

**SUMMARY OF HOLY SEE'S
RESPONSE**

Document Requests

333 objections

No privilege log or any indication about what Holy See is refusing to produce

It appears that they didn't produce some of the documents that were sent to the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith that were produced by the Archdiocese of Portland or the Religious Order in discovery.

I. Ronan File

What it shows

1) Holy See in control

"There are only a few men within the Order and Province who know of his problem, and we believe it will be possible, if the Holy Father will grant Fr. Ronan's request [for laicization], for him to leave quietly and without any open scandal. We fully realize the difficulties and complication that could arise from his release, especially on the part of those malcontents who are dissatisfied with the priestly and religious life, but we sincerely hope that these will never know the circumstances of the case." HS 55

Per Tom Doyle (these are in Latin)

Some of the other documents in the Holy See's Ronan File show that the Order had to get the Holy See's permission to allow Ronan to enter the Order because he had studied for another religious order years before. Also needed the Holy See's permission to take a certain higher position because he wasn't old enough yet. Holy See gave the permission.

2) Holy See admitting that it used the 1922 and 1962 documents – required specific protocol to be used which included requiring strict secrecy under penalty of excommunication

3) Head of the Religious Order who was in Rome, was at two of the Second Vatican Council sessions, ran an educational institution controlled by the Holy See, knew in 1963 that Ronan was a child molester

4) Holy See has files on abusers like Ronan, some of which are alive like Jeyapaul. Vatican should release the names and those files

II. Other Documents (Roughly 1800 pages)

"Codex Iuris Canonici" (Parts of Latin Code of Canon Law)

"Acta Apostolicae Sedis" and other official laws (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments – Basically other laws.)

“Crimine sollicitationis” (1922)

“Crimine sollicitationis” (1962)

III. Documents that appear to be missing:

1) January 12, 1966 – Letter to Cardinal Ottaviani (CDF prefect) from Archbishop Howard of Portland. Letter states that there was a report of a very serious crime having been committed and that the Archbishop was going to operate under the Holy See’s 1922 protocol. (John V Doe 16, 17)

2) February 15, 1966 - Letter to Cardinal Ottaviani (CDF prefect) from Archbishop Howard of Portland. Letter states that the priest involved is Father Andrew Ronan of the Servants of Mary, that Ronan is going to petition for laicization, and that all of the documents regarding the acts (the documents generated using the 1922 protocol) are being kept in the confidential archives. (John V Doe 76,77)

Requests for Admission

192 Objections

Number 9 we asked “Admit that the Holy See has control over the discipline of priests accused of sexual abuse of minors.”

One of the objections to this was that it was “. . . vague and ambiguous, particularly with respect to the terms ‘control,’ ‘discipline,’ ‘priests,’ and ‘sexual abuse,’ . . .”

Interrogatories

327 Objections

Essentially argue that Holy See doesn’t have control other than promulgating rules and then making sure that the canonical requirements are met. Argue most control is with the local ordinary.

