NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ERIE

AB 128 DOE,

Plaintiff,

٧.

THE DIOCESE OF BUFFALO, N.Y. A/K/A DIOCESE OF BUFFALO; ASCENSION, A/K/A ASCENSION ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH, A/K/A ASCENSION PARISH, A/K/A ASCENSION ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH SOCIETY OF NORTH TONAWANDA; ST. JUDE THE APOSTLE, A/K/A ST. JUDE THE APOSTLE PARISH, A/K/A ST. JUDE THE APOSTLE CHURCH: SISTERS OF ST. JOSEPH OF CARONDELET, A/K/A SISTERS OF ST. JOSEPH OF CARONDELET, ALBANY PROVINCE A/K/A SOCIETY OF THE SISTERS OF ST. JOSEPH; and DOES 1-5 whose identities are unknown to Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Index No.

SUMMONS

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the Complaint, a copy of which is hereby served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to the Complaint upon the undersigned attorneys listed below within twenty (20) days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this Summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in the case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded herein.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

Dated: August 14, 2019

Stephen Boyd, Esq

STEVE BOYD, PC

40 North Forest Road

Williamsville, NY 14221

Telephone: (716) 400-0000 Sboyd@steveboyd.com

Sboyu@steveboyu.com

Jeffrey R. Anderson

J. Michael Reck

JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

52 Duane Street, 7th Floor

New York, NY 10007

Telephone: (646) 759-2551

jeff@andersonadvocates.com

mreck@andersonadvocates.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ERIE

AB 128 DOE,	Index No.
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
77	

THE DIOCESE OF BUFFALO, N.Y. A/K/A DIOCESE OF BUFFALO; ASCENSION, A/K/A ASCENSION ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH, A/K/A ASCENSION PARISH, A/K/A ASCENSION ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH SOCIETY OF NORTH TONAWANDA; ST. JUDE THE APOSTLE, A/K/A ST. JUDE THE APOSTLE PARISH, A/K/A ST. JUDE THE APOSTLE CHURCH; SISTERS OF ST. JOSEPH OF CARONDELET, A/K/A SISTERS OF ST. JOSEPH OF CARONDELET, A/K/A SISTERS OF ST. JOSEPH OF CARONDELET, ALBANY PROVINCE A/K/A SOCIETY OF THE SISTERS OF ST. JOSEPH; and DOES 1-5 whose identities are unknown to Plaintiff,

Defendants.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL¹

Plaintiff, by and through Plaintiff's attorneys, states and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. At all times material to this Complaint, Plaintiff resided in the State of New York.
- 2. Plaintiff brings this action under a pseudonym with leave of Court.
- 3. Whenever reference is made to any Defendant entity, such reference includes that entity, its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, and successors. In addition, whenever reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any entity, the allegation means that the entity engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, agents,

¹ Pursuant to §4 of the New York Child Victims Act, Plaintiff is entitled to a trial preference.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

employees, or representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction,

control, or transaction of the entity's business or affairs.

4. At all times material, Defendant The Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y. a/k/a Diocese of

Buffalo ("Diocese") was and continues to be an organization or entity which includes, but is not

limited to, civil corporations, decision making entities, officials, and employees, authorized to

conduct business and conducting business in the State of New York with its principal place of

business at 795 Main Street, Buffalo, NY 14203.

5. The Diocese of Buffalo was created in approximately 1847. Later, the Diocese

created a corporation called the Diocese of Buffalo to conduct some of its affairs. The Diocese

operates its affairs as both a corporate entity and as the organization known as Diocese of

Buffalo. The Diocese functions as a business by engaging in numerous revenue producing

activities and soliciting money from its members in exchange for its services.

6. The Diocese has several programs that seek out the participation of children,

including but not limited to schools and other educational programs. The Diocese, through its

officials, has complete control over those activities and programs involving children. The

Diocese has the power to appoint, train, supervise, monitor, remove and terminate each and

every person working with children within the Diocese.

