ARCHDIOCESE, OF CHICAGO

Office of Professional Responsibility

P.O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979

> (312) 751-5205 Fax: (312) 751-5279 www.archchicago.org

March 24, 2006

Cardinal Francis George, O.M.I. Archbishop of Chicago 155 E. Superior Street Chicago, Illinois 60611

RECEIVED

MAR 2 8 2006

ARCHOIOCESE OF CHICAGO DIFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

Dear Cardinal George,

Please be advised that the Professional Responsibility Review Board met on March 18, allegation of sexual 2006 and conducted a Review for Cause of misconduct against Rev. Walter Turlo pursuant to Article §1104.9 of the Review Process for Continuation of Ministry. Fr. Turlo is a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago and currently without assignment.

The Board made the recommendation that in light of the information presented, there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred. The Board also recommended that Fr. Turlo be immediately withdrawn from ministry and that restrictions and monitoring be imposed in accord with Archdiocesan policies and procedures.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at [312] 751-5205.

Professional Responsibility Administrator

McCluskey

sional Responsibility Administrator

Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board Committee of the

Cc:

pepal the en a la ferral pepal the Rome. 28,000 c

#### ARCHDIOCESE, OF CHICAGO



Office of Professional Responsibility



P.O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979

> (312) 751-5205 Fax: (312) 751-5279 www.archchicago.org

March 30, 2006

#### HAND DELIVERED

Rev. John McNamara Bishop Timothy J. Lyne Residence for Retired Priests 12230 S. Will-Cook Rd. Lemont, Illinois 60439

Dear Fr. McNamara.

I am writing this letter in regards to Rev. Walter Turlo, a resident of the Bishop Timothy J. Lyne Residence for Retired Priests, and your agreement to serve as his on-site "monitor." Fr. Turlo is a withdrawn priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago with at least one substantiated allegation of sexual misconduct with a minor against him.

I have enclosed with this letter copies of the Cleric Daily Log Form and Travel/Vacation Form respectively. We will be able to discuss the monitoring and the related forms when we meet with Fr. Turlo and Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests on March 31, 2006. Also enclosed is a "Summary Time Line" of the allegations of sexual misconduct with minors against Fr. Turlo received by the Archdiocese of Chicago to date.

I thank you again Fr. McNamara for your agreement to assist both my office and the Vicar for Priests office with this matter. Please feel free to contact me at [312] 751-5205 or Fr. Grace at [312] 642-1837 at any time with any questions or concerns.

Sincerely

Professional Responsibility Administrator

Enclosures

Cc Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests

Jimmy Lago, Chancellor

Roberta Magurany, Administrator Bishop Lyne Residence for Retired Priests

Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

## SUMMARY TIME LINE OF ALLEGATION

ACCUSED

ACCUSER

Name:

Rev. Walter Turlo

Address:

Bishop Lyne Home

Date of Birth:

Current age:

61

Name of civil attorney:

Date of Ordination [of accused]:

5/13/70

Location:

Mundelein

Age at ordination:

25

Assignment location of accused:

N/A

Status of accused:

Withdrawn

Name of canonical advocate:

Msgr. Rick Sosaman

Date allegation received by PRA: 3/31/04

Date allegation formalized with PRA: 3/29/04 and 6/9/05

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1975

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: numerous


Brief summary of alleged abuse: massaging over and under clothing; sleeping in the same bed in hotel rooms [on vacation], which is together at St. William's rectory  William's rectory
Brief summary and date of response from accused: 9/7/05; Fr. Turlo denied the allegation.
Stage of disposition by Professional Responsibility Review Board: 3/06; Concluded—reasonable cause to suspect with withdrawal and monitoring

Signature of PRA: Hall College Date: 3/30/06



#### ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

VICAR FOR PRIESTS
645 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE, SUITE 543
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60611



August 31, 1993

Dear

On March 10. 1993, you and with Mr. Steve Sidlowski, the Fitness Review Administrator of the Archdiocese, and Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi, the Archdiocesan Assistance Minister. In that meeting, you brought forth a series of allegations against Fr. Walter Turlo. You also indicated that you had some concern about the role that the now Fr. Sergio Romo had in these incidents. You asked that these allegations be reviewed by the Fitness Review Board.

Mr. Sidlowski reported back to you that the Fitness Review Board felt that this inquiry was not within its specific jurisdiction since, at the time, both were over 18 years old. Because of this determination, you were informed that the inquiry would be conducted by the Vicar for Priests office. Mr. Sidlowski provided the Vicars' office with his complete report of the meeting of March 10th.

In a subsequent meeting, Fr. O'Malley informed Fr. Turlo of the allegations that you had made. Fr. Turlo listened to the allegations and then had an opportunity to respond.

On August 16, 1993, the allegations were brought to the Advisory Board for Professional Responsibility, the Board that advises our office. Along with the Board, also present at that meeting were both Mr. Sidlowski and Mr. Bonaccorsi. They helped convey to the Board the substance of the allegations you had made as well as the spirit in which you had made those allegations.

After carefully considering both the allegations and the response of Fr. Turlo, the Advisory Board for Professional Responsibility came to the following conclusions:

# Off: (312) 642-1837 Fax: (312) 642-4933

#### ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

**VICAR FOR PRIESTS** 

645 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE, SUITE 543
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60611

A. That the action of Fr. Turlo in sending an off-color birthday card to was very inappropriate;

- B. That Fr. Turlo's response to when they came to protest that card, was also inappropriate;
- C. That subsequent allegations of Fr. Turlo's relationship to while possibly being inappropriate, did not, in the eyes of the Advisory Board, rise to the level of sexual misconduct;
- D. The Board then directed the Vicar's office to approach Fr. Sergio Romo to ascertain his response to the allegations you have brought forward against him.

When allegations such as these, which are judged to be inappropriate behavior, come forward against a priest, our diocesan procedures prescribe that the priest must receive both a psychological assessment and appropriate pastoral counseling. The counseling is to revolve around the issue of professional behavior and what happens when inappropriate actions take place. The priest is helped to consider the effect of his actions upon others and how he must avoid that kind of behavior in the future.

In addition, our procedures call for monitoring of the priest's behavior by the Vicar for Priests' office in the future. Also key members of the parish staff are notified of the finding of the Board.

We will be following our diocesan procedures at this time with Fr. Turlo.

As this time, we wish to apologize for any inconvenience or pain that has been caused to your family and to individuals in your family over these past several years. We apologize for the inappropriate actions of Fr. Turlo. We know that he is truly sorry that he did anything that might have hurt anyone in your family.

We wish to mention to you once again that Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi, the Archdiocesan Victim Assistance Minister, is available to your family if the need should arise. We have asked him to keep in contact with you periodically to see if there is any way that the Archdiocese can be of assistance

Off: (312) 642-1837 Fax: (312) 642-4933

#### ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

**VICAR FOR PRIESTS** 

645 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE, SUITE 543

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60611

with regard to counseling.

We realize that this entire experience and its aftermath have been very painful experiences for you, for the Church in general and for all involved. Our sincere hope is to be able to put the pain behind us at this time, to initiate a process of healing, and to insure as much as possible that we will not have incidents like this in the future. We hope these steps will move us toward this end.

Sincerely yours,

cc. Cardinal Joseph Bernardin Rev. Walter Turlo Rev. Thomas Paprocki

Mr. Steve Sidlowski

Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi

# MEETING OF THE REVIEW BOARD OF THE ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO (Minutes)


Date: April 17, 1993


Board Members Present:



Others Present:


Ralph Bonaccorsi Thomas Paprocki Steve Sidlowski





#### Matter of PFR-44 (W.T.):

- 1. The Board decided this matter is clearly <u>not</u> within its jurisdiction to consider pursuant to Archdiocesan policies on clerical sexual misconduct with minors in that alleged victims were over age 18 at the time. The Board expressed great concern regarding the matter, however, and suggested the Administrator write Cardinal Bernardin to express its sense that the Vicar for Priests' Office, which has proper jurisdiction over the matter, reconsider the matter, including any new information which the Administrator has obtained. The Board further noted that perhaps Co-Vicar Rev. John Canary or another priest representative from the Vicar's Office might conduct any such re-consideration in that Rev. Patrick O'Malley conducted the original inquiries into this matter.
  - 2. The Board closes its file in this matter.



Respectfully Submitted By Steve Sidlowski

Minutes Unanimously Approved By Review Board

#### MEETING OF THE REVIEW BOARD

# OF THE ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO (Minutes)

Date: March 13, 1993

Board Members Present:



Others Present:

Ralph Bonaccorsi Patrick O'Malley Thomas Paprocki Steve Sidlowski



Matter of and PFR-44 (W.T.):

1. Administrator reported to Board that he met with family making allegation of sexual misconduct against and W.T. on 3-10-93. Administrator clarified that allegation against W.T. was by alleged victims over age 18 at the time and such fact was learned on 3-10-93. Administrator further noted that family requested further opportunity/time for other son to send me either a letter or affidavit to report his recollection of incident involving as well as to consider whether to submit a letter the family received years ago from mother of another alleged victim for consideration in the First Stage Review.

2. The Board deferred from initiating a First Stage Review in the matter in order to afford the family time/opportunity to provide further information regarding the allegation against and Board deferred from making recommendation as to how W.T. matter should be dealt with by the Archdiocese as matter involved alleged victims over age 18. The Board did clearly acknowledge that the matter is clearly within its jurisdiction and will be dealt with when the family is content it has provided all information regarding the allegation.



Respectfully Submitted By Steve Sidlowski Administrator

Minutes Unanimously Approved By Review Board ARCHDIOCESE, OF CHICAGO

Office of Professional Responsibility

P.O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979

(312) 751-5205

Professional Responsibility Review Board Saturday, November 19, 2005 9:00 am to 1:00 pm www.archchicago.org

Fax: (312) 751-5279

#### **MINUTES**

#### **Review Board Members Present:**

#### Review Board Members Absent:

Non-members present:

Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator [PRA Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

- Approval of Minutes October 15, 2005 I.
  - No objections or proposed changes to the agenda
- II. Other Matters
- III. Case Reviews

#### Initial Review

A. In the Matter of Rev. Walter Turlo [Without Assignment 2005] - PFR 241 The Review Board conducted an Initial Review of the allegation made by The allegation is as follows: smoking marijuana; four incidents of sexual abuse that included masturbation, proposition of anal sex, pornographic magazines.

In a 5-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Review Board recommended that this matter warrants additional investigation. The Board also directed PRA to do the following:

Obtain a list of all clerics assigned to St. Williams' with Fr. Turlo; from list obtained, speak with any cleric[s] still able regarding past observations/memories of Fr. Turlo while at St. William

The Review Board conducted an Initial Review of the allegation made by

The allegation is as follows: backrubs that progressed to fondling of genitals; Fr. Turlo having sleep in bed with him while on weekly overnights at St. William's rectory; Fr. Turlo taking inappropriate plays and movies while in New York.

In a 5-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Review Board recommended that this matter warrants additional investigation. The Board also directed PRA to do the following:

• Obtain a list of all clerics assigned to St. Williams' with Fr. Turlo; from list obtained, speak with any cleric[s] still able regarding past observations/memories of Fr. Turlo while at St. William







# IV. Other Matters cont'd The 2006 Review Board Meeting dates were discussed and finalized [see enclosed] •

Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, December 3, 2005 at 9:00 a.m.

Cc: Review Board Members

Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

Rev. Vincent Costello, Vicar for Priests Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I. ARCHDIOCESE, OF CHICAGO

Office of Professional Responsibility

P.O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979

(312) 751-5205

Professional Responsibility Review Board Saturday, October 15, 2005 9:00 am to 1:00 pm Fax: (312) 751-5279 www.archchicago.org

#### **MINUTES**

**Review Board Members Present:** 

Review Board Members Present via Phone:


Non-members present:

Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator [PRA] Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board


- I. Approval of Minutes August 20, 2005
  - No objections or proposed changes to the agenda
- II. Case Reviews

Initial Review










F. In the Matter of Rev. Walter Turlo [Without Assignment] – PFR – 241


The Review Board was to conduct an Initial Review of the allegation made by

Due to time constraints, this matter was tabled and will be heard at the scheduled November 19, 2005 Board meeting.

