Scroll down to next page to view exhibit.

| 0001 | | | 3 1 Letter dated July 7, 1983 34 |
|------------|--|---|---|
| 1 2 | CONFIDENTIAL PER C STATE OF CONNECTICUT : | | 4 2 Letter dated June 18, 1976 40 |
| 2 3 | JUDICIAL DISTRICT 0 | | 5 3 Undated Letter 40 6 4 Letter dated June 30, 1983 69 |
| 4 | AT BRIDGEP | | 7 |
| 5 | | | NOTE: Exhibits retained by counsel. |
| 6 | X | | 8 |
| | RICHARD ROSADO, : | | 10 CLAIMED QUESTIONS INDEX |
| 7 | · | | 11 PAGE LINE |
| | Plaintiff, : | | 12 16 5 |
| 8 | -versus- | No. CV-95-03202395 | 19 15 13 20 16 |
| 9 | - 461 308- | NO. CV-03-03202303 | 20 18 |
| | BRIDGEPORT ROMAN CATHOLIC | | 14 21 12 |
| 10 | DIOCESAN CORP., ET AL., | | 21 25 |
| 11 | Defendants. : | | 15 28 4 |
| | GEORGE ROSADO, JR., : | t i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | 16 |
| 13 · 14 | Plaintiff, : | | 17 |
| 15 | | No. CV-93-03020725 | 19 |
| 16 : | BRIDGEPORT ROMAN CATHOLIC | | 20 |
| | DIOCESAN CORP., ET AL., : | | 21 |
| 17 | the dead and - | | 22 |
| 18 | Defendants. : | | 23 |
| | | F | 25 |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | 0005 |
| 21 | | | 1 MR. SWEENEY: I think the record |
| 22 23 | DEPOSITION OF BISHOP | | 2 can and should reflect that we are assembled 3 here today at St. Joseph's Hospital in Stamford |
| 23 | DEPOSITION OF BISHOP July 31, 1 | | 4 for a deposition to be taken of the |
| 25 | 5417 51, 1 | | 5 out-of-the-court testimony of Bishop Walter |
| | | ····· | 6 Curtis, who is seated to my left, for the two |
| 0002 | | • | 7 lawsuits entitled George L. Rosado and others |
| 1 2 | | of BISHOP WALTER | 8 versus the Bridgeport Roman Catholic Diocesan 9 Corporation, and Richard Rosado versus the same |
| 3 | CURTIS, taken pursuant Section 243 et seq. of | | 9 Corporation, and Richard Rosado Versus the same 10 defendants. |
| 4 | Practice Book, at St. | | 11 I'm Attorney Joseph Sweeney. I |
| 5 | Center, 128 Strawberry | Hill Avenue, | 12 represent Bishop Curtis and the Diocese of |
| 6 | Stamford, Connecticut, | | 13 Bridgeport. To my immediate right is Monsignor |
| 7 8 | Martone, a Notary Publ State of Connecticut | | 14 Laurence Bronkiewicz, the Vicar for Clergy of 15 the Diocese of Bridgeport, and to his right, |
| 3 | State of Connecticut, 10:12 a.m. | on duly di, 1993, 86 | 15 the Diocese of Bridgeport, and to his right, 16 Attorney Robert Danaher, who is co-counsel with |
| 10 | | | 17 we. |
| 11 | | | 18 I think we can and should at this |
| 12 | | | 19 stage, if we may, Mr. Tremont, confirm the fact |
| 13 14 | | | 20 that we're operating this deposition under the 21 terms of a protective order issued by Judge |
| 15 | | | 22 Bruce Levin on December 8, 1994, and in |
| 16 | | | 23 accordance with my discussion with your partner. |
| 17 | | | 24 Attorney Cindy Robinson, it has been agreed that |
| 18 | | | 25 the terms of that protective order will apply to |
| 19 20 | | | 0006 |
| 20 | | | 1 this deposition, both insofar as it relates to |
| 22 | | | 2 the George Rosado case, and the Richard Rosado |
| 23 | | | 3 case. |
| 24 | | | 4 I think the record can further 5 reflect the fact that we have in accordance |
| 25 | | | 6 with an order entered by Judge Bruce Levin on |
| 0003 | | | 7 October 3, 1994, we have seated in the room |
| 1 | APPEARANCES: | | 8 Dr. Santi Neuberger, who is Bishop Curtis's |
| 2 | For the Plaintif | | 9 personal physician, who is to be here to deal |
| 3 | TREMONT & SHELDON | N, P.C. | 10 with any medical issues which may develop during 11 this deposition. |
| 4 | 64 Lyon Terrace Bridgeport, Conne | ecticut 06604 | 12 I'd be prepared to, if it's |
| • | BY: PAUL E. TREE | | 13 agreeable with you, Mr. Tremont, and with |
| 5 | DOUGLAS MARK | | 14 Attorney Frank Murphy, who represents the other |
| 6 | | | 15 defendant, Father Pcolks, I'd be prepared to |
| 7 | | t Bridgeport Roman | 16 stipulate as to the adequacy of the notice of 17 this deposition, as to the adequacy of our |
| ' | Catholic Diocesar Walter Curtis: | n Corp. and Bishop | 17 this deposition, as to the adequacy of our 18 reporter's qualifications, both as a court |
| 8 | | | 19 stenographer and as a notary, and to reserve |
| | HALLORAN & SAGE | | 20 objections to questions until the time of trial, |
| 9 | 225 Asylum Street | | 21 except objections as to matters of form, which |
| 10 | Hartford, Connect By: IOSEBH T SI | | 22 might be cured by a timely objection and 23 rephrasing. |
| 10 | By: JOSEPH T. SI | TELEVELI, ENING. | 24 I think that those are three |
| 12 | | LAGNESE & NEAL, P.C. | 25 stipulations I would suggest we enter, and I |
| | 21 Oak Street | - | |
| 13 | Hartford, Connect | | |
| 14 | BY: ROBERT C. D. | NNAHLK, ESQ. | |
| 14 | For the Defendant | t Raymond Pcolka: | |
| 16 | | LAHERTY & MURPHY, | |
| | P.C. | | |
| 17 | 134 East Avenue | | |
| | Norwalk, Connect: | | |
| 18 19 | By: FRANK W. M.T | NTAI, LON. | |
| 20 | | | |
| | ALSO PRESENT: | | |
| 21 | | | |
| • | Craig Tomlin, Vi | | |
| 22 | Honsignor Lauren | | |
| | Santi J. Neuberg | er, H.D | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 24 | | | |
| | | | |
| 24 25 | | | |
| 24 | EXHIBIT II | | |