7. At all times material, Defendant Ascension, a/k/a Ascension Roman Catholic

Church, a/k/a Ascension Parish, a/k/a Ascension Roman Catholic Church Society Of North

Tonawanda ("Ascension") was an organization authorized to conduct business in the State of

New York, with its principal place of business at 172 Robinson Street, North Tonawanda, New

York 14120. Ascension includes, but is not limited to, the Ascension corporation and any other

organizations and/or entities operating under the same or similar name with the same or similar

ERIE COUNTY CLERK 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

principal place of business.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

8. At all times material, Ascension was under the direct authority, control, and

province of Defendant Diocese and the Bishop of the Diocese of Buffalo. Defendant Ascension

includes any school affiliated with Ascension. At all times material, the parish was under the

direct authority, control, and province of Defendant Ascension and the Bishop of the Diocese of

Buffalo. At all times material, Defendants Ascension and Diocese owned, operated, managed,

maintained, and controlled the Ascension School.

9. At all times material, Defendant St. Jude the Apostle, a/k/a St. Jude the Apostle

Parish, a/k/a St. Jude the Apostle Church ("St. Jude the Apostle") was and continues to be an

organization authorized to conduct business and conducting business in the State of New York,

with its principal place of business at 800 Niagara Falls Boulevard, North Tonawanda, New

York 14120. Upon information and belief, Ascension was absorbed into St. Jude the Apostle in a

de facto merger or series of de facto mergers. Upon information and belief, St. Jude the Apostle

continued the missions and ministry of Ascension, and remained under the direct authority,

control and province of the Diocese of Buffalo and the Bishop of the Diocese of Buffalo after the

merger(s). Upon information and belief, Ascension ceased ordinary business operations as soon

as possible after the transaction(s), and St. Jude the Apostle assumed Ascension liabilities

ordinarily necessary for the uninterrupted continuation of Ascension operations and business

with a continuity of management, personnel, physical location and general business operation.

St. Jude the Apostle includes, but is not limited to, the parish corporation and any other

organizations and/or entities operating under the same or similar name with the same or similar

principal place of business.

At all times material, St. Jude the Apostle was under the direct authority, control, 10.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

and province of Defendant Diocese and the Bishop of the Diocese of Buffalo. Defendant St. Jude the Apostle includes any school affiliated with St. Jude the Apostle. At all times material, the parish was under the direct authority, control, and province of Defendant St. Jude the Apostle

and the Bishop of the Diocese of Buffalo. At all times material, Defendants St. Jude the Apostle

and Diocese owned, operated, managed, maintained, and controlled the St. Jude the Apostle.

11. For purposes of this Complaint, Defendants Ascension and St. Jude the Apostle

are referred to collectively as "Parish."

12. At all times material, Defendant Sisters of St. Joseph of Carondelet, a/k/a Sisters

of St. Joseph of Carondelet, Albany Province a/k/a Society of the Sisters of St. Joseph ("Sisters

of St. Joseph") was and is a Roman Catholic religious order of women with its principal places

of business located at 10777 Sunset Office Drive, Suite 10, Saint Louis, MO 63127 and 385

Watervliet-Shaker Road, Latham, New York 12110.

13. The Sisters of St. Joseph were and continue to be an organization or entity which

includes, but is not limited to, civil corporations, decision making entities, officials, and

employees, authorized to conduct business and conducting business in the State of New York.

The Sisters of St. Joseph and its agents and employees were and continue to be responsible for

the selection and assignment of personnel, supervision of personal activities, the exercise of

authority over carious members of its religious order, and the maintenance of the well-being of

its members attending schools and parishes which are staffed and/or operated by the Sisters of St.

Joseph. The Mother Superior is the top official of the Sisters of St. Joseph and is given authority

over all matters dealing with the Sisters of St. Joseph as a result of her position. The Sisters of

St. Joseph function as a business by engaging in numerous revenue producing activities and

soliciting money in exchange for its services.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

14. The Sisters of St. Joseph have several programs which seek out the participation

of children in the Sisters of St. Joseph's activities. The Sisters of St. Joseph, through its officials,

have control over those activities involving children. The Sisters of St. Joseph have the power to

appoint, supervise, monitor, and fire each person working with children within the Sisters of St.

Joseph.