The Review Board was to conduct an Initial Review of the allegation made by Due to time constraints, this matter was tabled and will be heard at the scheduled November 19, 2005 Board meeting.

#### **Review for Cause**







#### III. Other Matters

 Due to time constraints, a review of the "Other Matters" unable to be completed. The "Other Matters" will be placed on the agenda for the scheduled November 19, 2005 Review Board meeting.

Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, November 19, 2005 at 9:00 a.m.

Cc: Review Board Members

Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

Rev. Vincent Costello, Vicar for Priests

Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.

## ARCHDIOCESE, OF CHICAGO

Office of Professional Responsibility

P.O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979

Professional Responsibility Review Board Saturday, March 18, 2006 9:00 am to 1:00 pm (312) 751-5205 Fax: (312) 751-5279 www.archchicago.org

#### **MINUTES**

**Review Board Members Present:** 

Review Board Members Absent:

**CORRECTED** 

Non-members present:

Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator [PRA] Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

- I. Approval of Minutes December 3, 2005
  - Corrections to the December 3, 2005 minutes still need to be made

Approval of Minutes - January 21, 2006

Minutes approved

Approval of Minutes - January 25, 2006

Minutes approved

#### II. Other Matters

#### Jimmy Lago, Chancellor


- Mr. Lago was invited to the Review Board meeting by recent events in the Archdiocese of Chicago
- asked Mr. Lago to discuss his new position [in regards to matters of cleric sexual misconduct with minors], the Archdiocese's new agreement with DCFS,

- Mr. Lago began by explaining that his new position he has been appointed to by
  Cardinal George has to do with his responsibility to now coordinate the responses of
  all offices regarding the sexual abuse allegations; he also spoke of the [positive]
  unintended effects of strengthening the Archdiocese's internal policies/practices
  regarding reporting abuse cases to DCFS; he also referenced the training of
  Archdiocesan employees and volunteers
- Mr. Lago spoke about the agreement with DCFS and that DCFS asked the Archdiocese to "step aside" until their [DCFS] investigation is completed in such cases
- Mr. Lago talked about the need of the Archdiocese to clarify with DCFS that the
  diocese does not want to wait "forever" prior to following through with our own
  investigation/follow up of a report of the alleged abuse of a minor by a cleric
- Mr. Lago stated that he does not feel that this agreement with DCFS will affect the Board and its work
- Mr. Lago spoke of issues and need for clarification with DCFS on the reporting of old
  cases that have been substantiated by an archdiocesan process; Mr. Lago stated that
  he would discuss this matter with DCFS for clarification
- Mr. Lago talked about the need to sharpen reporting and training of archdiocesan employees and the possibility of involving the Child Advocacy Center [CAC], as the CAC has offered its assistance with training
- Mr. Lago stated that the reporting of all [old] substantiated cases to DCFS may assist
  the Archdiocese with accused clerics who have left the priesthood [locating clerics if
  their whereabouts are not known; determine if children are at risk]
- Mr. Lago feels that the Archdiocese will share more information with DCFS on old cases; this raised the concern that if DCFS "founds" an old case that the Archdiocese has found to be unsubstantiated
- raised the question of a time line with DCFS when they are investigating a case and the delay that this may cause with the Archdiocese and related process
- suggested the need for clarification from DCFS as to when the 14 days of their initial investigation begins to determine if DCFS will formally open a case for investigation
- As for the monitoring report, Mr. Lago spoke of the fact that the Archdiocese does not have the power of the courts, but that we don't really have a monitoring program; as per the report, Mr. Lago spoke of the need for evaluation and therapeutic intervention for those priests who have substantiated allegations of sexual misconduct with minors against them; Mr. Lago spoke of the need for conversation to ask if the Archdiocese can put elements into place for an effective monitoring program
- Mr. Lago stated that the Archdiocese has pulled together a group of eight that will be
  given the consultants' reports [as the Review Board will be given copies of the
  reports as well] and ask, "What kind of things do you think we [the Archdiocese]
  should start with?"

- spoke of s
- referred back to Mr. Lago's comments regarding DCFS;
   expressed his concerns with the information [as a result of their investigations into a matter] that DCFS would or would not give the Archdiocese and then the concern with the time lost by the Archdiocese while DCFS investigates a case
- suggested that it would be helpful if the resigned/laicized priests with substantiated allegations could be placed on the DCFS Registry
- The group engaged in a discussion of forced laicization of men with substantiated allegations against them, both the positives and negatives of such, and the need to protect children
- Mr. Lago offered to return to meet with the Board members if/when appropriate to share information as we go forward; all present agreed with the preference that Mr. Lago come back to report to the Board as the Archdiocese continues forward in addressing all discussed matters
- expressed his concern to Mr. Lago of the release of Review Board members' names

#### III. Case Reviews

**Review for Cause** 





In the Matter of Rev. Walter Turlo [Without Assignment 2005] – PFR – 241

The Review Board conducted a Review for Cause of the allegation made by

The allegation is as follows: grooming; overnights sleeping in the same bed [on vacations as well as at rectory]; massages on front and back.

In a 5-2 vote in light of the information presented, the Review Board recommended that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct did occur. Further, the Board recommended that Fr. Turlo be immediately withdrawn from

ministry... Of the two votes in the negative, one vote was no reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged misconduct occurred and one vote was insufficient information to make a finding of reasonable cause.





V. Supplementary Review




#### VI. Other Matters


When reviewing the Turlo/matter, PRA informed the Board of decision against appearing before the Board; PRA also communicated statement that he would answer any questions that the Board might have for him

• The May 2005 Review Board meeting will take place on May 13, 2006

#### II. <u>Initial Review</u>







Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, April 8, 2006 at 9:00 a.m.

Cc: Review Board Members
Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
Rev. Vincent Costello, Vicar for Priests
Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests
Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Jimmy Lago, Chancellor

#### ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

Office for the Protection of Children and Youth Office of Assistance Ministry Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review Safe Environment Office



P. O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979 312-751-5388 312-751-8307, fax

#### Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

#### Review Board Saturday, November 17, 2007 9:00 am to 1:00 pm

#### **MINUTES**

#### **Review Board Members Present:**

#### Review Board Members Present Via Phone:


#### **Review Board Members Not Present:**

#### Non-members Present:

Leah McCluskey, Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

- I. Approval of Minutes October 20, 2007 and October 29, 2007
  - Typos and changes made to the October 20, 2007 minutes; changes will be made and distributed for Board members to review at the next scheduled Review Board meeting
  - October 29, 2007 minutes approved
- II. Case Reviews
  Initial Review
  - A. In the Matter of







#### **Review for Cause**


C. In the Matter of Rev. Walter Turlo [Withdrawn 2005] - PFR - 241 The Review Board was to conduct a Review for Cause of allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor by Rev. Walter Turlo. A summary of the allegation is as follows: In 2004 came forward to the diocese to report physical misconduct of a minor against Fr. Turlo. In February 2007 it came to the attention of the diocese that had an allegation of sexual abuse of a minor against Fr. Turlo; one incident of fondling and intercourse; alleges physical abuse as well. Ms. McCluskey handed out copies of civil attorney Patrick Reardon's response to allegation on behalf of Fr. Turlo. Mr. Reardon's response was received after the Review Board packets had been sent out to the Board members. requested that a copy of the response be sent to him, as he was participating in the Review Board meeting via phone.

It was determined by the Review Board members present that the Review for Cause of this matter is continued to the next scheduled Review Board meeting so that all Board members have the opportunity to read Mr. Reardon's response to the allegation on behalf of Fr. Turlo.




















Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, December 8, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.

6

# ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

Office for the Protection of Children and Youth
Office of Assistance Ministry
Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review
Safe Environment Office



P. O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979 312-751-5388 312-751-8307, fax

Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Review Board Saturday, September 15, 2007 9:00 am to 1:00 pm

#### **MINUTES**

Review Board Members Present:
Non-members present:  Leah McCluskey, Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
<ul> <li>Fr. Smilanic introduced as the newest Review Board member, replacing was appointed by Cardinal George; is the pastor of St. Celestine in Elmwood Park and has been at the parish for 15 years</li> <li>introduced himself and shared some personal background information with the other Review Board members;</li> <li>shared that he will not be able to be present at the October 20, 2007 Review Board meeting, as he will be on a planned pilgrimage</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>I. Approval of Minutes – July 21, 2007</li> <li>Minutes approved</li> </ul>
Initial Review  A. In the Matter of Rev. Walter Turlo [Withdrawn 2005] – PFR - 241  The Review Board conducted an Initial Review of Improvement allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor by Rev. Walter Turlo. A summary of the allegation is a follows: in 2004 — came forward to the diocese to report physical misconduct of a minor against Fr. Turlo. It has now come to our attention that Improvement and intercourse; alleges physical abuse as well.

Fr. Smilanic and Leah McCluskey provided a verbal explanation of an unrelated situation involving Fr. Turlo, where he violated his Individual Specific Protocol [ISP]. Fr. Smilanic continued by explaining that as a result of Fr. Turlo's ISP violation, the Vicar General [Rev. John Canary] made the decision to approach the cleric [Fr. Turlo] and direct him to move out of the Bishop Lyne Home and move toward resignation from the priesthood. The information was provided to the Board that Fr. Turlo has moved out of Bishop Lyne Home and is currently residing with his sister. Fr. Smilanic also explained that Fr. Turlo has agreed to petition the Holy See for resignation.

In response to the aforementioned information, Review Board members asked Fr. Smilanic approximately how long it would take for Fr. Turlo's resignation. Fr. Smilanic explained that Fr. Turlo's resignation is dependent upon an exit package, which is being worked on by archdiocesan attorneys.

Ms. McCluskey provided a verbal summary of the February 1, 2007 meeting with where she formalized her allegation against Fr. Turlo.


In a 7-0 vote in light of the information presented, the Board determined that this matter warrants additional investigation.

In the interim, the Board members asked Ms. McCluskey to do the following:


- Re-send letter to civil attorney Patrick Reardon, asking for additional information from him/his client Fr. Turlo in response to allegation
- Re-send letter to civil attorney Jeff Anderson, asking for clarification information from him/his client in regards to her allegation against Fr. Turlo

# Review for Cause B. In the Matter of













F. In the Matter of



V. Other Matters

4





6



Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, October 20, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.

# ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

Office for the Protection of Children and Youth Office of Assistance Ministry Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review Safe Environment Office



P. O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979 312-534-5254, general 312-751-8307, fax

# Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Review Board Meeting Saturday, July 18, 2009 from 9:00 am to 1:00 pm

# **MINUTES**

# **Review Board Members Present:**

# **Review Board Members Participating Via Phone:**

# **Review Board Members Not Present:**

# **Non-members Present:**


Leah McCluskey, Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Archbishop's Delegate to the Review Board

# rainci Simianic, Archolshop's Delegate to the Review Board

- I. Approval of Minutes
  - May 16, 2009 Minutes approved
  - June 5, 2009 Minutes approved
- II. Case Reviews


# Initial Review





D. In the Matter of E. In the Matter of F. In the Matter of







4

ļ			
III.	Request for Supplementary Review		
I.	In the Matter of		
	In the Matter of		
J.	In the Matter of Rev. Walter Turlo (Withdrawn 2005/Resigned 2008) - PFR-		
	241 The Review Board was to consider civil attorney as a second of the request to conduct a second of the request to the		
	Supplementary Review of his client allegation of the sexual abuse of a minor against the former Rev. Walter Turlo. A summary of the allegation		
	abuse of a minor against the former Rev. waiter 1 tillo. A summary of the anegation 5		

is as follows: smoking marijuana; four incidents of sexual abuse that included masturbation, proposition of anal sex, and viewing of pornographic magazines.

Due to time constraints, the Review Board agreed to continue the review of Mr.

's request for Supplementary Review of this matter to the scheduled August 15, 2009 Review Board meeting.

# IV. Other Matters

- Conducting interviews: not enough time to discuss; continued to next Review Board meeting scheduled for August 15, 2009
- Continued discussion of deceased priest cases: not enough time to discuss; continued to next Review Board meeting scheduled for August 15, 2009
- Discussion of question of increasing number of Review Board members: suggestions discussed included having a "member at large" and/or increasing Board membership from nine to 10 members; due to time constraints, agreed that the discussion of this matter would be continued to the next Review Board meeting scheduled for August 15, 2009

Next scheduled meeting is Saturday, August 15, 2009 from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

# Off: (312) 642-1837

# ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

## VICAR FOR PRIESTS

# 645 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE, SUITE 543 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60611

Fax: (312) 642-4933

Memo To:

Cardinal Bernardin From: Rev. Patrick O'Malley Date: 3/23/94

Walter Turlo Re:

In your memo of 3/22/94 you asked what follow up we had made regarding the list of unjustly accused priests and your offer to see them privately if they would so desire. The response was mixed. Some have already seen you of course.