ANDREW RONAN TIMELINE

Andrew Ronan Timeline

- 9/18/49 Stonebridge Priory – Lake Bluff, IL
Ronan professed solemn vows to Order (Ex 3)
- 1/26/50 Collegio S. Alessio, Rome Italy
Ronan studied in Rome (S133)
- 11/13/50 Ronan elevated to Subdeaconate (Ex 4)
- 3/24/51 Ordained in Rome. (S106, S110-112)
- 8/8/51 Our Lady of Sorrows Church - Chicago, IL
Assigned to Benurb, Ireland. (Ex 6)
- 1951 Our Lady of Benburb Priory- Benurb, Ireland
Master Novice
- 7/14/59 St. John Berchman's – Detroit, MI
Assigned to assist. (Ex 10)
- 7/21/59 Note on Servite stationary stated Ronan was told he would not return to Ireland and there is knowledge of his fault (Ex 10A)
- 8/31/59 Our Lady of Sorrows – Chicago, IL
Ronan returned to Chicago to be a school teacher after temporary assignment at St. John's. (Ex 11)
- 3/24/60 St. Philip Basilica High School – Chicago, IL
Worked at St. Philip (S60-61)
- 6/21/63 Father Louis Courtney, provincial, wrote Rev. Alphonse M. Monta, Prior General, in Rome asking Ronan not be appointed Master in the College of Rome. Fr. Courtney states his reason is because Ronan was removed from Benburb because of his "homosexuality with the students" which Ronan "freely admitted and confessed . . ." Also states "we lost vocations as a result of this in Ireland and we are most fortunate that more scandal did not come. . . While there has been no known recurrence of this problem, all the experts in this perversion agree that there is no permanent cure. I am expecting the worst any day here at St. Philip's but much better that it occur here than in a seminary." (HS 00054) (Also Ex 13)
- 11/24/63 Ltr from John Doherty to Very Reverend discussing his knowledge that Ronan "manipulated the reproductive organs of a young religious" in spite of several warnings. This incident occurred 7-8 years ago. He cannot do anything further but this matter concerns him because Ronan is working in a high school. (Ltr was in same stack as confidential file Ex 15)(Ex 14)
- 11/29/63 File containing confidential information for provincial or vicar eyes only. (Ex 15)

Memo from Provincial Louis M. Courtney on Ronan about being removed from Behburb after admitted he was homosexual to Prior Daniel O'Malley. Removed to the US with a trip. Courtney stated "I feel that he should never be given an office – I am certain he can never be trusted." The following individuals know about this matter: Fr. Daniel O'Malley, Fr. John Doherty. (Ex 16)

Letter to John from unknown author thanking him for being conscientious in referring this matter to him. He (Ronan) will have drastic actions taken against him with his next defection. For now he will keep this priest out of seminaries and pass along this knowledge to his successor. (Ex 17)

2/5/65 Sanctuary of Our Sorrowful Mother -Portland, Oregon
(Ex 18)

- 1/31/65 Memo from the Office of the Provincial. Ronan was accused of homosexual activities with students from St. Philip High School in December 1964. He was accused by Fr. Anselm Denneby, Mark Dennehy, and Brendan LaFave. When approached Ronan did not deny and stated this was a long term problem for him. Ronan said he turned himself in to Fr. O'Malley in Benburb years ago. Ronan doesn't know why he was assigned to a boys' high school because he knew his homosexual activities would come to light. "In all his future assignments this trait must be taken into consideration. (Ex 19)
- 1/7/66 Archdiocese of Portland - In the Case of Andrew Ronan. Meeting with minor child. Minor child met Ronan for counseling. He described event when he broke his hand and Ronan took him to the sanctuary for a bandage. Ronan asked the minor child if he felt pain anywhere specifically his groin. Ronan opened minor child's pants and fondled his genitals. Description of other incidents as well. (Ex 20)
- 1/12/66 Archbishop Howard of Portland informed Secretary of CDF Alfredo Cardinal Ottaviani per requested by Holy Office , that a serious crime was reported and hoped the accusation will fail to have any serious basis or sufficient proof. (Ex 22)
- 1/17/66 Archbishop Howard delegated Fr. Bertram F. Griffin to Diligently Pursue Matters involving accusation by minor child against Ronan. He must find two witnesses who know the accused and Plaintiff who will speak under oath and maintain secrecy. (Ex 23)
- 1/22/66 Archbishop Howard from Portland requested information from Provincial Terence O'Connor regarding Ronan and if any accusations exist against him. (Ex 33a)
- 1/27/66 Provincial Terence O'Connor replies to Archbishop Howard's letter requesting information on Ronan. When O'Connor was elected Provincial he was told by Fr. Loftus about all "secret social, physical and moral problems that afflict individual priests," this included Fr. Ronan's problem. O'Connor believed everything was okay and hadn't heard of any accusations. He has copied and enclosed a file marked "For the Provincial Alone." If there are concrete charges he will apply to the Sacred Congregation for laicization. (Ex 34)