15. Defendants Does 1 through 5 are unknown agents whose identities will be

provided when they become known pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 1024.

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

16. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 301 as Defendant Diocese of

Buffalo principal place of business is in New York and because the unlawful conduct

complained of herein occurred in New York.

17. Venue is proper pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 503 in that Erie County is the principal

place of business of Defendant Diocese. In addition, many of the events giving rise to this action

occurred in Erie County.

FACTS

18. At all times material, Sister Claudia, CSJ ("Sr. Claudia") was a Roman Catholic

nun employed by the Diocese of Buffalo and Ascension and the Sisters of St. Joseph. Sr. Claudia

remained under the direct supervision, employ, and control of Defendants.

19. Defendants placed Sr. Claudia in positions where she had access to and worked

with children as an integral part of her work.

20. Defendants held their leaders and agents out as people of high morals, as

possessing immense power, teaching families and children to obey these leaders and agents,

teaching families and children to respect and revere these leaders and agents, soliciting youth and

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

families to their programs, marketing to youth and families, recruiting youth and families, and holding out the people that worked in the programs as safe.

21. Plaintiff was raised in a devout Roman Catholic family and attended Ascension in

North Towanda, in the Diocese of Buffalo. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family came in contact with

Sr. Claudia as an agent and representative of Defendants, and at Ascension.

22. Plaintiff participated in youth activities and/or church activities at Ascension.

Plaintiff, therefore, developed great admiration, trust, reverence, and respect for the Roman

Catholic Church, including Defendants and their agents, including Sr. Claudia.

23. During and through these activities, Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was

dependent on Defendants and Sr. Claudia. Defendants had custody of Plaintiff and accepted the

entrustment of Plaintiff and, therefore, had responsibility for Plaintiff and authority over

Plaintiff.

24. From approximately 1956 to 1958, when Plaintiff was approximately 6 to 7 years

old, Sr. Claudia engaged in unpermitted sexual contact with Plaintiff.

25. Plaintiff's relationship to Defendants and Sr. Claudia, as a vulnerable child,

parishioner, student and participant in church activities, was one in which Plaintiff was subject to

the ongoing influence of Defendants and Sr. Claudia.

26. The culture of the Catholic Church over Plaintiff created pressure on Plaintiff not

to report the abuse Plaintiff suffered.

27. Defendants knew or should have known that Sr. Claudia was a danger to children

before Sr. Claudia sexually assaulted Plaintiff.

28. Prior to the sexual abuse of Plaintiff, Defendants learned or should have learned

that Sr. Claudia was not fit to work with children. Defendants, by and through their agents,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

servants and/or employees, became aware, or should have become aware of Sr. Claudia's

propensity to commit sexual abuse and of the risk to Plaintiff's safety. At the very least,

Defendants knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient information about

whether or not their leaders and people working at Catholic institutions within the Diocese were

safe.

29. Defendants knew or should have known that there was a risk of child sex abuse

for children participating in Catholic programs and activities within the Diocese. At the very

least, Defendants knew or should have known that they did not have sufficient information about

whether or not there was a risk of child sex abuse for children participating in Catholic programs

and activities within the Diocese.

30. Defendants knew or should have known that Defendants had numerous agents

who had sexually molested children. Defendants knew or should have known that child

molesters have a high rate of recidivism. Defendants knew or should have known that some of

the leaders and people working in Catholic institutions within the Diocese were not safe and that

there was a specific danger of child sex abuse for children participating in their youth programs.

31. Instead, Defendants negligently deemed that Sr. Claudia was fit to work with

children and/or that any previous problems were fixed or cured and/or that Sr. Claudia would not

sexually assault children and/or that Sr. Claudia would not injure children.

32. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because they had superior

knowledge about the risk that Sr. Claudia posed to Plaintiff, the risk of abuse in general in their

programs and/or the risks that their facilities posed to minor children.

33. Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiff to protect Plaintiff from harm because

Defendants' actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff. As a vulnerable child

7

9 of 17

ERIE COUNTY CLERK 08/14/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

participating in the programs and activities Defendants offered to minors, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim. As a vulnerable child who Sr. Claudia had access to through Defendants' facilities and programs, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim.

