persons wishing to approach the Commission. Ms Sullivan's contact details are set out on the Commission's website.

 Commissioner, given the distinct nature of the two
Terms of Reference, it may be expected that in most cases a
person authorised to appear at a public hearing will be
authorised to appear only insofar as the public hearing
relates to either the First Term of Reference or the Second
Term of Reference.

 The Commission will hold public hearings in Newcastle in respect of the First Term of Reference during the fortnight commencing Monday, 6 May 2013. The Commission will also hold public hearings in Newcastle in respect of the Second Term of Reference during the three-week period commencing Monday 24 June 2013 or such lesser period as may be required.

The Commission has already issued a practice note dealing with authorisation to appear at public hearings. The Commission will issue a further practice note dealing with the conduct of the anticipated public hearings and related issues and this will be published on the Commission's website by the end of this week. I understand other persons at the Bar table may wish to seek to indicate an interest on behalf of their clients; or alternatively, formally seek leave to appear.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms Lonergan.

Mr Cohen?

MR M COHEN: Thank you, Commissioner. My name is Mark Cohen. I appear in the interests of Detective Chief Inspector Peter Fox and seek from you, Commissioner, leave for Detective Chief Inspector Fox to appear before the Commission to give evidence and in the interests of both Term 1 and Term 2 of the Terms of Reference as published.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Cohen. Leave is granted for Detective Chief Inspector Peter Fox to appear at the public hearings of the Inquiry in relation to both the First and Second Terms of Reference.

MR COHEN: Thank you, Commissioner. Might I indicate that I am instructed by Mr Greg Willis, a solicitor.

THE COMMISSIONER: I should reaffirm in respect of authorisation to appear that is granted to any person. Such authorisation is subject to the matters set out in

.13/02/2013

1 2	Practice Note Number 1 issued by the Commission.
3	Mr Roser?
5 6 7 8	MR W ROSER SC: Thank you, Commissioner. I appear in relation to Reference 1 and I appear with my learned friend Mr Saidi for the NSW Police Service and we seek leave to appear in relation to that Term 1.
10 11 12 13 14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Roser. Leave is granted for the NSW Police Force to appear at the public hearings of the Inquiry and to be represented by senior and junior counsel and solicitor in relation to the First and Second Terms of Reference.
15 16 17	MR ROSER: Thank you, Commissioner. We are instructed by Henry Davis York.
18 19 20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Roser.
21 22	Ms Needham?
23 24 25	MS J NEEDHAM SC: Thank you, Commissioner. I seek leave or authorisation to appear for Archbishop Philip Wilson. He is a person who has been served with a summons both to
26 27 28 29	give evidence and to produce documents, and I appear with my learned friend Mr Doherty of counsel, instructed by Mr Agresta of Iles Selley.
30 31 32 33 34	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms Needham. Leave is granted for Archbishop Philip Wilson to appear at the public hearings of the Inquiry and to be represented by senior and junior counsel and/or solicitor in relation to the Second Term of Reference. Thank you, Ms Needham.
35 36 37	Mr Harben?
38 39	MR S HARBEN SC: Thank you, Commissioner. I seek leave to appear for Bishop Michael Malone, former Bishop of the
40 41 42	Diocese of Maitland and Newcastle. I am instructed by Carroll & O'Dea, and Mr Jones from that firm seeks to appear in relation to Term of Reference 2.
43 44	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Harben. Leave is granted
45 46	for Bishop Michael Malone to appear at the public hearings of the Inquiry and to be represented by counsel or
47	solicitor in relation to the Second Term of Reference.

1	
2	Mr Skinner?
3	
4	MR P SKINNER: May it please the Commissioner, I seek
5	leave to appear for Father Brian Lucas. With me is
6	Adrian Williams of counsel instructed by Carroll & O'Dea.
7	I seek leave to appear in relation to both Terms of
8	Reference. The relevant matters referred to in Term 1
9	include responses to allegations by officials of the
10	Catholic Church. I am instructed by Carroll & O'Dea.
11	·
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Skinner. Leave is
13	granted for Father Brian Lucas to appear at the public
14	hearings of the Inquiry and to be represented by
15	counsel/solicitor in relation to the First and Second Terms
16	of Reference.
17	
18	Ms Evans?
19	
20	MS V EVANS: I seek leave to appear on behalf of
21	Helen Keevers. I will be instructing Peggy Dwyer of
22	counsel, and I believe it is mainly in relation to the
23	Second Term of Reference.
24	
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Leave is granted for Ms Helen Keevers
26	to appear at the public hearings of the Inquiry and to be
27	represented by counsel or solicitor in relation to the
28	Second Term of Reference.
29	
30	THE COMMISSIONER: Are there any other appearances?
31	
32	MR A KOHN: My name is Kohn. I act for the Catholic
33	Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle and we seek leave to appear
34	in relation to both Terms 1 and 2 with counsel yet to be
35	retained.
36	
37	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Kohn. Leave is granted
38	for the Catholic Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle to appear at
39	the public hearings of the Inquiry and to be represented by
40	counsel or solicitor in relation to the First and Second
41	Terms of Reference. Thank you, Mr Kohn.
42	
43	Are there any other appearances to be noted?

MS LONERGAN:

44 45

46 47

significantly relevant to Term of Reference 2 have also

sought leave to appear in relation to Term of Reference 1.

I note that two parties that appear to be

Those parties are the Catholic Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle and the second was Father Brian Lucas. Commissioner. At this stage, it is not clearly apparent to those assisting you the basis of that additional leave to appear in relation to that First Term of Reference. Commissioner, at this stage, if that leave to both those parties in relation to Term of Reference 1 could be provisional and I will have further discussion with those legal representatives and clarify the particular matters about which they have asserted an interest under Term of Reference 1. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms Lonergan. MR SKINNER: I am content with that, Commissioner. THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Kohn? MR KOHN: Yes. THE COMMISSIONER: That leave for the time being in relation to Terms of Reference 1 for those two parties will be on a provisional basis to be assessed at a later time. Is there anything else, Ms Lonergan? MS LONERGAN: No, there isn't, Commissioner. THE COMMISSIONER: I will adjourn now. AT 10.30AM THE COMMISSION WAS ADJOURNED ACCORDINGLY