Depo-Merge

| PARE | Page 7 | Page 10 |
|--------------|--|--|
| ंग्री | defer to anything that you and Mr. Murphy may | (1) Q. Let me ask you, Bishop, I'm talking |
| | (2) wish to add to that. | (2) generally, when you received complaints |
| | (3) MR. TREMONT: I have no objection | (3) regarding a violation of the vow of celibacy |
| 39406 | (4) to that. Can we swear the witness in? | (4) from your clergy, how would those complaints |
| | (5) MR. SWEENEY: Shall we just ask | (5) come to you? |
| * # | (6) Mr. Murphy, is he agreeable to those | (6) A. I guess they would come from somebody |
| | (7) stipulations? | (7) making the complaint. |
| - 1994 | (8) MR. MURPHY: I agree to those | (8) Q. All right, and would that be directly |
| | (9) stipulations. | (9) to - would you accept those complaints yourself |
| - 10 M | (10) MR. TREMONT: Swear the witness | (10) directly, or would they be filtered through |
| | (11) in, please. | (11) someone else? |
| | (12) BISHOP WALTER CURTIS, | (12) A. Well, they could have gone both ways. |
| | (13) called as a witness, having been first duly | (13) Q. All right. And once that complaint |
| | (14) sworn by James Martone, a Notary Public in | (14) came to you, you indicated that you would have |
| 19 | (15) and for the State of Connecticut, was | (15) Monsignor Cusack, while he was there - |
| | (16) examined and testified as follows: | (16) A. Yes. |
| | (17) DIRECT EXAMINATION | (17) Q. It was his duty to investigate it? |
| | (18) BY MR. TREMONT: | (18) A. Yes. He was - he had a doctorate in |
| | (19) Q. Would you give us your full name? | (19) psychology, and he made it a point always to |
| | (20) A. Walter William Curtis. | (20) immediately contact the person involved and |
| | (21) Q. And where do you reside. | (21) check out the facts. |
| | (22) A. The Queen of the Clergy home in | (22) Q. All right. And then after he checked |
| | (23) Stamford, Connecticut. | (23) the facts out, what would happen then? |
| - | (24) Q. And at the present time, are you | (24) A. Well, he'd make his report to me, |
| _ | (25) retired as bishop of Bridgeport? | (25) and |
| | | |
| - | Page 8 | Page 11 |
| | (1) A. I am, yes. | (1) Q. All right. |
| | (2) Q. All right. Would you be good enough to | (2) A. As to what - he would suggest what I |
| | (3) tell me when you became bishop of Bridgeport? | (3) should or should not do. |
| - | (4) A. Shouldn't be too hard to remember, but | (4) Q. All right, and how would he make the |
| | (5) 1 | (5) report to you? |
| ي الأسادة | (6) Q. Well, you try the best you can to | (6) A. Verbally. |
| | (7) remember, and if you - what I'm asking you, and | (7) Q. All right. Was anything kept in |
| | (8) you can give me an approximation, if you will. | (8) writing? |
| | (9) It's not necessary to give me an exact date. | (9) A. I don't recall. |
| | (10) A. Nineteen hundred and I think | (10) Q. Well, you are aware, are you not, |
| - 200 | (11) thirty-four. | (11) Bishop Curtis, that under the canon law, any |
| | (12) Q. Prior to being bishop of Bridgeport, | (12) investigation, whether it's open or closed and |
| | (13) what was your title or function in the Roman | (13) regardless of how it works out for a violation |
| A128 | (14) Catholic Church? | (14) of canon law, the investigation itself, that all |
| | (15) A. I was a professor at the seminary in | (15) the proceeds must be kept in the secret |
| | (16) Newark, New Jersey. | (16) archives. Are you aware of that? |
| | (17) Q. All right. And you ultimately became | (17) MR. SWEENEY: Well, I'm going to |
| | (18) bishop of Bridgeport? | (18) just for the record state that I know Attorney |
| | | (19) Tremont has read from some book, I think in this |
| | | |
| فتتدد | (19) A. Yes. (20) O. All right All right And do you | |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections |
| ŝ. | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - "88. In "88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it. |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it. (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it. (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it. (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese. Do you | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese. Do you (16) remember when you retired as bishop, was | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. (16) Q. And isn't it a fair statement that in |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese. Do you (16) remember when you retired as bishop, was (17) Monsignor Cusack still with the diocese or had | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. (16) Q. And isn't it a fair statement that in (17) making that determination, even though you had |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese. Do you (16) remember when you retired as bishop, was (17) Monsignor Cusack still with the diocese or had (18) he gone to New Jersey? | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. (16) Q. And isn't it a fair statement that in (17) making that determination, even though you had (18) extraordinary powers as a bishop, you were |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese. Do you (16) remember when you retired as bishop, was (17) Monsignor Cusack still with the diocese or had (18) he gone to New Jersey? (19) A. He had gone to New Jersey, yes. | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 until the time of trial, I would see no need for stating an objection unless it's as to a matter of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. (16) Q. And isn't it a fair statement that in (17) making that determination, even though you had (18) extraordinary powers as a bishop, you were (19) required to follow canon law? |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese. Do you (16) remember when you retired as bishop, was (17) Monsignor Cusack still with the diocese or had (18) he gone to New Jersey? (19) A. He had gone to New Jersey, yes. (20) Q. And who took over those duties when | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. (16) Q. And isn't it a fair statement that in (17) making that determination, even though you had (18) extraordinary powers as a bishop, you were (19) required to follow canon law? (20) A. Yes. |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese. Do you (16) remember when you retired as bishop, was (17) Monsignor Cusack still with the diocese or had (18) he gone to New Jersey? (19) A. He had gone to New Jersey, yes. (20) Q. And who took over those duties when (21) Monsignor Cusack left? | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. (16) Q. And isn't it a fair statement that in (17) making that determination, even though you had (18) extraordinary powers as a bishop, you were (19) required to follow canon law? (20) A. Yes. (21) Q. And would you agree with me, Bishop |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese. Do you (16) remember when you retired as bishop, was (17) Monsignor Cusack still with the diocese or had (18) he gone to New Jersey? (19) A. He had gone to New Jersey, yes. (20) Q. And who took over those duties when (21) Monsignor Cusack left? (22) A. I guess Father Bronkiewicz. | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 until the time of trial, I would see no need for stating an objection unless it's as to a matter of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. (16) Q. And isn't it a fair statement that in (17) making that determination, even though you had (18) extraordinary powers as a bishop, you were (19) required to follow canon law? (20) A. Yes. (21) Q. And would you agree with me, Bishop (22) Curtis, that if there was a complaint about one |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese. Do you (16) remember when you retired as bishop, was (17) Monsignor Cusack still with the diocese or had (18) he gone to New Jersey? (19) A. He had gone to New Jersey, yes. (20) Q. And who took over those duties when (21) Monsignor Cusack left? (22) A. I guess Father Bronkiewicz. (23) Q. So Father Bronkiewicz took over those | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 until the time of trial, I would see no need for stating an objection unless it's as to a matter of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. (16) Q. And isn't it a fair statement that in (17) making that determination, even though you had (18) extraordinary powers as a bishop, you were (19) required to follow canon law? (20) A. Yes. (21) Q. And would you agree with me, Bishop (22) Curtis, that if there was a complaint about one (23) of your priests, priests within the diocese |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese or had (18) he gone to New Jersey? (19) A. He had gone to New Jersey, yes. (20) Q. And who took over those duties when (21) Monsignor Cusack lett? (22) A. I guess Father Bronkiewicz. (23) Q. So Father Bronkiewicz took over those (24) A. I guess at that time? | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 until the time of trial, I would see no need for stating an objection unless it's as to a matter of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. (16) Q. And isn't it a fair statement that in (17) making that determination, even though you had (18) extraordinary powers as a bishop, you were (19) required to follow canon law? (20) A. Yes. (21) Q. And would you agree with me, Bishop (22) Curtis, that if there was a complaint about one (23) of your priests, priests within the diocese (24) under your jurisdiction, sexually abusing |
| | (20) Q. All right. All right. And do you (21) recall when it was that you retired as bishop of (22) Bridgeport? (23) A. Well, that was when I was 75, and that (24) would - '88. In '88. (25) Q. 1988, and how old are you now, Bishop? Page 9 (1) A. 82. (2) Q. 82, so that would have been seven years (3) ago that you retired? (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. Okay. And during the course of your (6) tenure as bishop of Bridgeport, did the Diocese (7) of Bridgeport have any kind of policy regarding (8) celibacy of its clergy? (9) A. Well, I had a practical policy that if (10) any accusation was made otherwise, I would refer (11) it to our director for personnel, at the time, (12) Monsignor Andrew Cusack, and he would then (13) handle it for me. (14) Q. All right. So Monsignor Cusack at that (15) particular time was with the diocese. Do you (16) remember when you retired as bishop, was (17) Monsignor Cusack still with the diocese or had (18) he gone to New Jersey? (19) A. He had gone to New Jersey, yes. (20) Q. And who took over those duties when (21) Monsignor Cusack left? (22) A. I guess Father Bronkiewicz. (23) Q. So Father Bronkiewicz took over those | (20) proceeding there's been testimony to the (21) contrary, but I just want that to be reflected (22) on the record. (23) MR. TREMONT: I thought the only (24) objections were as to matters of form, and (25) seeing that we have reserved our objections Page 12 (1) until the time of trial, I would see no need for (2) stating an objection unless it's as to a matter (3) of form. (4) Q. But could you answer that for me, (5) please? (6) A. Well, I think, as you would say it, (7) it's much too broad. Not everything goes into (8) the secret archives. (9) Q. All right. Some things do go into the (10) secret archives? (11) A. If the bishop so wishes, yes. (12) Q. Right, and it was up to you, as the (13) bishop, to determine what went into the secret (14) archives? (15) A. Yes. (16) Q. And isn't it a fair statement that in (17) making that determination, even though you had (18) extraordinary powers as a bishop, you were (19) required to follow canon law? (20) A. Yes. (21) Q. And would you agree with me, Bishop (22) Curtis, that if there was a complaint about one (23) of your priests, priests within the diocese |

Depo-Merge

•••••

Page 2

- ! |

| | Page 25 | Page 28 |
|--------------------|---|---|
| · ## | (1) A. I don't know what the difference is. | (1) A. I don't remember. |
| | (2) Q. Well, perhaps I should use the word | (2) Q. You don't remember? |
| | (3) "rebuke" or "admonishment." Do you know what(4) I'm talking about, Bishop, in regard to that? | (3) A. No. |
| | (4) The taking about, bishop, in regard to that: (5) Let me read you Canon 1339 of the Code of Canon | (4) Q. You don't recall at any time during (5) your bishopric, whether a claim was made that |
| | 6) Law. "An ordinary can admonish personally or | (6) one of your priests were sexually abusing |
| | (7) through another person one who is in proximate | (7) children in the parish? |
| | (8) occasion of committing an offense or upon whom | (8) MR. SWEENEY: I think he's |
| فضرب | (9) after an investigation has been made, there has(10) fallen a serious suspicion of having committed | (9) already answered the question. (10) MR. TREMONT: Well, I'm asking |
| | (10) an offense." | (11) him again. |
| | (12) Did you ever have occasion to | (12) MR. SWEENEY: I'll object, it's |
| | (13) make such a rebuke, either directly or through | (13) been asked and answered. |
| | (14) Monsignor Cusack, rebuke or admonishment, to a | (14) MR. TREMONT: Well, I claim it. |
| | (15) priest in your diocese for a violation of the(16) code of celibacy? | (15) A. Well, if it did happen, I would do the (16) same thing. I'd ask Monsignor Cusack to |
| -1986 | (17) A. I think what you are reading is a | (17) investigate and take care of things. |
| | (18) formal - a formal juridical procedure, and I | (18) Q. All right, and then when he reported |
| A | (19) didn't. | (19) back to you - |
| | (20) Q. You've never - never issued a formal (21) initial procedure? | (20) A. Yes. |
| - NIRA | (21) juridical procedure? (22) A. No. | (21) Q what (22) A. He would let me know what he was doing |
| | (23) Q. Well, I'm asking you not about a formal | (23) all the time. |
| | (24) juridical procedure. I'm asking you whether you | (24) Q. He'd let you know what he was doing. |
| | (25) admonished or rebuked an individual as a result | (25) What steps did you take as bishop to see to it |
| · 90a | Page 26 | Page 29 |
| - 98m ⁻ | Page 26 (1) of an informal investigation, as opposed to a | (1) that children were not abused in your parishes |
| | (2) formal juridical procedure? | (2) or in your rectories by priests? Would you take |
| | (3) A. No. | (3) any kind of steps in that regard? |
| -1966 | (4) Q. You never did? | (4) A. I would not have thought to take any |
| | (5) A. No. | (5) steps, as this was going on, we presumed it was (6) not going on. |
| - 384 | (6) Q. All right. So despite the fact that(7) you did indicate that there were violations, you | (7) Q. In other words, while you were bishop, |
| | (8) never acted to rebuke any of the individuals who | (8) you had the knowledge that some priests - |
| (1998) | (9) might have been involved? | (9) obviously not all priests or majority of |
| - | (10) MR. SWEENEY: Well, objection as | (10) priests - but that some priests were having |
| | (11) to the form of the question. Mr. Tremont, I(12) think that he said that there may have been one | (11) sexual relations with children in the parish? (12) A. Not anymore than I said before. |
| - | (13) such occasion. Your question, I think, | (12) A. Well, you attended meetings, did you |
| | (14) misstates the testimony to the effect that there | (14) not, of the National Council of Catholic |
| | (15) were multiple occasions. | (15) Bishops? |
| | (16) MR. TREMONT: I don't know how (17) many there were. I didn't ask him how many | (16) A. I did, yes. (17) Q. And how often would they would those |
| -998 | (18) there were. | (18) meetings be held during a year? |
| | (19) MR. SWEENEY: Well, I think your | (19) A. They were annual meetings. |
| 9 468 | (20) question as phrased is objectionable. | (20) Q. Annual meetings. And during those |
| | (21) Q. All right. You never rebuked any (22) individual for a violation of the vow of | (21) meetings, was there not, Bishop Curtis, (22) discussion regarding a problem of pedophilia |
| 'annap | (23) chastity, while you were bishop, either directly | (23) among the Catholic clergy? |
| | (24) or through your vicar? | (24) A. There was at one meeting. It was at |
| | (25) A. Well, through the vicar, because of the | (25) one meeting because of the publicity that |
| - 7540 | Page 27 | Page 30 |
| | (1) vicar, I didn't have to. | (1) attended cases. |
| -200 | (2) Q. What do you mean by that? | (2) Q. And you were not aware at that time |
| | (3) A. Well, the priests understood that | (3) that such pedophilia existed within the church? |
| 16490 | (4) Monsignor Cusack was sort of a representative of | (4) A. I was aware of it, yes. (5) O All right And being aware of it did |
| | (5) myself, so if he rebuked them, that was - the (6) priest would see that as coming from me. | (5) Q. All right. And being aware of it, did (6) you do anything to assure that it either didn't |
| 406 - | (7) Q. But you authorized Monsignor Cusack | (7) happen in Bridgeport, or you could keep it, if |
| | (8) then to rebuke such a priest? | (8) it did happen, to a minimum, that you'd be able |
| - ***** | (9) A. If he thought it - he was a he was | (9) to ferret it out and investigate it? |
| | (10) a professional in this area, and I trusted his | (10) A. Well, I would – I did on occasion (11) bring in an outside speaker to talk to the |
| | (11) judgment. (12) Q. Yes, but he certainly - you'd | (12) clergy and to discuss the question of clerical |
| | (13) certainly discuss it with him, as you said? | (13) celibacy. |
| | (14) A. Yes, we would discuss it, yes. | (14) Q. Okay, and do you recall who the |
| <u>سی</u> | (15) Q. And if he were to rebuke him, he would | (15) speakers were, or any of them? |
| | (16) tell you before he did that | (16) A. I think Father Benedict Groeshel was |
| - 6754 | (17) A. Yes. (18) $\Omega_{\rm res}$ to get your approval, even though | (17) one. (18) Q. Father Benedict |
| | (18) Q to get your approval, even though (19) you trusted him implicitly; is that correct? | (19) A. Groeshel. |
| | (20) A. Yes. | (20) MR. SWEENEY: 1 think it's |
| | (21) Q. All right. And could you tell me | (21) G-r-o-e-s-h-e-l. |
| - 2000 | (22) whether you ever had any persons come to you and | (22) Q. There was more than one, that you |
| | (23) complain that any of your priests, the priests (24) in your diccese, were sexually abusing children. | (23) recall? (24) A. There was more than one. The name |
| | (24) In your diccese, were sexually abusing children, (25) while you were bishop? | (25) escapes me. |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | |

Depo-Merge

·,

| | (1) Q. All right. Now, did the rectories - | (1) MR. SWEENEY: We've been going |
|------------------|--|---|
| * | (2) the rectories of the various parishes, rectory | (2) about 45 minutes and I think the air-conditioner |
| | (3) at Holy Name, at St. James, at St. Thomas's, any | (3) was turned off for noise purposes. |
| | (4) of the rectories, who owns the rectories? | (4) MR. TREMONT: It was? Why don't |
| | (5) A. The parish owns it. | (5) we keep it on. |
| - | 6) Q. All right, and you say the parish owns | (6) MR. SWEENEY: Yes. |
| | (7) it. Is that in the name of the diocese? | (7) MR. TREMONT: I don't really |
| | (8) A. No. No. We are not a corporation | (8) care. Why don't we move the microphone over a |
| | (9) sole. We are a corporation aggregate. | (9) little closer. |
| . 100.00 | (10) Q. Who controls that rectory? | (10) MR. SWEENEY: Let's turn it on, |
| 8 99 | (11) A. The pastor. (12) O. And who controls the nactor? Who does | (11) and also – keep it down low, but have it on, (12) and then if we can adjust the microphone to |
| | (12) Q. And who controls the pastor? Who does(13) the pastor answer to? | (12) and then it we can adjust the microphone to (13) compensate for it. |
| 1999 | (14) A. Well, he answers to, ultimately, the | (14) MR. TREMONT: It was too hot. I |
| | (15) bishop, to the vicar general. | (15) was wondering why. We can put it higher then. |
| | (16) Q. All right. And then through him, to | (16) MR. SWEENEY: I think let's |
| | (17) the bishop? | (17) try it where we have it now. |
| - | (18) A. Yes. | (18) Q. All right. Let me show you this letter |
| 0.00 | (19) Q. All right. Did you have any rules | (19) which we can mark as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 for |
| | (20) regarding the bringing of children in the | (20) identification. |
| - | (21) bedrooms, the private bedrooms of priests, in a | (21) (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 marked |
| | (22) rectory, in the Diocese of Bridgeport? | (22) for identification.) |
| - | (23) A. I don't recall. I don't recall any. | (23) Q. Bishop, would you please take a look at |
| | (24) Q. So you had no rules which would prevent | (24) this letter. Read that letter, if you would, |
| -100 C | (25) a priest from having a child spend the evening, | (25) please. |
| | | |
| -16 | Page 32 | Page 35 |
| | (1) for instance, in that priest's bedroom? | (1) MR. SWEENEY: Pardon me, |
| - | (2) MR. SWEENEY: Objection. I | (2) Dr. Neuberger, with comment on this, Bishop |
| | (3) think, Mr. Tremont, your present question | (3) Curtis has had a stroke which impairs his |
| | (4) restates his prior testimony. | (4) ability to read. Could it be read aloud to |
| 1000 | (5) MR. TREMONT: No. | (5) him? |
| to and | (6) MR. SWEENEY: He doesn't recall, | (6) MR. TREMONT: Absolutely. |
| | (7) he said. You twisted it around to say it didn't | (7) Q. Let me read this letter to you, |
| | (8) happen. | (8) Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 for identification. It's |
| - | (9) MR. TREMONT: That's not 1 (10) claim it. This is access examination - I claim | (9) on the letterhead of the Diocese of Bridgeport, |
| | (10) claim it. This is cross-examination. I claim | (10) Ministry for Clergy and Religious. It is dated |
| | (11) it. (12) MR. SWEENEY: I know, but I take | (11) July 7th, 1983. It is signed by Monsignor (12) Andrew T. Cusack, Vicar for Clergy and |
| | (13) the position this question is improper as a | (13) Religious. It indicates a copy went to you, and |
| - | (14) matter of form. | (14) a copy to Attorney James J. O'Connell. Did you |
| | (15) MR. TREMONT: Well, it isn't. | (15) know Attorney O'Connell? |
| 1.200 | (16) claim it. | (16) A. Yes. He was our diocese attorney. |
| 5.00 M | (17) Q. Would you answer that question, | (17) Q. For how long a period was he the |
| | (18) please? | (18) diocese attorney? |
| 10000 | (19) MR. SWEENEY: Do you understand | (19) A. Well, he was the attorney when I |
| | (20) the question, Bishop? | (20) arrived, and so just how long, I don't know. |
| - Salat i | (21) A. Would you repeat the question? | (21) Q. And was it through most of your tenure |
| | (22) Q. All right. Did you have any rule that | (22) that Coles & O'Connell were attorneys for the |
| - | (23) prevented or precluded one of your priests from | (23) diocese? |
| | (24) having a child stay overnight in his bedroom, | (24) A. That's right. |
| - | (25) private bedroom? | (25) Q. And the letter goes on to say, "I have |
| | Page 22 | Page 26 |
| | Page 33 | Page 36 (1) received your latter of lung 30th 1983 From |
| | (1) A. No. | (1) received your letter of June 30th, 1983. From |
| <u>مندر.</u> | (2) Q. All right. Did you have any rule which(3) prevented, in the rectory, a priest from having | (2) the very beginning of our contact, I assured you(3) that the entire matter concerning Father Raymond |
| ~~~~~ | (4) a girl under the age of 18 stay in his bedroom | (4) Pcolka, as given to me by you, would be |
| | (5) all night? | (5) professionally communicated and treated. |
| ~ **** | (6) A. I have difficulty answering because, | (6) Since your letter of June 30th |
| 2.4 | (7) you know, it seems to presume that I'm supposed | (7) seems to indicate some doubt that, in fact, this |
| - 336 | (8) to have rules on everything that a priest could | (8) has been done, I ask that you do direct all |
| | (9) or couldn't do. It's not the way - that's not | (9) necessary and future communications in this |
| - | (10) the way we operate. A priest is independent, | (10) regard to our diocesan lawyer. He is Attorney |
| | (11) and has his own responsibilities and knows | (11) James O'Connell." And he gives his address. |
| | (12) what's right and wrong. So I don't have to make | (12) "This procedure gives me further |
| | (13) a rule for everything he does. | (13) assurance that this matter continues to be |
| - | (14) Q. You had no rules then - the answer is, | (14) handled by us in a professional way. Thanking |
| | (15) you're telling me, that you did have no rules | (15) you for your kind consideration of this mutual |
| المغنين. | (16) which prevented or precluded a priest from | (16) concern and with every best wish, I am, |
| | (17) having a child or a girl under the age of 18 in | (17) sincerely yours, Monsignor Cusack. |
| | (18) his bedroom, in the rectory? | (18) Do you recall that letter, |
| | (19) A. No. No. | (19) Bishop? |
| | (20) Q. All right. And let me ask you, did you | (20) A. No. |
| | (21) indicate to anyone during the course of your | (21) Q. All right. The letter obviously refers |
| | (22) bishopric, that a pastor or a monsignor, someone | (22) to Father Pcolka, correct, from my reading of |
| - | (23) should report to the chancellery, if a priest | (23) it? |
| - | (24) had children in his bedroom? | (24) A. I'm trying to remember where it said |
| القمن | (25) A. No. | (25) that. |
| 1000 | | 1 |

| - | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| (avd) | Page 37 | Page 40 |
| | (1) Q. All right. It says, "From the very | (1) 18th letter as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2. |
| : 100 M | (2) beginning of our contact, I assured you that the | (2) (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2 marked |
| | (3) entire matter concerning Father Raymond Pcolka, | (3) for identification.) |
| *** | (4) as given to me by you, would be professionally | (4) MR. MURPHY: What year is that? |
| | communicated and treated." All right? | (5) MR. TREMONT: 1976. And the |
| | I'm asking you whether that | (6) M-029 letter as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3. |
| | (/) letter refreshes your recollection that | (7) (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3 marked |
| | (8) Father – about a sexual abuse charge made | (8) for identification.) |
| | (9) against Father Pcolka? | (9) MR. TREMONT: There's no date on |
| | (10) A. Doesn't refresh my memory, but I accept | (10) that letter. It appears that it was attached to |
| - | (11) what the letter says. | (11) the July 7th - the June 18th, 1976, letter. |
| | (12) Q. Okay. You don't recall then talking to | (12) Q. Now, I'm going to read you part - I'm |
| | (13) Father Cusack about Father Pcolka? | (13) going to read you this letter and ask you some |
| | (14) A. Not specifically, no. | (14) questions about it and I'll read it in part. |
| - | (15) Q. You don't generally remember it? | (15) MR. SWEENEY: Pardon me, because |
| | (16) A. No. | (16) we marked it as an exhibit, may I see it before |
| | (17) Q. You don't remember a claim being made | (17) you proceed? |
| | (18) against him, at least in 1983, that he was | (18) MR. TREMONT: Yes. You gave it |
| | (19) abusing children in the parish? | (19) to me. |
| - | (20) A. No. | (20) MR. SWEENEY: I probably did. |
| | (21) Q. Would that have been a significant | (21) MR. TREMONT: Yeah. (22) MR. SWEENEY: May I have the |
| 100 C | (22) matter, if that discussion if you had that (23) discussion? | (22) MH. SWEENET: May I have the (23) other letter that's also been marked? |
| | | (24) MR. TREMONT: I'll start on this |
| - 1999 | (24) A. Yes, it would be. (25) O. All right And you don't recall | (25) one. |
| | (25) Q. All right. And you don't recall | |
| - | Page 38 | Page 41 |
| | (1) whether that was investigated or what happened? | (1) Q. Now, this letter to the vicar general. |
| | (2) You recall nothing about it; is that correct? | (2) John Toomey, addressed to Father Scanlon at |
| - | (3) A. Yes. | (3) St. Ambrose starts off this way. "Dear Father |
| | (4) Q. All right. Are you now aware of the | (4) Scanlon, enclosed is the photostat of a letter I |
| -949 | (5) fact that there is a lawsuit pending against you | (5) took out of Bishop Curtis's mail written by |
| | (6) and the Diocese of Bridgeport, regarding claims | (6) Mr. and Mrs. M-029 . It is a complaint of some |
| | (7) of sexual abuse by over 12 children concerning | (7) sort against Father Raymond Pcolka." |
| | (8) Father Pcolka? | (8) Now, I'd like to ask you, while |
| | (9) A. Is that what this is all about, this | (9) you were bishop, did you go through all of your |
| | (10) meeting? | (10) mail; if a letter was addressed to you, would |
| | (11) Q. Yes. | (11) you read it? |
| | 12) A. Yes. | (12) A. No. |
| | 3) Q. Are you aware of that? | (13) Q. So could it be that letters could be |
| - 200 | (14) A. I am now, yes. | (14) sent to you, complaints could be sent to you by |
| | (15) Q. Well, did someone read to you, Bishop, | (15) persons in the diocese or anywhere, and you |
| - 1986 | (16) the lawsuits which were filed claiming that | (16) might they never may never have gotten to |
| | (17) during your tenure as bishop, that from 1973 | (17) your desk? |
| | (18) through the mid-1980s, that Father Pcolka had | (18) A. That's possible, yes. |
| | (19) committed sexual offenses against altar boys at | (19) Q. All right, and how would that - how |
| | (20) St. James Church in Stratford, Holy Name Church | (20) did that work in regard to the mail? What was |
| | (21) in Stratford, and at St. Johns Nepomucene Church | (21) your procedure? |
| -168 | (22) in Bridgeport? | (22) A. I had a lady secretary who would sort |
| | (23) A. I don't remember it. | (23) the mail and give it to me. |
| | (24) Q. You don't remember it? | (24) Q. But you're indicating that you might |
| 100 | (25) A. No. | (25) not read every letter that was addressed to you? |
| | Page 39 | Page 42 |
| - | (1) Q. All right, and you're telling us that | (1) A. I can assure you I didn't. |
| | (2) you don't remember now any investigation or | (1) Q. You didn't, all right. And I'm not |
| | (3) claims of sexual abuse regarding Father Pcolka? | (3) talking about advertisements or things of that |
| | (4) A. No. | (4) nature. Who would determine what you should see |
| - | (5) Q. There is a letter, Bishop, that is | (5) and what you shouldn't see? |
| | (6) addressed to you from a Mr. and Mrs. M-029 | (6) A. Well, my secretary. |
| | (7) And this particular letter is attached | (7) Q. All right, and what was the secretary's |
| | (8) to another letter from Father Toomey to Father | (8) name? Did you have more than one over the |
| ا ندور. | (9) Scanlon. Do you know who Father John J. Toomey | (9) course of the years? |
| - 500 | (10) was? | (10) A. Just the one. |
| | (11) A. Yes. He was our vicar general. He | (11) Q. And who was that? |
| - | (12) Q. Okay. And - all right, he was your | (12) A. Margaret Gruce. |
| | (13) vicar general, and do you remember Father | (13) Q. Margaret Gruce? What instructions had |
| | (14) Scanlon? | (14) you given her in regard to what mail you |
| | (15) A. Father Scanlon | (15) should in other words, you personally should |
| | (16) Q. Bernard Scanlon, St. Ambrose, do you | (16) see and what you did not have to see? What kind |
| | (17) remember him? | (17) of a guideline did you use? |
| لمع | (18) A. Yes. Yes. | (18) A. There was no real - no guideline. |
| | (19) Q. All right. Now, I'm going to read this | (19) Q. All right. |
| | 20) letter to you. | (20) A. Kind of a just |
| - | (21) MR. TREMONT: Want me to mark | (21) Q. I'm sorry, you said it was kind of a |
| | (22) them for identification? | (22) A. Of just a modus operandi. |
| | (23) MR. SWEENEY: I think it would be | (23) Q. Okay. Here is a letter, Plaintiffs' |
| | (24) appropriate. | (24) Exhibit 3, which is addressed directly to you |
| | (25) MR. TREMONT: Let's mark the June | (25) and relates to Father Pcolka and relates, it |
| | | |