- 1/30/66 Unknown author (initials LH) wrote provincial updating him that Archbishop Howard wrote a letter in Latin requesting "secretoo' information on Ronan. The author said there was nothing in their archives. Author is checking to make sure this was the correct reply. (Ex 36)
- 2/13/66 Provincial O'Connor letter to Prior General in Italy for laicization for Ronan. He described Ronan as a good priest aside from his problem. Letter states ". . . we believe it will be possible, if the Holy Father will grant Fr. Ronan's request, for him to leave quietly and without any open scandal." (HS 00055)(also Ex 38)
- 2/15/66 Archbishop Howard wrote Rev. Alphonse Monta the Superior General in Rome. Howard informed Monta about the serious crime against Ronan and there has been a formal request made to the Holy See to reduce Ronan to lay status. (Ex 40)
- 2/15/66 Archbishop Howard wrote Alfred Cardinal Ottaviani of the CDF in Rome. Howard informed him that a formal request has been made to the Holy See to reduce Ronan to lay status ending the Portland process. (Ex 41)
- 2/15/66 Ronan wrote letter to unknown recipient that he has applied through is Superior General for laicization. (Ex 43)
- 3/25/66 Document from Sacred Congregation of Religious regarding Ronan. (In Latin) (Ex 44)
- 4/2/66 Letter to Mac from Rome stating Ronan's laicization is complete. (Ex 45)
- 5/8/66 Letter sent to Superiors from O'Connor informing them Ronan was laicized for special reasons from the vows of poverty and obedience. (Ex 51)
- 1/18/92 Ronan died. (Ex 53)
- 2002 John V Doe filed suit.
- 6/7/06 Judge Mosman Decision - District of Oregon
- 3/3/09 9th Circuit Court of Appeals Decision
- 6/28/10 Supreme Court Denies Certification
- 4/20/11 Honorable Judge Michael Mosman ordered the Vatican to respond to a number of discovery requests.
- 6/6/11 Vatican asks for more time to answer discovery requests - new due date 8/19/11.
- 8/19/11 Vatican produces documents and makes over 300 objections to the production of documents, over 300 objections to the interrogatories (written questions), and over 175 objections to the requests for admissions.

PAT WALL'S REPORT

REPORT OF PATRICK J. WALL 8-22-2011

1. I was requested by Jeff Anderson and Associates to review the documents produced by the Holy See in the child sexual abuse case of Servite Father Andrew Ronan S.M. I have personally reviewed the 1,856 documents issued in Latin, Italian, French and English. After a brief review of my curriculum vitae, I submit the following observations.

2. I was a Roman Catholic Priest and Benedictine monk from 1986 until 1998. I am the co-author of "Sex, Priests and Secret Codes, the Catholic Church's 2,000 year paper trail of Sexual Abuse". I was trained in philosophy, monastic history, theology, canon law (B.A., M.Div, LLM) and ordained a priest in 1992. From 1992 through 1998, with a re-script from the Holy See I served as a Judge/Advocate on the Tribunal for the Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis. Concurrently, I also served as a parish priest, faculty member at Saint Bernard's High School and on the Archdiocesan Finance Council. I requested laicization and dispensation from monastic vows which the Holy See granted on July 31, 1998.

3. The documents produced demonstrate that the Holy See was at all times in command and control of Father Andrew Ronan S.M. as his employer. The Holy See through the Congregation for Religious, the Father General of the Servants of Mary and the Provincial controlled every aspect of Father Ronan's training, hiring, maintaining the policy of secrecy and the avoidance of scandal while supervising every aspect of his life including but not limited to dismissal. At all times, Father Andrew Ronan's life from profession to dismissal was under the control of the Holy See.

4. The highlighted documents demonstrate that the Holy See's system of control and secrecy is in the core administrative policy for priest sex abuse cases.

* *De Modo Procendi in Causis Sollicitationis*, August 7, 1922. The policy of secret oaths is to maintain the secret of the Holy Office in Formula A: HS 189. The 1922 penalty for violating secrecy in fact is graver than for sexually abusing a child, *sub poena excommunicationes ipso facto*, immediate excommunication, which for a priest is a death sentence. This is the oath that the investigating priests for Father Ronan's case would have signed. HS 169-216

* Curriculum Vitae Di Pater Andrea M. Ronan, 27 February 1966. Here, the Holy See chose to produce only a tiny fraction of the documents required in a laicization petition. Yet even this remnant demonstrates the policy of avoiding scandal. Father Ronan will not reside in any location he was previously known as a priest. Even after dismissal the Holy See manages the scandal, maintains secrecy and exercises control. HC 00027.

