RECEIVED CARDIMALIS RESIDENCE RECEIVED MAR 2 4 1992 - VICAR FOR ADMINISTRATION MAR 1 8 1992 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY zau_ To l Jou Sisters o Weim Has reg hison. He was my her. wa the satis his Carna acred Heart , Weymouth, cho Jo That loe organist. stacted The Johnson him of e with y To cette contact to, The Low - reant re lion d mult priects, possibly three bly a second know and a nun, at was to stop it. bly a second knew w as been pure hell of Fin Johnson. hell, at times Jecalis **JOHNSON-2 148**

he inclosed news Cester Telegram That abure va, imagine what the la will pate in the newsport uce ! athelic mi - It is my That und he know spored___ = found be ensated_ any other - cases un laurait u to hight eer _____ nay, you won't let this injustice JOHNSON-2 149

Court says landfill use wasn't larceny

A recent case involving a Leicester landfill attests to the proposition that the term "property" can throw you a curve.

It's like many words we think we can define until required to in some meaningful way. Much of the case law on the subject has involved the defining of property for tax purposes. Then you can plunge into distinctions between the tangible and intangible.

In the Leicester case, the Massachusetts Appeals Court says a defendant's unauthorized use of a landfill site without paying town tees should not have been the subject of a larceny complaint. The reason was that the landfill is not "property" under common law or the state larceny statute.

The result was that on Dec. 17 the court reversed the larceny conviction of Robert F. Rivers and ordered a judgment entered in his favor. Justice Raya Dreben wrote that Rivers' motion for a required finding of not guilty should have been allowed on the district court level. Also hearing the case were Justices Edith W. Fine and George Jacobs.

The court rejected the prosecution view that the property was the money owed the town for use of the landfill. "To consider the price or value of what is taken or used as 'money' would be a substantial departure from the concept of common law larceny, which was limited to the taking of tangible personal property," wrote Justice Dreben. She referred to Blackstone's Commentarics on the Laws of England, as well as other legal texts and case law.

The court also took a dim view of the prosecution's alternative argument — that the subject of the larceny was "the value of the part of the landfill filled by the defendant."

Justice Dreben continued: "The theft of services or the unauthorized use of property was not ordinarily considered a criminal offense in the absence of special legislation." She said the prosecution's "all-inclusive" definition of property "ignores the historical development of larceny from its common law origins to its ever-widening statutory base." She said the prosecution definition also "defies our traditional policy of construing criminal statutes narrowly against the commonwealth (prosecution)."

The case summary says Rivers was charged with larceny and conspiracy to commit larceny in Spencer District Court on Oct. 11, 1988. The case was later tried before a six-member jury in Central District Court, Worcester. Judge Thomas F. Sullivan Jr. presided. Rivers was acquitted on the conspiracy count, which the Appeals Court said should not have been tried with the larceny charge without Rivers' consent.



"The problem arises," the Appeals Court said, "because certain hearsay statements attributed to a deceased town official, which were admitted solely on the conspiracy charge ... may have contributed to the verdict on the larceny complaint." That could have happened, the court said, even though Judge Sullivan instructed the jury to keep the evidence separate.

The court said its reversal of the larceny conviction made it unnecessary to pursue the question of whether trying the two charges together, in the absence of Rivers' consent, risked a miscarriage of justice.

The decision says that Geoffrey E. Spofford represented Rivers before the Appeals Court and that Assistant District Attorney Claudia R. Sullivan appeared for the prosecution.

The case history says the town of Leicester operated a landfill where commercial haulers could deposit material for a price determined by the material's composition and quantity. The decision says the jury convicted Rivers of larceny on the basis of evidence he used the landfill without paying the amounts due. The complaint alleged larceny of property with a value exceeding \$250.

Sex-abuse suit against priest seeks \$17M

1 1 1 2

DES MOINES, Iowa (AP) – A \$17 million lawsuit brought by a former altar boy accuses a Rhode Island priest of sexually abusing at least 15 boys in the 1960s and claims church officials were aware of iL[•]

Craig Perrin, now a 31-year-old Houston resident, first sued the Rev. Robert A. Marcantanio in June 1990. Perrin accused the priest of sexually abusing him while both were in Ames from 1973 to 1975.

The suit, refiled in U.S. District Court to take advantage of a change in Iowa's statute of limitations, seeks damages from Marcantonio and five Providence, R.I., church officials.

'FAILING TO SCREEN'

Both suits accuse the officials of failing to screen clergy properly and of breaching a duty to protect parishioners from known sexual abusers.

According to the sult, Roman Catholic Diocese officials were told in a 1970 letter from Providence psychiatrist Dominic L. Coppolino that Marcantonio was a homosexual who had been sexually involved "with a number of youngsters between the ages of 12 and 14."

Previously, Marcantonio "had been involved with from 10 to 15 different boys" in Rhode Island, Coppolino's letter said.