| | Dece 42 | Page 46 |
|-------------|---|---|
| · · · # | Rage 43 (1) appears from the letter – and I'll read you the | Page 46 (1) Q. All right, and then what would happen |
| · | (2) letter – but it appears from the letter that it | (2) with that priest? I mean by that, would he |
| | (3) relates to Father Pcolka's activities with a | (3) continue on, for instance, as a priest in the |
| 1.12 | (4) woman who apparently is married to the son of | (4) diocese? |
| | (5) the persons writing the letter, so there's some | (5) A. Well, the policy was he was innocent |
| P 19 | 6) sort of a complaint regarding a female and | (6) until proven guilty. If he was innocent, he |
| | (7) Father Pcolka. | (7) could continue. |
| Pering 1 | (8) Now, do I understand that such | (8) Q. And if it was determined that he did do |
| | (9) complaints that might have been brought against | (9) something - he did have some sexual encounter, |
| 19 | (10) your priest by parishioners, you might not have | (10) put it that way, with a child, what would happen |
| | (11) even seen, even though the letter was directed | (11) to the priest? |
| 1765 | (12) to you? Somebody would take it out of the mail? | (12) A. Well, he could be sent to Hartford to |
| | (13) A. That's quite possible, yes. | (13) the |
| | (14) Q. All right. And so you might never | (14) Q. Institute of the Living? |
| | (15) know - for example, in this case you might | (15) A. Yes. |
| | (16) never know about that letter, Bishop? | (16) Q. Was there any other place that was used |
| -100 | (17) A. That's right. | (17) while you were bishop? |
| - | (18) Q. All right. But didn't you demand that | (18) A. Yes. There was a place in Baltimore. |
| | (19) whenever there was a complaint made against one | (19) Q. In Maryland? |
| | (20) of your priests regarding a situation which | (20) A. Maryland, yes. |
| - | (21) might involve a violation of the vow of | (21) Q. All right, and after he was sent there, |
| | (22) chastity, that it should be brought to your | (22) what would happen when he came back? |
| | (23) attention? (24) MR. SWEENEY: Well, objection, | (23) A. When he came back, he would be (24) reassigned. |
| | (25) Counsel, as to matter of form. You're implying | |
| - | | (25) Q. All right, and you say "reassigned." |
| - 1999 | Page 44 | Page 47 |
| | (1) that this letter you have in your hand suggests | (1) Would he be assigned to a different parish? |
| 199 | (2) a violation of the vow of chastity and maybe you | (2) A. Quite likely, yes. |
| | (3) ought to put it on the record. I don't think | (3) Q. All right, and what was the purpose of |
| 1 | (4) that's true. | (4) that, Bishop, the reassigning to a different |
| | (5) MR. TREMONT: Well, I'm asking a | (5) parish? |
| | (6) question. I can ask a question. | (6) A. Well, I presume it was to allow him to |
| | (7) MR. SWEENEY: All right. | (7) have a fresh start. |
| - 128 | (8) A. Well, now if something came in that | (8) Q. All right, when he was assigned to a |
| | (9) might affect - might seem to affect a priest, | (9) different parish, would the - would anyone be |
| : | (10) Marge could just as well give it to Monsignor | (10) advised of the problem which he had previously |
| | (11) Cusack. | (11) had? |
| _ | (12) Q. In other words, "Let's not bother the | (12) A. No. |
| | (13) bishop with this. You check it out first"? | (13) Q. All right. Now, was there any time - |
| | (14) A. Yes. | (14) was it your policy at any time to suggest that |
| - | (15) Q. So that you may never have seen those | (15) the priest be transferred to a different |
| | (16) things? | (16) diocese? |
| - 1996 | (17) A. Yes. | (17) A. No. |
| | (18) Q. And you would only have seen them then (19) if Monsignor Cusack – or apparently, in this | (18) Q. Were there any priests – and I'm not (19) asking for names. Were there any priests during |
| | (20) case it was Father Toomey – if he brought it to | (20) the time that you were bishop that were |
| | (21) your attention? | (21) transferred to a different diocese because they |
| - | (22) A. Yes. | (22) were found to be guilty, if you will, of |
| | (23) Q. Did there ever come a time while you | (23) pedophilic conduct in the Diocese of |
| - | (24) were bishop, that you advised your diocesan | (24) Bridgeport? |
| | (25) administrative staff, whether it was the vicar | (25) A. Yes. |
| | | |
| | Page 45 | Page 48 |
| فستور | (1) general or the vicar for clergy or whomever it | (1) Q. All right. Under those circumstances, |
| | (2) might be, that they must report to you - they | (2) would you convey to the ordinary of the new |
| | (3) must report to you any claim or complaint | (3) diocese, the complaints that had been made or |
| | (4) regarding sexual misconduct by a priest in your | (4) the findings that you made in regard to that |
| | (5) diocese? | (5) priest? When I say "you," I mean you or |
| 3 68 | (6) A. No. | (6) Monsignor - somebody under your authority. |
| | (7) Q. All right. Now, what was - assume, | (7) A. I don't recall, no. |
| -190 | (8) Bishop, that it was determined while you were (9) bishop that a priest did have a pedophilic | (8) Q. Okay. So you don't recall whether that (9) would have been done or not, is that - you say |
| | (9) bishop that a priest did have a pedophilic (10) experience, with another with a child, what | (10) you don't remember? It may have, it may not |
| - | (11) would you do? What was the diocese policy in | (11) have? |
| | (12) that regard? | (12) A. I presume that Monsignor Cusack would |
| | (13) A. Well, through Monsignor Cusack, to find | (13) have gotten in touch with his counterpart in the |
| ~ | (14) out for sure if it was pedophilia or not, | (14) area. |
| 1000 | (15) because not every – not every sexual misconduct | (15) Q. All right, so that you wouldn't do it |
| | (15) because not every – not every sexual misconduct (16) with a child is pedophilia. My policy was to | (16) yoursell? |
| | (17) find out from Monsignor Cusack, who was a | (17) A. No. |
| ~ 1990 | (18) trained professional, what the situation really | (18) Q. So it would be - it wouldn't be on the |
| | (19) was, and Monsignor Cusack would either himself | (19) level, you're telling me, of bishop to bishop, |
| | (20) provide the guidance needed professionally, or | (20) it would be vicar to vicar, whomever it might |
| | | (21) be? |
| ê na | (21) secure protessional ouidance. | |
| | (21) secure professional guidance. (22) Q. So he would do it himself, or get | (22) A. Yes. |
| > apres | (22) Q. So he would do it himself, or get | (22) A. Yes. (23) O. Who was in authority all right |
| یست محمد | | (22) A. Yes. (23) O. Who was in authority, all right. (24) MR. TREMONT: You want to take a |
| | (22) Q. So he would do it himself, or get (23) somebody from outside of the diocese, if you | (22) A. Yes. (23) O. Who was in authority all right |
| | (22) Q. So he would do it himself, or get (23) somebody from outside of the diocese, if you (24) will, to do it? | (22) A. Yes. (23) O. Who was in authority, all right. (24) MR. TREMONT: You want to take a |