* *Acta Apostolica Sedis*, The Pontifical Council on the Official interpretation of texts, headed by Cardinal Pietro Gasparri, rules that the dismissal of any religious from solemn vows is governed by the Congregation for Religious. No religious order or Provincial has the authority to make policy contrary to the rules of the Holy See or dismiss a religious order priest even if they commit the most heinous crimes imaginable. HS 156-161

* *Acta Apostolica Sedis*, The Sacred Congregation for Religious outlines the Duties and Obligations for supervision of Religious Order priests to the Father General and Provincials on behalf of the Holy See. The outlines contain the exact training and

diligent supervision in a priest's entire life of Chastity, Obedience and Poverty. The Salvation of Souls is the Supreme law of the Church and is always to be kept before one's eyes. HS 729-818

* *Leges Ecclesiae*, volumes I – IX, Revered Xaverius Ochoa CMF.

The Holy See by policy and particular law requires a secret archive be maintained in Rome and by each religious order to hold in perpetuity all matters criminal, calumnious, crimen pessimum and of moral turpitude. The current Vatican archives have been in the same location since 1612 AD, are open only to approved scholars by edit of Pope Leo XIII in 1883 and was recently renovated . HS 819-1000

In conclusion, the documents produced by the Holy See in the Father Andrew Ronan child sex abuse case demonstrate the deepest depths of the policy of secrecy to date. They expose how the Holy See exercises complete command, control and secrecy over each priest. In acts of calumny, secrecy is paramount as the penalty for violating the Secret of the Holy Office is greater than the penalty for child sexual abuse. As the final and highest temporal authority, the Holy See is a monarchical system as it alone makes, interprets and enforces all policies regarding roman catholic priests.

1963 MANTA LETTER

THE SERVITE FATHERS
OUR LADY OF SORROWS PROVINCE
3111 W. VAN BUREN STREET
CHICAGO 12, ILLINOIS

June 21, 1963

The Most Rev. Alphonse M. Montali, O.S.M.
Curia Generalizia Dei Servi di Maria
Piazza San Marcello al Corso, 5
Rome 204, Italia.

Most Rev. and dear Father General:

Father Andrew Ronan came to me this morning to discuss the letter you sent him concerning the possibility of his accepting the office of Master in the College in Rome. I am very sorry to have to tell you that he must not be elected Master. While Father Ronan begged me not to tell you the real reason I feel and know I must do so in a matter so important, and consequently it is in the utmost confidence that I tell you. The reason is simply this, that I removed him from Benburb because of homosexuality with the students, which he freely admitted and confessed when the fact had been brought to the Prior by one of the students. This was found out on my visit to Benburb after the last General Chapter in June of 1959, and I removed him to the U. S. A. immediately. We lost vocations as a result of this in Ireland and we are most fortunate that more scandal did not come. I am sure you will agree that to put him back in a seminary would be contrary to all precepts of the Church and to common sense. While there has been no known recurrence of this problem, all the experts in this perversion agree that there is no permanent cure. I am expecting the worst any day here at St. Philip's but much better that it occur here than in a seminary.

In addition to the above problem which suffices in itself there are even other reasons as follows, why he should not be elected:

1. During the recent visitation of this community he was accused by some of drinking too much and too often. I am afraid it is true. Incidentally when he drank at Benburb it would seem that his difficulties began.
2. The Prior here, Fr. Ortmann, has indicated many times that he feels Father Ronan is a strange character.
3. Looking back now on his record as Master of Novices at Benburb, as his students are turning out in life, it seems more that probable that his judgment on them was very poor indeed.

Because of all this, it would be a thousand times better to elect Father McElwain than Father Ronan. However, I still oppose the election of Fr. McElwain for good reasons already submitted.