My best guess is that the men would just as soon forget what happened and move on. Fr. Walt Turlo did express some desire to sit down with you. Fr. Canary and I will be meeting with him shortly to let him know that the case is closed as far as the PFR Board and we are concerned. We will ask him at that time whether he would like to have a visit with you with you.

of an original document from the files of WONE FOR PRICE OF THE TO: File ARCHURESE OF CHICAGO FROM: Steve Sidlowski This is a red ink stamp! DATE: June 9, 1993 DO NOT COPY RE: Phone calls to/from Mrs. Diane Kelly phoned my office on 6-8-93 and left a message that she had talked. 6-8-93 was a very busy day with tornado warnings, electrical thunderstorms, and more than one meeting which I had out of the office. As such, I was unable to return on 6-8-93. Therefore, I called on 6-9-93. I asked she had received my letter of May 28, 1993. She said she had. I asked if she had any questions. first stated that I and the Review Board should go ahead with the case with a First Stage Review at our 6-12-93 meeting. She referred to the letter/affidavit which her son was going to make available to the Review Board for its consideration. As such, she did not want to bother him about preparing the letter/affidavit. As stated, preference seemed to be that the Board conduct a First Stage Review without letter and then receive the letter later and that it could be used for a possible Second Stage Review. However, when I noted to is within the Review Board's discretion if they want to initiate a First Stage Review in the matter in any event, and perhaps even complete a First Stage Review without the information from , she then asked if I would call her back after she has had an opportunity to speak with her husband about it. Before the end of the conversation, the end of the conversation, preference was to initiate a First Stage Review but hold off on completion of it until I have received the information from In my second call to on 6-9-93, request to the Review Board was that "we would like to hold off on initiating the First Stage Review until I get letter." She said she would write her son tomorrow and that I would hopefully

MEMO

receive the information from him within the next two weeks or so. I told that I would be attempting to speak with the Review Board Chairperson on 6-10-93 to convey this to her. I told that if I do not call her back by Friday at some point that she should rest assured that the Review Board will not be proceeding with the case on Saturday, June 12, 1993. I did note to her that up until this point the Review Board has honored and respected her family's request not to proceed with the First Stage Review until the Board has received letter/affidavit. Nonetheless, I pointed out to that the Board may choose to initiate or even complete a First Stage Review in the case without her son's letter/affidavit. If that becomes the case, that there will be a meeting to consider on 6-12-93, I told I would call her back before 6-12-93 to inform her of that.

For the first time in a formal way, also requested that the Review Board might consider if Fr., a newly ordained priest within the Archdiocese of Chicago, engaged in sexual harrassment at the time of the alleged sexual misconduct incidents involving Fr. Walter Turlo. elaborated a bit on sexual conduct at the time. She said that would follow and would tell him to get into the car with Fr. Turlo. She says there was alot of following around - was getting phone calls at work from and he would make negative remarks about the family, according to

I explained to that as was actually a seminarian at the time, it is unclear whether the Review Board has jurisdiction to proceed with sexual "harrassment" allegations against him in that the Review Board considers allegations of sexual misconduct by Archdiocesan priests. I noted that allegations of serious misconduct other than sexual misconduct brought against an Archdiocesan priest would be properly referred to the Vicar for Priests Office to address the matter further. Nonetheless, I told that I would bring the issue up to the Review Board at their next meeting. (In my second conversation with I told her that I would confer with others in the Archdiocese about the Fr. allegation of harrassment and that if it is determined that the harrassment allegation should properly be directed to the Vicar's Office, before the next Review Board meeting, that I would let her know).

I asked if she or her family was now formally bringing an allegation of sexual misconduct against or rather if her charge was more specifically one of verbal harrassment by him. stated that the allegation against in her view was "absolutely" at least harrassment and perhaps sexual misconduct. It should be noted that it appears that even if reference to Fr. is an allegation of sexual misconduct, once again her son was over age 18 at the time. Therefore, it would appear that even if it is an allegation of

sexual misconduct against , that the Vicar for Priests Office would be the proper office to further conduct inquiries and pursue that matter.

In summary, after the second phone call to at 6:37 p.m. on June 9, 1993, it became clear that the were once again requesting a delay of the initiation of the First Stage Review in the matter. Moreover, there is now a new issue with the family in that they are bringing an allegation of sexual harrassment against Fr. (I will need to notify the Vicar for Priests Office about this allegation as it appears that the person bringing the allegation was over 18 at the time).

plie. From the Archdiocese working on sequal miscosilvet

Note: I phoned VAM on 6-9-93 and informed VAM in a voice man liesonge of the essence of the above.

泛

# Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Steve Sidlowski, Professional Fitness Review Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago, of Victim AN's statement, given to Mr. Sidlowski on March 10, 1993, formalizing his allegation of sexual abuse against Fr. Walter Turlo. According to Victim AN's statement, he met Fr. Turlo at St. Mary of the Assumption in 1989 when he was 18 years old. Victim AN reported that Fr. Turlo insisted he take a shower while at the parish and watched him in the shower. On some occasions, Fr. Turlo tickled Victim AN from behind and touched his buttocks. Victim AN reported that this occurred at the church when he was 18 to 22 years old.

# Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Steve Sidlowski, Professional Fitness Review Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago, of a meeting with Victim AN and his family. During the meeting, a family member of Victim AN stated that she was warned against making accusations against Fr. Walter Turlo.

- \* It was more on the 2nd incident "a sexual harassment thing," there was "tickling" with
- \* Someone asked me. Was there sexual abuse? And I slipped and said "Yes."
- \* The three boys this happened to
- \* The police Youth Division came in and said to her that if she had not picked up on it at the time, that something worse/bad may have happened to her son.

  | before anything happened, that there was something "not right to me" about this priest. She said this to her son . Then he told her "Let me show you the cards this priest gave me." They were "lewd" cards!! They, the then confronted the priest.
- \* To \_\_\_\_\_ it did not seem inappropriate; the priest would <u>tickle</u> him when they were alone. This happened more than once.1

COPY
of an original document from the files of VICAR POR PRIESTS OFFICE ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO
This is a red ink stamp!

DO NOT COPY

AOC 005937

ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO CONV

cf an original document from the files of VICAR FOR PRIESTS OFFICE ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

Page 2. (Notes -

This is a red ink stamp!
DO NOT COPY

- He would tell to take a shower that he towelled or something to that effect.
- later thought more about this. They learned Father paid his way to the show, would take him out to Dinner, they would come home late.
- The priest would tell him to take showers and there was a seminarian there as well was about 18 at the time.
- Frs. Lambert & Goedert were called.
- He'd give jobs where he would be alone. He'd tickle him under the ribs.

Mr. Stephen Sidlowski Fitness Review Administrator Archdiocese of Chicago P. O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690

Re: Meeting about sexual abuse of our sons by different priests. Dear Mr. Sidlowski: Now that we have had an opportunity to consider what was said by you and Mr. and to consider the Bonnaccorsi information which you gave in a telephone call on March 16, 1993, we feel we have to respond to this. We want this response to be made to you, Mr. Bonnaccorsi, to the Cardinal, and to each member of the board which the Cardinal has set up to deal with priest's sex abuse. Quite simply, I was surprised, very disappointed and out-raged at the attitudes which you and in your March 16<sup>th</sup> phone call. If what you told and Mr. Bonnaccorsi about the board's lack of interest in pursuing these two matters is true, then it is obvious that this board is another wall for corrupt priest's to hide behind, and for the Cardinal to hide behind. First of all, what we wanted and what we waited for months for, was a meeting not with you, but with the board itself. You told us, that we as victims, don't get to meet with the board directed to tell them what happened to in different incidents involving three different priest's. Instead, you tell the board what we told you. We consider this to be another insult, apparently victims aren't worth the board's time. If this is the case, then victims have no reason to retell and relive these incidents, just to be told that they cannot talk to the board, and that if the victim is a few months past 18, the board is not even interested in priest's abusive acts. Your repeatedly asking 'what do we want'? is one more insult. 'What we want' should be totally obvious. We want something done about these priest's. Unless we are liars, these priest's should be removed from service - fired. We are willing to take lie detector tests, are they? Are you telling us the boards hands are "tied" because Father Turlo, tickled him under his ribs, said, I like your chest, I like guys with no hair on their chest, gave him the finger and said a salute never hurt, Firmly insisted on shower. One in particular was in Father Turlo's master bedroom, while Father Turlo was standing directly in birds-eye view of the bath room, about 12 feet away saying leave it open, I was on a ladder, "Stalking" - all of these don't like it steamy - spanking while incident's on numerous occasions. Is the Board saying: because was 18 its alright for sexual harrasment and sexual misconduct to be carried our by priest's. We sure don't understand the Board's reasoning for this, because frankly there is none. With regard to the actions of Father McNeff and you know, these boys were well under 18 at the time Father McNeff got them drunk then insisted on their sharing a room with him while he slept with an under age girl. We also have a letter (copy


enclosed) McNeff sent acknowledging that the incident with occured, and stating that "it had happened before and it will happen again"


Even though you have this information, you told you were concerned about McNeff "getting a lawyer," or "taking the Fifth." I ask you, what difference does that make? What ever happened to the offense of contributing to the sexual delinquency of a minor?

It should be obvious that, the way you and the board operate, make it clear to us that this whole procedure is a waste of time, and one more victimization. We will not hesitate to tell other's thinking of doing this that our experience indicated – "don't bother"

C.C. Joseph Cardinal Bernadin Mr. Ralph Bonnaccorsi Father Andrew Greeley

ON YOUR BIRTHDAY REMEMBER, THE BODY PARTS YOU USE MOST LAST LONGEST.





USA.1.00

WHICH MEANS LONG AFTER YOU'RE GONE, YOU'R PENIS WILL STILL BE HERE.

(HOCRAY!)

LAMM 22 | Product

STACH MOTHER MISSON Incressions in the State of State of

Markon or all

This solution orable Julie Quinn Alempsey,

the town of am writing to you because of the

telephone conversation I had with you last

January. In that Conversation I Contacted

you to report to the Commission, the two
separate incidents of molestation of three
of my sons. By that I mean two of m

boup were molested by a priest in onle

incident, going back some years ago,

and this priest continues to function

within the archdocese of Chicago, in

addition I had a third son brought

into a molestation situation by a second

priest.

In this conversation you indicated to me that it was your Intention to get back to me, that is to contact me so that I could report to the Commission the entirety of the details of these two incider I believe based upon what happened to my sono that these two priests continue to constitute a danger to young people and that the commission should be aware of what was done to my sone and that they should investigate to determine whether these prests are fet to Continue to serve. I must say I am rather dissapointed in that you did not line up to your commintent to me, that is to get back to me so that I could present to you the particulars of these incidente, as I may have indicated I even have letters, one of which is

Signed by the priest in question in which he admits the activity involving my some We have been told smuch in the kews-papers, in the parish bulleties, from the pulpet, and from the media about the good intentions of the Cardinal and his Commission in terms of climating sexual abuse of shildren by priests and other Church personal. I am finding it increasingly difficult to believe that the Commission is making a sincere effort to determine all priests and others who constitute a danger to children, in light of the fact that there is apparently a complete lack of intrest in what I and my some have to report. I can only hope that I am wrong and that there were be a contact made by your or a member of your commission with me so that a full explanation of this can be made.

. .







# ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

800 NORTH CLARK STREET, SUITE 311

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 69610 OF THE STATE OF THE

Rollins Lambert, Dean T0:

Rev. Raymond Goederthis and missiamp FROM:

July 10, 1989 DATE:

Walter Turlo RE:

- , members of St. Mary's in Riverdale, have registered a complaint against Walt Turlo, the recently appointed pastor, soon to be installed on July 29.
- 2. The evidently presented their complaint to Bishop Gregory as he was leaving town and he asked his secretary to forward the information to me. I am enclosing copies of what seems sent me, as well as summaries of my conversations and Walt Turlo. with the
- I spoke at length to both On the occasion of 22nd birthday, Walt and his , gave birthday cards that shocked the Kellys. Admittedly, the cards are inappropriate, but I think the may be escalating this incident beyond what it seems to be -- namely, a lack of good judgement, which Walt readily admits.
- 4. Walt informs me that there is , who works at the rectory and may also be using this incident to get at Walt and possibly delay or prevent altogether his installation on the 29th. is not related to the other family.
- 5. I am leaving on vacation Friday morning, so I won't be able to handle this situation properly. Moreover, if it is only a matter of bad judgement, then it really can and should be handled at the local level.
- 6. I told the Kellys that you were out of town until the 12th, but that I left a message for you to call me on the 13th. I would suggest you consider some or all of the following steps:
- A. Allowing the to tell their story again, so that they will be fully satisfied that their complaint is being taken seriously;
- B. Interviewing the ( since she seems to be the one who spoke to the principal at Seton who, in turn, contacted Bishop Gregory's office;
- C. Facing Walt with whatever information you get from the families.
- 7. It may be that the matter can be resolved by a simple apology by Walt. On the other hand, if your investigation leads you to believe that the Vicar for Priests' office should become involved, I'll be back in town on August 7. If you think it is serious and requires immediate attention, I'd appreciate

8. live at line at live at lin

9. Many thanks, Rollins!

copy: Andy McDonagh

Andy,

This is the case I talked to you about on the phone. I hope Rollins will be able to resolve it at his level. If he does buck it up to you, I would suggest you call and have her make copies of all of the material so that you know exactly what has been said and by whom.

AOC 005946

Off: (312) 642-1837

# ARCHDIOCESF OF CHICAGO

**VICAR FOR PRIESTS** 

800 NORTH CLARK STREET, SUITE 311

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610

COM

s 60610 ci an original document from the file

TO:

RE:

File (R. Goedert)

CAR FOR PRICES OF IT

DATE:

July 10, 1989

Walt Turlo

archiocese of Chicago

.....

This is a red ink stamp!

DO NOT COTY

1. She was concerned about Fr. Turlo's defamation of her character. She said that he is going around making a big issue of this. He called in his parish employees and told each one what had happened.

2. I cautioned as to making judgements from hearsay. She did not hear Fr. Turlo say these things directly, but people have reported them to her. I asked her whether she was sure when Father was supposed to have said these things. I told her that I had spoken to Father and told him specifically not to say anything negative about the family, etc. It could be that these are things that were said prior to my conversation with Fr. Turlo.

4. I told that I was sending a memo to fr. Lambert explaining everything and asking him to try to resolve the matter at that level, if at all possible. She seemed satisfied with this.



July 8, 1989

of an original document from the control of the con

Reverend Rollins Lambert Dean 17951 Dixie Highway Homewood, IL 60430

Dear Rollins:

At the request of Ray Goedert I am writing you a brief of a series of events that have led to some rather serious complaints about me. This is to help you put some sense into things before you meet with the parties involved.

June 21, I gave a Dale Birthday Card to a college student, age 22, which said something to the effect, "what you use the most, lasts the longest," -- (punch line) -- "your penis will be here long after you are gone." Happy belated birthday.

son of	•	His name is



Rollins, I hope this gives you a clear reading of the situation. I hope the potential volatility can be diffused. I am sorry you have to come back from vacation and have me as an agenda item. I've only been here one and one-half months!! Who ever thought!!!

I am sorry that I exercised imprudent judgment in the selection of greeting cards. I never expected to have to be that careful.

Also, I want to express my apology to any persons who have been upset by this series of events. I await the reprimand of the Dean.

Thanks, for taking the time to read this.

In Jesus, our brother,

Rev. Walter J. Turlo Pastor

WJT/mam cc. Rev. Ray Goedert



# Off: (312) 642-1837

# ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

VICAR FOR PRIESTS

800 NORTH CLARK STREET, SUITE 311

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610

60610 of an original document from the files of

T0:

RE:

File (R. Goedert)

DATE:


July 6, 1989

Walter Turlo

This is a red ink stamp!

DO NOT COPY

1. While I was talking to at 5:55 PM, as well as her husband, the phone was ringing. It was Walt Turlo. I did not let on to Walt that I had been talking to the He wanted to know if I had received any material from Head, Bishop Gregory's secretary. I told him that I had not, but that there was a message here dated yesterday indicating that



7. I asked Walt if he notified Bishop Wilton. He said that he called and found out that Wilton was on vacation. He then spoke to Wilton's secretary, contacted Wilton in California and Wilton suggested that she send the material on to me. Walt said that he also tried to reach Rolly Lambert, but he also was on vacation until the 12th.



# ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

VICAR FOR PRIESTS

800 NORTH CLARK STREET, SUITE 311 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610

File (R. Goedert)

T0:

DATE:

July 6, 1989

This is a red ink stamp! DO MOT COMY

RE:

Walter Turlo

1. I received a phone call today from  She wished to register a complaint against Fr.  Turlo. She said that he was just appointed the new pastor and will be installed by Bishop Gregory on July 29.
. One, is years old and is a . He wanted to make a little money while he was home . The other is and the third is
2. 22 years old and on his birthday he received cards from Fr. Walt and Showed the cards to his parents on June 28. They were shocked. Both cards were very offensive. There were gifts of \$10 in one and \$20 in the other. But the cards made references to the male organs and also used foul language (fuck). Needless to say, the parents were both terribly shocked and went up to see Fr. Turlo right away. He only gave them a few minutes of time and was definitely ill at ease. He tried to say that it was all done in jest and
3. said that she had made copies of the cards when she went to the rectory and took the copies with her. Fr. Turlo yanked the copies out of her hand. He was quite upset
4.
Also. Fr. Turlo used to take the boys to shows, pizza parties, etc. But now also agrees that it is better not to work there. also told his mother that Fr. Turlo would tickle him four or five times a week. Fr. Turlo liked very much. One time he was taking 3 or 4 of the boys to the show and was in the car with Fr. Turlo. Tried to get in the same car, but Walt said, "No, he should go with tried to get in the same car, but Walt said, "No, he should go with the same is a boy who just graduated from Niles and is going to go to Mundelein. He apparently works at the rectory and from what to go to Mundelein. He apparently works at the rectory. Fr.

- 5. I told that ordinarily problems like this should be resolved at the local level. She had already called Bishop Gregory and he was on vacation until July 19. I told her I would call Fr. Lambert and ask him to get in touch with them.
- 6. I called Fr. Lambert, the Dean, ( ). He is on vacation until July 12. I left a message to have him call me on the 13th.




8.



11. I promised I would get back to the some time on the 13th.

Wednesday 5 July 1989  Reverend Ray Goedert  Vicar for Priests This is a red size of the s	Administrative Assistan  PERSONAL  CONFIDENTIAL
Dear Father Goedert.	
Bishop Gregory is away on vacation until just as he was preparing to leave, a poter our attention. As I was driving the Bishop a call came into the office from Academy. She was calling to alert us to that made some serious allegations about new pastor at Saint Mary's.	ntially damaging incident came to to the airport on Monday, 3 July, principal of Seton that a parent
are involced in a "gay ring drew this conclusion from birthday cards the twenty-two year old son ( ). Copi	es of the cards, which
has also alleged that Father To of the rectory, but left the Cardinal's pic knows Father Turlo left Saint Mary Magd	urlo has taken all of the crosses out ture/framed. She has stated that she alene under strange circumstances.
told that Fa	ather
Bishop Gregory suggested that I present to perhaps you might suggest how to best had about Father Turlo's reputation being dam has threatened to go to the media. She to	ndle this situation. He is concerned

Reverend Ray Goedert 5 July, 1989 Page 2



I look forward to hearing from you what, if anything, we should do.


Sincerely yours.

Adminsitrative Assistant to Bishop Wilton D. Gregory

encis.

# Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim AO's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey on March 29, 2004, formalizing his allegation of sexual abuse against Fr. Walter Turlo. According to Victim AO's statement, he met Fr. Turlo while in high school when Fr. Turlo would come to say mass once a week when he was approximately 16 years old. During Victim AO's senior year of high school or freshman year of college, he was spending nights and sharing a bed with Fr. Turlo at St. William's rectory. In approximately 1976 or 1977, Fr. Turlo kissed Victim AO and fondled his genitals when they were in bed at the rectory, and would also suggest to Victim AO that his actions were natural and good. According to Victim AO's statement, he was also abused by another priest.



Born: Ordained: May, 1970

•	<b>Assistant Pastor</b>	Sacred Heart (Melrose Park)	June, 1970 – June, 1975
•	Associate Pastor	St. William	June, 1975 – March, 1982

•	Pastor	St. Mary Magdalene	March 1982–May, 1989
	Administrator	SS. Peter and Paul (Marquette	(Exact date of appointment ending not clear)

•	Pastor	St. Mary of the Assumption	May, 1989-January, 1996
•	Pastor	St. Fabian, Bridgeview	January, 1996 - Present

#### ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

Office of the Episcopal Vicar Vicariate V



Post Office Box 1979 hicago, Illinois 60690-1979

773/779-8440

Read by Most. Rev. Thad J. Jakubowski on behalf of Most Rev. Gustavo Garcia-Siller, Auxiliary Bishop and Episcopal Vicar of Vicariate V, at Masses on June 4 - 5, 2005.

My dear people,

On my behalf, retired Auxiliary Bishop Thad Jakubowski joins you today to share some very difficult news concerning your parish.

Fr. Walter Turlo has resigned as your pastor, following an allegation received by officials of the Archdiocese of Chicago that he engaged in highly inappropriate behavior with a minor approximately 30 years ago while he served as an associate pastor at St. William Parish in Chicago. This allegation has been reported to the Cook County State's Attorney.

Out of concern for possible risk to children and young people, officials of the Archdiocese recommended that Fr. Turlo be withdrawn from ministry, and Cardinal George accepted that recommendation. Fr. Turlo subsequently resigned as your pastor, and is cooperating with archdiocesan officials while residing in a monitored and restricted setting.

If any parishioner has additional information or a concern to raise regarding Fr. Turlo, please contact our Archdiocesan Professional Responsibility Administrator directly at 1-800-994-6200. You may also contact the Office of the Cook County State's Attorney at 312-603-5440 or the Office of the Lake County State's Attorney at 847-377-3000.

Though there is no doubt that this is extremely sad and unsettling news, I think that our most natural inclination now is also the most helpful: please join me in praying for peace and healing for the adult who brought this concern to the Archdiocese, for Fr. Turlo, and for all those who have suffered abuse in their lives.

If there is more information to be shared, I will share it with you. For the time being, Fr. Harry Bonin, associate pastor at St. Joseph Parish in Summit, has been asked to serve as administrator at St. Fabian Parish. I have assured him that your parish shall have any additional assistance you need going forward.

Thank you for the trust you place in your priests. Be assured that Cardinal George and I will be praying for all of you in a special way over the coming weeks and months.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Most Rev. Gustavo Garcia-Siller Episcopal Vicar of Vicariate V

Fr. Turlo served as associate pastor at St. William from June, 1975 - March, 1982.

## Questions regarding Fr. Walter J. Turlo

## **DRAFT 7- work in progress**

- Q: What is the nature of the situation?
- A: Approximately 30 years ago, Fr. Turlo is alleged to have engaged in highly inappropriate behavior with a minor. (If pressed to be more specific:) To protect the privacy of the person involved, we will not provide any more details.
- Q: When and how was the Archdiocese contacted about this matter?
- A: The adult man involved contacted the Office of Assistance Ministry about the incident in late March 2004.
- Q: Why did it take so long for the Archdiocese to act?/What happened after the man contacted the Archdiocese about the situation?
- A: These acts occurred about thirty years ago, and with the passage of time, it has been difficult to gather information which would shed light on the allegation. While the inquiry continues, out of an abundance of caution for the safety of children and young people, Cardinal George decided to withdraw Fr. Turlo from ministry. Now, the usual process will move forward to completion.

(If pressed further:) Our initial analysis indicated that this should have been dealt with as an adult matter. As the inquiry went forward, new information became available. However, pending the outcome of the inquiry, the fact that highly inappropriate behavior had allegedly occurred while the person involved was a minor prompted the decision that Fr. Turlo should temporarily be withdrawn from ministry. As we have said, the inquiry into this matter is ongoing.