Depo-Merge

| 2 ettek | Page 49 | Page 52 |
|----------------|---|--|
| | (1) MR. SWEENEY: Dr. Neuberger | (1) "If a cleric has otherwise committed an offense |
| | (2) suggested it. | (2) against the sixth commandment of the decalogue |
| | (3) MR. TREMONT: Sure. | (3) with force or threats or publicly or with a |
| | (4) (Recess: 11:16 to 11:31 a.m.) | (4) minor below the age of 16, the cleric is to be |
| | (5) BY MR. TREMONT: | (5) punished with just penalties, including |
| | (6) Q. Bishop, you had indicated to me that | (6) dismissal." |
| | (7) before becoming bishop, you had taught at a | (7) Were you aware of the fact that |
| | (8) seminary in New Jersey? | (8) having sexual relations with a minor under the |
| | (9) A. That's right. | (9) age of 16 was a violation of canon law? |
| | (10) G. And what seminary was that? | (10) A. Yes. |
| | (11) A. Immaculate Conception Seminary, | (11) Q. And was there any time that it was |
| | (12) Darlington, New Jersey. | (12) brought to your attention that a priest in your |
| | (13) Q. What did you teach? | (13) diocese had had sexual relations with a minor |
| 110 A | (14) A. Moral theology. | (14) under the age of 16? |
| | (15) Q. Moral theology. When you came to the | (15) A. Right now I don't remember. |
| - | (16) Bridgeport diocese, was there a seminary which | (16) Q. All right. Let me ask you, you say you |
| | (17) was used by the individuals who were studying | (17) don't remember whether that was brought to your |
| | (18) the priesthood for the diocese? | (18) attention, all right. You indicated to us that |
| | (19) A. We used several seminaries. One was | (19) you - that the diocese had used the Institute |
| | (20) St. John's in Brighton, Massachusetts. Another | (20) of the Living – |
| | (21) was St. Mary's in Baltimore. And - | (21) A. Yes. |
| | (22) Q. St. Bernard's? In Rochester? | (22) Q. – for its priests, and a place in |
| A | | (23) Baltimore – or Maryland you said. |
| | (23) A. Well, St. Bernard's had been used. It (24) wasn't used when I was here. | (24) A. Maryland, yes. |
| - | | (25) Q. Is it St. Luke's, do you recall, is |
| | (25) Q. All right. And as bishop, did you - | |
| | Page 50 | Page 53 |
| 14242 | (1) and also as being a former seminary professor, | (1) that – does that refresh your recollection or |
| | (2) did you review the curriculum of the seminary? | (2) not? It may not. |
| - | | (3) A. I don't recall now. |
| | (3) A. No. (4) G. You never did? | |
| | (5) A. No. They would send us each year a | (4) Q. All right, but it was someplace in (5) Maryland? |
| | (6) copy of their curriculum, but I saw no need to | (6) A. Yes. |
| | (7) review it because there were trained people in | (7) Q. And when you came to the diocese, when |
| | (8) charge. | (8) you first came as bishop, do you recall which |
| | (9) Q. All right, so that you never reviewed | (9) place was being used? |
| | (10) what was taught at the seminary in regard to the | (10) A. No. |
| | (11) individuals who ultimately became priests in | (11) Q. Okay. And was there any reason to use |
| | (12) your diocese? | (12) one place rather than another, St. Luke's as |
| | (13) A. No. | (13) opposed to the Institute of the Living? |
| - | (14) Q. Okay. Now, you had indicated before | (14) A. No. Would have been Monsignor Cusack's |
| | (15) that - I think in answer to one of my | (15) judgment as to what to do. |
| | (16) questions, that not every sexual contact with a | (16) O All right. He would make that |
| | (17) child is pedophilia. | (16) Q. All right. He would make that (17) decision? |
| | (18) A. Yeah. | (18) A. Yes. |
| | (19) Q. What do you mean by that, Bishop? | (19) Q. And as far as paying for the medical |
| | (20) A. Well, that's my understanding. | (20) expense of either St. Luke's or the Institute, |
| 19 | (21) Q. Yes, but I mean what is - what do you | (21) who would pay for that, Bishop? |
| | (22) mean by that? | (22) A. The diocese would. |
| | (23) A. Pedophilia is a specific kind of crime, | (23) Q. All right, and would the diocese |
| | (24) I guess, and unless you – unless the person is | (24) receive the medical and psychiatric reports |
| | (25) I think of some age, I'm not sure what, it isn't | (25) regarding the priest? |
| 1.000 | | |
| | Page 51 | Page 54 |
| | (1) pedophilia. | (1) A. Yes. |
| | (2) Q. In other words, it's your belief that | (2) Q. All right, and they would be directed |
| - | (3) if an individual has sexual relations with a | (3) to you? |
| | (4) child, that the child - if the child is over a | (4) A. Monsignor Cusack. |
| | (5) certain age, it's not pedophilia; is that what | (5) Q. To Monsignor Cusack. And did he review |
| | (6) you mean? | (6) any of those reports with you? |
| | (7) A. If he's under a certain age. | (7) A. Yes. |
| ~ 1990 | (8) Q. It is not or it is? | (8) Q. All right, and could you tell me what |
| | (9) A. It is not. | (9) would happen with those medical reports? Where |
| | (10) Q. So that if an individual had some sort | (10) would they go after they were received? |
| | (11) of a sexual relation or contact with a child | (11) A. They would go into the priest's file. |
| - 19 00 | (12) under a certain age, it wouldn't be considered | (12) Q. When you say "the priest's file," are |
| | (13) pedophilia? | (13) you talking about a file which is made up by the |
| . 16.450 | (14) A. Right. That's my understanding. | (14) diocese with the name of the priest on it? |
| 32 | (15) Q. That is what you were led to believe | (15) A. Yes. |
| | (16) from your reading on the subject or discussions | (16) Q. A specific record? |
| - | (17) with Father Cusack? | (17) A. Yes. |
| | (18) A. Yes. Yes. | (17) A. res. (18) Q. All right. You had mentioned before |
| | | (19) that there were times that you would take a |
| | (19) Q. All right, and you are aware of the (20) fact that there is a specific canon, canon law, | (20) complaint in regard to a priest and take it out |
| | (21) which makes it canonically illegal to have sex | (21) of the file. I think you said because it was |
| - | (21) which makes it canonically inegated have sex (22) with a child, are you not? | (22) old, or - |
| | | (23) A. Out of the secret file. |
| | (23) A. I'm not aware of the specific thing, (24) no. | (23) A. Out of the secret file, okay, and where |
| | | (24) Q. Out of the secret file, okay, and where (25) would you put that when you took it out? |
| × 100 | (25) Q. I'm referring, Bishop, to Canon 1395. | (20) would you per mar when you look it out? |
| | | |

.... 1

| • | | |
|---------------------|--|---|
| 11.15# ⁵ | Page 55 | Page 58 |
| olimer. | (1) A. I. would destroy it. | (1) generally, what were in the secret archives? |
| | (2) Q. You'd destroy it. | (2) What did they contain? What did you choose to |
| index. | (3) A. Yeah. | (3) put in there when you were bishop? |
| stical | (4) Q. And what was – give me an example of | (4) A. I don't think I should say. |
| and the | (5) what would be the reason you would do that. | (5) MR. SWEENEY: Well, the issue is |
| | 6) A. Well, it would be - it would be an | (6) the type of document. |
| | (7) antiquated issue, happened so long before, there | (7) Q. Yeah. I'm not asking you - |
| | (8) was no point in preserving it any longer. | (8) MR. SWEENEY: He's not going to (9) ask you any specific stuff, but, for example, |
| 3 | (9) Q. In other words, you would have a (10) complaint against the priest, for instance, is | (10) like the deed to the cathedral. Can you just |
| | (11) that what we're talking about? Is it a | (11) tell him like real estate deeds, this kind of |
| | (12) complaint or would it be the result of an | (12) thing. |
| | (13) investigation? | (12) thing. (13) Q. Yes. What kind of documents would go |
| - | (14) A. If I had occasion to go into that file, | (14) in there? |
| | (15) I might then see things there that I wasn't | (15) MR. SWEENEY: If you recall? |
| | (16) really looking for, but they were there, and if | (16) A. Well, there would have been documents |
| | (17) it seemed to me to be too antiquated to bother | (17) relating to diocese activity that - we had an |
| 11000 | (18) keeping, I'll just take them out and destroy | (18) annual appeal for funds, and the report on that, |
| - 2010 | (19) them. | (19) the file report on that would be |
| | (20) Q. When you say too antiquated to keep any | (20) Q. In the secret archives? |
| - | (21) longer, what do you mean by that? | (21) A. – kept in the secret archives. |
| - | (22) A. Well, it might refer to a priest who | (22) Q. All right. What other kind of |
| | (23) had died. Might have been something in the file | (23) information? |
| | (24) about a priest who had already died. | (24) A. At the moment, I don't remember. |
| - 1848 | (25) Q. In other words, it would be a dead | (25) Q. Well, you are aware of the fact, |
| | Page 55 | Page 50 |
| | Page 56 | Page 59 (1) Bishop that the special archive is a requirement |
| | (1) priest? | (1) Bishop, that the secret archive is a requirement |
| - | (2) A. Yes. | (2) of canon law, that each diocese must have a(3) secret archive? |
| , and | (3) Q. Let me ask you, do you keep did you (4) keep the files of priests after they died? | |
| | (4) keep the files of priests after they died? | (4) A. Yes. |
| | (5) A. I don't recall. | (5) Q. All right, and I want to read you from (6) Canon 489, 'There is also to be a secret archive |
| | (6) Q. Okay. Would you destroy in other (7) words, would it be would there be a situation | (7) in the diocesan curia, or at least a safe or |
| | (8) where the complaint was antiquated, it was an | (8) file in the ordinary archive, completely closed |
| | (9) old complaint, and since that time you had no | (9) and locked which cannot be removed from the |
| | (10) further complaints about the priest so you would | (10) place, and in which documents to be kept secret |
| | (11) throw them out, the old complaints? | (11) are to be protected most securely. Every year |
| _ | (12) A. That happened. | (12) documents of criminal cases are to be destroyed |
| | (13) Q. All right, and who would decide to do | (13) in matters of morals, in which the criminal has |
| | (14) that? | (14) died or in which 10 years have passed since the |
| - | (15) A. I would make the decision. | (15) condemnatory sentence." |
| | (16) Q. You'd make the decision. Would you do | (16) Did you have - while you were |
| - | (17) that on your own, Bishop, or would Monsignor | (17) bishop, were there any judicial proceedings |
| | (18) Cusack suggest, for instance, that you do that? | (18) which resulted in criminal charges being proven |
| a Million | (19) A. I'd do it on my own. | (19) against one of your priests? Any kind? |
| | (20) Q. All right. Where did you keep the | (20) A. No. |
| - | (21) secret archives, when you were bishop? | (21) Q. None, all right. So it's fair to say, |
| | (22) A. Well, they were in our - they were in | (22) then, while you were bishop, there were no |
| 100 | (23) a sale area. It was in a sale area. | (23) criminal records that you put in the archives? |
| | (24) Q. And was that over at the diocese | (24) A. That's right. |
| | (25) offices? | (25) Q. All right. And the - what other kinds |
| 450 | | |
| | Page 57 | Page 60 |
| | (1) A. Yes. | (1) of things did you have in there besides the |
| | (2) Q. Which were on Jewett Avenue? | (2) financial - some financial records? |
| | (3) A. Yes. | (3) A. Well, communications from the Holy See. |
| | (4) Q. If I recall, you were living at the | (4) Q. So that if you received anything from (5) the Holy - not anything, but some things from |
| - | (5) rectory at St. Augustine's Cathedral, were you | (6) the Holy See, you might decide to put those in |
| | (6) not, when you were bishop, towards the end? (7) A Yoa | (7) there? |
| | (7) A. Yes. (8) O You originally lived in the big bound | (8) A. Yes. |
| | (8) Q. You originally lived in the big house | (9) A. Yes. (9) Q. Were there in the secret archives, any |
| الطوير. | (9) that Bishop Sheehan had bought on Villa Avenue? | (10) records regarding your priests? |
| 4 | (10) A. That's right. | (11) A. I don't recall now what was in there. |
| | (11) Q. And then you moved over to the (12) rectory – | (12) Q. All right, but would there be - for |
| * 1924 | (12) rectory | (13) example, if we - if there was a complaint |
| | | (14) against one of your priests, would that have |
| | (14) Q. – and sold the house. But these (15) records would have been over on Jewett Avenue? | (15) been put in the secret archives as well as in |
| | (16) A. Yes. | (16) the personnel file or in one place rather than |
| - | (10) A. Yes. (17) Q. Who had access to those records, | (17) the other? |
| C SAME | (17) G. who had access to those records, (18) besides yourself? | (18) A. Likely the secret archive rather than |
| | (19) A. It was a double key arrangement. The | (19) the general. |
| - | (20) vicar general would keep one key and i would | (20) Q. Than the general one. And when you |
| | (20) vical general would keep one key and I would (21) keep the other. | (21) indicated to us before that you had seen, you |
| 17400 | (21) keep the other. (22) Q. All right, so the two keys were | (22) said, some documents which you thought were |
| | (22) G. All right, so the two keys were (23) required | (23) antiquated and you were able to remove, |
| | (23) required | (24) regarding priests, those were from the secret |
| | (24) A. Yes. (25) Q. – to open up these things? And, | (25) archives? |
| | (co) a to open up these things: And, | |
| 100000 | | |

