FILED: MONROE CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

MONROE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

INDEX NO. E2021007090

THIS IS NOT A BILL. THIS IS YOUR RECEIPT.

Receipt #

Book Page

Return To:

JEFFREY ROBERT ANDERSON

No. Pages: 15

Instrument: EFILING INDEX NUMBER

Control #: Unrecorded #8604894 Index #: Unassigned-1483560

Date:

Time: DOE, AB 698

BLESSED SACRAMENT DOES 1-5

Total Fees Paid: \$0.00

Employee:

State of New York

MONROE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE WARNING - THIS SHEET CONSTITUTES THE CLERKS ENDORSEMENT, REQUIRED BY SECTION 317-a(5) & SECTION 319 OF THE REAL PROPERTY LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK. DO NOT DETACH OR REMOVE.

JAMIE ROMEO

MONROE COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF MONROE

AB 698 DOE,

Index No.

Plaintiff,

SUMMONS

v.

BLESSED SACRAMENT A/K/A SOUTHEAST ROCHESTER CATHOLIC COMMUNITY; and DOES 1-5 whose identities are unknown to Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Date Index No. Purchased: July 31, 2021

TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANTS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the Complaint, a copy of which is hereby served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to the Complaint upon the undersigned attorneys listed below within twenty (20) days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this Summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in the case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded herein.

The basis of venue is the principal place of business of Defendant Blessed Sacrament a/k/a Southeast Rochester Catholic Community, which is 534 Oxford Street, Rochester, New York 14607.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

Dated: July 31, 2021

/s/ Jeffrey R. Anderson

Jeffrey R. Anderson Michael G. Finnegan

JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

55 West 39th Street, 11th Floor New York, NY 10018 Telephone: (646) 759-2551 jeff@andersonadvocates.com mike@andersonadvocates.com

Stephen Boyd, Esq. STEVE BOYD, PC 40 North Forest Road Williamsville, NY 14221 Telephone: (716) 400-0000 Sboyd@steveboyd.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF MONROE**

AB 698 DOE,	Index No.
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
v.	
BLESSED SACRAMENT A/K/A	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL ¹

SOUTHEAST ROCHESTER CATHOLIC COMMUNITY; and DOES 1-5 whose identities are unknown to Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, by and through Plaintiff's attorneys, states and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. At all times material to this Complaint, Plaintiff resided in the State of New York.
- 2. Plaintiff brings this action under a pseudonym with leave of Court.
- 3. At all times material, Plaintiff was a minor under 18 years of age when the sexual abuse occurred.
- 4. This action is brought pursuant to the New York Child Victims Act, CPLR § 214g. The conduct at issue constituted sexual offense against a minor in violation of a section within Article 130 and/or § 263.05 of the New York Penal Law, or a predecessor statute that prohibited such conduct at the time of the act, and resulted in physical, psychological, and emotional injuries. As a civil cause of action was previously time-barred prior to August 14, 2019, the terms of the Child Victims Act, CPLR § 214-g, revive the claims set forth below.

¹ Pursuant to §4 of the New York Child Victims Act, Plaintiff is entitled to a trial preference.

07/31/2021 COUNTY

CLERK

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

5. Whenever reference is made to any Defendant entity, such reference includes that

entity, its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, and successors. In addition,

whenever reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any entity, the allegation means that

the entity engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, agents,

employees, or representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction,

control, or transaction of the entity's business or affairs.

6. At all times material, Defendant Blessed Sacrament a/k/a Southeast Rochester

Catholic Community ("Blessed Sacrament") was and continues to be an organization authorized

to conduct business and conducting business in the State of New York, with its principal place of

business at 534 Oxford Street, Rochester, NY 14607. Blessed Sacrament includes, but is not

limited to, the Blessed Sacrament corporation and any other organizations and/or entities operating

under the same or similar name with the same or similar principal place of business.

7. At all times material, Blessed Sacrament was and continues to be under the direct

authority, control, and province of the Diocese of Rochester, New York ("Diocese") and the

Bishop of the Diocese. Defendant Blessed Sacrament includes any school affiliated with Blessed

Sacrament. At all times material, Blessed Sacrament School was under the direct authority, control,

and province of Defendant Blessed Sacrament and the Bishop of the Diocese. At all times material,

Defendant Blessed Sacrament and Diocese owned, operated, managed, maintained, and controlled

Blessed Sacrament School.

8. Defendants Does 1 through 5 are unknown agents whose identities will be provided

when they become known pursuant to CPLR § 1024.

JURISDICTION

9. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to CPLR § 301 as Defendant's principal place

COUNTY

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

of business is in New York and because the unlawful conduct complained of herein occurred in

New York.