- Q. So you didn't go to the Review Board with this issue?
- A. Not at this time but as we have said, the inquiry is ongoing.
- Q. What does temporary withdrawal mean?
- A. It's "temporary" by virtue of the fact that the process is not yet complete.

#### Questions regarding Fr. Turlo—2

- Q: What has been Fr. Turlo's response to the situation?
- A: Fr. Turlo has come to understand the seriousness of the situation and realizes that his actions undermine his ability to continue as pastor and has resigned.
- Q: What does his resignation as pastor mean? Does it mean that he has resigned from the priesthood?
- A: It means that Fr. Turlo will no longer be pastor at St. Fabian. However, he will remain a priest albeit on administrative leave, who resides in a monitored and restricted setting.
- Q: Did the Archdiocese report the allegation to authorities?
- A: Yes. Even though it may not have involved an illegal act, the behavior involved was inappropriate enough to be shared with authorities.
- Q: Have other reports been made against Fr. Turlo?
- A: No other reports have been made against Fr. Turlo involving sexual misconduct with a minor or any other illegal activity.
- Q: Did the Archdiocese offer help of any kind to the man making the report?
- A: Yes, the man was offered help through the Vicar for Priests office and the Office of Assistance Ministry. In accordance with the policy of the Archdiocese, the accuser has been offered assistance, which includes therapy and spiritual counseling.
- Q: How long has Fr. Turlo been a priest?
- A: For 35 years. He was ordained in May of 1970 and is 60 years old.
- Q: Where has Fr. Turlo served?
- A: Prior to St. Fabian, pastor at St. Mary of the Assumption on the far southeast side of Chicago. He also served as administrator at Ss. Peter and Paul, Chicago; administrator at St. Patrick, Chicago; pastor at St. Mary Magdalene, Chicago; and associate pastor at St. William, Chicago. He began as an assistant at Sacred Heart Parish, Melrose Park, shortly after ordination.

#### Questions regarding Fr. Turlo—3

- Q: Where is Fr. Turlo now?
- A: Fr. Turlo is staying at a private residence, in a monitored and restricted setting, in a location we will not disclose.
- Q: Who will be the new pastor at St Fabian?
- A: Fr. Harry Bonin, an associate pastor at St. Joseph in Summit, has agreed to serve as administrator for the present.
- Q: How long was Fr. Turlo at St. Fabian?
- A: From January 1996 until now.
- Q: Are your current policies sufficient to effectively deal with misconduct or inappropriate activity that does not involve minors?
- A: We think so but we are continually evaluating and improving these guidelines and procedures for dealing with misconduct with adults as well as minors. In addition, the Archdiocese has continually provided seminarians and priests ongoing formation on living chastely.
- Q: What do you do when an allegation of adult misconduct is made against a priest?
- A: Because we take charges of misconduct seriously, the Archdiocese has guidelines and procedures that include assessment of a priest's ability to continue ministry. Based on the findings, a priest can be placed on administrative leave, even be removed entirely from ministry, should circumstances warrant.
- Q: Why doesn't the Church reexamine the issue of celibacy and allow priests to marry?
- A: Celibacy is a commitment freely chosen by those who are ordained priests of the Latin Rite of the Catholic Church. Sexual misconduct is not limited to celibates.
- Q: Was the parish leadership contacted about the allegations?
- A: Yes both the parish staff and the parish council was informed this week before the announcement was shared with the parish as a whole.

#### Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces a letter written by Victim AO's wife to Cardinal Francis George, dated May 8, 2005, expressing frustration at the lack of response from the Office of Professional Responsibility and asking for the Church to take responsibility for the wrongdoing of clergy. In this letter, Victim AO's wife states that Fr. Turlo fondled Victim AO when he was approximately 18 years old and details the negative impact the abuse has had on Victim AO and her family.

Office of Professional Responsibility

P.O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979


> (312) 751-5205 Fax: (312) 751-5279

#### **MEMORANDUM**


To:	File – PFR-241
From:	Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator
Re:	Turlo, Rev. Walter [Active]/
Date:	February 23, 2005
meet with Reto discuss	James T. Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests traveled to St. Fabian's rectory to v. Walter Turlo on February 17, 2005. The meeting was scheduled in order allegation of sexual misconduct against Fr. Turlo. Fr. also asked Fr. Turlo's monitor, Rev. Harry Bonin to join the meeting.
participate in of Chicago. If participated in receiving	r. Turlo and had already allegation. Upon allegation, Fr. Turlo has not been alone with males under the sold. Fr. Turlo is also not to conduct face-to-face confessions, and is not
Office. He tal adult male in ( 2004 as a resu Protection of ( mentioned an	ski also spoke of past incidents involving Fr. Turlo and the Vicar for Priests ked about the inappropriate greeting card that Fr. Turlo sent to a young the past, as well as the phone call that Mrs. Jan Slattery received in early lt of the Virtus program. Mrs. Slattery is the director of the Office for the Children and Youth at the Archdiocese of Chicago. Fr. Kaczorowski also adult matter brought against Fr. Turlo by a woman. As per Fr. he investigated the allegation and determined it to be unfounded.
, in co Furlo that	ski informed Fr. Turlo of a meeting that he and PRA had with nnection with allegation against him. He informed Fr. stated that he had questioned his [Fr. Turlo's] past judgment of and sleeping with when he was a senior in high an in college.

Fr. Kaczorowski spoke of a situation involving a former seminarian who, despite his closeness with Fr. Turlo, asked Fr. Kaczorowski to vest him at his ordination.

At this point in time, Fr. Kaczorowski advised Fr. Turlo to continue his participation in monitoring. Fr. Turlo agreed with Fr. Kaczorowski's advice. He stated
consistently participated in spiritual direction.
Fr. Kaczorowski mentioned that in some cases where an allegation has surfaced, the victim requests to meet with the accused face to face. At this point in the meeting, PRA explained the role of the Office of Professional Responsibility in this matter, as stated that the alleged abuse began when he was under the age of 18. Fr. Turlo was informed that as a result of the estimated time frames and dates, Fr. Kaczorowski and PRA were working together on this matter until a conclusion was met.
Fr. Turlo was cooperative throughout the entire meeting and provided answers to clarification questions asked by both Fr. Kaczorowski and PRA.
As per Fr. Turlo, he and slept in separate beds when they traveled to Florida with his [Fr. Turlo's] family. While in New York, Fr. Turlo stayed with at his aunt's home. Fr. Turlo stated that there was "only" a rollaway bed available for both of them to sleep on together. He traveled with to meet his family.
After some thought, Fr. Turlo stated that if he and ever slept together at his [Fr. Turlo's] family's home, it may have only happened on one occasion possibly after the death of the cleric's mother. When asked by PRA, Fr. Turlo explained that the family home where resided for a period of time, belonged
Fr. Kaczorowski then asked Fr. Bonin if he has ever witnessed Fr. Turlo being possessive over another person since acting as his monitor. Fr. Bonin spoke highly of Fr. Turlo and stated that he has never seen the other cleric acting possessive of anyone.
Fr. Turlo then spoke of his relationship with He stated that the relationship asted "for a long time."



Memo to File – PFR-241 February 23, 2005 Page 4



Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry

at Father Turlo's parish

also remembered pulling

"clearly

ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO Office of Assistance Ministry Post Office Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690 (312) 751-8267 (312) 751-8307 (Fax) TO Bathers Kstezorenyski (s Vicar for Priests Leah McCluskey (Fax Office of Profesional Responsibility From: Michael J. Bland Assistance Ministry RE: DATE: February 14, 2005 Last week I spoke directly with and he would be willing to receive a phone call from Fr. Kaczorowski. I have found it easiest to contact on his cell or at work also clarified the time line for me. moved Junior year and met Father Turlo right away. During his Senior year (perhaps during September or October) he move in with During these two high school years he slept with Father Turlo and

traveled with him to New York and Florida. While Father Turlo may have "done

something" during the night during this time he does not remember.

and they also shared the same bed. It was during this time that

remembers" Father Turlo touching him during the night.

first year in college he

away and discussing it with Father Turlo.

Office of Professional Responsibility




P.O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979

> (312) 751-5205 Fax: (312) 751-5279

#### **MEMORANDUM**

To:	File – PFR-241		
From:	Leah McCluskey, Profess	sional Responsibility Admini	strator
Re:	Turlo, Rev. Walter [Activ		
Date:	November 23, 2004		
October 4 <sup>t</sup>	Rev. James T. Kaczorowski, Vi at his office on October 4 meeting in connection with the of sexual misconduct against R	, 2004. Fr. Kaczorowski had	d arranged the
Turlo.	rowski and PRA initially explains as a step in the investigation of appeared familiar with sto cooperate.	an allegation of cornel mine	
When aske	d by Fr. Kaczorowski, was a student at St. Joseph l	stated that he first met High School.	₹r. Turlo while he
junior and in Elmhurst	t met Fr. Turlo, did together. As per , I ntinued by explaining that Fr. T	Fr. Turlo introduced him to Turlo expressed his feeling at to know each other. When Joseph,	t the time that he was a
to him the seliving with	during the mentioned to teaching at St. Joseph High Sexual abuse that he sustained we have associated with	chool. He stated that warne	disclosed disclosed, prior to ed him to "watch Brother.







Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board Rev. James Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Ministry



# RESPONSE OF REVEREND WALTER TURLO TO ALLEGATIONS OF

On April 22, 2004, Father Walter Turlo met with Professional Fitness Review Administrator, Leah McCluskey, at the office of the Vicar for Priests. Also present for the meeting were Patrick Reardon, attorney for Father Turlo, and Vicar for Priests, Father James Kaczorowski. Msgr. Rick Sosaman, a canon lawyer assisting Father Turlo, was present by speaker phone. Ms. McCluskey read notes of allegations of misconduct made by Father completely denies the allegations. He further affirms that he has never engaged in sexual misconduct with any minor at any time. The following will specifically address the allegation made by SUMMARY OF ACCUSATION said that he met Father Turlo when he was sixteen years old while a junior at in 1975. stated that he confided in Father Turlo about certain sexual relationships he had with other students, as well as improper sexual advances made towards him by the Father Turlo enlisted the assistance of Father , pastor of , and effected the removal and replacement of the within a few weeks. During this time Father Turlo and became friends. Early in senior year at approximately October of 1976, he and began living 's family while attending classes at stayed overnight at the rectory sleeping in the same bed as Father Turlo. On one occasion, states that Father Turlo touched his genitals. He said that after this incident Father Turlo attempted to kiss him on the lips. He stated that he confronted Father Turlo with the incident in the bed and according to Father Turlo admitted that he had done it.

#### **SPECIFIC RESPONSES**

Preliminary	consideration:	This is	not an	allegation	of sexual	misconduct	with
a minor.							

Father Turlo has indicated above that he has never had any improper sexual contact with He specifically denies the touching incident alleged above. He also points out that the accusation does not allege any sexual misconduct with a minor, since the allegation, taken in its best light as true, describes an incident that took place between adults.

The provisions of the Archdiocesan protocols for dealing with Clerical Sexual Misconduct with minors have adopted the definition of "minor" contained in the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act of Illinois law stating that a "child" is any person under the age of 18 years. (325 ILCS 5/3). This comports with Canon 97, section 1, of the Code of Canon Law which states that "A person who has completed the eighteenth year of age is an adult; below this age, a person is a minor."

An examination of the interview of	shows that he says the
single incident of sexual misconduct, a tou	iching while sleeping in bed, occurred
some time after the start of his first year as	
stated that he met his current wife,	when he began to attend He
said he had already met when the	
	Therefore he became age 18 on

While Father Turlo does not wish to indicate approval of any such conduct, were the allegation true, he submits that the conduct alleged does not comport with the definition of sexual misconduct with a minor as envisioned by Archdiocesan guidelines for the investigation of such matters. Therefore, he respectfully asks that this matter be reviewed by the appropriate agency and not be placed before the Professional Responsibility Board.