| | Page 73 | Page 76 |
|--|--|--|
| | Page 73 (1) Q. Would they ever be put in the secret | Page 76 (1) that was a danger? |
| | (2) archives? | (2) A. No. No. |
| * 18 8 | (3) A. They might be, yes. | (3) Q. Why not? |
| - 100 | (4) Q. All right. Would a copy of that letter (5) ever be cost to Borne? | (4) A. Well, after if they have gone |
| | (5) ever be sent to Rome?(6) A. No. | (5) through treatment, you know, and the treatment (6) house in guestion would have indicated that it |
| | (7) Q. All right. Would a copy of that letter | (7) was safe for them to return to priestly duties. |
| | (8) or file ever be sent to Washington, DC? | (8) Q. So you're saying then that you relied |
| I | (9) A. No. | (9) upon the fact that the Institute of the Living |
| | (10) (Discussion off the record.) (11) Q. Now, could you tell me how - could you | (10) or the place in Maryland would indicate that (11) they would be safe for priestly duties? |
| | (12) tell me how many – do you remember how many | (12) A. Yes. |
| **** | (13) priests - approximately how many priests were | (13) Q. Okay. Now, did you, through Monsignor |
| - | (14) in the diocese, you know, during the time you | (14) Cusack or whomever, see to it that these persons |
| | (15) were bishop? Roughly? (15) MR_SWEENEY: Bardon me_Councel | (15) who came back and were reassigned to different |
| | (16) MR. SWEENEY: Pardon me, Counsel, (17) just a matter of form. You mean at any one | (16) parishes were closely monitored after their (17) treatment? |
| | (18) point in time? | (18) A. I believe, but I'm not sure that each |
| - | (19) MR. TREMONT: Yes, basically. | (19) place had a policy of having them come back to |
| - | (20) Q. Just a general number. I know it | (20) the you know, for a recheck every month or |
| - | (21) changed from year to year, but just a general (22) number. | (21) so. (22) Q. All right. Let's assume that that was |
| | (22) number. (23) A. I don't remember. | (22) G. All right. Let's assume that that was (23) the policy, that they went back to the |
| | (24) Q. You don't remember. | (24) Institute - for example, went back to Hartford |
| | (25) A. No. | (25) and had a recheck. Did you in the diocese have |
| 500 | | Page 77 |
| | Page 74 (1) Q. Okay. Did you make it a point, let's | Page 77 (1) a policy that you, yourself, your |
| | (2) say, to know each priest personally? Did you | (2) administrators, would monitor that particular |
| | (3) try to meet with each priest at some time during | (3) priest and his activities at the new parish? |
| | (4) a year? | (4) A. No. |
| | (5) A. It was not a policy, but factually it(6) would work out that way. | (5) Q. All right. And I believe that you (6) indicated to me that you would keep secret the |
| - | (7) Q. All right, and if a priest had a | (7) accusations against that priest and the report, |
| | (8) problem, a personal problem, to whom would he go | (8) the psychiatric reports that you might receive |
| | (9) with that problem? | (9) from the Institute; is that correct? |
| | (10) A. Well, he could go to Monsignor Cusack | (10) A. Yes. (11) O. You wouldn't lot the postern or |
| | (11) or he could come directly to me.(12) Q. All right, and was your door always | (11) Q. You wouldn't let the pastors or (12) somebody else know that this priest was sent up, |
| and the second sec | (12) Q. All light, and was your door always (13) open, Bishop? | (12) somebody else know that this phest was sent up, (13) this would be a private affair - |
| | (14) A. Yes, it was, yes. | (14) A. Yes. |
| alant. | (15) Q. All right. Now, let me ask you, as far | (15) Q between yourself and the Monsignor, |
| | (16) as complaints regarding a priest having sexual (17) involvement with a teenager, we made a | (16) and whoever had need to know; is that a fair (17) statement? |
| - | (18) distinction I think that a - I believe that a | (17) statement? (18) A. Yes. Yes. |
| | (19) pedophile would be an individual who would have | (19) Q. All right. Now, when there were more |
| | (20) sex with a child under the age of puberty, all | (20) than one complaint, when after such complaints, |
| | (21) right. As far as a person having sex with a (22) teenager, 14, 15, 16 years old, would that be a | (21) Bishop, there were subsequent complaints about (22) the priest for more than one time after the |
| 9 988 | (23) matter of concern in regard to the diocese? | (23) treatment, what was your policy in regard to |
| | (24) A. Yes. | (24) those priests? |
| | (25) Q. All right. How would those persons be | (25) A. I had no policy. |
| ** | Page 75 | |
| - 199 | Page 75 (1) handled? | Page 78 (1) Q. Well, would there be a time that you |
| - | (2) A. Well, if there had to be a | (2) would - would you just - would you transfer |
| | (3) complaint, first of all, since the presumption | (3) them again to another parish, if there were a |
| | (4) is it didn't happen unless you have a complaint (5) with some testimony to it | (4) problem? |
| | (5) with some testimony to it.(6) Q. And if there was the complaint, then | (5) A. No. We would try to, you know, again, (6) put them in touch with somebody who was |
| A11 | (7) how would that be handled? | (7) competent to guide them. |
| | (8) A. Then it would go to Monsignor Cusack's | (8) Q. All right. |
| 19 400 | (9) hands. | (9) A. Monsignor Cusack again would steer me |
| | (10) Q. Now, when these persons came back, that | (10) on this. But we didn't transfer just for change |
| | (11) you told us about, from the Institute of the (12) Living or from St. Luke's in Maryland – from | (11) of scenery. (12) Q. All right. In other words, you would |
| | (13) Maryland – you didn't know the name of the | (12) G. All right. In other words, you would (13) give them the treatment first? |
| | (14) place - you said that they would be reassigned | (14) A. Yes. |
| <u> من المن المن المن المن المن المن المن ا</u> | (15) to another parish, correct? | (15) Q. All right. Now, you indicated that |
| | (16) A. Yes. | (16) there was a time - there did come a time when a |
| | (17) Q. All right. And would they be | (17) priest would be transferred out of the diocese? |
| 4 910 | (18) reassigned to the duties of a priest? | (18) A. Yes. (19) Q. All right. Who made that |
| السن ا | (19) A. Yes. (20) Q. All right. And you were aware, were | (19) G. All right, who made that (20) determination? |
| | (21) you not, at that point, that being - continuing | (21) A. Well, it would be made by myself - I |
| | (22) to be a parish priest, that they would, for | (22) guess by the priest himself, first of all. By |
| | (23) example, come in contact with altar boys? | (23) the priest himself, and then by the diocese. |
| | (24) A. Yes. (25) O. All sight. Did you get consider that | (24) Q. All right. Now, do you recall how (25) the number of priests that were transferred out |
| | (25) Q. All right. Did you not consider that | (20) the number of prices that were transferred out |
| | | |
| | | |

| | Page 67 | Page 70 |
|---------------|---|---|
| | (1) the Recollection Day – | (1) signed by a J. Landro. States, "I'll ask some |
| | (2) A. Right. | (2) of the questions now and expect a letter in |
| | (3) Q that you would use that particular | (3) reply. The first is, did the priest in question |
| 6 | (4) speaker. Now, was there any requirement in the | (4) admit to molesting me when I was a youngster? |
| - | 5) diocese when you were bishop, that if a pastor | (5) The second and more important is can you assure |
| | or a yeah, if a pastor saw something unusual (7) happening with one of the priests in his parish | (6) me he will not be in a position to do this again (7) to another young person? |
| | (8) or rectory, that he report that to you or your | (8) Do you ever remember receiving a |
| | (9) emissary, whether it was the vicar general or | (9) copy of such letter? |
| | (10) Monsignor Cusack? | (10) A. No. |
| - | (11) A. There was no rule, no. | (11) Q. All right. Is it possible that even |
| | (12) Q. There was no rule. Did that happen? | (12) though the letter indicates that a copy was sent |
| | (13) Did you get complaints from pastors about | (13) to you, as well as Monsignor Cusack, to whom it |
| | (14) illicit activities of priests or curates, (15) whether it was alcohol or sex or what have you? | (14) is directed, that that might not have gotten to |
| — | (15) Whether it was alcohol of sex of what have you? (16) A. No. They would – any such complaint | (15) your desk? (16) A. It might not have been sent even. |
| | (17) would go either to the vicar general or to | (17) Q. No, but I'm saying if it were sent, is |
| - | (18) Monsignor Cusack. | (18) it possible that somebody wouldn't have shown |
| | (19) Q. All right. And then would that be | (19) you that letter? |
| | (20) discussed with you or would it be handled by | (20) A. No. It would have gone to Monsignor |
| | (21) them? | (21) Cusack. |
| 19. | (22) A. If they thought it wise, yes, or | (22) Q. All right, so you might not have seen |
| | (23) necessary. (24) Q. Okay. So if they thought it was grave | (23) it? |
| | (25) enough or if there was enough substance, I | (24) A. If it were sent out by letter. (25) Q. And why – and I'm asking you, as the |
| | (| |
| | Page 68 | Page 71 |
| | (1) assume they would come to you? | (1) bishop at that time, a letter - there's a |
| | (2) A. Yes. | (2) letter claiming sexual abuse against Father |
| | (3) Q. And your policy, as I understand it, | (3) Pcolka, why would Monsignor Cusack suggest to |
| - | (4) would be to tell, let's say, Monsignor Cusack; (5) you'd role on his actrica? | (4) the writer of that letter that they contact |
| Links | (5) you'd rety on his advice?(6) A. Yes. Yes. | (5) Attorney James O'Connell? What was that about, (6) that policy? |
| | (7) Q. And whatever he particularly thought, | (7) A. I would have to presume it would be |
| | (8) you'd go along with generally? | (8) precautionary in case there was a suit entered |
| 1999 | (9) A. Right. | (9) into against the diocese. |
| | (10) Q. Okay. Now, the - you indicated to us | (10) Q. Would - as far as suits against the |
| | (11) that Coles, O'Connell & Dolan were your | (11) diocese at that time, would you advise your |
| | (12) attorneys while you were bishop? | (12) insurance carrier if there was such a claim? |
| - | 13) A. Yes. | (13) A. I don't know. |
| | (15) abuse are concerned the discess had insurance | (14) Q. Well, who would be in charge of that? |
| | (15) abuse are concerned, the diocese had insurance, (16) did it not, to cover that? | (15) A. Monsignor Devine was our manager of all (16) finances. |
| | (17) A. It had I think the ordinary insurance | (17) Q. So it would be the financial manager |
| 1 | (18) coverage. | (18) that would handle that? |
| | (19) Q. Yes. You had ordinary insurance | (19) A. Yes. |
| | (20) coverage for that. | (20) Q. And let me ask you, did you have a |
| | (21) A. Yes. | (21) policy in regard to settling claims that were (22) made against the diocese for sexual abuse? Did |
| - | (22) Q. Now, I note that in the letter which I (23) read to you and I'll just read it again. And | (23) you have any policy in that regard? |
| | (24) perhaps what I should do, Bishop, is read you | (24) A. No. |
| | (25) another letter to Monsignor Cusack with a copy | (25) Q. Did you report - you don't know |
| | | |
| - | Page 69 | Page 72 |
| | (1) to you. Let me find it. I'll have Douglas look | (1) whether you reported those claims to your |
| | (2) for it.(3) I note in the letter, Plaintiffs' | (2) insurance company? (3) A. No, I don't. |
| | (4) Exhibit 1 for identification, Bishop, that | (4) Q. All right. What were - what was the |
| | (5) was - that I read to you before, and I will | (5) position of Attorney O'Connell? What I mean by |
| مىرى ي | (6) just reread part of it to refresh your | (6) that, what was he supposed to do in these cases? |
| | (7) recollection, it was addressed to an individual | (7) A. He would give what legal guidance was |
| | (8) from Monsignor Cusack and says, "I received your | (8) necessary, as he saw it. |
| - | (9) letter of June 30th, 1983. From the very | (9) Q. All right. Do you recall, as you sit |
| | (10) beginning of our contact, I assured you that the | (10) here today, how many cases - how many claims of |
| A | (11) entire matter concerning Father Raymond Pcolka, | (11) sexual abuse that the diocese settled while (12) I'm not saying whether they were valid or not, |
| | (12) as given to me by you, would be professionally(13) communicated and treated. Since your letter of | (13) but that the diocese settled while you were |
| 99 002 | (14) June 30th seems to indicate some doubt that this | (14) bishop? |
| | (15) has been done, I ask that you direct all | (15) A. I can't recall any. |
| | (16) communications to our diocesan lawyer, Attorney | (16) Q. You recall none, all right. The - if |
| | (17) James O'Connell." Okay? | (17) a claim was made of sexual abuse, such as the |
| म्ब्राह्य | (18) And, Bishop, there is a letter of | (18) letters which I showed you, Bishop, where would |
| | (19) June 30th, 1983, which is marked as Plaintiffs' | (19) those letters be kept? |
| | (20) Exhibit 4 | (20) A. If they were - if a priest was named, |
| | (21) (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 4 marked | (21) they would be kept in the priest's file, his |
| - | (22) for identification.) | (22) individual file. |
| | (23) Q for identification, which is (24) addressed to Moneigner Cusack, a copy is sent to | (23) Q. So they would be in his individual (24) file? |
| 19 11 | (24) addressed to Monsignor Cusack, a copy is sent to (25) you, at least you're shown as a copy on it, | (25) A. Yes. |
| | (,), at load you're allonn as a oopy oli it, | |
| - | | |