10. Venue is proper pursuant to CPLR § 503 in that Monroe County is the principal

place of business of Defendant Blessed Sacrament. In addition, many of the events giving rise to

this action occurred in Monroe County.

FACTS

At all times material, Father Paul I. Cloonan ("Fr. Cloonan") was a Roman Catholic 11.

cleric employed by the Diocese and Blessed Sacrament ("Defendant"). Fr. Cloonan remained

under the direct supervision, employ, and control of Defendant and Diocese.

12. Defendant and Diocese placed Fr. Cloonan in positions where he had access to and

worked with children as an integral part of his work.

13. Defendant held its leaders and agents out as people of high morals, as possessing

immense power, teaching families and children to obey these leaders and agents, teaching families

and children to respect and revere these leaders and agents, soliciting youth and families to its

programs, marketing to youth and families, recruiting youth and families, and holding out the

people that worked in the programs as safe.

Plaintiff was raised in a devout Roman Catholic family and attended Blessed 14.

Sacrament in Rochester, New York in the Diocese of Rochester. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family

came in contact with Fr. Cloonan as an agent and representative of Defendant and Diocese, and at

Blessed Sacrament.

Plaintiff was an altar server and participated in youth activities and/or church 15.

activities at Blessed Sacrament. Plaintiff, therefore, developed great admiration, trust, reverence,

and respect for the Roman Catholic Church, including Defendant and its agents, including Fr.

3

6 of 15

07/31/2021 COUNTY CLERK

DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

INDEX NO. E2021007090

Cloonan.

16. During and through these activities, Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was

dependent on Defendant and Fr. Cloonan. Defendant had custody of Plaintiff and accepted the

entrustment of Plaintiff and, therefore, had responsibility for Plaintiff and authority over Plaintiff.

17. From approximately 1961 to 1962, when Plaintiff was approximately 13 to 14 years

old, Fr. Cloonan engaged in unpermitted sexual contact with Plaintiff in violation of at least one

section of New York Penal Law Article 130 and/or § 263.05, or a predecessor statute that

prohibited such conduct at the time of the abuse.

18. Plaintiff's relationship to Defendant and Fr. Cloonan, as a vulnerable child,

Catholic parishioner, altar server, and participant in church activities, was one in which Plaintiff

was subject to the ongoing influence of Defendant and Fr. Cloonan.

19. The culture of the Catholic Church over Plaintiff created pressure on Plaintiff not

to report the abuse Plaintiff suffered.

20. Defendant knew or should have known that Fr. Cloonan was a danger to children

before Fr. Cloonan sexually assaulted Plaintiff.

21. Prior to the sexual abuse of Plaintiff, Defendant learned or should have learned that

Fr. Cloonan was not fit to work with children. Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and/or

employees, became aware, or should have become aware of Fr. Cloonan's propensity to commit

sexual abuse and of the risk to Plaintiff's safety. At the very least, Defendant knew or should have

known that it did not have sufficient information about whether or not its leaders and people

working at Catholic institutions within the Diocese were safe.

22. Defendant knew or should have known that there was a risk of child sexual abuse

for children participating in Catholic programs and activities within the Diocese. At the very least,

ATTICCHE DOC NO 1

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

Defendant knew or should have known that it did not have sufficient information about whether

or not there was a risk of child sexual abuse for children participating in Catholic programs and

activities within the Diocese.

23. Defendant knew or should have known that Defendant and Diocese had numerous

agents who had sexually molested children. Defendant knew or should have known that child

molesters have a high rate of recidivism. Defendant knew or should have known that some of the

leaders and people working in Catholic institutions within the Diocese were not safe and that there

was a specific danger of child sexual abuse for children participating in its youth programs.

24. Instead, Defendant negligently deemed that Fr. Cloonan was fit to work with

children and/or that any previous problems were fixed or cured and/or that Fr. Cloonan would not

sexually assault children and/or that Fr. Cloonan would not injure children.

25. Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because it had superior

knowledge about the risk that Fr. Cloonan posed to Plaintiff, the risk of abuse in general in its

programs and/or the risks that its facilities posed to minor children.

26. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff to protect Plaintiff from harm because

Defendant's actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff. As a vulnerable child

participating in the programs and activities Defendant offered to minors, Plaintiff was a

foreseeable victim. As a vulnerable child who Fr. Cloonan had access to through Defendant's

facilities and programs, Plaintiff was a foreseeable victim.

27. Defendant also breached its duty to Plaintiff by actively maintaining and employing

Fr. Cloonan in a position of power and authority through which Fr. Cloonan had access to children,

including Plaintiff, and power and control over children, including Plaintiff.

28. Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff. Defendant failed to use ordinary care in

5

8 of 15

FIDED: MONKOE COUNTI CHERR 07/31/202

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

determining whether its facilities were safe and/or determining whether it had sufficient

information to represent its facilities as safe. Defendant's breach of its duties include, but are not

limited to: failure to protect Plaintiff from a known danger, failure to have sufficient policies and

procedures to prevent child sexual abuse, failure to properly implement policies and procedures to

prevent child sexual abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to make sure that policies and

procedures to prevent child sexual abuse were working, failure to adequately inform families and

children of the risks of child sexual abuse, failure to investigate risks of child sexual abuse, failure

to properly train the employees at institutions and programs within Defendant's geographical

confines, failure to train parishioners within Defendant's geographical confines about the risk of

sexual abuse, failure to have any outside agency test its safety procedures, failure to protect the

children in its programs from child sexual abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of

care for child safety, failure to investigate the amount and type of information necessary to

represent the institutions, programs, leaders and people as safe, failure to train its employees

properly to identify signs of child sexual abuse by fellow employees, failure by relying upon

mental health professionals, and/or failure by relying on people who claimed that they could treat

child molesters.

29. Defendant also breached its duty to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff and

Plaintiff's family of the risk that Fr. Cloonan posed and the risks of child sexual abuse in Catholic

institutions. Defendant also failed to warn them about any of the knowledge that Defendant had

about child sexual abuse.

30. Defendant additionally violated a legal duty by failing to report known and/or

suspected abuse of children by Fr. Cloonan and/or its other agents to the police and law

enforcement.

6

9 of 15

COUNTY CLERK 07/31/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

INDEX NO. E2021007090

31. Defendant was negligent and/or made representations to Plaintiff's

family during each and every year of Plaintiff's minority.

32. As a direct result of Defendant's negligence as described herein, Plaintiff has

suffered, and will continue to suffer, great pain of mind and body, severe and permanent emotional

distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem,

humiliation, physical, personal and psychological injuries. Plaintiff was prevented, and will

continue to be prevented, from performing normal daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment

of life; and/or has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for psychological treatment,

therapy, and counseling, and, on information and belief has and/or will incur loss of income and/or

loss of earning capacity.

33. The limitations of Article 16 of the CPLR do not apply because one or more of the

exceptions set forth in CPLR 1601 and/or 1602 apply.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE

34. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth

under this count.

35. Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care to protect Plaintiff from injury.

Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because Defendant had a special 36.

relationship with Plaintiff.

37. Defendant also had a duty arising from its special relationship with Plaintiff,

Plaintiff's parents, and other parents of young, vulnerable children, to properly train and supervise

its clerics, agents, and employees. The special relationship arose because of the high degree of

vulnerability of the children entrusted to Defendant's care. As a result of the high degree of

vulnerability and risk of sexual abuse inherent in such a special relationship, Defendant had a duty

07/31/2021 COUNTY CLERK

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

to establish measures of protection not necessary for persons who are older or better able to

safeguard themselves.

38. Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because Defendant

had a special relationship with Fr. Cloonan.

39. Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to control the conduct of Fr. Cloonan because

Defendant had complete ability to control Fr. Cloonan's access to children like Plaintiff to prevent

the foreseeable harms associated with childhood sexual abuse, giving rise to a special relationship

with Fr. Cloonan and a duty to control Fr. Cloonan's conduct.

40. Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because Defendant solicited

youth and parents for participation in its youth programs; encouraged youth and parents to have

the youth participate in their programs; undertook custody of minor children, including Plaintiff;

promoted their facilities and programs as being safe for children; held its agents, including Fr.

Cloonan, out as safe to work with children; encouraged parents and children to spend time with its

agents; and/or encouraged its agents, including Fr. Cloonan, to spend time with, interact with, and

recruit children.

41. By holding Fr. Cloonan out as safe to work with children, and by undertaking the

custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff, Defendant entered into a fiduciary

relationship with the minor Plaintiff. As a result of Plaintiff being a minor, and by Defendant

undertaking the care and guidance of the then vulnerable minor Plaintiff, Defendant held a position

of empowerment over Plaintiff.

Further, Defendant, by holding itself out as being able to provide a safe 42.

environment for children, solicited and/or accepted this position of empowerment. Defendant thus

entered into a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff. Defendant exploited its position of

07/31/2021 COUNTY CLERK

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

empowerment, putting Plaintiff at risk to be sexually assaulted.

43. By accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff, Defendant established an in loco

parentis relationship with Plaintiff and in so doing, owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from

injury.