#### **Factual issues**

Prior to June, 19	75, Father	Turlo was	s assigned t	o Sacre	d Heart	naris	h in
Melrose Park, near the					Illinois.		

invited by members of the faculty to celebrate mass at the
occasion. At some point during these activities he met
eventually approached Father Turlo with sexual problems he was
experiencing with the Apparently this approach came about
because Father Turlo was seen as an outsider, less likely to be under the influence of
the Father Turlo did not try to handle this matter alone, but sought the
assistance of another archdiocesan priest, Father Turlo
chose Father because they had previously been assigned as associates
together at Sacred Heart and because Father had a background in
psychology. Contrary the statement, Father was not the
pastor of Sacred Heart Church at the time; he was an associate at St. Andrew
Church on Addison Street in Chicago. This is where they met with Father
Soon after this meeting, the rector was removed.
While Father was working on these issues with and other
students, began to confide other problems to Father Turlo.
·
Contrary to assertion, Father did not suggest that he move
into the Rather, though quite surprised by the
request, Father acquiesced and made arrangements for this move after
urged him to do so. This was during the fall of his senior year of high school.
Father was aware at that time of what he, Father Turlo, felt were some of
unhealthy relationships. He spoke with about this matter and did suggest that
he destroy some inappropriate correspondence from a young man.
is correct in stating that he and Father Turlo made a trip to
and traveled to to visit family members. He strongly disputes
that he ever took to events that "weren't appropriate" or had "gay
content," unless one considers "Pippen" or "Camelot" such fare. They once
attended "O Calcutta," but walked out after the first intermission, finding it

unsuitable.

In June of 1975, Father Turlo was reassigned to St. Williams parish.
Contrary to the statement, never stayed at the St. Williams rectory
during his senior year in high school. The parish was distant from
where he stayed and he did not have a car until after April of 1977. In
the Fall of 1977,
For this reason, he arrived at the parish on Saturday
Father Turlo now realizes that the sleeping arrangements were inappropriate. He
adamantly states that at no time were they sexually improper. Contrary to
statements in interview, Father never touched him sexually.
Contrary to statements in interview, Father Turlo has never in any
way acknowledged to or to any other person that he committed any
sexual impropriety.
Conclusion
Approximately 15 years ago, Father Turlo realized that he had certain
unresolved issues regarding loneliness and boundary violations,
despite the fact that he loved his work as a priest and had no desire to change his
vocation. For this reason he began
He then involved himself with a group of priests
who voluntarily gathered with Father Dan Coughlin in a celibacy support and study
group. About five years later, when this group disbanded, he began a  This continues at
this time. Each of these experiences has helped Father Turlo set proper
interpersonal boundaries in his ministry and helped him to understand his tendencies

to try to do too much for people and to over-control. He continues to work with in order to make himself a more effective minister of the Gospel.
As stated above, Father now realizes that his efforts to provide with a "father figure" role model were naive. While he did nothing sexually improper, he is now aware that he did not set proper boundaries with this young man. He also realizes that he should have referred him to someone better equipped to deal with the emotional difficulties produced by high school experiences. Having acknowledged this naivete, Father Turlo stresses that he acted out of good will to try to help through his difficulties. He had no motivation of sexual manipulation and never engaged in any sexual misconduct with this young man. Father Turlo has attempted to respond in full to the accusation. If he has overlooked some issue, or if his response has raised some question, he will be happy to supplement this response upon request.
Father Turlo is saddened that his efforts to help have been interpreted in a way that casts doubt on his motives and questions his good will. His intention was then and remains now to be a proper and effective minister of the gospel. He acknowledges his own imperfection but has devoted years of effort to learn to be more effective. He asks that he be allowed to continue these efforts and continue his ministry.
Date: June 9, 2004
Reverend Walter Turlo  Patrick G. Reardon  Attorney

#### Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim AO's statement, given the Ms. McCluskey on June 9, 2005, amending his allegation of sexual abuse against Fr. Walter Turlo. According to Victim AO's statement, he met Fr. Turlo in approximately 1975, when he was 16 years old. By the next year, Fr. Turlo had become physical, hugging him, engaging in non-sexual massages and asking him sexually inappropriate questions. Victim AO reported that occasionally, he stayed with Fr. Turlo at St. William's rectory. The two slept in bed together and Fr. Turlo gave Victim AO full body massages which aroused Victim AO sexually. Similar massages occurred on trips out of state. Victim AO also reported fondling under clothing after Victim AO had turned 18 years old.

#### Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim AP's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey on July 11, 2005, formalizing his allegation of sexual abuse against Fr. Walter Turlo. According to Victim AP's statement, he was an altar boy at St. William from fifth to eighth grade. When Victim AP was in the fifth or sixth grade, Fr. Turlo invited him to the rectory at St. William's, asked him sexually inappropriate questions and masturbated him. On two other occasions, Fr. Turlo had Victim AP touch Turlo's genitals over the clothing. During the last incident of abuse, Fr. Turlo showed Victim AP pornography and propositioned him for anal sex.

# RECEIVED

NOV 0 9 2007

# RESPONSE OF REVEREND WALTER TURL OFFICE FOR CHILD ABUSE TO THE ALLEGATIONS OF

On July 3, 2007, Father Walter Turlo met with Professional Review Administrator, Leah McClusky, at the office of the Vicar for Priests. Present at that meeting was Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests; present by telephone conference call was Patrick G. Reardon, attorney for Father Turlo. Allegations of were read by Ms. McClusky. At the conclusion of this reading Father Turlo stated that the allegations of misconduct were completely false. He stated that he would respond in writing to any questions asked by the Professional Fitness Board. Father Turlo and his lawyer have received a letter dated August 6, 2007, containing certain questions posed by the Board. He will
respond to these questions herein and provide relevant information to establish the false and defamatory nature of statements.
1. Do you know
<b>Answer:</b> Yes. The first time I met early 2002. See below.
If you do know please provide information on how you first came to know her and/or the nature and status of your contact with her.
Answer: My first encounter with was through my giving a talk to the RCIA class that had begun in October, My best recollection is that I spoke to this group in early from this lecture but assume that she would have been there. The first time I met her individually was while I was co-spiritual director of the Retreat during lent of 2002. This was a two day retreat on the parish grounds. At this time she did not stand out any more or less than anyone else on the retreat.
Some time during the following year volunteered to become a as a result of the retreat. I believe she was prepared for this ministry by

I had no further contact with until the summer of when she
signed up to be on the team for the following year's retreat.
c allogation it is
2. In the first paragraph of page seven of allegation, it is
parishioner were present." Do You recall the alleged meeting and/or do you know the identity of "and/or the referred to other parishioner? If you
do recall such a meeting, please provide any information.
do recan such a meeting, picase provide any macrament
Answer:

3. Could you please provide information on any knowledge of the construction of St. Fabian church and related buildings or structures?		
Answer: Reverend Robert Kash, pastor emeritus of St. Fabian Parish, was the pastor at the time of the construction of the new church and consequently the connecting passage between the school and church, as described by will corroborate that the connecting area, described by as the place where she was allegedly abused in 1977-1978, did not exist until 1988. I have enclosed as an attachment the Saint Fabian Silver Jubilee book (1963-1988) documenting the completion of the construction in question. (See Exhibit B: Photocopy of St. Fabian Parish Silver Jubilee Book.) Prior to 1988 not only was there no hall with stone walls between the school and the church, as diagramed by but there was no courtyard with statue, as she has drawn. The area she has diagramed was open vacant land during the relevant years. Photos of the current parish plant will assist in documenting the present location of the connecting hall and corridor that did not exist prior to 1988. (See Exhibit C: Portfolio of nine photographs, including an areal view, with labels attached.)		
4. Do you know father? If you do know him, please provide information on the nature of your interactions with him.		
Answer: I do not know father. I have never had the interactions with him that she recounts in her accusation. Indeed I did not even know of existence until late 2001 as answered above.		
2. Do you know any of extended family ? If you do know any such extended family members, please provide information on the nature of your interactions with		

them.

Addendum: Other than the above-ment	ioned meeting, I had very little
interaction with After she guit the	team,
attempted to draw me into her life as sor	ne sort of 'mentor.' I resisted these
efforts. I have spent many years	to be sure that I will
always maintain proper emotional boundaries	in my personal and parish life. On
the several subsequent occasions when	attempted to confide matters
best left to and n	ominate me as some sort of
protector or 'safety net' I asked her politely to	. I received several card
	_
and letters from I enclose two of Exhibits D and E: Letters to Father Turlo from	
note demonstrates that she had at least heard n	
boundaries.	ny positron regarantan'i
	I have attempted to maintain a polite
and pastoral distance from this person. I have	never engaged in any improper
conduct with her at any time in any way.	
	Watter Harlo
Ā	Rev. Walter Tyrlo
Date: 11-9-07	/

Answer:

#### Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the summary prepared by Leah McCluskey, Professional Responsibility Administrator for the Archdiocese of Chicago's Office of Professional Responsibility, of Victim AP's mother's statement, given to Ms. McCluskey over the phone on September 2, 2005. During the conversation, Victim AP's mother stated that Victim AP began failing his classes by the end of seventh grade and began to suffer various seemingly stress-related ailments. She stated that Victim AP recently disclosed to her that he was sexually abused by Fr. Walter Turlo. According to Victim AP's mother, Fr. Turlo was also involved with the Boy Scout troop at the parish.

# ARCHDIOCESE, OF CHICAGO



Office of Professional Responsibility

P.O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979

> (312) 751-5205 Fax: (312) 751-5279 www.archchicago.org

April 12, 2006

Rev. Walter Turlo Bishop Lyne Residence 12230 S. Will Cook Road Lemont, IL 60439

Dear Fr. Turlo,

Please be advised that the Professional Responsibility Review Board met on March 18, 2006 and conducted a Review for Cause regarding allegation of sexual misconduct against you pursuant to Article §1104.9 of the Review Process for Continuation of Ministry.

In the Review for Cause of this matter that took place on March 18<sup>th</sup>, the Board recommended that there is not reasonable cause to suspect that the sexual abuse of a minor did occur. Cardinal George has accepted the Board's recommendations.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at any time. I may be reached at [312] 751-5205. Also, please know that Rev. Edward Grace is available to you as your Vicar for Priests. He may be reached at [312] 642-1837.

Sincerely

Leah McCluskey

Professional Responsibility Administrator

Cc: Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

Rev. Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests

Patrick Reardon, Civil Attorney

Msgr. Rick Sosaman, Canonical Advocate

#### **ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO**

Office of the Archbishop



155 E. Superior St. Chicago, Illinois 60611

#### RECEIVED

DEC 1 6 2005

Ms. Leah McCluskey Office of Professional Responsibility 676 N. St. Clair St. Chicago, IL 60611

ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO
OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

Dear Ms. McCluskey,

I am writing to you in order to formalize my handwritten note in response to your letter of November 28, 2005, regarding the matter of **Reverend Walter Turlo**, a priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago who does not have an assignment at present, and the allegation made by following the Initial Review conducted by the Professional Responsibility Review Board on November 19, 2005.

In light of the Board's consideration of the information presented in this matter, I accept the Board's determination that the information suggests further investigation into whether **Father Turlo** engaged in sexual misconduct with a minor.

With this letter is a decree which appoints you as the investigator into an allegation of sexual misconduct with a minor that was made against **Father Turlo**. The terms of this investigation are spelled out in the decree.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.

