

ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES 1531 WEST NINTH STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90015-1194 (213) 388-8101

MEMORANDUM

TO: His Eminence Timothy Cardinal Manning

FROM: Bishop John J. Ward

SUBJECT: REDACTED

July 19, 1984

Your Eminence:

May I respectfully submit the following Summary Memorandum of Facts in the matter of MissREDACTED as of this date. The report will be a chronological recitation of statements and events together with copies of Newspaper accounts.

Part I

On July 15, 1983, REDACTED telephoned the Chancery requesting an appointment with Cardinal Manning to discuss a paternity matter involving a priest. Because Cardinal Manning was leaving on the following day for Europe, Bishop Ward, the Vicar General, was asked to give REDACTED an appointment. Accordingly, Bishop Ward telephoned REDACTED on July 15, 1983 and arranged for her appearance in the Chancery on the following Tuesday, July 19, 1983 at 2:00 P.M.

At 2:00 P.M. on July 19, 1983 REDACTED tegether with her parents appeared in the reception area of the Chancery Office. Bishop Ward invited and her parents to enter the office. REDACTED however, said that she did not want her parents to participate in the interview. The parents agreed to remain in the reception area. Bishop Ward, then, admitted REDACTED to a private office and introduced REDACTED REDACTED to Monsignor John Rawden, the Chancellor.

After identifying herself to Bishop Ward and Monsignor Rawden, REDACTED alleged that the <u>Reverend Valentin Tugade was the father</u> of her child and that she wanted him to acknowledge that fact and to support the child, and to this end wanted the Archdiocese of Los Angeles to force Father Tugade to assume his responsibilities. Bishop Ward advised her that Father Tugade was not incardinated in the Archdiocese of Los Angeles, nor was he now assigned in this jurisdiction but had moved in January of 1983 to Monterey, California under the jurisdiction of Bishop Thaddcus Shubsda. REDACTED said she knew where Father Tugade was and she had been in his presence with other persons a few days before but Father Tugade would not even hold the child in his arms.

REDACTED then disclosed the fact that she had consulted a certain Mr. Millgras, a civil lawyer in the Wilmington, California area with a view to have the Los Angeles Superior Court declare Father Tugade the father of her REDACTED

....continues....

Memorandum to His Eminence, Cardinal Manning July 19, 1984 Page -two-

born REDACTED 1982 in REDACTED . Bishop Ward then reminded REDACTED that as a citizen of the United States she had a right to seek the protection of Civil Law but first it would be well for her to ask Father Tugade to respond his alleged paternal obligation and to request the intervention, if necessary, of Bishop Shubsda in the Diocese of Monterey were Father Tugade was serving as parish priest. REDACTED replied that she knew the address of Father Tugade on Church Street in Monterey, California.

Bishop Ward asked REDACTED how certain she was that Father Tugade was the father of her REDACTED how certain she was that Father Tugade was the REDACTED replied that she had sexual relations with Father Valentin Tugade and Father Henry Caboang on the same day in January of 1982 at the rectory of St. Philomena, Carson, California and since the illicit activity occurred on church property, the Archdiocese should be liable.

In view of REDACTED s disclosure that she had been intimate with each priest on the same day, Bishop Ward asked again how she could be absolutely certain that Father Valentin Tugade was the father of her daughter. REDACTED replied that Father Henry Caboang withdrew himself prior to ejeculation saying that he knew how to protect himself.

REDACTED next gave the following information. Her REDACTED was the housekeeper at the rectory of Saints Peter and Paul Parish where Father Tamayo, who was formerly an Associate Pastor at St. Philomena's in Carson, California, was now Pastor. REDACTED likewise was employed as a receptionist at Saints Peter and Paul Rectory. Accordingly, REDACTED informed Father Tamayo that she was pregnant. Father Tamayo arranged for her to go to Loag City, Philippine Islands where she would be given pre-natal and post-natal care by Doctor Tamayo, the REDACTED of Father Tamayo. There were certain complications at the birth of REDACTED but eventually

and her <u>REDACTED</u> survived. Father Tamayo and others paid for all the charges connected with the birth of <u>REDACTED</u> child. After her recovery from the medical complications of giving birth, <u>REDACTED</u> returned to <u>REDACTED</u> California taking herself and child to her parents home with whom she continues to live. She receives a monthly allowance from the Los Angeles County for the support of herself and child, but receives no financial support from Father Tugade.

REDACTED then asked if the Archdiocese was interested in knowing the names of other priests with whom she had had sexual relations. Before a response could be given REDACTED alleged that she had been intimate with Father Tamayo, Father Angel Cruces, Father Sylvio Lacar, Father Victor Balbin and Father Ruben Abaya at various times and places. After her disclosure, REDACTED asked what the Archdiocese would do to the priests whom she had named. Bishop Ward advised her that her allegations were very serious and that they would be investigated as a grave matter of internal discipline. REDACTED seemed vindictive and wanted the priests punished.

