

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
April 15, 1991

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your kindness
in answering my letter.

In your letter you asked if I
knew of any written documentation,
specially on the diocese level. The only
thing I knew of - and this is only relating
to the alcoholism - is when Fr. Ransom wrote
his horrible letter to [REDACTED] & to me. We immediately
took the letter & showed it to Fr. Moran.

Then - and I don't know the
time span - when Sister Celine, who was
the Superintendent of the Diocese schools,
came over to I H M School for her
visitation, our principal asked me to
show Sister Celine the letter. I never

50600911

(2)

showed the letter to our principal because she, & other sisters were vilified by him in it.

Anyway, Sister Celina asked me for permission to show a copy of the letter to Bishop Johnson & I agreed. Word came back to me that the copy was sent back to the treatment center in Massachusetts.

Then when Fr. Ramon returned, I decided I was not going to be in fear & trembling of him, & I asked at the rectory for an appointment with him. The one called me back the next day & said Fr. Ramon told her that he was under orders not to have any contact with me.

I was very angered because at the same time he was free to contact any one else he wanted to — including, as we now know, Mothering

50600912

(3)

help like yours [REDACTED]

There is no question in my mind that Mrs. Driscoll had a great deal of knowledge about Mr. Ramos. [REDACTED] talked to him on several occasions & she had him talk with one of the parents.

Are records from the treatment center being sought - if anything of his sexual disorder came out?

I must say that when I spoke with Bishop Johnson about the allegations he was truly surprised & shocked at our testimony. And, I think at that point he turned the matter over to Mrs. Driscoll. I hope Mrs. Driscoll is added to the list of those being sued. Because he is alive & he cannot say that all of this happened ~~at~~ before his time.

50600913

(4)

[redacted] was very angered when Fr. Ramos wrote & included names of those at S H M school who had fought for his ^{maybe} removal. I had forgotten but I think that it wasn't the diocese who told him, but [redacted] [redacted], who was a long-term ^{at school} sub, who kept lurking around when we'd be trying to figure how to keep pursuing the diocese, & once, tipped her hand to me & intuitively many questions were answered by her actions.

I did not know of any sexual dealings with the kids. I did hear the Fr. High teachers say that kids were going off with him at sometimes & coming back late or he would send for kids. I think Sister put a stop to that. But those of ^{us} who were fighting for his removal were afraid of it - because of the drinking & therefore, the opportunity.

50600914

(5)

The Sisters were physically afraid of him. He was overpowering & menacing & they were elderly & frail.

I was not afraid of him. I shall see him in great contempt. But [redacted] & I are enraged at the Diocese of Orange or any Diocese or Order that refuse to take strong & immediate action when any religious has any of these problems. And how sad it is that it's only with the bribery & the pain of people like your [redacted] that will force them to take action. And these problems need to be dealt with in the seminary - not only to screen out potential criminals, but also to provide the men with the inner strength to withstand the loneliness & temptations.

I'm am so sorry for all of you. We tried our hardest to prevent

(6)

say like

what happened to [redacted] not knowing we were too late. If we had knowledge, the only thing now we could have done would have been to physically arrest him & prevent him from even harming anyone. And believe me, at the school we would have done it. But as far as we knew, we were dealing with the potential, not the actuality.

Forgive my ramblings & repetitions. I talked with [redacted] last night & we reviewed what we remembered.

One other thing, someone told me that when Fr. Ramos went to the parish in Brea, after returning from treatment, that there was a ^{large} meeting with him of different people in the parish, including the Sister-Principal of that school, & the pastor, I presume maybe heads of other organizations were there. I wonder if someone from the Diocese was also

50600916

(7)

there because I was told that Fr. Ramos was told what was expected of him, what his limitations were, etc. In light of the alcoholism & events at J.H.M. If that could be researched, maybe it could help ~~find~~ fathom if anything about his sexual abuses were known or spoken about at that time.

Unfortunately, I don't remember who told me, but it was told to me, so I would know that limits were being set. Also, obviously, have you found out if any boys were molested by him there?

I keep thinking of the youngest ~~boy~~ boy. I clearly remember Fr. Ramos coming to the door of the sanctuary, during mass, & during the consecration, of all times. I was on the altar as Eucharist minister & the little ~~boy~~ boy was serving. Fr. Ramos motioned to him & he took off with him. That was after he was in Beer. Fr. ~~Ramos~~ Moran was furious that the kid left during the consecration. After mass

50600917

he asked me why I had left & I told him Fr. Ramos had beckoned him to go & he went immediately.

Obviously, the liturgy went title to Fr. Ramos, and what power he had over the kids.

I just wish I had more concrete evidence for you. Our prayers & sympathy are with all of you. Thank you for yours
for you
[redacted]

Lovingly,
[redacted]