Again I am most sorry to have to send you this report but in conscious I must tell the whole truth always in these cases.

With kindest wishes always and prayers, and looking forward much to seeing you next month, I am,

Sincerely yours in Mary,

Fr. L. M. ...
Provincial

HS00054

1966 LAICIZATION LETTER



The Servite Fathers
OUR LADY OF RIVERSIDE SEMINARY
1850 BENEDICT AVENUE
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA
February 13, 1966

5
TELEPHONE
Overland 3-4241

Core Book

The Most Rev. Fr. Joseph M. Loftus, O.S.M.
Prior General
Piazza San Marcello al Corso, 5
Roma, Italy

Most Rev. and dear Fr. General,

During the Visitation in Portland I spoke to Fr. Ronan concerning his problem. In view of all the circumstances of the case, I concur wholeheartedly, if very sadly, with his decision.

In everything other than this particular problem, Fr. Ronan has shown himself to be an excellent and very productive priest. He's convinced that he has a vocation, is perfectly happy in the priesthood and religious state (once again, aside from his problem), and presents his request only with the greatest reluctance - convinced, in spite of his own leanings, that this is the best solution for God, the Mystical Body, the Order, and the priesthood and religious state.

I deem it important to note, in presenting this petition for laicization, that Fr. Ronan has never before requested, or even suggested, his release from his priestly and religious duties. Nor would he do so now except for his past history and special circumstances. It's a very painful decision for him to make, but one that he feels he must.

There are only a few men within the Order and Province who know of his problem, and we believe it will be possible, if the Holy Father will grant Fr. Ronan's request, for him to leave quietly and without any open scandal. We fully realize the difficulties and complications that could arise from his release, especially on the part of those malcontents who are dissatisfied with the priestly and religious life, but we sincerely hope that these will never know the circumstances of the case.

At any rate, Most Rev. Fr. General, I humbly and sincerely request, for the benefit of all concerned, that you look favorably upon the request of Fr. Ronan, and present it with your own recommendations to the proper ecclesiastical authorities. Since you're familiar with the case, I don't believe any more need be said.

Looking forward to your decision, and hoping to receive the permission required for my seeing you in Rome, I remain

Sincerely yours in Jesus and Mary,

Terence M. O'Connor, O.S.M.

Terence M. O'Connor, O.S.M.,
Prior Provincial

HS00055

**CDF DOCUMENTS NOT
PRODUCED**

ARCHDIOECSE OF PORTLAND IN OREGON
THE CHANCERY OFFICE

2838 EAST BURNSIDE, P.O. BOX 351
PORTLAND, OREGON 97207

Die 12 ianuarii 1966

Eminentissime ac Reverendissime Domine,

Dolere quod, iuxta S. Officii Instructionem diei 9 iunii 1922, n. 66, Eminentiae Tuae mihi significandum est crimen pessimum a quodam sacerdote religioso commissum hic denuntiatum esse! Spero tamen istam denunciationem serioso fundamento necnon sufficienti probatione defecturam. Quisquis vero exitus istius causae finaliter eveniet, de quo Hanc Sacram Congregationem certiore facere non omitam.

Hanc occasionem nactus impensus sensus meae intimae aestimationis Eminentiae Tuae Reverendissimae pando permanens

Addictissimus in Christo

Eduardus D. Howard,

Archiepiscopus Portlandensis in Oregon

Em.mo ac Rev.mo Domino
Card. Alfredo Ottaviani
Secretario S.C. pro Doctrina Fidei
Piazza del Ufficio 11
Civitas Vaticana

CONFIDENTIAL
SUBJECT TO
PROTECTIVE ORDER

ARCH 02394

John V. Doe 017



January 12, 1966

Your Eminence,

I regret that, according to the Instruction of the Holy Office dated June 9, 1922, number 66, I must make known to your Eminence that a very serious crime, committed by a certain monastic priest, has been reported here. I hope, however, that this terrible accusation will fail to have any serious basis or sufficient proof. Whatever the outcome of this case, I shall not fail to inform your Sacred Congregation.

Consulting
translation
ocalization
obalization
erpretation

Having been afforded this opportunity, I extend, as ever, the expression of my highest regard for your Most Reverend Eminence.