44. By establishing and/or operating Blessed Sacrament, accepting the minor Plaintiff

as a participant in its programs, holding its facilities and programs out to be a safe environment for

Plaintiff, accepting custody of the minor Plaintiff in loco parentis, and by establishing a fiduciary

relationship with Plaintiff, Defendant entered into an express and/or implied duty to properly

supervise Plaintiff and provide a reasonably safe environment for children, who participated in its

programs. Defendant also owed Plaintiff a duty to properly supervise Plaintiff to prevent harm

from foreseeable dangers. Defendant had the duty to exercise the same degree of care over young

parishioners under its control as a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar

circumstances.

By establishing and operating Blessed Sacrament, which offered educational 45.

programs to children, and which may have included a school, and by accepting the enrollment and

participation of the minor Plaintiff as a participant in those educational programs, Defendant owed

Plaintiff a duty to properly supervise Plaintiff to prevent harm from generally foreseeable dangers.

46. Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because Defendant

invited Plaintiff onto its property and Fr. Cloonan posed a dangerous condition on Defendant's

property.

47. Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff by failing to use reasonable care.

Defendant's failures include, but are not limited to, failing to properly supervise Fr. Cloonan,

failing to properly supervise Plaintiff and failing to protect Plaintiff from a known danger.

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

48. As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT TRAINING AND SUPERVISION OF EMPLOYEES

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth under this count.
- 50. At all times material, Fr. Cloonan was employed by Defendant and was under Defendant's direct supervision, employ, and control when he committed the wrongful acts alleged herein. Fr. Cloonan engaged in the wrongful conduct while acting in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant and/or accomplished the sexual abuse by virtue of his job-created authority.
- 51. Defendant had a duty, arising from its employment of Fr. Cloonan, to ensure that Fr. Cloonan did not sexually molest children.
- 52. Further, Defendant owed a duty to train and educate employees and administrators and establish adequate and effective policies and procedures calculated to detect, prevent, and address inappropriate behavior and conduct between clerics and agents and children.
- 53. The abuse complained of herein occurred on Defendant's property and/or with the use of its chattels.
- Defendant was negligent in the training, supervision, and instruction of its 54. employees. Defendant failed to timely and properly educate, train, supervise, and/or monitor its agents or employees with regard to policies and procedures that should be followed when sexual abuse of a child is suspected or observed.
- Defendant was additionally negligent in failing to supervise, monitor, chaperone, 55. and/or investigate Fr. Cloonan and/or in failing to create, institute, and/or enforce rules, policies,

07/31/2021 COUNTY CLERK

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

procedures, and/or regulations to prevent Fr. Cloonan's sexual abuse of Plaintiff.

In failing to properly supervise Fr. Cloonan, and in failing to establish such training 56. procedures for employees and administrators, Defendant failed to exercise the care that a

reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar circumstances.

As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and 57.

psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT RETENTION OF EMPLOYEES

58. Plaintiff incorporates all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth

under this count.

59. Defendant became aware or should have become aware of Fr. Cloonan's propensity

for child sexual abuse, and failed to take any further action to remedy the problem and failed to

investigate or remove Fr. Cloonan from working with children.

60. Defendant negligently and/or recklessly retained Fr. Cloonan with knowledge of

Fr. Cloonan's propensity for the type of behavior which resulted in Plaintiff's injuries in this

action.

61. Defendant negligently and/or recklessly retained Fr. Cloonan in a position where

Fr. Cloonan had access to children and could foreseeably cause harm which Plaintiff would not

have been subjected to had Defendant acted reasonably.

62. In failing to timely remove Fr. Cloonan from working with children or terminate

the employment of Fr. Cloonan, Defendant negligently and/or recklessly failed to exercise the

degree of care that a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under similar circumstances.

As a direct result of the foregoing, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, and 63.

psychological injuries, along with pain and suffering.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. E2021007090

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/31/2021

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing causes of action, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant in an amount that will fully and fairly compensate Plaintiff for Plaintiff's injuries and damages and for any other relief the Court deems appropriate. The amount of damages sought in this Complaint exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable. Pursuant to §4 of the New York Child Victims Act, Plaintiff is entitled to a trial preference.

Dated: July 31, 2021

/s/ Jeffrey R. Anderson

Jeffrey R. Anderson Michael G. Finnegan

JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

55 West 39th Street, 11th Floor New York, NY 10018 Telephone: (646) 759-2551 jeff@andersonadvocates.com mike@andersonadvocates.com

Stephen Boyd, Esq.
STEVE BOYD, PC
40 North Forest Road
Williamsville, NY 14221
Telephone: (716) 400-0000
Sboyd@steveboyd.com

Counsel for Plaintiff