Archbishop of Chicago

Ecclesiastical Notary

Rev. Richard Saudin

cc: Bishop-Elect George J. Rassas, Vicar General

Rev. Daniel A. Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate

Rev. Patrick R. Lagges, Judicial Vicar/Vicar for Canonical Services

Reverend Edward D. Grace, Vicar for Priests Mr. Ralph Bonaccorsi, Assistance Minister

Mr. Jimmy Lago, Chancellor

Mr. John C. O'Malley, Director of Legal Services

Nationality		Jun 22 Date of birth	Idained	
Date Appointe	Folish - Italian		5/13/70	2004 - 200 - 100 - 200 - 100 - 200 - 100 - 200
* Photograph	Assignment			77
6/5/70	Sacred Heart (Melrose Park)	Charge	Date Left	
TNW 9-20-74	Trian C	Assistant		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Triton Community College, Cam Ministry Apostolate.	THE CH	6-13-75	
(B) ma	Ministry Apostolate.	maplain_	<del> </del>	
TNW 6-13-75	St. William Parish, Chicago	<del></del>		
	Tarish, Chicago	Associate		
PCC a -				<del></del>
03-15-87 3-15-	St. Mary Magdalana			
CC & Ltr 3-15- 0 3-15-87	CHICAGO	PASTOR		Walter Jurio
<del>-5/8/85</del>	Parochial Admini	) ————————————————————————————————————		Walter Jurio
<del>-5/8/85</del>	Parochial Admini	) ————————————————————————————————————		Walter Jurio
7/8/85 R: 3/6/88 3/8/94	Parochial Administrator of SS. Peter & Paul and St. Patri	ick		Walter Jurio
<del>-5/8/85</del>	Parochial Administrator of SS. Peter & Paul and St. Patri	PASTOR		Walter Jurio
7/8/85 R: 3/6/88 3/8/94 5/22/89	Parochial Administrator of SS. Peter & Paul and St. Patri	ick		Walter Jurio
5/8/85 R: 3/8/88 3/8/94 5/22/89 Itr: 07/07/95	Parochial Administrator of SS. Peter & Paul and St. Patri ST. MARY MAGDALENE PARISH St. Mary of the Assumptio	PASTOR		Walter Jurio
7/8/85 R: 3/6/88 3/8/94 5/22/89	Parochial Administrator of SS. Peter & Paul and St. Patri ST. MARY MAGDALENE PARISH St. Mary of the Assumptio	PASTOR n Pastor		Walter Jurio
7/8/85 R: 3/6/88 3/8/94 5/22/89 htr: 07/07/95 eff: 05/22/95	Parochial Administrator of SS. Peter & Paul and St. Patri ST. MARY MAGDALENE PARISH St. Mary of the Assumptio  St. Mary of the Assumption - 2nd	PASTOR n Pastor	01/01/96	Walter Jurio
5/8/85 R: 3/6/88 3/8/94 5/22/89 ltr: 07/07/95 eff: 05/22/95	Parochial Administrator of SS. Peter & Paul and St. Patri ST. MARY MAGDALENE PARISH St. Mary of the Assumptio	PASTOR Pastor  Term Pastor	01/01/96	Walter Jurio
5/8/85 R: 3/6/88 3/8/94 5/22/89 ltr: 07/07/95 eff: 05/22/95	Parochial Administrator of SS. Peter & Paul and St. Patri ST. MARY MAGDALENE PARISH St. Mary of the Assumptio  St. Mary of the Assumption - 2nd	PASTOR n Pastor	01/01/96	Walter Jurio

# RECEIVED

JUN 2 2 2005

ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY From:

To: Date:

8/16/2007 8:42:47 PM

Subject:

letter

August 17, 2007

My Good Friends,

As you know, during the past two years and two months, my official designation has been "removed from public ministry." This designation included a set of mutually agreed upon protocols.

Recently the protocols have become too constrictive. In dialogue with the Vicar General and the Vicar for Priests, other options were presented to me. I have decided to choose the most appealing option which I believe will best enrich personal wholeness.

As of August 15th, I have chosen to "resign from active ministry." This weekend I will be moving from Bishop Lyne Residence to the residence of and will remain there while this process is finalized.

My contact information will continue to be:

Email:

Phone:

Cell:

Keep me in your prayer. This is the best thing you can do for me. My time at Bishop Lyne Residence has been one of grace, love and friendships that will continue into the future.

This decision is final. Letters to the "top" will not change anything; however, any kudos you may choose to send upward to Vicar for Priests or the Cardinal will be taken as evidence of your love and esteem.

Peace to you,

Walt

# FILE COPY

#### ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

Office for the Protection of Children and Youth Office of Assistance Ministry Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review Safe Environment Office



P. O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979 312-751-5388 312-751-8307, fax

#### **MEMORANDUM**

To:

Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests

From:

Leah McCluskey, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Re:

Turlo, Rev. Walter [Withdrawn]

Date:

August 14, 2007

By means of a summary, it has been learned that Rev. Walter Turlo violated the current Individual Specific Protocols by having 12-year-old stay overnight with him at his private residence on the evenings of July 22 and July 23, 2007 [see file].

In consultation with the Professional Conduct Administrative Committee [PCAC] during the August 13, 2007 meeting, I made the recommendation to Cardinal George that Fr. Turlo is restricted to the property of his residence at the Bishop Lyne Home until this matter is resolved. The Cardinal verbally accepted this recommendation on August 13, 2007.

It is my understanding that you and Rev. John Canary, Vicar General, plan on scheduling a face to face meeting with Fr. Turlo in the near future to further discuss this matter and to inform him of the aforementioned restriction. I ask that you please share with me the results of your meeting with Fr. Turlo.

Cc Rev. John Canary, Vicar General
Carol Fowler, Personnel Services
Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I.
Jimmy Lago, Chancellor
Jan Slattery, Office for the Protection of Children and Youth
Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board

BC Sim Serritella, Attorney John O'Malley, Office of Legal Services

# FILE COPY

## ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

Office for the Protection of Children and Youth Office of Assistance Ministry Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review Safe Environment Office



P. O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979 312-751-5388 312-751-8307, fax

#### **MEMORANDUM**

To:

File - PFR - 241

From:

Leah McCluskey, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

Re:

Turlo, Rev. Walter [Withdrawn]

Date:

August 9, 2007

The following is a summary of the series of events that transpired on August 8, 2007 in response to Rev. Edward Grace's July 28, 2007 Memorandum received by this office on August 1, 2007. In the aforementioned memorandum, Fr. Grace provided information on the notification he received that Rev. Walter Turlo had 12-year-old stay with him alone in his apartment at Bishop Lyne Home on July 22<sup>nd</sup> and July 23<sup>rd</sup> [see file].



- I called and attempted to reach at the office [ I at the office
- I placed a call to the DCFS Hotline to report the matter involving Fr. Turlo and
  I spoke with DCFS Hotline operator Beverly
  Burmeister and informed her that I was calling to report an incident that involved a priest of our diocese who has been withdrawn from ministry through the "church process [canonical]" as a result of being found to have sexually abused at least one minor. I further explained that as per our policies and restrictions that Fr. Turlo has been placed under, he is not to be alone with any minor under the age of 18. I then stated that the reason for my call to the DCFS Hotline was

that the diocese has learned that Fr. Turlo had a 12-year-old boy stay overnight with him without any supervision, a direct violation of our policies. When asked by Ms. Burmeister, I provided Fr. Turlo's name, race, status, date of withdrawal from ministry, address for Bishop Lyne Home, and phone number. Also when asked, I provided the only known information on the he is reportedly 12 years old, and that his mother is name is When asked, I provided the information that had stayed overnight with Fr. Turlo in his apartment at Bishop Lyne Home on July 22<sup>nd</sup> and July 23<sup>rd</sup>. When asked, I told Ms. Burmeister that I did not know if parents knew of Fr. Turlo's restrictions as per the diocese. When asked how I knew of the matter, I stated that I had been notified by Rev. Edward Grace by phone and through his July 28, 2007 Memorandum. When asked, I told the DCFS worker that I had a call in to the Administrator of Bishop Lyne Home , but had not yet spoken with her. I provided Ms. Burmeister with the clarification that I have received no reports that there was any abuse of by Fr. Turlo on July 22<sup>nd</sup> and/or July 23<sup>rd</sup>, but that he had violated the diocesan protocols by having the minor stay overnight with him. Ms. Burmeister took my name and information and stated that she was not sure if DCFS would take the call [as a report] or not, because Fr. Turlo was not adjudicated through the courts and was not a sexual offender. However, Ms. Burmeister informed me that she would run this matter past her supervisor and call me back.

- I attempted to reach Cardinal George to inform him of this matter and steps that were being taken. When calling the Residence, I was informed that the Cardinal was out of town and would not return until Friday [August 10, 2007].
- In the Cardinal's absence, I attempted to reach Rev. John Canary, Vicar General, at his office. I learned through the greeting on Fr. Canary's phone that he was out of the office. I left a message stating that I was attempting to reach him and that I would try him on his cellular phone.
- I was able to reach Fr. Canary on his cellular phone and verbally provided him all of the aforementioned information. Prior to continuing, Fr. Canary confirmed with me that Fr. Turlo is withdrawn from ministry, that he is not assigned to a parish, and that he does not have faculties in the Archdiocese of Chicago. Fr. Canary then shared that Fr. Grace came to his office the first he [Fr. Grace] learned of this situation from Rev. John McNamara on July 27, 2007. As per Fr. Canary, he then asked Jimmy Lago, Chancellor to join their conversation on this matter. Fr. Canary stated that it was his understanding after meeting with Fr. Grace and Mr. Lago that Fr. Grace's next steps were to speak with Fr. McNamara, Fr. Turlo, and He added that it had been his recommendation that day that we [as a diocese] move toward Fr. Turlo resigning from the priesthood. While talking with Fr. Canary, it was understood that he had not had not yet seen Fr. Grace's July 28<sup>th</sup> Memorandum on this matter. I then read to Fr. Canary the July 28<sup>th</sup> Memorandum from the third paragraph

V

through the end [Fr. Grace's July 27<sup>th</sup> conversation with Fr. Turlo about this matter]. After listening to the Memorandum, Fr. Canary again expressed his concerns with Fr. Turlo and that it is his recommendation that the process is begun to move towards Fr. Turlo resigning from the priesthood. When asked if there is anything additional that he would like for me to do, Fr. Canary asked that I call Fr. Grace, update him on this matter, and ask for Fr. Grace's recommendation after speaking with Fr. Turlo about this. Fr. Canary asked that I keep him up to date on this matter over the next two days and provided the information that the Cardinal would be back in Chicago as of 12:00 pm on August 9<sup>th</sup>.

- I called and left a message for Fr. Grace to call me regarding Fr. Turlo as soon as he was able.
- . When asked, I received a return phone call from provided me with the information she knew of Fr. Turlo and overnights at Bishop Lyne Home. As per grant was Fr. McNamara [Fr. Turlo's on-site supervisor] had been out of the country and was scheduled to return on Monday, July 23, 2007. On July 23<sup>rd</sup> [prior to Fr. McNamara's return], received a phone call from Fr. Turlo and he informed her that was staying with him for a couple of days. told me that she did not feel comfortable with the situation [she did not say this to Fr. Turlo]. The next day [Tuesday, July 24th], Fr. McNamara called had stayed overnight with him. asked if she knew that told Fr. McNamara of her phone call from Fr. Turlo the day before. and Fr. McNamara agreed that they did not feel comfortable Both with the situation and it was agreed that he would call and notify Fr. Grace of the , she and Fr. McNamara discussed that they were matter. As per not certain if "not to be alone with anyone under the age of 18" included that the restriction includes all minors under the . I told . The same day [Tuesday, July age of 18, whether they are received a phone call from Fr. Turlo. As per Fr. Turlo said to her, "Fr. McNamara reported me." Fr. Turlo then , "Why didn't you just tell me you were uncomfortable said to 7?" corrected Fr. Turlo and told him that it was not her job to tell him what he could and could not do. As per she also reminded Fr. Turlo that he called to tell her that was staying with him, not to ask for permission. that when Fr. Turlo informed her that Fr. McNamara "...called and reported it [to Fr. Grace]...", he also commented "...it was probably good that he did..." Meaning, Fr. Turlo was telling that it was probably good that Fr.