- 34. Defendants also breached their duty to Plaintiff by actively maintaining and employing Sr. Claudia in a position of power and authority through which Sr. Claudia had access to children, including Plaintiff, and power and control over children, including Plaintiff.
- 35. Each Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff. Defendants failed to use ordinary care in determining whether their facilities were safe and/or determining whether they had sufficient information to represent their facilities as safe. Defendants' breach of their duties include, but are not limited to: failure to protect Plaintiff from a known danger, failure to have sufficient policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse, failure to properly implement policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to make sure that policies and procedures to prevent child sex abuse were working, failure to adequately inform families and children of the risks of child sex abuse, failure to investigate risks of child sex abuse, failure to properly train the employees at institutions and programs within Defendants' geographical confines, failure to train parishioners within Defendants' geographical confines about the risk of sexual abuse; failure to have any outside agency test their safety procedures, failure to protect the children in their programs from child sex abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of care for child safety, failure to investigate the amount and type of information necessary to represent the institutions, programs, leaders and people as safe, failure to train their employees properly to identify signs of child sexual abuse by fellow employees, failure by relying upon mental health professionals, and/or failure by relying on people who claimed that they could treat child molesters.

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

36. Defendants also breached their duty to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff and

Plaintiff's family of the risk that Sr. Claudia posed and the risks of child sexual abuse in Catholic

institutions. They also failed to warn them about any of the knowledge that Defendants had about

child sexual abuse.

37. Defendants additionally violated a legal duty by failing to report known and/or

suspected abuse of children by Sr. Claudia and/or its other agents to the police and law

enforcement.

38. Defendants were negligent and/or made representations to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's

family during each and every year of Plaintiff's minority.

39. As a direct result of Defendants' negligence as described herein, Plaintiff has

suffered, and will continue to suffer, great pain of mind and body, severe and permanent

emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-

esteem, humiliation, physical, personal and psychological injuries. Plaintiff was prevented, and

will continue to be prevented, from performing normal daily activities and obtaining the full

enjoyment of life; and/or has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for psychological

treatment, therapy, and counseling, and, on information and belief has and/or will incur loss of

income and/or loss of earning capacity.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: **NEGLIGENCE**

Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set 40.

forth under this count.

Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care to protect the Plaintiff 41.

from injury.

Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because each Defendant 42.

ERIE COUNTY CLERK 08/14/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

had a special relationship with Plaintiff.

43. Each Defendant also had a duty arising from their special relationship with

Plaintiff's parents, and other parents of young, vulnerable children, to properly train

and supervise its employees and religious sisters. The special relationship arose because of the

high degree of vulnerability of the children entrusted to Defendants' care. As a result of the high

degree of vulnerability and risk of sexual abuse inherent in such a special relationship,

Defendants had a duty to establish measures of protection not necessary for persons who are

older or better able to safeguard themselves.

44. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because each

Defendant had a special relationship with Sr. Claudia.

45. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because each Defendant

solicited youth and parents for participation in its youth programs; encouraged youth and parents

to have the youth participate in their programs; undertook custody of minor children, including

Plaintiff; promoted their facilities and programs as being safe for children; held their agents,

including Sr. Claudia, out as safe to work with children; encouraged parents and children to

spend time with their agents; and/or encouraged their agents, including Sr. Claudia, to spend

time with, interact with, and recruit children.

46. By holding Sr. Claudia out as safe to work with children, and by undertaking the

custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff, each Defendant entered into a

fiduciary relationship with the minor Plaintiff. As a result of Plaintiff being a minor, and by

Defendants undertaking the care and guidance of the then vulnerable minor Plaintiff, each

Defendant held a position of empowerment over Plaintiff.

47. Further, Defendants, by holding themselves out as being able to provide a safe

10

12 of 17

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

environment for children, solicited and/or accepted this position of empowerment. Defendants

thus entered into a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff. Defendants exploited their position of

empowerment, putting Plaintiff at risk to be sexually assaulted.

48. By accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff, each Defendant established an in loco

parentis relationship with Plaintiff and in so doing, owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from

injury.