Depo-Merge

| | Dana 155 | Dens 150 |
|-------------------|--|--|
| 法法 | Page 155 (1) BISHOP WALTER CURTIS, | Page 158 (1) A Hower at Lthick Holy Cross Church |
| | (2) called as a witness, having been previously | (1) A. He was at, I think, Holy Cross Church. (2) O All right And where was that parish |
| | (3) duly swom, was examined and testified as | (2) Q. All right. And where was that parish (3) at the time you first met Father Farkas? |
| | (4) follows: | (4) A. In Bridgeport. |
| - | (5) MR. TREMONT: This is just a | (5) Q. In Bridgeport. And to your knowledge, |
| | 6) continuation of the depositions which were taken | (6) does the parish still exist in Bridgeport? |
| 79 7 00 | (7) of Bishop Curtis on July 31st of 1995 and August | (7) A. No. |
| | (8) 8th of 1996, and these depositions are | (8) Q. What happened to the parish? |
| | (10) MR. SWEENEY: Before you go too | (9) A. It was transferred to Fairfield. |
| | (11) far, can we give the video man a chance to get | (10) Q. To Fairfield. And is that a national (11) parish? |
| _ | (12) his equipment going? | (12) A. Yes. It was a Slovak parish. |
| | (13) Are you ready, sir? | (13) Q. Do you recall having complaints in the |
| | (14) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I usually | (14) early 1960s from parishioners regarding the |
| | (15) start with a read on, sir. | (15) violation of the vow of chastity by Father |
| | (16) (Discussion off the record.) | (16) Farkas? |
| - | (17) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on | (17) A. No. |
| | (18) record. This is the continuation of testimony | (18) Q. No such recollection? |
| | (19) by Bishop Walter Curtis, which was suspended on | (19) A. No. |
| | (20) August 8th, 1996, at 11:55 a.m., in the case of | (20) Q. All right. Did you ever have any |
| - | (21) George L. Rosado, Jr., et al. plaintiff, versus | (21) complaints regarding the violation of chastity |
| | (22) Bridgeport Roman Catholic Diocesan Corporation, | (22) by Father Farkas? |
| - 1 | (23) et al., defendant, case No. CV 930302072S, and (24) Brian Freibott, et al., plaintiff, versus | (23) A. No. |
| | (25) Bridgeport Roman Catholic Diocesan Corporation, | (24) Q. All right. Now, what about Father Fred (25) Constantino, do you recall Father Constantino? |
| anital Aliante | | |
| | Page 156 | Page 159 |
| - | (1) et al., defendant, case No. CV940316574S. | (1) A. Yes. |
| | (2) Would everyone please introduce | |
| | (3) themselves for the record? | (2) Q. Could you tell me as far as Father (3) Constantino is concerned, where was he - what |
| Transa. | (4) MR. TREMONT: T. Paul Tremont, | (4) was his parish? |
| | (5) representing the plaintiffs. | (5) A. He was a pastor of - in Bridgeport, of |
| | (6) MS. ROBINSON: Cindy L. Robinson | (6) Holy Rosary, I believe. |
| | (7) representing plaintiffs. | (7) Q. All right. Had you ever had any |
| | (8) MR. LYONS: Attorney Henry Lyons | (8) complaints regarding sexual conduct involving |
| | (9) representing James Krug and Jamie Bellaville in | (9) children from persons regarding Father (10) Constanting? |
| | (10) companion cases. (11) MS. COUGHLIN: Barbara Coughlin | (10) Constantino? (11) A. No. |
| | (12) representing defendant, Father Raymond Pcolka, | (12) Q. All right. Did you ever have any |
| 1000 | (13) P-c-o-I-k-a. | (13) complaints regarding the violation of the vow of |
| | (14) MR. CONWAY: Matthew Conway, | (14) chastity against Father Constantino? |
| | (15) representing the defendants, Bishop Walter | (15) A. No. |
| | (16) Curtis, Bishop Edward Egan and Bridgeport Roman | (16) Q. All right. Now, do you know the |
| - | (17) Catholic Diocesan Corporation. | (17) Reverend Laurence Brett? |
| | (18) MR. SWEENEY: Joseph T. Sweeney | (18) A. Yes. |
| | (19) of Halloran & Sage, also representing the | (19) Q. How did you know Father Brett? |
| | (20) defendant, Bridgeport Roman Catholic Diocesan | (20) A. I ordained him as a priest, I believe. |
| - | (21) Corporation and the defendants, Bishop Walter (22) Curtis, Bishop Edward Egan and Monsignor Andrew | (21) Q. You ordained him? (22) A. Yes. |
| | (23) Cusak, and also the record should reflect seated | (23) Q. Do you recall what parish Father Brett |
| - | (24) at the table is Monsignor Laurence Bronkiewicz | (24) was assigned to? |
| | (25) as the representative of the Bridgeport | (25) A. He was, I think, a chaplain at Sacred |
| - | | |
| | Page 157 | Page 160 |
| يسخي ا | (1) diocese. | (1) Heart University. |
| | (2) MR. TREMONT: And there's also | (2) Q. And do you recall any complaints of |
| | (3) present in the room | (3) sexual abuse being brought against Father Brett? |
| | (4) MR. SWEENEY: Dr. Frank Federico,(5) the director of medicine here at St. Joseph's | (4) A. Yes. (5) Q. You do? All right. And could you tell |
| لتمرز | (5) the director of medicine here at St. Joseph's(6) Manor, is also present. | (6) me what complaint of sexual abuse was there |
| H. | (7) MR. TREMONT: All right. We'll | (7) against Father Brett? |
| | (8) continue on with this deposition in accordance | (8) A. It involved, I think, a student of |
| 1 Martin | (9) with the prior agreements and rules which are | (9) Sacred Heart University, was not a child. |
| Manuar. | (10) all on record. | (10) Q. Could you elaborate, please? |
| | (11) CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION | (11) MR. SWEENEY: Do you want the |
| | (12) BY MR. TREMONT: | (12) bishop to explain the nature of the misconduct? |
| - | (13) Q. Good morning, Bishop. | (13) Q. I don't - Did you say it was a |
| | (14) A. Good morning. | (14) student at Sacred Heart University? |
| | (15) Q. Bishop, let me ask you a few questions, | (15) A. Not a student. |
| | (16) if I may, about some of the priests that were | (16) Q. He was not a student? |
| - | (17) under your jurisdiction when you were Bishop of | (17) A. It was a student involved in the |
| | (18) Bridgeport. Did you know a Father Andrew | (18) misconduct, yes? |
| | (19) Farkas? | (19) Q. All right. And what was the nature of (20) the misconduct? |
| | (20) A. Yes. (21) O. And do you recall how long Father | (21) A. It was sexual. |
| | (21) Q. And do you recall how long Father (22) Farkas was a priest in the diocese? | (22) Q. Was it a male student? |
| | (22) Parkas was a priest in the diocese? (23) A. No, I don't know. | (23) A. Yes. |
| عمنون | (24) Q. Do you recail what church Father Farkas | (24) Q. All right. So he was engaged in sexual |
| - 199 | (25) was assigned to? | (25) activity with a male student? |
| | - | |
| | | |

