000	01
1	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX
3	GREGORY FORD, et al., Plaintiff,
4	Superior Court
5	vs. Civil Action No. 02-0626
_	BERNARD CARDINAL LAW, a/k/a,
6	CARDINAL BERNARD F. LAW, Defendants.
7	DATI W DUSA
8	PAUL W. BUSA, Plaintiff,
9	vs. Civil Action
10	No. 02-0822 BERNARD CARDINAL LAW, a/k/a,
11	CARDINAL BERNARD F. LAW, et al.
11	Defendants.
12	ANTHONY DRISCOLL, Plaintiff,
13	w
1.4	vs. Civil Action
14	No. 02-1737 BERNARD CARDINAL LAW, a/k/a,
15	CARDINAL BERNARD F. LAW, et al. Defendants.
16	Defendants.
17	THE DEPOSITION OF MONSIGNOR WILLIAM H. HELMICK, a witness called by the Plaintiffs,
18	taken pursuant to the applicable provisions of
19	the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure, before Kathleen L. Good, (CSR #112593),
	Registered Professional Reporter and Notary
20	Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the offices of Greenberg
21	Traurig, One International Place, Boston,
22	Massachusetts 02110, on Wednesday, May 22, 2002, commencing at 10:00 a.m.
23	K. L. GOOD & ASSOCIATES P. O. BOX 6094
24	BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02209

- 0211
- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q But it's just common sense, isn't it?
- 3 MR. O'CONNOR: Objection.
- 4 Q It's common sense to let them know?
- 5 MR. O'CONNOR: Objection.
- 6 A It's something that I would have expected to have
- 7 happened.
- 8 Q By Cardinal Law and by Bishop Banks?
- 9 MR. O'CONNOR: Objection.
- 10 A Or whoever it was determined to bring it to the
- 11 attention of the pastor.
- 12 Q Well, we've already been through multiple
- situations where statements were being made
- 14 against Church doctrine and you've testified it
- was the job of Bishop Daily to bring it to the
- 16 attention of Cardinal Medeiros; correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q That policy remained in effect after Cardinal Law
- 19 came on board; correct?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q So you would have expected under the policies as
- you understood it, when this letter from Wilma
- 23 Higgs was presented to you, that Bishop Banks
- 24 would have spoken to Cardinal Law about something

- 0212
- 1 as serious as this; is that correct?
- 2 A Yes. Correct.
- 3 Q You never spoke to Cardinal Law about what he did
- 4 or didn't do, though, did you?
- 5 A No, I did not.
- 6 Q This is now the third allegation and it's in
- 7 1985. Was there any requirement in 1985 that
- 8 child protection agencies such as DSS or the
- 9 police be notified about individuals serving as
- 10 priests of the Archdiocese of Boston who
- 11 represented clear threats to the safety of
- 12 children?
- 13 A Not that I know of.
- 14 (Helmick Exhibit No. 30, Letter,
- 15 5/15/85, marked for identification.)
- 16 Q Showing you Exhibit No. 30. This is from John
- 17 McCormack to Mrs. Higgs.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q This is dated May 15, 1985. He states:
- 20 "Archbishop Law received your letter of
- 21 April 29, 1985. He is sorry to hear you were
- disturbed about the talk given by Father Paul
- 23 Shanley last November regarding homosexuals and
- asked that I respond on his behalf."

0000	01
1	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX
3	GREGORY FORD, et al., Plaintiff,
4	Superior Court
	vs. Civil Action
5	No. 02-0626 BERNARD CARDINAL LAW, a/k/a,
	CARDINAL BERNARD F. LAW,  Defendants.
7	
8	PAUL W. BUSA, Plaintiff,
9	vs. Civil Action
	No. 02-0822
	BERNARD CARDINAL LAW, a/k/a,
	CARDINAL BERNARD F. LAW, et al.
11	Defendants.
12	ANTHONY DRISCOLL, Plaintiff,
13	O' 1 A Marian
14	vs. Civil Action No. 02-1737
	BERNARD CARDINAL LAW, a/k/a,
	CARDINAL BERNARD F. LAW, et al.
	Defendants.
16	
17	THE SECOND DAY OF THE DEPOSITION OF MONSIGNOR WILLIAM H. HELMICK, a witness called by
18	the Plaintiffs, taken pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil
19	Procedure, before Kathleen L. Good, (CSR #112593), Registered Professional Reporter and
20	Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of
21	Massachusetts, at the offices of Greenberg Traurig, One International Place, Boston,
2.1	Massachusetts 02110, on Wednesday, October 9,
22	2002, commencing at 10:00 a.m.
23	K. L. GOOD & ASSOCIATES
24	P. O. BOX 6094 BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02209
	TEL. (781) 598-6405

## 00042

- 1 incident of sexual abuse of a child by anyone is
- 2 viewed most seriously by the Church. Here in the
- 3 Archdiocese of Boston, if there were to be an
- 4 incident of sexual abuse by a priest, you can be
- 5 sure that the matter would be taken most
- 6 seriously with deep concern for the victim, the
- 7 people and the priest."
- 8 That's what you write here, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Did you speak to Cardinal Law about the letter
- 11 that you received?
- 12 A I don't remember it.
- 13 O And where you say that Cardinal Law asked you to
- respond to the letter of July 10, does that
- refresh your memory as to whether or not you
- spoke with the Cardinal about the letter?
- 17 A It does not.
- 18 Q Would you write that in a letter to this .
- 19 gentleman if you had not in fact been asked by
- 20 the Cardinal to respond to the letter?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q So writing here, "Cardinal Law has asked me to
- respond to your letter," is it safe to say
- 24 that --

## 00043

- 1 A He did.
- 2 Q -- he did ask you to respond to the letter?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q So Cardinal Law did know about the letter, July
- 5 10, 1986, that was written by the gentleman that
- 6 we just went over, correct?
- 7 MR. O'CONNOR: Objection.
- 8 A He must have.
- 9 Q In this letter, do you see anywhere where you ask
- for a meeting with the gentleman who wrote that
- 11 letter?
- 12 A I don't.
- 13 Q And did you, in this letter, did you give the
- author of the July 10, 1986, letter information
- where he could possibly find help, treatment?
- 16 A I did not.
- 17 Q Did you suggest to Cardinal Law that he meet with
- 18 this person?
- 19 A Again, I don't even remember the letter.
- 20 Q Does it say in the letter that you suggested that
- 21 Cardinal Law meet with this person?
- 22 A In this letter?
- 23 Q Yes.
- 24 A No, it does not, no.