Bishop Ward again advised REDACTED that the Archdiocese of Los Angeles had lost all jurisdiction over Father Valentin Tugade when he left in January of 1985 and since she knew his current address in Monterey, California she should sneak with Father Tugade about his alleged paternal responsibility and to that purpose, if necessary, to request the intervention of Bishop Shubsda under whose jurisdiction Father Tugade was working. While REDACTED wanted the Archdiocese of Los Angeles to

....continues....

Memorandum to His Eminence, Cardinal Manning July 19, 1984 Page -three-

acknowledge a certain responsibility for the tort of Father Tugade, she seemed to accept the fact that Father Tugade was no longer in the Archdiocese and that she knew the address of the parish to which he was assigned in Monterey, California.

Part II

In view of the paternity allegation against Father Valentin Tugade. Bishop Shubsda, the Bishop of Monterey was notified immediately of REDACTED accusation and of the possibility of a civil suit. Father Tugade was an associate pastor at the Cathedral of San Carlos, Monterey, California,

Fathers Tamayo, Cruces, Caboang and Lacar were interviewed and informed of the very grave charge of misconduct which REDACTED had made against them. Because Father Ruben Abaya was never assigned in the Archdiocese of Los Argeles and was reportedly in New Jersey it was impossible to interview him. In like manner, Father Victor Balbin was never assigned in this jurisdiction and nothing was known about him or his whereabouts.

Father Santiago Tamayo was the only one among the seven who was incardinated in the Archdiocese of Los Angeles. He had served splendidly as an extern priest for many years and had become incardinated in 1982 and was made the pastor of Saints Peter and Paul Parish in Wilmington, California. There had never been any kind of unfavorable compalint about Father Tamayo's conduct. He has resigned his parish and returned to the Philippines. Because of his incardination in this jurisdiction, the Archdiocese continues to give his monthly salarv. Because Fathers Caboang, Cruces and Lacar were not incardinated in this jurisdiction, the archdiocesan faculties have been withdrawn and they have left this area.

Part III

Civil Suit of REDACTED

On Januarv 8. 1984, six months after her first and only appearance in the Chancery, REDACTED held a press conference in which she announced her civil suit against the Archdiocese of Los Angeles and seven priests. Gloria Allred who is REDACTED : Attorney is a flamboyant individual who specializes in civil suits on behalf of women's liberation movement, lesbians, etc. A very recent article in the Los Angeles Times gives some idea of the general character of Gloria Allred. The suit is a civil suit. There are no criminal charges.

The press conference received much publicity in the newspapers and television on the day it took place and for a few days thereafter. In a few days there was no more mention in the media of the matter until March 8, 1984 when a second civil suit was filed by REDACTED accusing Bishop Juan Arzube of slander.

Nespaper clippings are enclosed giving additional details regarding the actions and statements of REDACTED and her attorney, Gloria Allred.

The statements attributed to REDACTED in the newspaper accounts made disclosures which REDACTED had not made on the occasion of her interview in the Chancery on July 15, 1983. On that occasion her sole purpose was to have Father Valentin Tugade acknowledge his paternity of the child, $\mathcal{R}^{\text{REDACTED}}$. She never made any

..., continues....

Memorandum to His Eminence, Cardinal Manning July 19, 1984 Page -Four-

any reference to the alleged misconduct of Father Tamayo in the confessional. Moreover, on July 15, 1983 she repeatedly stated that she was absolutely certain that Father Tugade was the father of her child and that Father Caboang could not possibly be the father. There are, therefore, contradictions and omissions between her original interview described in Part One of the Memorandum and her subsequent statements to the press.

Part IV

The civil suits names the Archdiocese of Los Angeles as defendant and also each of the seven priests, and in addition the second suit names Bishop Arzube.

Naturally as a Defendant the Archdiocese was properly served with subpoena in each civil suit. Accordingly, the Archdiocese has engaged a special attorney to defend against the charge. A demurrer denying the Church's liability has been filed. No final decision has been given by the Superior Court. The matter is, therefore, still pending.

The suit against each of the priests can not proceed because the whereabouts of the priests defendants is not known and they can not be located to accept proper subpoena service. It would seem that the formal hearing of the issues may not be possible until all priests defendants are properly served. Each priest will have the assistance of his own attorney. Finally, there is always the hope that the plaintiff may accept a quiet settlement outside of court and withdraw her petiton.

Hespectfully submitted + John J. Fraced V. A.