	McNamara did call Fr. Grace to inform him of this matter. When asked for her impression of Fr. Turlo's statement to her [that it was "probably good"],
	expressed that he meant that, "we needed to protect ourselves."  When asked, identified the "we" as herself and Fr. McNamara.  then told me again that the night of the first phone call that she received from Fr. Turlo on July 23 <sup>rd</sup> , she felt that she should have called me.  When asked, stated that she cannot remember if she has talked to Fr. Grace about this or not.  Turlo on July 24 <sup>th</sup> , he remarked to her that it "was really stupid" that he had stay overnight with him. As per said that the men who reside at Bishop Lyne Home do have guests stay with them from time to time, but that usually they are [biological] brothers or something like that. I thanked for her assistance and told her all of the individuals at the diocese
	with whom I had discussed this matter. I told that I would keep her informed.
	I received a voice mail message from "Bev [Beverly Burmeister] with the DCFS Hotline" at 12:42 p.m. Ms. Burmeister stated that she did talk to an administrator about the matter and that DCFS "will take this [report] as a Risk of Sexual Harm on by Walter [Fr. Turlo]." As per Ms. Burmeister, this matter was being sent out to a local DCFS office in the county area where Fr. Turlo resides, "since we don't know where the child is" and they will do an investigation.
	I received a return phone call from Fr. Grace and I verbally provided him with all of the aforementioned information. When asked, Fr. Grace stated that he did not have any recommendation at this point on Fr. Turlo,
	also stated that he agrees with Fr. Canary that Fr. Turlo's actions/behaviors are putting the church at risk.
ļi	I spoke with Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board and provided him with a verbal update of all of the aforementioned information.
,	I called and attempted to reach Fr. Canary on his cellular phone. I left Fr. Canary a voice mail and informed him that DCFS had taken the Hotline Call as a "risk of sexual harm" by Fr. Turlo.
,	I called and again spoke with received that DCFS would be conducting an investigation into the matter. When asked, said that she was not familiar with DCFS and/or making a Hotline call. I provided with a verbal explanation of the process of making a Hotline call, and that the investigator[s] would be in contact with Fr. Turlo. When asked, I clarified for that she did not have any

	responsibility to inform and/or provide Fr. Turlo with any information on the DCFS involvement with this matter. It was agreed that if Fr. Turlo approached her with any questions, that she could refer him to me. expressed her appreciation for the information and said that she would call her Division Manager [ to inform her of this matter.
•	I called and spoke with Jimmy Lago to provide him with the update that DCFS had taken the Hotline call made and cited "risk of sexual harm" of Fr. Turlo.
•	
•	I received a voice mail message from Liz Kimble of DCFS in DuPage County.  Ms. Kimble noted that she was calling in regards to the matter concerning Fr.  Turlo and asked for the address of and any other information that I had on the child. She asked that I call her at
•	I returned Ms. Kimble's call and left a message on her voice mail. I stated that I had originally informed the DCFS Hotline operator that I did not have any other information on other than he is 12 years old and is I asked Ms. Kimble to call me with any other questions.
involv does h	I called and spoke with again to follow up with her on the DCFS ement with this matter. I informed that if the DCFS investigator appen to call her in regards to Fr. Turlo, to please cooperate with him/her and ad to any questions. Stated that she has not heard from DCFS, nor Turlo said anything to her about DCFS. It was agreed that if DCFS does contact, she would call and notify me.
Сс	Rev. John Canary, Vicar General Francis Cardinal George, O.M.I. Rev. Edward Grace, Vicar for Priests John O'Malley, Legal Services Rev. Daniel Smilanic, Cardinal's Delegate to the Review Board
BC	: Sim Serritella, Attorney

#### RECEIVED

AUG 0 1 2007

# ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

ARCHDIDCESE OF CHICAGO OFFICE FOR CHILD ABUSE INVESTIGATIONS AND REVIEW

Vicar for Priests



645 N. Michigan Ave. Suite 543 Chicago, Illinois 60611

> (312) 642-1837 Fax: (312) 642-4933

#### **MEMORANDUM**

File To: From: Ed Grace Walt Turlo Re:

CC: John Canary Jimmy Lago Leah McCluskey

Date: July 28, 2007

July 27, 2007, after a series of voice mail messages, I had a phone conversation with Fr. John McNamara, Fr Walt Turlo's monitor. Fr. McNamara seemed somewhat ill at ease and began the conversation by asking if the restriction on being alone with minors under the age of 18 included I informed him that it did indeed. John then told me that Walt Turlo had a 12 year old stay overnight with him in his room at the Bishop Lyne Residence on the nights of July 22nd and July 23rd. Walt had not requested prior permission for this from Fr McNamara who apparently had returned from vacation on July 23<sup>rd</sup>. Walt had apparently informed that the boy would be spending the night. I have not yet conferred with determine if or when she became aware of the child's presence. Fr. McNamara described both and himself as troubled by this occurrence. Walt had not received permission for the boy to stay with him from either Ms McCluskey or from me.

I informed Leah McCluskey, John Canary and Jimmy Lago of what I had been told. All, including myself, are concerned by this lack of good judgment on Walt's part. Fr Canary made the point that this sort of action puts at risk our attempt to protect children, the Church and even the removed priests themselves.

Late on the afternoon of July 27 <sup>th</sup> I made the boy, whose name is	telephone contact with Walt Turlo. He admitted that ieve, stayed with him for two nights. The reason for
this was that	
	Apparently they decided the best solution was for
him to stay with Walt, who took him arou	and to do 'kid things' on Monday. Walt made the
following responses to my questions:	
<ul> <li>Walt volunteered that</li> </ul>	slept on the couch not in Walt's bedroom

- He stated that he did not realize that the prohibition against being alone with minors applied to I regarded this as more of a hope than a firm belief.
- He did not inform Fr. McNamara before-hand because John was away on vacation and arrived home Monday,
- He said that he asked if it would be O.K. for not sure in what manner this question was put to or when she became aware that a minor was involved)
- Walt stated that he understood that I had made it abundantly clear that this was a very serious matter.
- Walt apologized, sincerely, I believe.

١

• In response to my question as to why he didn't ask permission from Ms McCluskey or myself, he repeated that he did not realize that the prohibition against being alone with minors included

In concluding my conversation with Walt I made the following points:

- The prohibition against being alone with minors includes
- Whenever he is seeking an exception to some matter in his protocol, he must ask either Ms McCluskey or the Vicar for Priests not Fr. McNamara or
- This is a very serious matter, his action violated the Diocesan attempts to be transparent in these matters,

I expect that this episode will require further attention.

 From:
 Leah McCluskey

 To:
 Grace, Edward

 Date:
 3/23/2006 9:34:57 AM

Subject: Re: Fwd:

Fr. Grace,

I just received a phone call from who listed the following concerns about Fr. Turlo and his continued involvement with St. Fabian parish:

- 1. Fr. Turlo is still present at the parish
- 2. Fr. Turlo is still going to the parish and contacting young boys of the parish
- 3. She has been on an e-mail list that Fr. Turlo has continued to send e-mails to parishioners of St. Fabian
- 4. She expressed her concerns that no one at St. Fabian's really knows the entire story around why Fr. Turlo resigned as pastor and left the parish; she had positive things to say about the new pastor, but feels that due to the lack of information provided to the staff about Fr. Turlo's situation surrounding his resignation, she is concerned that no one at the parish really knows if he should or should not be around the parish

I thanked for her phone call and explained that she should speak with you. When I asked, said that she would be more comfortable contacting you as opposed to giving me her contact information to pass along to you. I told that today is your off day and that you should be in the office tomorrow. She also agreed that I let you know that she would be calling you about her concerns about Fr. Turlo.

When I mentioned the Vicar for Priests' office, told me that she called "Fr. Kaczorowski" in the VP office when Fr. Turlo resigned and returned to the parish. As per Kaz "yelled" at her and she did not feel that it was a positive conversation. I told her that I could not speak for Kaz, but that you still would be the person that she needed to speak with.

Just wanted to give you a heads up--and to expect to hear from tomorrow.

Leah

>>> Edward Grace 03/21/06 6:38 PM >>> Leah.

I don't think that Turlo is supposed to be around the parish, but I will have to check. Please remind me.

Also, if you have not already left the office, though I hope you have, could you bring some copies of the new forms with you to Mundelein on Wednesday.

See you Wednesday at Stritch. I will try to get ther about 9:30.

Ed

>>> Leah McCluskey 3/21/2006 5:24:24 PM >>> Fr. Grace,

Just an FYI. Is Turlo supposed to be around the parish? I can't remember at this moment...

Leah

## ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO

Office for the Protection of Children and Youth Office of Assistance Ministry Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review Safe Environment Office



P. O. Box 1979 Chicago, Illinois 60690-1979 312-751-5254, general 312-751-8307, fax

January 23, 2008

RECEIVED

JAN 2 8 2008

Cardinal Francis George, O.M.I. Archbishop of Chicago 155 E. Superior Street Chicago, Illinois 60611

ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO OFFICE FOR CHILD ABUSE INVESTIGATIONS AND REVIEW

daterunts.

Dear Cardinal George,

Please be advised that the independent Review Board met on January 19, 2008 and conducted a Review for Cause of allegation of sexual misconduct against Rev. Walter Turlo pursuant to Article §1104.10 of the Review Process for Continuation of Ministry. Fr. Turlo is a withdrawn priest of the Archdiocese of Chicago.

The Board made the determination that in light of the information presented, there is not reason to suspect that the accused has engaged in sexual abuse of a minor.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at [312] 751-5205.

Sincerely,

Lean McCluskey

Director, Office for Child Abuse Investigations and Review

#### SUMMARY TIME LINE OF ALLEGATION

**ACCUSED** 

**ACCUSER** 

Name:

Rev. Walter Turlo

Address:

Wilmington, IL

Date of Birth:

7/23/44

Current age:

65

Name of civil attorney:

N/A

Date of Ordination [of accused]: 5/13/70

Location:

Mundelein

Age at ordination:

25

Assignment location of accused:

N/A

Status of accused:

Resigned

Name of canonical advocate:

N/A

Date allegation received:

6/22/05

Date allegation formalized: 7/11/05

Date of initial incident of alleged abuse: 1975

Date of last incident of alleged abuse:

Approximate number of incidents of alleged abuse: four

**Brief summary of alleged abuse:** smoking marijuana; four incidents of sexual abuse that included masturbation, proposition of anal sex, pornographic magazines

Brief summary and date of response from accused: 9/7/05 - Fr. Turlo denied the allegation

<b>Stage of disposition by Review Board:</b> 4/06 - not reasonable cause to s	uspect; 8/15/09
- request for Supplementary Review made by civil attorney	on behalf of his
client	

#### ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser:	
Date of birth:	
Current age: 48	
Name of civil attorney:	
Date allegation received: 3/31/04	
Date allegation formalized: 3/29/04 and 6	5/9/05
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:	1975
Date of last incident of alleged abuse:	1975
Approximate number of incidents of alleg	ged abuse: numerous
Brief summary of alleged abuse: massaging over same bed in hotel rooms [on vacation], William's rectory; one deliberate incident of touchi together at St. William's rectory	aunt's home in at St.
Brief summary and date of response from accuse allegation	ed: 9/7/05 - Fr. Turlo denied the
Stage of disposition by independent Review Boar Suspect with withdrawal and monitoring	rd: 3/06 - Reasonable Cause to
Additional allegations made by accuser: None	

#### ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST ACCUSED

Name of accuser:	
Date of birth:	
Current age: 33	
Name of civil attorney: N/A	
Date allegation received: 1/24/07	
Date allegation formalized: 2/1/07	
Date of initial incident of alleged abuse:	1977/1978
Date of last incident of alleged abuse:	1978/1978
Approximate number of incidents of alleg	ged abuse: one
Brief summary of alleged abuse: in 2004 report physical misconduct of a minor against Fr. Tattention that has an allegation of sexual one incident of fondling and intercourse  Brief summary and date of response from accuse allegation in its entirety	abuse of a minor against Fr. Turlo;
Stage of disposition by independent Review Boarsuspect	rd: 1/08 - not reasonable cause to
Additional allegations made by accuser: None	
Signature of Director: Hall Malle	Date: 8/5/07

#### Victim Statement Abstract

This abstract replaces the written statement made by Victim DN, providing additional information about her allegation of abuse by Rev. Walter Turlo. In the statement, Victim DN alleges that she was raped and physically assaulted by Fr. Turlo when she was between one and a half and three years old.



Cardinal Francis George, OMI 1555 N. State Parkway Chicago, Illinois, 60610

June 1, 2005

Dear Cardinal Francis,

I believe in the transforming power of our personal share in the dying and rising of Jesus. There have been a number of extraordinary instances of this in my own life over the years. I am keenly aware that this is one of those times.

I also know that the days ahead will be painful for my accuser, for yourself, for the people of St. Fabian, for other people I have served for over thirty-five years, for the Vicar for Priest's Office, for your staff, and lastly, for myself. However, I also believe the Spirit will be with each of us now in this time. That is and has been my prayer during all of these days.

For this reason, I am asking you to accept my resignation as pastor of St. Fabian Church. Thank you for all you do for the Archdiocese and for me. A copy of this letter has been given to Rev. Jim Kaczorowski, Vicar for Priests.

Sincerely,

Rev. Walter J. Turlo