-

| 1979/2 | | |
|----------------|--|--|
| | Des. 101 | Dense 404 |
| s\$40 | Page 161 | Page 164 |
| | (1) A. Right. | (1) Q. All right. Is it possible if this |
| -12986 | (2) Q. And that was at Sacred Heart | (2) incident occurred during the time that Monsignor |
| | (3) University? | (3) Toomey was Vicar of the Clergy, Monsignor Toomey |
| - Sec.8 | (4) A. Yes. | (4) was involved in this - in investigating this |
| | (5) Q. And how was that brought to your(6) attention, Bishop? | (5) incident along with you? |
| | | (6) MR. SWEENEY: All right, Counsel. |
| - | (7) A. I don't remember. | (7) One correction. The Bishop's answer was that he (8) was Vicar General, not |
| | (8) Q. And, Bishop, was that I will (9) withdraw that. | (9) MR. TREMONT: Vicar General, all |
| \$ 40 1 | (10) Do you recall more than one | |
| | (11) complaint of sexual abuse in regard to Father | (10) right. |
| त्वाह | | (11) We have to reread that question |
| | (12) Brett? | (12) back, please, with the change that Mr. Sweeney |
| | (13) A. No. | (13) made. |
| . | (14) Q. Just this one complaint? | (14) (Question read.) |
| | (15) A. One. | (15) MR. SWEENEY: This is in |
| 14646 | (16) Q. And do you recall when this occurred? | (16) reference to investigating the complaint against |
| | (17) A. No, I don't. | (17) Father Brett; is that correct? |
| | (18) Q. Isn't it a fact that it occurred in the | (18) MR. TREMONT: The complaint he |
| | (19) early 1960s? | (19) remembers against Father Brett. |
| | (20) A. I don't remember. | (20) Well, I'll withdraw the question. |
| | (21) Q. If I asked you this, Bishop, that | (21) Let me repeat the question. |
| | (22) according to the Catholic Directory of the | (22) Q. Would Monsignor Toomey then, could he |
| - 1 | (23) Diocese prepared by the Diocese of Bridgeport, | (23) have been involved in investigating the |
| | (24) Father Brett was at Cecilia – St. Cecelia | (24) complaint against Father Brett if indeed the |
| - | (25) Church in Stamford from 1963 through 1964, and | (25) complaint was filed before Monsignor Cusak was |
| - 19990 | | |
| | Page 162 | Page 165 |
| | (1) from 1965 on, he was on a leave of absence | (1) on the job? |
| | (2) outside of the diocese, wouldn't that mean that | (2) A. Yes. |
| S-garant | (3) these activities would have had occurred while | (3) Q. All right. Now, do you recall being |
| | (4) he was with the diocese before 1965? | (4) told that this young man, Mr. Frechette, |
| 1 | (5) A. I don't follow you on that. | (5) committed suicide? |
| | (6) Q. Well, if Father Brett was on a leave of | (6) A. No. |
| | (7) absence from the diocese after 1965, would not | (7) Q. You don't remember that? |
| * 3483 | (8) these incidents have occurred prior to 1965 | (8) A. No. |
| | (9) while he was active with the diocese? | (9) Q. Do you remember meeting at least two |
| 3 68 | (10) A. Yes. | (10) times with these parents in regard to this |
| | (11) Q. All right. You don't remember from | (11) incident? |
| | (12) whom you got that complaint? | (12) A. No. |
| | (13) A. No. | (13) Q. Do you remember getting information |
| | (14) Q. Did you know a F-004 | (14) from the apostolic delegates office in |
| | (15) A. Yes. | (15) Washington, D.C., regarding the complaint of |
| | (16) Q. All right. Who is Mrs. F-004 ? | (16) sexual abuse by Father Laurence Brett? |
| 79000 | (17) A. I don't know. | (17) A. No. |
| | (18) Q. You don't <u>know. Do vou rememb</u> er | (18) Q. You don't remember that. |
| | (19) meeting with F-004 and M-004 | (19) A. No. |
| | (20) the parents of the young man who was sexually | (20) Q. Do you remember - do you have - I'll |
| | (21) involved with Father Brett? | (21) withdraw that. |
| 的 | (22) A. No. | (22) Do you remember seeing |
| | (23) Q. You don't recall meeting with them? | (23) correspondence regarding the complaint of Father |
| | (24) \mathbf{A} . No. | (24) Brett? |
| | | (25) A. I don't remember it, no. |
| | (25) Q. All right. Let me ask you, do you deny | |
| - | Page 163 | Page 166 |
| -1754 | (1) meeting with them or you don't remember? | (1) Q. All right. Now, do you remember a |
| | (2) A. I don't remember, because my policy was | (1) U. All right. Now, do you remember a (2) complaint by a young man named Frank Montinelli |
| | (2) A. I contremember, because my policy was (3) to ask Monsignor Cusak to handle complaints that | (3) regarding Father Brett? |
| 1000 | (4) came in against priests, and he may have met | (4) A. No. |
| | (4) came in against presis, and he may have met (5) with them. | (5) O Tell up what you did after you reached |
| | (6) Q. All right, but the – when you first | (5) Q. Tell us what you did after you received (6) the complaint regarding Father Brett. |
| | (7) started with the diocese as bishop of the | (7) A. I think I asked Monsignor Toomey to |
| | (8) diocese, Father Cusak wasn't the Vicar of | (8) interview him and report to me about it. |
| | (a) clocese, rainer cusak wasn't ne vicar or (9) Clergy, was he? | |
| | | (9) Q. And what did he report to you? |
| | (10) A. No. (11) O All right And on a matter of fact. | (10) A. I don't remember. |
| | (11) Q. All right. And as a matter of fact, | (11) Q. You remember the complaint; is that |
| | (12) you had somebody else in that position, did you | (12) correct? |
| ~ | (13) not? | (13) A. Yes. |
| | (14) MR. SWEENEY: Counsel, just to | (14) Q. And you don't remember what you did |
| | (15) clarify your question, do you mean someone else | (15) about the complaint, what happened? |
| | (16) in the position of Vicar of Clergy or someone | (16) A. No. |
| 1000 | (17) else who handled – | (17) Q. You don't remember what happened to |
| | (18) MR. TREMONT: Vicar of Clergy. | (18) Father Brett? |
| فتنغدر | (19) MR. SWEENEY: Those kind of | (19) A. Well, Father Brett was - No, I don't |
| | (20) complaints. | (20) remember now. |
| | (21) Q. Is that correct, Bishop? | (21) Q. Was he sent down to New Mexico at the |
| - | (22) MR. SWEENEY: Do you understand | (22) time? Do you recall? |
| | (23) that question, Bishop? | (23) A. I don't recall. |
| 18 | (24) A. Well, I know I have relied on Monsignor | (24) Q. Do you recall whether he was sent to |
| | (25) Toomey, Vicar General at the time. | (25) any psychological or psychiatric institution for |
| | • | |
| 1000 | | |
| | | |

Page 3

007489

| | Page 167 | Page 170 |
|-------------------|---|--|
| ાંકોલે | (1) examination? | (1) Are you aware of the fact that he |
| 100 | (2) A. No. | (2) was at Steubenville College while he apparently |
| | (3) Q. Was he removed from his position in the | (3) is listed as on duty outside of your diocese? |
| | (4) diocese? | (4) A. No. |
| | (5) A. Yes. He was no longer chaplain at | (5) Q. If he went to the Archdiocese of |
| | (6) Sacred Heart University. | (6) Newark, Bishop, would he have been transferred |
| | (7) Q. What did you do with him? What did you | (7) to that Archdiocese? How would that have |
| | (8) do with him, Bishop? | (8) worked? |
| • | (9) MR. SWEENEY: If you recall. | (9) A. Well, Steubenville was a center for |
| | (10) A. I don't recall now. | (10) charismatic programs, and I don't remember |
| | (11) Q. Did you then set up a policy regarding | (11) whether he went there for that purpose or was - |
| | (12) sexual abuse between priests of your diocese and | (12) or was permitted by Newark to do that, I don't |
| - | (13) students as a result of that incident? | (13) know. |
| | (14) A. No. | (14) Q. Would he have been transferred - would |
| 44 | (15) Q. Now, do you know the Reverend Joseph | (15) he have transferred to Newark, or would he still |
| | (16) Moore? | (16) have been a member of the Bridgeport diocese? |
| | (17) A. Yes. | (17) A. He would still be a member of the |
| | (18) Q. And how do you know Father Moore? | (18) diocese, yes. |
| 3.000 | (19) A. I don't remember. | (19) Q. Do you recall discussing with Father |
| | (20) Q. All right. Was he a priest in your | (20) Cusiak, Monsignor Cusiak - |
| | (21) diocese? | (21) MR. SWEENEY: Monsignor Cusak. |
| Hange . | (22) A. Yes. | (22) Q. Cusak, the claims of sexual abuse that |
| | (23) Q. All right. Do you remember any | (23) were brought against Father Moore? Do you |
| | (24) complaints of sexual abuse in regard to Father | (24) remember discussing it with him? |
| | (25) Moore? | (25) A. I don't remember, but if these |
| | | |
| 300 | Page 168 | Page 171 |
| | (1) A. I don't remember. | (1) complaints came after Monsignor Cusak was Vicar |
| | | (2) for Religious, I would have turned the case over |
| | (2) Q. Do you remember Father Moore being (3) accused of sexually abusing children, boys, | (3) to him and asked him to follow through on it. |
| | (4) while he was at the Assumption Parish in | (4) Q. You would remember, though, would you |
| | (5) Westport? | (5) not, the - if a priest is - I will withdraw |
| | (6) A. No. | (6) that. |
| | (7) Q. You don't remember the parents of two | (7) Under the canon law, Bishop, you |
| | (8) boys contacting you, Bishop, in regard to the | (8) are responsible to see that your priests - as |
| 19 ijuni j | (9) sexual abuse by Joseph Moore? | (9) ordinaries, that your priests remain chaste; is |
| | (10) A. No. | (10) that correct, they follow the vow of chastity? |
| | (11) Q. You don't recall advising these parents | (11) A. Yes. |
| | (12) that they would meet with Father Cusak in regard | (12) Q. And that is your requirement as the |
| - Triang | (13) to the sexual abuse which occurred while Father | (13) ordinary? |
| | (14) Moore was at the Church of the Assumption in | (14) A. Yes. |
| - | (15) Westport? | (15) Q. All right. Now, if, indeed, there were |
| | (16) A. No. | (16) these complaints that priests were sexually |
| | (17) Q. Is there any reason, Bishop, why you | (17) involved with children or with parishioners, |
| - | (18) remember the Brett case but you don't remember | (18) that - it would be your responsibility, would |
| | (19) any of the other claims of sexual abuse or | (19) it not, to investigate that, that claim, and be |
| | (20) reports that I am asking you about; any reason | (20) satisfied that that either was or was not true; |
| | (21) why the Brett case is especially in your memory? | (21) it's your ultimate responsibility, isn't it? |
| - | (22) A. Well, Sacred Heart University was new, | (22) A. Yes. |
| | (23) and this was - it was against the character of | (23) Q. All right. And wouldn't you remember |
| | (24) the university. It was a black mark, so to | (24) these instances, if you and Monsignor Cusak |
| | (25) speak. | (25) spoke, regarding the - a charge as serious as |
| | | |
| | Page 169 | Page 172 |
| | (1) Q. And that's why that stands in your | (1) sexually abusing children, I mean, wouldn't that |
| | (2) mind? | (2) be something that you would talk about and |
| | (3) A. Yes. | (3) something you would be interested in, that you |
| | (4) G. All right. Do you remember a (5) Mr. M-003 | (4) just wouldn't push aside? |
| | (6) regarding the sexual abuse of his son by Father | (5) A. If I gave it to Monsignor Cusak to take (6) care of, then I would be content that I had done |
| | (7) Moore who was at the Assumption Parish? | (7) all that was needed for my part. |
| | (8) A. No. | (8) Q. You wouldn't be interested in what |
| | (8) A. No. (9) Q. Do you remember a Mr. Shaughnessy | (9) Monsignor Cusak found or what he concluded or |
| | (10) speaking to you about the sexual abuse of - by | (10) you just - |
| . 6148 | (11) Father Moore while he was at his parish? | (11) MR. SWEENEY: Pardon me, Counsel, |
| 4 | (12) A. No. | (12) he is not saying that. All he is saying is he |
| | | (13) refers it to him. |
| | (13) Q. All right. Do you know what happened (14) to Father Moore? Do you have any idea of | (14) Q. Well, that's what – After you |
| | (15) what – where he is? | (15) referred it, you would continue on the case, |
| 21 | | (16) wouldn't you, or would you just put it aside and |
| - | (16) A. No. | (17) say "Well, he took care of it"? What would you |
| | (17) Q. Let me ask you, Father Moore apparently | (17) say well, he look care of it? What would you (18) do? |
| | (18) is - has been on duty outside of the diocese | (19) MR. SWEENEY: Counsel, is the |
| | (19) since 1984. Do you have any idea of where he (20) might be? Do you have any idea where he might | |
| 1 | (20) might be? Do you have any idea where he might | (20) point of your question, would be receive a |
| | (21) be? | (21) report back? Is that – (22) MR. TREMONT: No. |
| | (22) A. I believe he became involved in | |
| | (23) charismatic work and went to the Archdiocese in | (23) Q. Bishop, you would bring it to Father - |
| | | |
| | (24) Newark. (25) O How do you I'll withdraw that | (24) you would ask Father Cusak to take care of it? |
| | (24) Newark. (25) Q. How do you - I'll withdraw that. | (24) you would ask Father Cusak to take care of it? (25) A. Yes. |
| | · · | |