Your very humble servant in Christ,

Edward D. Howard
Archbishop of Portland, Oregon

His Eminence
Alfredo Cardinal Ottaviani
Secretary of the Sacred Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith
Piazza del Uffizio 11
Vatican City

Every care is taken to ensure the accuracy of all translations. However, Berlitz GlobalNET, its divisions, affiliates, agents and employees shall not be liable for any damages due to error or negligence in translation or typing.

February 15, 1966

Most Eminent and Most Reverend Father,

On January 12, 1966 I described to Your Eminence the case of a certain monastic priest accused of a very serious crime. Having investigated thoroughly from the beginning at the request of the accused, I have been told formally by the accused himself and also his Provincial Superior about a request to the Holy See which has already been submitted (although I do not know to which Holy Congregation), that this same priest be reduced to lay status. For which reason the proceeding has been suspended and all acts pertaining thereto have been deposited in the confidential archives of this Curia until the case should require, with merit, that they be produced again and completed.

Consulting
translation
localization
globalization
interpretation

For your information concerning the accused, he is the Reverend Father Andrew Ronan, of the order of the Servants of Mary.

Since this particular priest is not well known to me, I cannot say or propose anything either in favor of or against his request.

Having been afforded this opportunity, I extend, as ever, the expression of my highest regard.

/signature/
Archbishop of Portland, Oregon

/addressed to Alfredo Cardinal Ottaviani, Secretary of the Sacred Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, Rome/

Every care is taken to ensure the accuracy of all translations. However, Berlitz GlobalNET, its divisions, affiliates, agents and employees shall not be liable for any damages due to error or negligence in translation or typing.

ARCHDIOCESIS OF PORTLAND IN OREGON

THE CHANCERY OFFICE

2553 E. BURNSIDE

P. O. BOX 231

PORTLAND, OREGON 97207

Die 15 Februarii 1966

Reverendissime ac Reverendissime Domine,

Die 12 Januarii praesentis Eminentiae tuae significavi casum cuiusdam sacerdotis religiosi accusati criminis pessimi: Peracta inquisitione ac incepta imputati contestatione, admonitus sum ab imputato eiusque Provinciali Superiore de petitione Sanctae Sedis (nosco tamen eandem S. Congregationi) iam introducta ut iste sacerdos ad statum laicalem revocatur. Quare processus hic suspenditur ac deducta causa eoque in seorsum in Archivis Curiae archivo deponitur donec casus resumi ac compleri merita requirantur.

Imputatum cognoscendi causa sacerdos iste est Rev. d. n. Andrei. Roman, ex Ordine Servorum Mariae.

Quia iste sacerdos mihi bene notus non sit, nihil sive pro sive contra eius petitionem habeo dicendum vel offerendum.

Hanc occasionem licet impensos sensus meae humilitatis devotione Eminentiae tuae Reverendissimae pando permanens

Affectionatissimus in Christo

Richardus D. Howard

Archiepiscopus Portlandensis in Ore.

Rev. mo ac Rev. mo Domino
Card. Alfredo Ottaviani
Secretario S.C. pro Doctrina Fidei
Piazza del S. Uffizio, 11
Romae, Italia

CONFIDENTIAL
SUBJECT TO
PROTECTIVE ORDER

ARCH 02441

John V Doe 077

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION
OF DOCUMENTS,
ADMISSIONS AND ANSWERS**



JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES PA
REACHING ACROSS TIME FOR JUSTICE

John V. Doe v. Holy See

Specific Documents Requested
and the Vatican's Response

The following information was prepared by Jeff Anderson and Associates to provide background on the Opinion and Order issued by United States District Judge Michael W. Mosman in the case of *John V. Doe v. Holy See*. Copies of the original Opinion and Order are available on the documents page at www.AndersonAdvocates.com. Questions regarding the following information should be directed to the attorneys listed on the last page of this document.

