

EXHIBIT E

Supplemental Clergy List

The Roman Catholic Diocese of Harrisburg (RCDH) publishes the following supplemental list of clergy who served in the RCDH and who were *accused* of sexual abuse of a child; this list supplements the list of August 6, 2018. The term “accused” is emphasized to note that the list below is based on accusations (clear statements of misconduct involving an identifiable person and specific conduct); the list does not include assessments of credibility or guilt, unless specifically noted as with Category 1. Further, the following list does not contain those cases where the accusation was deemed not substantiated, meaning it was an accusation which, after review by law enforcement and outside investigators for the RCDH, was not supported by sufficient evidence to establish the probability that the accused cleric committed sexual abuse of a child. As used here, the phrase “sexual abuse of a child” means sexual molestation or sexual exploitation of a child (a person under the age of 18), including the use of child pornography, and other behavior by which an adult uses a child as an object of sexual gratification, including attempted behavior.

As a final note, for this supplemental list, five categories are listed below; however, names are not assigned to each category with this publication. A complete list of categories is set forth to explain categories that may be used in the future, if needed.

Categories & Descriptions

1. Cases That Have Been Concluded Canonically, Either By Dismissal Or Sentence To Life Of Prayer And Penance, Or Criminally, Either By Plea Or Conviction.

This Category will contain the names of all Harrisburg Diocesan Clergy, Clergy from other Arch/Diocese(s) who were assigned within the Harrisburg Diocese, and Religious Order Clergy who were assigned within the Harrisburg Diocese who have been found guilty of sexual abuse of a child, either by the Church (canon law), Federal or State criminal law, or more than one of the foregoing. In the case of criminal convictions, the cleric either has been convicted after a criminal trial or has pleaded guilty to a crime involving sexual abuse of a child. In the case of canonical processes, the clerics whose names are included either have been dismissed from the clerical state at the end of the canonical process, or have been assigned to a life of prayer and penance, with no ministry possible. The Church assigns clerics to a life of prayer and penance where they are not suited to dismissal due to age or infirmity.

2. Cases That Have Been Concluded Canonically By Removal From The Clerical State.

This Category will contain the names of all Harrisburg Diocesan Clergy who have been removed from the clerical state after having been accused of sexual abuse of a child. This has been accomplished either through laicization, a process under Church law where the cleric voluntarily requests it, or through other canonical processes when the cleric does not voluntarily seek laicization. The laicization process, when it is voluntary, does not involve the determination of guilt for crimes sexual abuse of a

child. Included in this section are the names of clerics who have sought laicization after being accused of sexual abuse of a child.

3. Cases Involving Living Clergy Where Canonical Proceedings Against Those Clergy Have Not Yet Been Resolved.

This Category will contain the names of all clergy who have been accused of sexual abuse of a child, yet where canonical proceedings remain to be completed. In each case, the cleric involved has been removed from public ministry and remains on administrative leave. It must be emphasized that there has been no final determination of guilt or innocence in these cases.

4. Cases Involving Clergy Accused After Laicization On Other Grounds.

Laicization, under Church law, is normally a process whereby the cleric voluntarily requests that he be separated from the clerical state. This Category will contain the names of all clergy who have been accused of sexual abuse of a child, yet who were already laicized (and, consequently, no longer in the clerical state) and therefore were no longer in active ministry by the time the accusations were received.

5. Cases Involving Deceased Clergy For Whom Criminal Or Canonical Proceedings Were Not Undertaken Or Completed.

This Category will contain the names of those deceased clergy who served in the RCDH who have been accused of sexual abuse of a child, yet where criminal or canonical proceedings were not undertaken (due to their death) or were not completed (at the time of the cleric's death, after being accused in life). In most of these cases, the accused cleric died before the allegations were received. In other cases, the cleric died before the conclusion of the canonical proceedings. There has been no formal determination of guilt in these cases. Rather, the RCDH has decided to list these names in the interest of transparency and healing. This category will also include clergy whom the RCDH is unable to definitively determine whether the cleric is deceased, but where under the circumstances it can reasonably be assumed the claim fits this category.

Names & Category

1. Bennett, Michael (Category 3)
2. Brown, Martin (Category 5)
3. Chiasson, Ronald (*OMI*) (Category 5)
4. Gotwalt, Joseph G. (Category 5)
5. Grondziowski, Stanislaus (*CSSp*) (Category 5)
6. Gross, Lawrence (Category 5)
7. Klespis, Joseph (Category 4)
8. Kriley, Victor (*OFM Cap*) (Category 5)
9. Lentocha, George (Category 5)
10. Menei, Francis (Category 5)
11. Overbaugh, Lawrence (Category 5)
12. Rocco, John (Deacon) (Category 5)
13. Sempko, Walter (Category 5)
14. Smith, Vincent (Category 5)
15. Wagman, Cletus (Category 5)
16. Zumpino, Richard (*Redemptorist*) (Category 5)