JANE DOE 208,

Plaintiff,

vs.

D-307-CV-2025-01895 Martin, James T.

CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF EL PASO, and OUR LADY OF HEALTH PARISH, INC.,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES ARISING FROM CHILDHOOD SEXUAL ABUSE

Plaintiff Jane Doe 208 was sexually abused as a young child by a Catholic priest named Fr. Joaquin Resma at Our Lady of Health Parish in Las Cruces, New Mexico. Fr. Resma expressly leveraged the power of his position to abuse Plaintiff.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

1. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendant Catholic Diocese of El Paso (or "CDEP") was a Texas corporation doing business in Dona Ana County, New Mexico. CDEP may be served with process by serving its registered agent, or any other authorized officer or agent therein at 499 Saint Matthews St., El Paso TX, 79907-4214.

2. Defendant Our Lady of Health Parish, Inc. (or "the Parish") is a corporation with its primary place of business located in Dona Ana County, New Mexico. The Parish may be served with process by serving its registered agent, Kathryn Brack Morrow, or any other authorized officer or agent therein at 1730 Tierra de Mesilla, Ste. 4, Las Cruces, NM 88001.

3. The sexual abuse of Plaintiff occurred in Dona Ana County, New Mexico.

4. Prior to its separate incorporation under New Mexico civil law, Our Lady of Health Parish was considered a parish under Catholic Canon Law.

5. Defendant Our Lady of Health Parish, Inc., is the successor in interest to Our Lady of Health Parish, and Our Lady of Health Parish is the predecessor in interest to Defendant Our Lady of Health Parish, Inc.

6. At the time he was sexually abused and assaulted by Fr. Joaquin Resma as alleged herein, Plaintiff resided in Dona Ana County, New Mexico.

7. Plaintiff now resides in Bernalillo County, New Mexico.

8. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter in this action.

9. Pursuant to NMSA 1978 § 38-3-1, venue is proper in this Court.

FACTS

10. Plaintiff was born in 1971.

11. Plaintiff was a victim of sexual abuse and exploitation perpetrated by Fr. Joaquin Resma.

12. Fr. Resma sexually abused Plaintiff in approximately 1979, when Plaintiff was approximately 8 years old.

13. At all times material to this Complaint, Fr. Resma was an agent of the Catholic Diocese of El Paso.

14. CDEP imbued Fr. Resma with the powers and authorities of his priesthood.

15. Fr. Resma used his status and substantial power and authority as a priest to groom Plaintiff for sexual abuse, and to convince Plaintiff that the abuse was normal.

2

16. Defendants CDEP and Our Lady of Health Parish, Inc., placed Plaintiff into close contact with Fr. Resma without a semblance of supervision or safety precaution.

As a direct result of the Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff was sexually abused by
Fr. Resma.

As a direct and proximate result of the sexual abuse inflicted on her by Fr. Resma,
Plaintiff has suffered substantial damages.

COUNT I – NEGLIGENCE OF CDEP AND PARISH

19. Plaintiff realleges the facts and allegations set forth above.

20. The Defendants had a duty to hire, supervise and retain priests who would not molest, abuse, and harm vulnerable parishioners.

21. Defendants had a duty to create, enact, and enforce adequate policies and procedures to prevent molestation, abuse, and harm of vulnerable parishioners (including Plaintiff).

22. Defendants had a duty to sufficiently and adequately staff its facilities to ensure the safety of its parishioners.

23. Defendants had a duty to exercise reasonable care in their retention and supervision of Fr. Resma during his assigned duties at Our Lady of Health Parish.

24. Defendants had a duty to use ordinary care to keep premises safe for use by their parishioners.

25. The Defendants breached these duties owed to Plaintiff.

26. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' breaches of duty with respect to Fr. Resma, Plaintiff was sexually abused by Fr. Resma and suffered damages.

3

27. The conduct of the Defendants in this case was willful, intentional, wanton, reckless and/or taken in utter disregard of the safety and wellbeing of others, including Plaintiff, and subjects the Defendants to punitive damages.

COUNT II - CDEP'S VICARIOUS LIABILITY FOR TORTS OF FR. RESMA

28. Plaintiff realleges the facts and allegations set forth above.

29. The sexual abuse inflicted on Plaintiff by Fr. Resma constituted the tort of sexual assault and battery (among other torts).

30. At all times material to this Complaint, Fr. Resma was an agent or employee of Defendant CDEP.

31. But for the fact that Fr. Resma was a Catholic priest, with all the duties, responsibilities, and vested and apparent authority that being a Catholic priest entails over a Catholic parishioner, Fr. Resma would not have had the necessary authorities or powers needed to sexually abuse Plaintiff.

32. Fr. Resma used his status, authority, power, and the instrumentalities available to him as a Catholic priest to facilitate and aid him in accomplishing the assault and battery of Plaintiff in the following ways, including but not limited to:

- a. Using his title, position, power, and authority as a Catholic priest of CDEP to gain access to Defendants' facilities in New Mexico where be abused Plaintiff;
- b. Using his title, position, power, and authority as a Catholic priest of CDEP to gain physical access to Plaintiff and parishioners outside of view and supervision of others;

c. Using his title, position, power, and authority as a Catholic priest of CDEP to secure Plaintiff's silence regarding acts of abuse that Plaintiff might otherwise have reported.

33. CDEP is vicariously liable for the conduct of its agent Fr. Resma under the theory of "aiding-in-agency," because CDEP imbued Fr. Resma with substantial power over vulnerable children like Plaintiff and sexual abuse occurred as a result.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgment against the Defendants in an amount reasonable to compensate her for damages (including punitive damages), for interest including pre-judgment interest, costs, and such other and further relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

HUFFMAN WALLACE & MONAGLE LLC

<u>/s/ Levi A. Monagle</u> Levi A. Monagle Shayne C. Huffman Jason T. Wallace 122 Wellesley Dr. SE Albuquerque NM 87106 505.255.6300

-and-

DAVIS KELIN LAW FIRM, LLC

Ben Davis Zackeree Kelin Ellen Geske 127 Bryn Mawr Dr. SE Albuquerque NM 87106 505.273.6208

Attorneys for Plaintiff