On April 20, 2011 the Honorable Judge Michael Mosman ordered the Vatican to respond to a number of discovery requests in a clergy sex abuse case. The Court required the Holy See answer the discovery by June 20, 2011 (this was later extended to August 19, 2011). The requests are broken down into 3 categories – document requests, interrogatories (written questions), and requests for admission (Vatican has to admit or deny each statement).

Below are the discovery requests that the Vatican and its officials must answer. The numbers correspond to the actual number in discovery requests.

DOCUMENTS

- A. Vatican Must Produce Documents on the following**
8. All documents which refer to Andrew Ronan, including but not limited to correspondence to the Holy See from Ronan, correspondence from Ronan to the Holy See, correspondence to or from the Order of the Friar Servants of Mary, Ronan's admissions to abusing minors, and Ronan's placement in Ireland and the United States.
- B. Vatican Must Produce Documents on the following limited to "general policies and process" for laicization and anything specific to Ronan**

9. All documents which refer to the laicization of a Roman Catholic Priest, including but not limited to the Holy See's process for laicization, any correspondence with ordinaries in the United States regarding laicization of a priest, any correspondence with Religious Orders regarding laicization of one of its priests, and any correspondence with the individual priest.

HS00070- HS00156 - "Codex Iuris Canonici" (Parts of Latin Code of Canon Law)

HS00157-HS00170 - "Acta Apostolicae Sedis" (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments – Basically other laws.)

HS00171- 216 – "Crimine sollicitationis" (looks like the 1922 Crimen in Latin)

HS00217-291 – Various Latin acts that add to or clarify the Code? Looks like it is all stuff that was already public

HS00292-344 - "Crimine sollicitationis" (looks like the 1962 Crimen in Latin)

HS 00345-350 – Various Latin acts that discuss canon law- looks like it was already public

HS00346 – Appears to be some notation that 1962 Crimen was passed or published or sent out.

HS00351-357 – Various Latin acts that discuss canon law- looks like it was already public

C. Vatican Must Produce Documents on the following limited to "general policies of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith ('CDF') regarding sexual abuse" and anything specific to Roman

10. All documents which refer to the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith (CDF) and sexual abuse by clerics or allegations of sexual abuse by clerics, including but not limited to decisions made by the CDF, all correspondence to and from Ordinaries, all correspondence to and from Religious Superiors, all correspondence with any priest.

HS00358- 359 - "Codex Iuris Canonici" (Parts of Latin Code of Canon Law)

HS00360-407 "Crimine sollicitationis" (looks like the 1922 Crimen in Latin)

HS00408-461- "Crimine sollicitationis" (looks like the 1962 Crimen in Latin)

D. Vatican Must Produce Documents on the following limited to “general policies and procedures for training, selecting, or educating priest” and anything specific to Ronan

11. All documents which refer to the training and selection of individual priests.

HS00462- 482 - “Codex Iuris Canonici” (Parts of Latin Code of Canon Law)

HS00483-1228 - “Acta Apostolicae Sedis” and other official acts regarding the Code (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments – Basically other laws.)

E. Vatican Must Produce Documents on the following limited to “regulation of priests’ conduct in general” and anything specific to Ronan

13. All documents which refer to the Holy See’s regulation of an individual priest’s conduct, including but not limited to what a priest wears, the places that a priest goes, hours a priest keeps, and where a priest lives.

HS01229 - 1239 “Codex Iuris Canonici” (Parts of Latin Code of Canon Law)

HS01240-1633 - “Acta Apostolicae Sedis”, “Leges Ecclesiae” and other official acts regarding the Code (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments – Basically other laws.)

F. Vatican must Produce Documents on the following limited to “the Holy See’s general authority, policies, practices, and procedures regarding sexual abuse and punishment, removal, relocation, and treatment of priests” and anything specific to Ronan.

17. All documents which refer to the Holy See’s ability to punish, sanction, or restrict a Roman Catholic priest.

HS00070- HS00156 - “Codex Iuris Canonici” (Latin Code of Canon Law)

HS00157-HS00170 - “Acta Apostolicae Sedis” (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments - – Basically other laws.)

HS00171- 216 – “Crimine sollicitationis” (looks like the 1922 Crimen in Latin)

HS00217-291 – “Acta Apostolicae Sedis” (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments – Basically other laws.