49. By establishing and/or operating the Diocese of Buffalo and Ascension, accepting

the minor Plaintiff as a participant in their programs, holding their facilities and programs out to

be a safe environment for Plaintiff, accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff in loco parentis, and

by establishing a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff, each Defendant entered into an express

and/or implied duty to properly supervise Plaintiff and provide a reasonably safe environment for

children, who participated in their programs. Defendants also owed Plaintiff a duty to properly

supervise Plaintiff to prevent harm from foreseeable dangers. Defendants had the duty to

exercise the same degree of care over young parishioners under their control as a reasonably

prudent person would have exercised under similar circumstances.

50. By establishing and operating the Diocese of Buffalo and Ascension, which

offered educational programs to children and which may have included a school, and by

accepting the enrollment and participation of the minor Plaintiff as a participant in those

educational programs, Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty to properly supervise Plaintiff to prevent

harm from generally foreseeable dangers.

51. Each Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because

Defendants invited Plaintiff onto their property and Sr. Claudia posed a dangerous condition on

Defendants' property.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

52. Each Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff by failing to use reasonable care.

Each Defendant's failures include, but are not limited to, failing to properly supervise Sr.

Claudia, failing to properly supervise Plaintiff and failing to protect Plaintiff from a known

danger.

53. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and

psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT TRAINING AND SUPERVISION

54. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set

forth under this count.

55. At all times material, Sr. Claudia was employed by Defendants and was under

each Defendant's direct supervision, employ, and control when she committed the wrongful acts

alleged herein. Sr. Claudia engaged in the wrongful conduct while acting in the course and scope

of her employment with Defendants and/or accomplished the sexual abuse by virtue of her job-

created authority.

56. Defendants had a duty, arising from their employment of Sr. Claudia, to ensure

that Sr. Claudia did not sexually molest children.

57. Further, Defendants had a duty to train and educate employees and administrators

and establish adequate and effective policies and procedures calculated to detect, prevent, and

address inappropriate behavior and conduct between clerics and children.

58. Defendants were negligent in the training, supervision, and instruction of their

employees. Defendants failed to timely and properly educate, train, supervise, and/or monitor

their agents or employees with regard to policies and procedures that should be followed when

sexual abuse of a child is suspected or observed.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

59. Defendants were additionally negligent in failing to supervise, monitor, chaperone, and/or investigate Sr. Claudia and/or in failing to create, institute, and/or enforce rules, policies, procedures, and/or regulations to prevent Sr. Claudia's sexual abuse of Plaintiff.

- 60. In failing to properly supervise Sr. Claudia, and in failing to establish such training procedures for employees and administrators, Defendants failed to exercise the care that a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar circumstances.
- 61. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT RETENTION

- Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set 62. forth under this count.
- 63. Defendants became aware or should have become aware of Sr. Claudia's propensity for child sexual abuse, and failed to take any further action to remedy the problem and failed to investigate or remove Sr. Claudia from working with children.
- 64. Defendants negligently and/or recklessly retained Sr. Claudia with knowledge of Sr. Claudia's propensity for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries in this action.
- 65. Defendants negligently and/or recklessly retained Sr. Claudia in a position where she had access to children and could foreseeably cause harm which Plaintiff would not have been subjected to had Defendants acted reasonably.
- In failing to timely remove Sr. Claudia from working with children or terminate 66. the employment of Sr. Claudia, Defendants negligently and/or recklessly failed to exercise the degree of care that a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

circumstances.

67. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 810258/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing causes of action, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants in an amount that will fully and fairly compensate Plaintiff for Plaintiff's injuries and damages, and for any other relief the Court deems appropriate. The amount of damages sought in this Complaint exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

DATED: August 14, 2019

Stephen Boyd, Esq.

STEVE BOYD, PC

40 North Forest Road

Williamsville, NY 14221

Telephone: (716) 400-0000

Sboyd@steveboyd.com

Jeffrey R. Anderson
J. Michael Reck

JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
52 Duane Street, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10007
Telephone: (646) 759-2551
jeff@andersonadvocates.com
mreck@andersonadvocates.com

Counsel for Plaintiff