HS00292-344 - “Crimine sollicitationis” (looks like the 1962 Crimen in Latin)

HS 00345-350 – “Acta Apostolicae Sedis” (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments – Basically other laws.)

HS00346 – Appears to be some notation that 1962 Crimen was passed or published or sent out.

HS00351-357 – “Acta Apostolicae Sedis” (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments – Basically other laws.)

18. All documents that refer to the Holy See’s authority to remove a priest from an office, relocate a priest to another state or nation, and/or assign a priest to a mission anywhere in the world.

HS01634- 1647 - “Codex Iuris Canonici” (Parts of Latin Code of Canon Law)

HS01647-HS01659 - “Acta Apostolicae Sedis” (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments – Basically other laws.)

HS01659- 1706 – “Crimine sollicitationis” (looks like the 1922 Crimen in Latin)

HS01707-HS01750 - “Acta Apostolicae Sedis” (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments – Basically other laws.)

HS01751-1804 - “Crimine sollicitationis” (looks like the 1962 Crimen in Latin)

HS01805-HS01836 - “Acta Apostolicae Sedis” (I believe part of the principal decrees, encyclical letters, decisions of Roman congregations, and notices of ecclesiastical appointments – Basically other laws.)

19. All documents that refer to any policies, procedures of practices for the relocation of priests, movement of priests from one country to another, or movement of a priest from one Diocese to another.

HS01634- 1647 - "Codex Iuris Canonici" (Parts of Latin Code of Canon Law)

HS01647-HS01659 - "Acta Apostolicae Sedis" (I believe the Latin Commentary to the Code)

HS01659- 1706 – "Crimine sollicitationis" (looks like the 1922 Crimen in Latin)

HS01707-HS01750 - "Acta Apostolicae Sedis" (I believe the Latin Commentary to the Code)

HS01751-1804 - "Crimine sollicitationis" (looks like the 1962 Crimen in Latin)

HS01805-HS01836 - "Acta Apostolicae Sedis" (I believe the Latin Commentary to the Code)

21. All documents regarding childhood sexual abuse or other sexual misconduct committed by Roman Catholic priests or officials, including but not limited to any policy, practice or procedures on this subject.

HS00358- 359 - "Codex Iuris Canonici" (Parts of Latin Code of Canon Law)

HS00360-407 "Crimine sollicitationis" (looks like the 1922 Crimen in Latin)

HS00408-461- "Crimine sollicitationis" (looks like the 1962 Crimen in Latin)

The Holy See made 192 objections to between the general objections and individual objections

ADMISSIONS

The Holy See must either admit or deny the following statements:

1. Admit that Andrew Ronan was an employee of the Holy See.

DENIED

2. Admit that Andrew Ronan committed a tortious act while in acting within the scope of his employment with the Holy See.

DENIED

3. Admit that celibacy is a requirement to be a priest.

DENIED. But if celibacy means not getting married, priests generally not permitted to get married.

4. Admit that the Holy See controls the training for the priesthood.

DENIED

5. Admit that the Holy See is in control of the laicization of priests.

Denied except that the Holy See reviewed whether the canonical requirements were met

6. Admit that laicization is equivalent to the firing of an employee.

DENIED

7. Admit that the Holy See regulates the conduct of individual priests.

Denied. Holy See did promulgate norms relating to the conduct of priests.

9. Admit that the Holy See has control over the discipline of priests accused of sexual abuse of minors.

Denied. Holy See promulgated general norms about discipline and if petition was made it reviewed whether the canonical requirements were met.

10. Admit that the Holy See has control over the education of priests.

Denied. Holy See promulgated general norms

11. Admit that the Pope has sole authority over each person in the Catholic Church.

Denied

12. Admit that the Code of Canon Law is binding on each Roman Catholic Priest.

Code of Canon Law was enforceable and binding in accordance with and to the extent of its own terms.

16. Admit that all Roman Catholic priests are employees or officials of the Holy See.

Denied.

PHOTO OF ANDREW RONAN



S 00424